Transposable element landscapes illuminate past evolutionary events in the endangered fern *Vandenboschia speciosa*

Journal:	<i>Genome</i>
Manuscript ID	gen-2021-0022.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Sep-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Ruiz-Ruano, Francisco; UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA Navarro-Domínguez, Beatriz; UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA Camacho, J P; Universidad de Granada Garrido-Ramos, Manuel; UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA
Keyword:	ferns, genome size, transposable elements, <i>Vandenboschia speciosa</i> , endangered species
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

Transposable element landscapes illuminate past evolutionary events in the endangered fern *Vandenboschia speciosa*

Francisco J. Ruiz-Ruano^{1,2,3}, Beatriz Navarro-Domínguez ^{1,4}, Juan Pedro M. Camacho ¹ and Manuel A. Garrido-Ramos ^{1,*}

¹Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain
²Department of Organismal Biology, Systematic Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
³School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom
⁴Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

*Correspondence: mgarrido@ugr.es

Abstract

Vandenboschia speciosa is an endangered tetraploid fern species with a large genome (10.5 Gb). Its geographical distribution is characterized by disjoined tertiary flora refuges, with relict populations that survived past climate crises. Here we analyze the transposable elements (TEs) and found that they comprise about 76% of the *V. speciosa* genome, thus being the most abundant kind of DNA sequences in this gigantic genome. *V. speciosa* genome is composed of 51% and 5.6% of Class I and Class II elements, respectively. LTR retrotransposons were the most abundant TEs in this species (at least 42% of the genome), followed by non-LTR retrotransposons that constituted at least 8.7% of the genome of this species. We introduce an additional analysis to identify the nature of non-annotated elements (19% of the genome). A BLAST search of the non-annotated contigs against the *V. speciosa* TE database allowed determining the identity of almost half of them, which were most likely diverged sequence variants of the annotated TEs. In general, TE composition in *V. speciosa* resembles TE composition in seed plants. In addition, repeat landscapes revealed three episodes of amplification for all TEs, most likely due to demographic changes associated to past climate crises.

Keywords: climate crisis, demographic changes, endangered species, ferns, genome size, relict populations, tetraploidy, transposable elements, *Vandenboschia speciosa*.

Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are ubiquitous components of eukaryotic genomes that are considered drivers of genome evolution (Böhne et al. 2008; Belyayev 2014; Bourque et al. 2018), with relevant impact on both genome regulation (Slotkin and Martienssen 2007; Feschotte 2008; García-Pérez et al. 2016) and size (Gregory 2005; Bennetzen and Park 2018). A comprehensive analysis of how TE landscape contributes to a particular genome is thus relevant from a structural, functional and evolutionary perspective. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) and high-throughput *in silico* analysis of NGS reads have transformed the study of repetitive DNA, especially since the introduction of the RepeatExplorer (RE) pipeline which allows the identification and characterization of thousands of repetitive DNA elements on short NGS reads, by employing graph-based clustering of sequence reads (Novák et al. 2010, 2013, 2020b). Furthermore, the efficiency of repetitive DNA mining can be increased by means of the recursive application of the RE clustering algorithm combined with filtering out, at each round, the reads containing already known repetitive families (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2016). Generated contigs are then properly annotated with appropriate software such as DANTE (<http://repeatexplorer.org/>), which tracks the REXdb database (Neumann et al. 2019).

As a general rule, there is a relationship between TE abundance and genome size, which contributes to explain the C-value paradox (Gregory 2005; Bennetzen and Park 2018). Indeed, it has been recently proved that, in land plants, genome size increases proportionally to repetitive DNA amount, reaching up to proportions of around 80% of repetitive DNA in large genomes (Novák et al. 2020a). Curiously, this trend is shifted in genomes larger than 10 Gb and the largest genomes might have about 55% of repetitive DNA, probably by the slow degradation of repeats over time (Novák et al. 2020a). Notwithstanding this, TE accumulation is not the only cause for genome size increase in plants, as polyploidization is considered to play a major role in plant genome size evolution (Alix et al. 2017; Vicient and Casacuberta 2017). In fact, it has been suggested that polyploidization might be the major factor contributing to the high chromosome numbers and large genomes in ferns (Klekowski and Baker 1966; Klekowski 1972; Wagner and Wagner 1980; Nakazato et al. 2008; Dyer et al. 2013; Marchant et al. 2019).

In this context, biological, life-history and genomic features, together with the phylogenetic position within vascular plants, make *Vandenboschia speciosa* an attractive species for a genome-wide analysis with the aim to contribute to the knowledge of the impact of TEs in genome size and evolution in ferns. *V. speciosa* is a tetraploid fern species with a huge genome (10.5 Gb) (Manton 1950; Manton et al. 1986; Obermayer et al. 2002; Ebihara et al. 2007). This species is an endangered fern whose habitat is currently threatened by destruction and over-

harvesting (Rumsey et al. 1999). It is a rare European-Macaronesian endemism, the only representative of a genus which has a primarily tropical distribution, with a current geographical distribution characterized by disjointed tertiary flora refuges in the European Atlantic coast and the Macaronesian islands (Canaries, Madeira and Azores), composed of relic populations with very few individuals that survived past climate crises (Rumsey et al. 1999). We have found that most DNA sequences in the genome of the fern *Vandenboschia speciosa* are TEs and that its specific TEs composition is similar to seed plants TEs composition. In addition, we analyzed repeat landscapes to investigate possible amplification events for each TE, in order to get insights on recent evolutionary pathways of these elements that could be important to understand the present relict distribution of this endangered species.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Vandenboschia speciosa sporophytes were collected at one out of seven populations located in the Alcornocales Natural Park (Cádiz, Spain): Canuto de Ojén-Quesada (OJEN). Sporophytes were frozen in liquid nitrogen in the field and stored at -80 °C. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated using the DNeasy plant Mini kit (Quiagen). Pools of DNAs were generated from sets of five specimen DNAs and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq-2000 PE 2x101 nt technology, yielding about 16 Gb data (~1.5x coverage). Illumina sequencing data can be accessed at Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the BioProject PRJNA387541.

