Canadian Journal of Zoology

Taxonomy, allometry, sexual dimorphism, and conservation of the trans-Andean water-snake *Helicops danieli* Amaral 1937 (Serpentes: Dipsadidae: Hydropsini).

Journal:	Canadian Journal of Zoology
Manuscript ID	cjz-2021-0073.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Oct-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Citeli, Nathalie; Universidade de Brasilia, Klaczko, Julia; Universidade de Brasilia, Physiological Sciences, Institute of Biological Sciences De-Lima, Anderson; Universidade de Brasilia de-Carvalho, Mariana; Universidade de Brasilia Nunes, Pedro; Universidade Federal de Pernambuco Passos, Paulo; Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Museu Nacional Brandão, Reuber; Universidade de Brasília, Laboratório de Fauna e Unidades de Conservação, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal
Is your manuscript invited for consideration in a Special Issue?:	Not applicable (regular submission)
Keyword:	ecological niche modeling, linear morphometry, hemipenis morphology, intraspecific variation, taxonomy., ontogeny, Daniel's Keelback, <i>Helicops danieli</i>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Taxonomy, allometry, sexual dimorphism, and conservation of the
trans-Andean water-snake *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937 (Serpentes:
Dipsadidae: Hydropsini).**

Nathalie Citeli^{1,2,*}, Julia Klaczko², Anderson Kennedy Soares De-Lima², Mariana de-
Carvalho³, Pedro M. Sales Nunes⁴, Paulo Passos⁵, and Reuber Albuquerque Brandão¹

¹ *Laboratório de Fauna e Unidades de Conservação, Universidade de Brasília,
Brasília, Distrito Federal, 70910-900, Brazil.*

² *Laboratório de Anatomia Comparada dos Vertebrados, Universidade de Brasília,
Distrito Federal, 70910-900, Brazil.*

³ *Laboratório de Comportamento Animal, Universidade de Brasília, Distrito Federal,
70910-900, Brazil.*

⁴ *Departamento de Zoologia, Centro de Biociências, Universidade Federal de
Pernambuco, Avenida Professor Moraes Rego, 1235, Cidade Universitária, 50670-901,
Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil.*

⁵ *Departamento de Vertebrados, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de
Janeiro, Quinta da Boa Vista, São Cristóvão, 20940-040, Rio de Janeiro, Rio de
Janeiro, Brazil.*

Corresponding author: citeli@outlook.com

Abstract

The extensive lack of knowledge on the morphological aspects of South American water-snakes, includes a poor understanding of phenotypic parameters, intraspecific variation, and conservation of the trans-Andean *Helicops* species, *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937. For the first time, we provide a multidisciplinary view using key features (e.g., morphology and niche modeling) to improve the taxonomic recognition of this species, as well as describing ontogenetic color changes, allometry, sexual dimorphism, and the conservation status of this poorly studied snake. First, we emended the morphological diagnosis of *H. danieli* with 23 characters and detected that juvenile tail length is positively related to allometric growth, and that juveniles differ from adults through the presence of the white nuchal collar. Females are larger than males for snout-vent length, whereas males showed proportionally longer tails and smaller head length growth. Suitable areas for *H. danieli* are restricted to the trans-Andean regions from the Magdalena drainage to the Caribbean coast, which also showed high values of anthropic impacts. Our multidisciplinary approach provided new insights into this South American water snake's morphology, intraspecific variation, and distribution.

Keywords: Daniel's Keelback, ecological niche modeling, *Helicops danieli*, linear morphometry, hemipenis morphology, intraspecific variation, ontogeny, taxonomy.

Introduction

In general, phenotypic traits are responses to evolutionary forces that drive adaptations to different niches or environmental constraints on nature, thus producing a myriad of morphologies and lifestyles (e.g., Pizzatto et al. 2007; Cicero et al. 2020). Snakes are very interesting models for evolutionary biology studies when considering their unique baupläne (Brandley et al. 2008), successful performance as predators (e.g., Pizzatto et al. 2009; Whitford et al. 2019), and their ability to use several, and sometimes extreme, habitats (e.g., Segura et al. 2007; Rasmussen et al. 2011; Fabre et al. 2016).

As with other vertebrates, the morphological patterns of snakes can be explained both by phylogenetic inertia (Westeen et al. 2020) and ecological pressures (Klaczko et al. 2016). Some phenomena are usually understood as a result of intraspecific variability (*sensu* Klingenberg 2005; Murta-Fonseca and Fernandes 2016), such as sexual and ontogenetic differences – including allometry – between certain characteristics (e.g., Shine 1994a; Murta-Fonseca and Fernandes 2016; Tamagnini et al. 2018; Loebens et al. 2019; Abegg et al. 2020). On the other hand, intraspecific variation in snakes between sexes and ontogenetic trajectory show that subtle differences are selected by behavioral and ecological pressures, such as sexual selection, prey types and habitat (or micro-habitat) use. Within these intraspecific variations there are some recurrent examples, such as greater body lengths of adult males which present male-male combat (Shine 1978; 1994b), different head shapes and sizes between males and females depending on dietary preferences (Shine 1991; Vincent et al. 2004), more variable head sizes in adult males compared to females in semi-fossorial snakes (Abegg et al. 2020), and larger female aquatic snakes (Fitch 1981; Camargo et al. 2021; García-Cobos et al. 2021).

The genus *Helicops* is comprised of 19 currently recognized species of water-snakes that are widely distributed across South America (Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2019; 2021; Nogueira et al. 2019). Despite their richness and relevance in aquatic ecosystems, there are deep gaps in the knowledge of their morphology, taxonomy, systematics, and conservation (Braz et al. 2016; Murphy et al. 2020; Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021).

Amaral (1937) described *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937 based on a single specimen from Carare (= Carare River), Colombia, and proposed that the new taxon is related to another two species, *Helicops angulatus* (Linnaeus, 1758), and *Helicops scalaris* Jan, 1865. Years later, Yuki (1994) examined two more specimens (one female and one male) and briefly described the species' hemipenis. Posteriorly, Rossman (2002a) examined 44 specimens of *H. danieli* and characterized the species as having dorsal scales in a 19/19/17 series, between 125–135 ventral scales in males and 130–141 in females, 76–86 subcaudal scales in males and 61–70 in females, a spotted dorsum and a venter with semilunar marks arranged in interrupted lines over a clear background. Currently, the species is known to be endemic to Colombia, occurring in seven country regions throughout lowlands and moderately elevated uplands, ranging from the Magdalena drainage to the Caribbean coast (Rossman 2002a).

Helicops danieli is the only congener that is endemic to Colombian coastal forests and is restricted to the trans-Andean region. This species has been poorly studied in terms of its morphological, anatomical, and conservation aspects (e.g., Braz et al. 2016; 2018; Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2019; 2021). The distribution of *H. danieli* has also been misunderstood, appearing only in Colombian species lists (Daniel 1949), and regional inventories (e.g., Medina-Rangel 2013; Ruíz 2014; Angarita-Sierra et al. 2015; Lynch 2015), with a dubious cis-Andean record in Vaupés, Colombia (Yuki and Castaño 1998). Despite the taxonomic studies developed by Yuki (1994) and Rossman

(2002a), the diagnosis of *H. danieli* is still in its initial stages, especially considering the advances made in the taxonomic gaps and geographical ranges of the genus in the last ten years (thus reducing the Linnean and Wallace shortfalls for South American water-snakes) (Kawashita-Ribeiro et al. 2013; Costa et al. 2016; Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2019, 2021).

Herein, we are interested in (i) providing new data on the taxonomy of the species and emending the *Helicops danieli* diagnosis; (ii) evaluating if the presence of the white nuchal collar varies according to age; (iii) testing for ontogenetic, and static allometry; (iv) testing if *H. danieli* has sexual dimorphism, i.e., presenting females that are larger than males, which is a common pattern of other water-snakes; and (v) revising the distribution and conservation aspects of this enigmatic species.

Material and Methods

Sampling and morphological characteristics

We examined 124 specimens of *Helicops danieli* housed in the following institutions: Instituto Butantan (IBSP), São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt (IAvH), Villa de Leyva, Boyacá, Colombia; Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad de La Salle (MLS), Bogotá, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; Museo Javeriano de Historia Natural “Lorenzo Uribe, S.J.” (MPUJ), Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Universidad de Los Andes (UNIANDES-R), Bogotá, Cundinamarca, Colombia; Universidad Industrial de Santander (UIS-R), Bucaramanga, Santander, Colombia; and Instituto de Ciencias Naturales (ICN-R), Universidad Nacional da Colombia, Bogotá, Cundinamarca, Colombia. Institutional abbreviations follow Sabaj (2020). A complete list of specimens is provided in Supplementary Table S1.¹

We followed Dowling (1951) and Moraes-da-Silva et al. (2019) for meristic and pholidosis characters: Data on the congeners with trans-Andean records compared to *Helicops danieli* was acquired from Rossman (2002b) for *H. scalaris* and Rossman (1976) for *H. pastazae* Shreve, 1934.