TE assembly and annotation

We performed an in-depth assembly of repetitive elements using RE (Novák et al. 2010, 2013, 2020b). For this, we first performed a quality trimming with Trimomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) to keep read pairs without adapters and a minimum quality of Q20. Then we randomly selected 2 x 2,000,000 Illumina reads with SeqTK (<https://github.com/lh3/seqtk>) to run RE with default options. After one RE run, we extracted the most representative contigs for every cluster, specifically those representing up to a half of total cluster coverage with a custom script (https://github.com/fjruizruano/satminer/blob/master/rexp_get_contigs.py) and filtered out the reads from the original library that matches them using DeconSeq (Schmieder and Edwards 2011). Then, we randomly selected a new set of 2 x 2,000,000 reads from the filtered libraries, that were clustered with RE in a second round. Performing additional rounds of clustering and

filtering had shown to be highly successful for satellite DNA (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2016), as it allows detecting repetitive elements which, due to their low abundance, had gone unnoticed because their signals were masked by those of highly abundant elements. We annotated the resulting contigs by the DANTE software (<http://repeatexplorer.org/>) with the iterative search option and using as a reference the Viridiplantae v3.0 of REXdb (Neumann et al. 2019), i.e. a curated database for protein domains of plant repetitive. We considered separately the most conservative annotation in the “Final Classification” field. This classification is based on multiple top hits (the best hit + all other hits with score $\geq$ 80% of the score of the best hit). But sequences are classified on the deepest level showing no conflict among hits (Neumann et al. 2019). Thus, for example, a conflict between Class_I|LTR|Ty3/gypsy|chromovirus|Reina and Class_I|LTR|Ty3/gypsy|chromovirus|CRM, is resolved by DANTE as Class_I|LTR|Ty3/gypsy|chromovirus (Neumann et al. 2019). We annotated the contigs from the two RE rounds, excluding the “Simple_repeat” and “Low_complexity” contigs, and labeling the non-annotated contigs as “Unknown”. Then, we used the msatcommander software (Faircloth 2008) to search for perfect microsatellite arrays (from 1 to 6 nt of monomer size) and removed arrays with 20 or more nucleotides. This is the minimum sensibility that RepeatMasker has to detect a microsatellite array. In addition, we screened the database with the CD-HIT program (Fu et al. 2012) using the options “-M 0 -aS 0.8 -c 0.8 -G 0 -g 1” in order to detect redundant contigs with at least a 80% of identity showing discrepant annotations. We did not find such kind of discrepancies in this sanity check. Finally, we combined all annotated RE contigs in a single database. As we were focused here on the study of TEs, we removed other repetitive elements from the final database, such as satDNA (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2019a) and plastome sequences (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2019b), which had previously been characterized in *V. speciosa*. In addition, we assembled the 45S and 5S ribosomal DNAs with the MITObim software (Hahn et al. 2013), using *Tetraplodon fuegianus* 45S (GenBank accession number KU095852) and *Marsilea quadrifolia* 5S (GenBank accession number FR694363) as seeds. We then annotated the three types of elements with RepeatMasker (Smit et al. 2015) with “nolow” and “no_is” options and removed the contigs matching with these non-TE repetitive elements. Finally, we included the DANTE annotation for each RE cluster to the contigs IDs in the FASTA file with the RepeatMasker’s format using a custom script (https://github.com/fjruiaruano/ngs-protocols/blob/master/rexp_annot.py). The resulting database was deposited in FigShare (https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Supplementary_Dataset_for_The_repeatome_of_the_endangered_fern_Vandenboschia_speciosa_/12124503).

Repeat landscapes

In order to estimate abundance and divergence for each annotated element, we aligned 5 million of randomly selected read pairs to the consensus sequences in the resulting RE database, using RepeatMasker with a custom script (https://github.com/fjruizruano/satminer/blob/master/repeat_masker_run_big.py). We used the calcDivergenceFromAlign.pl built-in tool of RepeatMasker to obtain a histogram of the Kimura 2-Parameter divergence for each element. Next, we transformed the abundance values to express them as genome proportion by dividing the number of aligned nucleotides by the total number of nucleotides in the selection of 10 million read pairs. The resulting histograms (hereafter referred to as Repeat Landscapes, RLs) were plotted in R.

Results

A first run of RE analysis allowed identifying 495 clusters of repetitive DNA sequences. However, an additional run of filtering+RE increased this figure up to 1,271 which were subsequently annotated by DANTE as TEs (Table 1). According to these annotations, TEs comprise at least 76% of the *V. speciosa* genome (Table 1).