We followed Moraes-da-Silva et al. (2019) for the measurements of the following morphometric characters: snout-vent length (SVL), tail length (TL), eye diameter (ED), head length (HL), head width (HW), and snout length (SL) (= eye-nostril distance). We also measured eye-mouth distance (EM), from the upper tip to the lower border of the eye; head height (HH), from the medial portion of parietal scales to medial portion of chinshields (= the highest point of the head); and interocular length (IL) between the outer border of the supraocular scales. We took all measurements using a digital caliper to the nearest ± 0.01 mm, except for SVL and TL measurements, where we used a flexible ruler to the nearest millimeter. The sex of individuals with no everted hemipenis was identified through an incision at the base of the tail to detect the presence of hemipenes and retractor muscles. To compare our results about dimorphism with other species of the genus, we compiled data from the literature for the morphometric traits SVL, HL, and TL.

In order to complement the description of the hemipenis of *Helicops danieli* presented by Yuki (1994), we extracted, everted, and prepared the hemipenis of the preserved specimen AMNH 97461 following Pesantes (1994) and Zaher and Prudente (2003). The full-everted hemipenis was filled with petroleum jelly to ease the visualization of the ornamentation structures, and we followed the terminology of Zaher (1999) for the description. The organ was photographed, manually drawn, and digitized. All morphological measurements were taken using the ImageJ software, version 1.8.0 (Rasband 2016).

We evaluated the condition of the white nuchal collar (= juvenile collar) to test the putative relationship between collar conspicuity and age. For this, we defined three categories: (i) present, white-collar conspicuously visible and well defined; (ii) smooth, white-collar not clearly visible and poorly defined, and (iii) absent, white-collar absent or indistinct from the background dorsum.

Statistical analyses

It was not possible to use all the samples for all the analyses as some specimens were non-sexed, had a broken tail, or had damage to the pholidosis. Therefore, we employed different datasets for each analytical approach: (i) the dataset used for the emended diagnosis and variation comprised 61 individuals (36 females and 25 males, of all ages); (ii) the dataset used for sexual dimorphism and static allometry analyses comprised only adults (32 females and 32 males); and (iii) the dataset used for ontogenetic allometry comprised 72 adults and 41 juveniles with indefinite sex. Since the analysis of ontogenetic allometry was aimed at identifying the differences between growth rates between ages, the potential sexual differences between juveniles were not considered.

Since the range of SVL related to sexual maturity among *Helicops* species is high, i.e., between 215 mm to 387 mm in males (R. R. Scartozzoni, unpublished PhD Thesis, 2009), and this information for *H. danieli* is still unknown, we employed a combined approach based on Rossman 2002a, and our observations of the white nuchal collar, which is an associated age-specific characteristic (= ontogenetic), for age class estimation were based on SVL. Rossman 2002a determines that *H. danieli* individuals with more than 230 mm are subadults and adults, and highlights the fact that the white nuchal collar may be a juvenile characteristic, fading with increasing SVL. Therefore,

we used a Kruskal-Wallis test to estimate the effect of age on the presence of the white nuchal collar using SVL as a proxy for age class (see results section). Finally, in order to avoid the inclusion of subadults as adults in our analyses, we chose to use a conservative threshold i.e., we only considered adults the specimens with SVL of more than 250 mm.

Before performing the allometric analysis, we imputed 16 missing values (0.8% of the total data set), in order to avoid substantial data loss by removing a complete set of observations for a given specimen, using the MissForest R package (Steckhoven and Buhlmann, 2012). All morphometric variables were Log transformed to ensured data normality and homogeneity. Prior to the analysis we test for data normality and homoscedasticity. Static and ontogenetic allometry were tested using the slope test function on Smatr R package (Warton et al. 2012). We estimated the allometric coefficient for each morphological trait, using the allometric equation $y = a + x^b$, where a is the intercept and b corresponds to the slope of the linear regression of the trait value against SVL. Slopes that are not statistically different from $b = 1$ indicate isometry, while values significantly higher or lower than $b = 1$, indicate positive or negative allometry, respectively (Warton et al. 2006; De-Lima et al. 2019). We employed ANCOVA tests to identify the differences between the regression values of adults versus juveniles and the sex regression values of males versus females (dimorphism). We also estimated an index of sexual dimorphism (SSD) following Shine (1994b), which is expressed as positive when females are larger than males and negative when males are larger than females. All analyses and graphics were performed using R environment version 3.4.1 (R Core Team 2021).

Endemism and Potential distribution modeling

We recorded all the geographical coordinates of *Helicops danieli* occurrences provided by the collections. For specimens without geographic coordinates (<30% of the total dataset), we georeferenced the specimen's records through a search by collection locations using Google Earth (see Supplementary Table S1.¹). We also converted all coordinates to decimal degrees (DD) using SAD69 projection and removed all duplicates. To identify the ecoregions where *H. danieli* occurs, we used the shapefile of world Ecoregions 2017 (Dinerstein 2017). Furthermore, to identify the anthropic influence values on the distribution of *H. danieli*, we used the Human Footprint Index (HFI). This index is based on a quantitative analysis of human influence across the globe, where zero represents no impact and 50 is the maximum impact value (Venter et al. 2016a; 2016b). We extracted and calculated the median and modal values of the human footprint for each region with *H. danieli* occurrence.

To build distribution models, we downloaded 19 climate variables plus elevation, available on the WorldClim – Global Climate Data version 2.1 (www.worldclim.org), for the current period (between 1970–2000), with a resolution of 2.5 arc minutes (ca. 5x5 km) (Fick and Hijmans 2017). We then performed a principal component analysis (PCA) and Pearson's correlation to choose a subset of variables that were not correlated ($r^2 < 0.7$). These analyses were performed using vegan (Oksanen et al. 2018), dismo (Hijmans et al. 2017), and rgdal packages (Bivand et al. 2019). We selected the variables according to the ecological niche parameters of *Helicops* (Ávila et al. 2006; Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2019; 2021; Murphy et al. 2020), such as Temperature Seasonality (BIO 4), Annual Temperature Range (BIO 07), Precipitation of Wettest Month (BIO 13), Precipitation Seasonality (BIO 15), and Elevation (elev).

We used the Maximum Entropy algorithm - MaxEnt (Phillips et al. 2006) to identify the potential distribution of *Helicops danieli*, considering its high performance

and lower sensitivity to possible geographical positioning errors (Hijmans and Graham 2006; Fourcade et al. 2014; Runquist et al. 2019). Occurrences were randomly separated into 70% of all records for training and 30% for validating the model, with 100 bootstrap pseudoreplicates. In order to assess the model performance, we used the area under the ROC curve (AUC), where values closer to 1 indicate a better agreement between model outputs and the test occurrences.

Results

Taxonomy

Helicops danieli Amaral, 1937

(Figure 1A–D)

Holotype: Juvenile female, IBSP 9872, donated by Brother Daniel of the La Salle Catholic Order, collected on an unknown date, from Carare River, department of Santander, Colombia. The specimen was lost in the accidental fire that affected the Herpetological Collection “Alphonse Richard Hoge” of the Butantan Institute, on May 15th, 2010 (F. Grazziotin, pers. comm. to N. Citeli, September 7th 2020).

Emended diagnosis: *Helicops danieli* can be distinguished from all its congeners by the following unique combination of characters: (1) scale rows in series of 20-19/19/20-19-17; (2) preocular single; (3) postoculars two; (4) temporal formula 2+3; eight supralabials; (5) fourth supralabial usually contacting orbit; (6) two pairs of chinshields; (7) posterior chin-shields in contact (= without intergenial scales); (8) ten infralabials; (9) 130–145 ventral scales in females, and 125–135 in males; (10) 58–71 subcaudals in females and 73–86 in males; (11) cloacal plate always divided; (12) subcaudals divided (throughout the tail); (13) keeled dorsal scales, but weakly in paraventral region; (14) eye bigger than its distance to oral border (1.4%); (15) internasal wider than long, triangular in shape touching the rostral; (16) nasals semi-divided; (17) dorsum and tail

covered by four or five lines of circular spots; (18) dorsal spots 2–3 scales long; (19) posterior region of the head with a stripe that starts from the junction of parietal scales to first dorsal spots, forming a dark nuchal band; (20) supralabials yellowish with the first ones (1–4) brownish; (21) whitish infralabials with brownish spots in the sutures and in the region of contact with chinshields; (22) yellowish-colored venter with two semilunar marks on each ventral scale; (23) whitish gular region with some brown speckled spots.