As Table 1 shows, the *V. speciosa* genome has almost ten times the amount of retrotransposons related sequences (Class I elements) than DNA transposons related sequences (Class II elements), both kinds representing about 51% and 5.6% of the genome, respectively. By far, the most abundant sequences in *V. speciosa* are LTR retrotransposons (81.9% of Class I elements), belonging to two superfamilies (*Ty1/Copia* and *Ty3/Gypsy*), each representing at least about 18.5% of the genome (Table 1). Only a percentage of *Ty1/Copia* (47% of *Copia* elements), could be assigned to a particular family, predominating *Ale* and *Tork* (Table 1). On the contrary, most *Ty3/Gypsy* sequences could be further annotated (99.3%), predominating the OTA group with *Athila* as the most representative element among *Ty3/Gypsy* elements (6.7% of the genome). *LINE* (Non-LTR retrotransposons TEs) sequences represent unusual amount in *V. speciosa* genome (at least 8.7% of the genome). Among DNA transposons, the higher amount of sequences belonged to *EnSpm-CACTA* elements, as it represents 62% of identified transposon sequences, followed by *Sola1* (36%) and *Helitron* (1.6%).

Almost 57% of the genome was annotated, thus remaining, at first instance, about 19% of the genome composed of "Unknown" elements (Table 1). In an effort to further characterize the non-annotated contigs, we blasted their sequences to the generated *V. speciosa* TE database, and found an important set of contigs that showed homology to some of the

214 annotated sequences. Specifically, almost 32% of the "Unknown" sequences showed homology
215 with annotated LTR elements (about 7% LTR/Copia and about 23% LTR/Gypsy), 4.6% with
216 annotated LINEs and about 8% with DNA transposons (Table 2). Therefore, about 44% of non-
217 annotated sequences could be somewhat identified by this procedure (Table 2). This allowed
218 identifying about 8.5% (6.1% LTR, 0.9 LINE and 1.5 DNA transposons) of the *V. speciosa* genome
219 as divergent variant sequences of TEs already annotated in Table 1. This raised the frequency of
220 identified TEs till 65.4%, whereas the remaining 10.7% of TEs in the genome might be highly
221 divergent or fern-specific TE sequences (Table 2).

222 It was remarkable to find that all TE superfamilies found within the genome of *V.*
223 *speciosa* showed a similar profile for the Repeat Landscapes (RLs) built by comparing abundance
224 and divergence of sequence variants (Figure 1). Thus, the landscapes are characterized by the
225 presence of two to three well-defined peaks of abundance in most of the elements: one more
226 diffuse representing sequences placed around 18% divergence, one peak around 13%
227 divergence and the most conspicuous peak being around 4% of sequence divergence. It was also
228 clear that this latter peak showed some slight differences among elements, as it was placed
229 about 5% for LTR/Copia-Ale and LTR/Copia-Tork, 3% for DNA/Sola1 and LTR/Copia-Gymcoll, 2%
230 for LINE and Penelope as well as for LTR/Gypsy|chromovirus (see Figure 1).

231
232 **Discussion**

233
234 *TEs largely contribute to V. speciosa genome size*

235
236 To date, contrasting to other vascular plants, only the genomes of two heterosporous and one
237 homosporous ferns have been sequenced (Sessa et al. 2014; Li et al. 2018; Marchant et al. 2019),
238 and some other fragmentary data on TEs from a few fern genomes are available (Dyer et al.
239 2013; Wolf et al. 2015). However, because of their phylogenetic position, ferns are crucial for
240 investigating TEs as well as other genomic traits. We wanted to contribute to this knowledge
241 taking advantage of the development of new robust tools for the analysis of NGS reads. In this
242 context, our present results revealed that 76% of the *V. speciosa* genome is composed of TEs,
243 considerably improving our previous quantitative estimates of TEs in *V. speciosa* obtained after
244 a single RE run (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2019a). This is the highest proportion of TEs hitherto found in
245 a fern genome (Wolf et al. 2015; Li et al. 2018; Marchant et al. 2019). Furthermore, our research
246 confirm that TEs are the major component of the repeatome of *V. speciosa* while its tandem
247 repetitive component comprised by satellite DNAs (about 0.4% of the genome) and

microsatellites (about 2% of the genome) does not explain the huge genome size in this species (Ruiz-Ruano et al. 2019a).

The large fern genomes, especially homosporous ferns (average genome size 12 Gb; Sessa and Der 2016), are proposed to be paleopolyploid (reviewed in Barker 2013) behaving as diploid, and are characterized by extremely high numbers of chromosomes. As a result, polyploidization has been suggested as the major factor contributing to the high chromosome numbers and large genomes in ferns (Klekowski and Baker 1966; Klekowski 1972; Wagner and Wagner 1980; Nakazato et al. 2008; Dyer et al. 2013; Marchant et al. 2019). *V. speciosa* is considered to be a tetraploid species (Manton 1950; Manton et al. 1986; Obermayer et al. 2002), probably an allotetraploid (Ebihara et al. 2007), with $2n=144$ chromosomes (Obermayer et al. 2002), which partly explains its large genome ($1C=10.52$ Gb). However, we show here that TEs might be the main cause of genome size increase in this species, as they constitute 3/4 of genome sequences. In fact, recent papers claim that differences in fern genome size are attributable to TEs, and that fern repeat proportions are comparable to those of flowering plants (Li et al. 2018; Marchant et al. 2019). After analyzing genome size and spore size variation in the *Asplenium monanthes* fern complex, Dyer et al. (2013) concluded that other mechanisms, in addition to polyploidy, should explain genome size variation in ferns, and suggested "retrotransposon driven changes" as a possible cause. Our present results give support to this inference as retrotransposons actually constitute the immense majority of TEs in *V. speciosa*. These data agree with the assumption that both TE transposition and polyploidization are considered major players in genome size evolution of plants (Alix et al. 2017; Vicent and Casacuberta 2017). In fact, Marchant et al. (2019) have recently found, in the model fern *Ceratopteris richardii* (11.25 Gb; $n=39$), evidence suggesting that a single ancient polyploidy event and TE expansion both explain the large fern genomes, in resemblance to flowering plants. Furthermore, members of the fern order Salviniales (heterosporous ferns) that have smaller genome sizes than homosporous ferns also show differences in their repetitive content that explains some of the nearly threefold difference in genome size between *Salvinia* (*Salvinia cucullata*, 0.26 Gb; 25% of the genome are TEs) and *Azolla* (*Azolla filiculoides*, 0.75 Gb; 50% of the genome are TEs) (Li et al. 2018), suggesting that TE expansion appears to have been ubiquitous in ferns.

TE composition in V. speciosa resembles TE composition in seed plants

In contrast to animal genomes, LTR retrotransposons are the most abundant TEs in seed plant genomes (Wicker et al. 2007; López-Flores and Garrido-Ramos 2012). Likewise, LTR

retrotransposons are the most abundant TE sequences in a few fern species analyzed up to date (Wolf et al. 2015; Li et al. 2018; Marchant et al. 2019), and they represent about 42% of *V. speciosa* genome (48% if we take into account the divergent elements identified by BLAST) (Tables 1 and 2). In order to contribute to a better understanding of fern TEs, we identified some familiar ascription among the *Ty1/Copia* and *Ty3/Gypsy* TEs. Half of the *LTR/Copia* sequences that we found (53%) were classified as generic *Ty1/Copia* elements. However, the other 47% belonged specifically to five families (*Ale*, *Tork*, *Gymcoll*, *GymcolIII* and *GymcolIV*), which are usually present in seed plants but are absent in non-vascular plants (Bryophyta) and Lycopodiophyta (Neumann et al. 2019). Specifically, *Gymco* elements (I to IV) are specific of gymnosperms, whereas *Ale* and *Tork* are common to gymnosperms and flowering plants (Neumann et al. 2019). We did not detect *LTR/Copia-Bryco* or *LTR/Copia-Lyco* elements, which are the only families found in Bryophyta and Lycopodiophyta, respectively. Among *Ty3/Gypsy* elements, chromoviruses represent the oldest and most widespread lineage of *Ty3/Gypsy* retrotransposons in seed plants (Novikov 2012; Neumann et al. 2019). In consistency, three of these families (*CRM*, *Reina* and *Galadriel*) were present in the genome of *V. speciosa*, the latter being also found in Lycopphyta. Notwithstanding, they represent only about 12% of *LTR/Gypsy* elements (Table 1). Among the non-chromoviruses (87% of *LTR/Gypsy* elements), *OTA* were the only type found in this genome (Table 1). Many of the *OTA* sequences detected (55.7%) could not be further annotated. Among the remaining *OTA* elements, *Athila* was the most represented *LTR/Gypsy* element in *V. speciosa* (6.7% of the genome; almost the 9% of the genome if we consider the BLAST analysis), followed by *Tat*, both being typical of vascular plants, also found in lycophytes (Neumann et al. 2019). Remarkably, we did not find *Phygy* elements, which are specific of Bryophyta, or *Selgy* elements which are specific of Lycopodiophyta (Neumann et al. 2019). These results suggest that ferns share more classes of LTR elements with seed plants than with other basal groups of plant phylogeny, whether vascular (Lycopphyta) or non-vascular (Bryophyta), in concordance with current phylogenies of vascular plants (Pryer et al. 2001; Schneider et al. 2004; Smith et al. 2006).

LINE retrotransposons comprised about 8.7% of the *V. speciosa* genome (9.6% considering the BLAST results), a very high figure compared with other plant genomes (average < 1%) (Hřibová et al. 2010; Novikov et al. 2012; Makołowski et al. 2019). This finding was previously pointed out by Wolf et al. (2015), although they estimated lower values (average= 2.2%) in the fern species analyzed. Interestingly, *Penelope* represents 0.54% of the *V. speciosa* genome, whereas it is rarely identified in plant genomes despite its wide distribution among eukaryotes, including the spike moss *Selaginella moellendor* (Arkhipova 2006; Novikov et al. 2012; Tollis and Boissinot 2012).

Finally, DNA transposons constitute about 1%-15% of plant genomes (Novikov et al. 2012; Weiss-Schneeweiss et al. 2015), and their proportion in the genome of *V. speciosa* (5.6-7.1%) was within this range, with *CACTA* and *Sola1* as predominating elements, as in other fern genomes (Li et al. 2018). Interestingly, about 1.6% of all annotated DNA transposons in *V. speciosa* belong to the order *Helitron*, a kind of rolling-circle transposons that have demonstrated a tremendous potential for gene shuffling and duplication in plants (Morgante et al. 2005; Thomas and Pritham 2015).

Taken together, the TE composition found in the genome of the fern *V. speciosa* shows high resemblance with seed plants, especially in the case of LTR retrotransposons.