Hemipenis redescription: hemipenis elongated, bilobed, bicalyculated and semicapitated (Figure 2); sulcate and asulcate faces surrounded by lateral spines, that increase in size from basal region toward lobe apices; sulcate face shows 44 spines, arranged on each half of hemipenial body; intrasulcular region nude; asulcate face of hemipenial body nude, except for two longitudinal rows of lateralized spines, each composed of five to six spines; each lobe surrounded by seven to nine spiral rows of small papillae, and the area between the lobes with a longitudinal row of papillae; the total length of the organ is 22 mm, and the width, measured at hemipenial body, and before capitation, is 12.34 mm; the mean length of the lobes is 5.5 mm, corresponding to 25% of the total length of the organ; the mean width of the lobes, measured in the middle of the structure, is 4.6 mm; *sulcus spermaticus* bifurcates at the first third of hemipenial body (3 mm from the base of the organ) and centrifugally oriented starting from the base of the organ, to median portion of sulcate face, lateral of the lobes and top of the lobes.

Variation: scale rows usually in 19/19/17 series ($n = 48$, about 78.7%), 19/19/19 ($n = 11$; 18%), and rarely 20/19/17 ($n = 1$) or 20/19/20 ($n = 1$; less than 0.02%); single preocular ($n = 60$), rarely two ($n = 1$); two postoculars ($n = 61$); temporal formula usually 2+3 ($n = 42$), 2+2 ($n = 15$), and rarely 1+2 ($n = 2$); supralabials usually eight (n

271 = 56) and rarely nine ($n = 5$); fourth supralabial usually contacting orbit ($n = 57$), rarely
272 fourth and fifth ($n = 2$) or only the fifth ($n = 2$); two pairs of chin-shields ($n = 61$);
273 infralabials usually ten ($n = 50$), 11 ($n = 8$), and rarely nine ($n = 1$); 1st–5th infralabials
274 contacting anterior pair of chinshields ($n = 57$) or rarely 1st–4th ($n = 3$); 6th–7th
275 infralabials contacting posterior pair of chinshields ($n = 57$) or rarely 5th–6th ($n = 3$);
276 posterior chinshields in medial contact (= without intergenial scales) ($n = 61$); 130–145
277 ventral scales in females (137.61 ± 3.08 , $n = 36$), and 125–135 (131.4 ± 3.34 , $n = 25$) in
278 males; 58–71 subcaudals in females (64.52 ± 2.53 , $n = 24$) and 73–86 (77.05 ± 5.26 , $n =$
279 18) in males; cloacal plate always divided ($n = 61$); subcaudals divided (throughout the
280 tail) ($n = 61$); total length of the smallest (juvenile) and the largest specimen 217 mm
281 and 909 mm (male and female, respectively); eye is 1.4% bigger than its distance to oral
282 border (= eye-mouth distance) ($n = 55$), rarely smaller ($n = 6$); internasal wider than
283 long, triangular in shape ($n = 60$), touching the rostral ($n = 51$), or rarely separated by
284 nasals ($n = 9$); loreal as long as wide ($n = 61$); nasals semi-divided ($n = 61$); dorsum and
285 tail covered by four lines of circular spots ($n = 40$), sometimes five when a vertebral
286 line of spots is present ($n = 21$); dorsal spots 2–3 scales long ($n = 61$); posterior region
287 of the head with a stripe (starting from the junction of the parietal scales) and extends to
288 first dorsal spots, forming a dark nuchal band ($n = 60$), a single specimen showed no
289 stripe; white nuchal band evident in juveniles and almost absent or indistinct in adults
290 (see ontogenetic and static allometry section); supralabials yellowish with the first (1–4)
291 brownish ($n = 42$), or with speckled brownish spots on all scales ($n = 19$); whitish
292 infralabials with brownish spots in the sutures and in the encounter with chinshields (n
293 = 56), or with speckled brownish spots on all scales ($n = 5$); yellowish venter (anecdotal
294 appointment, in life also with reddish tones) with pairs ($n = 55$) or three ($n = 6$)
295 semilunar marks on each ventral scale, the third line usually starts from the second third

of the body, and rarely forms two interconnected brownish lines across whole venter ($n = 1$); whitish gular region with some brown speckled spots ($n = 61$) that may form a stripe connected to the first ventral semilunar spots ($n = 39$), and to the lateral spots of the head, forming a “sickle-shaped” spot around the mouth ($n = 30$).