BLAST search of the V. speciosa database allowed the increase of the proportion of identified TEs

Successful TEs annotation depends on the similarity of the TEs found in the studied genome with those available in TE databases, which currently are biased toward model organisms which, in the present case, are phylogenetically distant species. In addition, the sequence of inactive TEs diverges through mutation and drift. Thus, the particular TE landscape in each species is composed of a number of repeats that rapidly diverge both at the intra- and inter-specific levels and this makes it difficult to properly identifying genome-specific sequence variants for each element (Neumann et al. 2019). Therefore, it is conceivable that most of the 19% of the genome containing non-annotated TEs in *V. speciosa* is made up of diverged TE sequences. In this respect, we further characterized the non-annotated contigs obtained with RE using a BLAST search of the *V. speciosa* TE database. Overall, we identified by this procedure the nature of an additional 8.44% proportion of the genome. All together, DANTE annotation and BLAST identification of the non-annotated sequences revealed that TEs represent about 65.3% of the *V. speciosa* genome, whereas another 10.7% of TEs consists of unidentified TEs, which most likely were too divergent to be identified with the methods employed here. Anyway, we cannot rule out that some of these unidentified sequences could correspond to fern-specific (even functional) TEs.

Temporal changes in TE abundance

RLs showed one prominent and two less pronounced peaks of TE abundance relative to sequence divergence (see Figure 1). These peaks represent conspicuous sets of repeats grouped around specific values of sequence divergence (i.e. 4%, 13% and 18%). As sequence divergence is due to mutational changes and these are proportional to time, we infer that these three peaks

are indicative of temporally different TE expansion waves within the genome of this species. The fact that repeat landscape profiles were highly similar for all TEs, we infer that these expansion waves were associated with demographic changes in the ancestral populations of the two analyzed here. Current localities of *V. speciosa* are small disjointed tertiary flora refuges harboring relic populations that survived the glacial cycles. Several important climatic change events during the last 5 my (such as the Messinian Salinity Crisis, the Pliocene-Pleistocene transition with the establishment of Mediterranean climate and extinction of typical tertiary taxa, and the Pleistocene with interglacial cycles) might have influenced evolutionary pathways in *V. speciosa* resulting from successive contractions of the area of distribution of the species, population fragmentation and isolation leading to bottlenecks eroding genetic variability through genetic drift (Ben-Menni Schuler 2019). Previous research indicated that reduced effective population size can trigger an increase in TE copy number and genome size (Lynch and Conery 2003; García-Guerreiro 2012; Bourgeois and Boissinot 2019). According to these authors, while most new TE insertions would be eliminated by selection in large populations, drift would predominate over selection in small populations and thus TE abundance could eventually increase. It is thus conceivable that successive bottlenecks in *V. speciosa* could have boosted the massive TE expansions reported here. Similar increases in TE copy numbers in small populations after bottlenecks have also been found in *Arabidopsis lyrata* (Lockton et al. 2008; Ross-Ibarra et al. 2008) and *Drosophila subobscura* (García-Guerreiro et al. 2008) as a consequence of strong effect of stochastic events and a reduced efficiency of purifying selection in those populations (reviewed in Bourgeois and Boissinot 2019). Interestingly, it cannot be ruled out that the environmental stresses associated to the mentioned events might also be important factors associated to TE activation (Capy et al. 2000; Kalendar et al. 2000; García-Guerreiro 2012; Chuong et al. 2017; Bourgeois and Boissinot 2019).

Acknowledgments

This research has been financed by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and FEDER funds, grant: CGL2010-14856 (subprograma BOS). FJ Ruiz-Ruano was supported by a Junta de Andalucía fellowship (Spain), a postdoctoral fellowship from Sven och Lilly Lawskis fond (Sweden) and a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship grant agreement 875732 (EU). The Dirección General de Gestión del Medio Natural y Espacios Protegidos of the Consejería de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación del Territorio de la Junta de Andalucía authorized and facilitates the sampling of the material. We are highly indebted to Carmen Rodríguez Hiraldo and to Jaime Pereña Ortiz who, together the team of Agentes de Medio Ambiente of the Consejería, helped us with the sampling procedure.

References

- Alix, K., Gérard, P.R., Schwarzacher, T., Heslop-Harrison, J.S. (Pat). 2017. Polyploidy and interspecific hybridization: partners for adaptation, speciation and evolution in plants. *Ann. Bot.*, **120**:183-194.
- Arkhipova, I.R. 2006. Distribution and phylogeny of Penelope-like elements in eukaryotes. *Syst. Biol.*, **55**: 875-885.
- Barker, M.S. 2013. Karyotype and genome evolution in Pteridophytes. In: Leitch I.J. et al. (eds) *Plant Genome Diversity. Volume 2, Physical Structure, Behaviour and Evolution of Plant Genomes*. Springer-Verlag, Wien, pp 245-253.
- Belyayev, A. 2014. Bursts of transposable elements as an evolutionary driving force. *J. Evol. Biol.*, **27**: 2573-2584.
- Ben-Menni Schuler, S. 2019. Genetic diversity and phylogeographic pattern of four Tertiary-relict fern species: *Vandenboschia speciosa* (Willd.) G. Kunkel, *Diplazium caudatum* (Cav.) Jermy, *Pteris incompleta* Cav. and *Culcita macrocarpa* C. Presl. PhD Thesis, University of Granada, Granada, Spain. <http://hdl.handle.net/10481/58616>
- Bennetzen, J.L., Park, M. 2018. Distinguishing friends, foes, and freeloaders in giant genomes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, **49**: 49-55.
- Böhne, A., Brunet, F., Galiana-Arnoux, D., Schultheis, C., Volff, J.N. 2008. Transposable elements as drivers of genomic and biological diversity in vertebrates. *Chromosome Res.*, **16**: 203-215.
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **30**: 2114-2120.
- Bourgeois, Y., Boissinot, S. 2019. On the population dynamics of junk: a review on the population genomics of transposable elements. *Genes*, **10**: 419.
- Bourque, G., Burns, K.H., Gehring, M., Gorbunova, V., Seluanov, A., Hammell, M., Imbeault, M., Izsvák, Z., Levin, H.L., Macfarlan, T.S., Mager, D.L., Feschotte, C. 2018. Ten things you should know about transposable elements. *Genome Biology*, **19**: 199.
- Capy, P., Gasperi, G., Biémont, C., Bazin, C. 2000. Stress and transposable elements: coevolution or useful parasites. *Heredity*, **85**: 101-106.
- Chuong, E.B., Elde, N.C., Feschotte, C. 2017. Regulatory activities of transposable elements: From conflicts to benefits. *Nature Review Genetics*, **18**: 71-86.
- Dyer, T.J., Pellicer, J., Savolainen, V., Leitch, I.J., Schneider, H. 2013. Genome size expansion and the relationship between nuclear DNA content and spore size in the *Asplenium monanthes* fern complex (Aspleniaceae). *BMC Plant Biology*, **13**: 219.

- Ebihara, A., Dubuisson, J.Y., Iwatsuki, K., Ito, M. 2007a. Systematics of *Trichomanes* (Hymenophyllaceae: Pteridophyta), progress and future interests. *Fern Gazette*, **18**: 53-58.
- Faircloth, B.C. 2008. MSATCOMMANDER: Detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **8**: 92-94.
- Feschotte, C. 2008. The contribution of transposable elements to the evolution of regulatory networks. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **9**: 397-405.
- Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., Li, W. 2012. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, **28**: 3150-3152.
- García-Guerreiro, M.P. 2012. What makes transposable elements move in the *Drosophila* genome? *Heredity*, **108**: 461-468.
- García-Guerreiro, M.P., Chávez-Sandoval, B.E., Balanyà, J., Serra, L., Fontdevila, A. 2008. Distribution of the transposable elements bilbo and gypsy in original and colonizing populations of *Drosophila subobscura*. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **8**: 234.
- García-Pérez, J.L., Widmann, T.J., Adams, I.R. 2016. The impact of transposable elements on mammalian development. *Development*, **143**: 4101-4114.
- Gregory, T.R. 2005. Genome size evolution in animals. In: Gregory T.R. (ed.) *The Evolution of the Genome*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, USA, pp 3-87.
- Hahn, C., Bachmann, L., Chevreux, B. 2013. Reconstructing mitochondrial genomes directly from genomic next-generation sequencing reads—a baiting and iterative mapping approach. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **41**: e129.
- Hřibová, E., Neumann, P., Matsumoto, T., Roux, N., Macas, J., Dolezel, J. 2010. Repetitive part of the banana (*Musa acuminata*) genome investigated by low-depth sequencing. *BMC Plant Biology*, **10**: 204.
- Kalendar, R., Tanskanen, J., Immonen, S., Nevo, E., Schulman, A.H. 2000. Genome evolution of wild barley (*Hordeum spontaneum*) by BARE-1 retrotransposon dynamics in response to sharp microclimatic divergence. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**: 6603-6607.
- Klekowski, E. 1972. Genetical features of ferns as contrasted with seed plants. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* **59**: 138-151.
- Klekowski, E.J., Baker, H.G. 1966. Evolutionary significance of polyploidy in the Pteridophyta. *Science*, **153**: 305-307.
- Li, F.W., et al. 2018. Fern genomes elucidate land plant evolution and cyanobacterial symbioses. *Nature Plants*, **4**: 460-472.
- Lockton, S., Ross-Ibarra, J., Gaut, B.S. 2008. Demography and weak selection drive patterns of transposable element diversity in natural populations of *Arabidopsis lyrata*. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**: 13965-13970.

- López-Flores, I., Garrido-Ramos, M.A. (2012) The repetitive DNA content of eukaryotic genomes. In: Garrido-Ramos, M.A. (ed.) Repetitive DNA. Genome Dynamics Volume 7. Karger, Basel, Switzerland, pp 126-152.
- Lynch, M., Conery, J.S. 2003. The origins of genome complexity. *Science*, **302**: 1401-1404.
- Makałowski, W., Gotea, V., Pande, A., Makałowska, I. 2019. Transposable Elements: classification, identification, and their use as a tool for comparative genomics. In: Anisimova M (ed) Evolutionary Genomics: Statistical and Computational Methods, Methods in Molecular Biology Volume 1910. Springer Nature, Switzerland, pp 177-207.
- Manton, I. 1950. Problems in cytology and evolution in the Pteridophyta. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 316 pp.
- Manton, I., Lovis, J.D., Vida, G., Gibby, M. 1986. Cytology of the fern flora of Madeira. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Botany* **15**: 123-161.
- Marchant, D.B., Sessa, E.B., Wolf, P.G., Heo, K., Barbazuk, W.B., Soltis, P.S., Soltis, D.E. 2019. The C-Fern (*Ceratopteris richardii*) genome: insights into plant genome evolution with the first partial homosporous fern genome assembly. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**: 18181.
- Morgante, M., Brunner, S., Pea, G., Fengler, K., Zuccolo, A., Rafalski, A. 2005. Gene duplication and exon shuffling by helitron-like transposons generate intraspecies diversity in maize. *Nature Genetics*, **37**: 997-1002.
- Nakazato, T., Barker, M.S., Rieseberg, L.H., Gastony, G.J. 2008. Evolution of the nuclear genome of ferns and lycophytes. In: Ranker TA, Haufler CH (eds) *Biology and Evolution of Ferns and Lycophytes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 175-198.
- Neumann, P., Novák, P., Hoštáková, N., Macas, J. 2019. Systematic survey of plant LTR retrotransposons elucidates phylogenetic relationships of their polyprotein domains and provides a reference for element classification. *Mobile DNA*, **10**: 1.
- Novák, P., Guignard, M.S., Neumann, P., Kelly, L.J., Mlinarec, J., Koblizkova, A., Dodsworth, S., Kovarik, A., Pellicer, J., Wang, W., Macas, J., Leitch, I.J., Leitch, A.R. 2020a. Repeat-sequence turnover shifts fundamentally in species with large genomes. *Nature Plants*, **6**: 1325-1329.
- Novák, P., Neumann, P., Macas, J. 2010. Graph-based clustering and characterization of repetitive sequences in next-generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**: 378.
- Novák, P., Neumann, P., Macas, J. 2020b. Global analysis of repetitive DNA from unassembled sequence reads using RepeatExplorer2. *Nature Protocols* **15**: 3745-3776.

- Novák, P., Neumann, P., Pech, J., Steinhaisl, J., Macas, J. 2013. RepeatExplorer: A Galaxy-based web server for genome-wide characterization of eukaryotic repetitive elements from next generation sequence reads. *Bioinformatics*, **29**: 792-793.
- Novikov, A., Smyshlyaev, G., Novikova, O. 2012. Evolutionary history of LTR retrotransposon chromodomains in plants. *International Journal of Plant Genomics*, **2012**: 874743.
- Obermayer, R., Leitch, I.J., Hanson, L., Bennett, M.D. 2002. Nuclear DNA C-values in 30 species double the familial representation in pteridophytes. *Ann. Bot.* **90**: 209-217.
- Pryer, K.M., Schneider, H., Smith, A.R., Cranfill, R., Wolf, P.G., Hunt, J.S., Sipes, S.D. 2001. Horsetails and ferns are a monophyletic group and the closest living relatives to seed plants. *Nature*, **409**: 618-622.
- Ross-Ibarra, J., Wright, S.I., Foxe, J.P., Kawabe, A., DeRose-Wilson, L., Gos, G., Charlesworth, D., Gaut, B.S. 2008. Patterns of polymorphism and demographic history in natural populations of *Arabidopsis lyrata*. *PLoS ONE* 2008: 3.
- Ruiz-Ruano, F.J., López-León, M.D., Cabrero, J., Camacho, J.P.M. 2016. High-throughput analysis of the satellitome illuminates satellite DNA evolution. *Sci. Rep.* **6**: 28333.
- Ruiz-Ruano, F.J., Navarro-Domínguez, B., Camacho, J.P.M., Garrido-Ramos, M.A. 2019a. Characterization of the satellitome in lower vascular plants: the case of the endangered fern *Vandenboschia speciosa*. *Ann. Bot.* **123**: 587-599.
- Ruiz-Ruano, F.J., Navarro-Domínguez, B., Camacho, J.P.M., Garrido-Ramos, M.A. 2019b. Full plastome sequence of the fern *Vandenboschia speciosa* (Hymenophyllales): structural singularities and evolutionary insights. *J. Plant. Res.* **132**: 3-17.
- Rumsey, F.J., Vogel, J.C., Russell, S.J., Barrett, J.A., Gibby, M. 1999. Population genetics and conservation biology of the endangered fern *Trichomanes speciosum* (Hymenophyllaceae) in Scotland. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **66**: 333-344.
- Schmieder, R., Edwards, R. 2011. Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination from genomic and metagenomic datasets. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e17288.
- Schneider, H., Schuettpelz, E., Pryer, K.M., Cranfill, R., Magallón, S., Lupia, R. 2004. Ferns diversified in the shadow of angiosperms. *Nature*, **428**: 553-557.
- Sessa, E.B., et al. 2014. Between Two Fern Genomes. *Gigascience* **2014**: 3.
- Sessa, E.B., Der, J.P. 2016. Evolutionary genomics of ferns and lycophytes. In: *Advances in Botanical Research* 78, Elsevier, pp. 215-254.
- Slotkin, R.K., Martienssen, R. 2007. Transposable elements and the epigenetic regulation of the genome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**: 272-285.

- Smit, A.F.A., Hubley, R., Green, P. 2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013-2015.
<http://repeatmasker.org>.
- Smith, A.R., Pryer, K.M., Schuettpelz, E., Korall, P., Schneider, H., Wolf, P.G. 2006. A classification for extant ferns. *Taxon* **55**: 705-731.
- Thomas, J., Pritham, E.J. 2015. Helitrons, the eukaryotic rolling-circle transposable elements. *Microbiology Spectrum* **3**: MDNA3-0049-2014.
- Tollis, M., Boissinot, S. 2012. The evolutionary dynamics of transposable elements in eukaryote genomes. In: Garrido-Ramos, M.A. (ed.) *Repetitive DNA. Genome Dynamics Volume 7*. Karger, Basel, Switzerland, pp 68-97.
- Vicient, C.M., Casacuberta, J.M. 2017. Impact of transposable elements on polyploid plant genomes. *Ann. Bot.* **120**: 195-207.
- Wagner, W.H., Wagner, F.S. 1980. Polyploidy in pteridophytes. In: *Polyploidy*. Springer, pp 199-214.
- Weiss-Schneeweiss, H., Leitch, A.R., McCann, J., Jang, T.S., Macas, J. 2015. Employing next generation sequencing to explore the repeat landscape of the plant genome. In: Hörandl, E., Appelhans, M. (eds.) *Next Generation Sequencing in Plant Systematics Regnum Vegetabile*. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein, Germany, pp 155-179.
- Wicker, T., Sabot, F., Hua-Van, A., Bennetzen, J.L., Capy, P., Chalhoub, B., Flavell, A., Leroy, P., Morgante, M., Panaud, O., Paux, E., SanMiguel, P., Schulman, A.H. 2007. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. *Nature Rev. Gen.* **8**: 973-982.
- Wolf, P.G., Sessa, E.B., Marchant, D.B., Li, F.W., Rothfels, C.J., Sigel, E.M., Gitzendanner, M.A., Visger, C.J., Banks, J.A., Soltis, D.E., Soltis, P.S., Pryer, K.M., Der, J.P. 2015. An exploration into fern genome space. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, **7**: 2533-2544.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Curve profiles in the Repeat Landscapes (RL) of TEs for *V. speciosa*

Draft

Genome
Table 1. TE content of *V. speciosa* genome.

TE taxonomy				Abundance	Abundance in respect to all TEs	Abundance in respect to annotated TEs	
Class	Order	Superfamily	Family				
Class I	LTR	Ty1/Copia		4,96%	6,53%	8,72%	
				9,90%	13,02%	17,40%	
			LTR/Copia-Ale		4,27%	5,62%	7,50%
					2,43%	3,20%	4,27%
					0,70%	0,92%	1,23%
					0,65%	0,86%	1,14%
					0,58%	0,76%	1,02%
					18,53%	24,38%	32,57%
		Ty3/Gypsy		0,13%	0,17%	0,23%	
			Non-chromovirus	LTR/Gypsy-OTA	9,04%	11,89%	15,89%
		LTR/Gypsy-OTA Athila		6,73%	8,85%	11,83%	
		LTR/Gypsy-OTA Tat		0,32%	0,42%	0,56%	
		LTR/Gypsy-OTA Tat Retand		0,14%	0,18%	0,25%	
				16,23%	21,35%	28,52%	
		Chromovirus			1,44%	1,89%	2,53%
			LTR/Gypsy-CRM	0,69%	0,91%	1,21%	
			LTR/Gypsy-Reina	0,06%	0,08%	0,11%	
			LTR/Gypsy-Galadriel	0,02%	0,03%	0,04%	
				2,21%	2,91%	3,88%	
				18,57%	24,43%	32,64%	
			Total LTR		42,06%	55,33%	73,92%
			LINE		8,71%	11,46%	15,31%
			PLE	Penelope	0,54%	0,71%	0,95%
			Caulimovirus		0,03%	0,04%	0,05%
Total Class I				51,34%	67,54%	90,23%	
Class II							
Subclass I	EnSpm-CACTA			3,46%	4,55%	6,08%	
	Sola1			2,00%	2,63%	3,51%	
	PIF-Harbinger			0,01%	0,01%	0,02%	
	Total			5,47%	7,20%	9,61%	
Subclass II	Helitron			0,09%	0,12%	0,16%	
Total Class II				5,56%	7,31%	9,77%	
Total annotated elements				56,90%	74,86%	100,00%	
Unknown				19,11%	25,14%		
TOTAL				76,01%	100,00%		

Draft

Table 2. TE identification among non-annotated TEs of the genome of *V. speciosa*. Abundance: percentage in the genome of each non-annotated element but identified as a specific kind of TE by BLAST; Abundance in respect to Unknown elements: percentage of each identified TE in respect to the total of non annotated elements; Total identified elements: percentage of each TE in the genome of *V. speciosa* taken together both annotation and BLAST identification.

TE taxonomy			Abundance	Abundance in respect to Unknown elements	Total identified elements (Annotation + Blast identification)
Class	Order	Superfamily			
Class I	LTR		0,38%	1,99%	5,34%
		Ty1/Copia*	1,31%	6,86%	19,84%
		Ty3/Gypsy**	4,38%	22,92%	22,95%
	Total LTR		6,07%	31,76%	48,13%
	LINE		0,87%	4,55%	9,58%
	PLE	Penelope	0,00%	0,00%	0,54%
	Caulimovirus		0,00%	0,00%	0,03%
Total Class I			6,94%	36,32%	58,28%
Class II					
Subclass I	EnSpm-CACTA		0,46%	2,41%	3,92%
	Sola1		1,04%	5,44%	3,04%
	PIF-Harbinger		0,00%	0,00%	0,01%
	Total		1,50%	7,85%	6,97%
Subclass II	Helitron		0,00%	0,00%	0,09%
Total Class II			1,50%	7,85%	7,06%
Total annotated elements			8,44%	44,17%	65,34%
Unknown			10,67%	55,83%	10,67%
TOTAL			19,11%	100,00%	76,01%

*(0.22% Tork, 0.06% Ale, 0,04 Gymcoll, 0.03% GymcolIII and 0.06% GymcolIII)

** (4.24% OTA|Athila, 1.86% OTA, 0.15 OTA|Tat|Retand, 0.13 Chromovirus)