Comparisons: Only two other *Helicops* species have trans-Andean records, *H. pastazae*, which is found in the Andean foothills, with one record in the Magdalena River Valley of Colombia (Rossman 1976) and other records from the southern portion of Maracaibo Lake (Rossman 1976; Markezich and Rossman 1992); and *H. scalaris* restricted to northwestern Venezuela and adjacent to Colombia in drainages into Maracaibo Lake Basin (Rossman 2002b). In general, *H. danieli* differs from all its congeners due to the combination of the spotted dorsum with two lines of semilunar marks on the venter (Rossman 2002a). Specifically, *H. danieli* differs from *H. pastazae* and *H. scalaris* by having scales rows usually in 19/19/17 (vs. 23/23–25/19 in *H. pastazae* and 21–25/19–21/16–19 in *H. scalaris*), 58–71 subcaudals in females (vs. 72–97 in *H. pastazae* and 67–81 in *H. scalaris*) and in 73–86 in males (vs. 93–117 in *H. pastazae* and 83–95 in *H. scalaris*); venter with two lines of semilunar spots (vs. venter with dark crossbands or alternating checkered pattern in *H. pastazae* and venter with black lateral or medial stripes, sometimes with interconnected checkered pattern in *H. scalaris*). Furthermore, *H. danieli* differs from *H. pastazae* by having two pairs of chinshields in contact (intergenial scales present in *H. pastazae*), and from *H. scalaris* as females have 130–145 ventrals and males have 125–135 ventrals (vs. 113–125 in females and 110–119 ventrals in males of *H. scalaris*).

Remarks: We found a *Helicops danieli* female (IAvH 84, collected on November 10, 1970) showing six well-developed embryos in the oviduct, with no sign of eggshell formation, representing the first record of litter size and thus confirming viviparity in

this species. The average size of the largest coiled embryo was 31.24 mm in diameter. The measurements of the female were SVL 730 mm, tail length 179 mm, head length 35.24 mm, head height 15.57 mm, head width 17.25 mm, interocular length 6.96 mm, eye–mouth distance 3.08 mm, and snout length 8.04 mm.

Ontogenetic and static allometry

We identified significant differences between the presence and absence of a white nuchal collar between age classes ($X^2 = 68.068$, $P < 0.001$, $n = 106$), where 90% of juveniles showed a clear white nuchal collar of which 10% showed a smooth band, and only 4% of adults showed a white nuchal collar, of which 36% had smooth bands, and 60% completely lacked a collar. The clear white nuchal collar was found to be more related to younger snakes (less than 250 mm) Supplementary Figure 1 S2¹.

We found that tail length was the only trait with positive allometry in juveniles. Head length, interocular length, and eye diameter showed negative allometry for both stages. Snout length only showed negative allometry in adults. Tail length, head length, head width, and eye–mouth distance showed significant differences in allometric coefficients between ages (see Table 1 and Figure 3A–H). Despite isometric growth, head height varied according to ontogenetic stage, showing different isometric growth trajectories for juveniles and adults (Table 1).

Males and females showed the same allometric pattern, with negative allometry for interocular length, snout length, eye diameter, and head length. The latter also showed differences in the allometric coefficient between sexes (see Table 2 and Figure 4A–H).

Dimorphism in traits

The largest female SVL was 730 mm and the largest male SVL was 490 mm. Females were generally larger than males, showing larger SVL, head length, head width, head height, interocular length, and eye-mouth distance (Table 2). However, tail length was the only dimorphic trait when using SVL as a covariate ($F=40.452$, $P= <0.001$, $n = 64$), and head length was equal for males and females also when using SVL as a covariate (Table 2 and see Table 3 for data compilation on *Helicops* spp.). The SSD for *H. danieli* was 0.32.

Distribution and Conservation

We obtained 43 unique records of *Helicops danieli* distributed throughout five Colombian ecoregions, with 65% of the records located in Magdalena-Urabá moist forests, 16% in Sinú Valley dry forests, 7% in Chocó-Darién moist forests, 7% in Magdalena Valley montane forests, and 5% in Amazon-Orinoco-Southern Caribbean mangroves (Figure 5A). This species occurs from sea level up to 1083 meters above sea level (m a.s.l.).

Our *Helicops danieli* samples were collected between the years 1950–2018 and distributed across the following departments: Antioquia, Atlántico, Bolívar, Boyacá, Caldas, Cesar, Chocó, Córdoba, Magdalena, Santander, and Sucre. The highest HFP values were found in the departments Atlántico (median 14, mode 14), Caldas (median 10.7, mode 11), Sucre (median 10.3, mode 17), and Cordoba (median 10.27, mode 13) (Figure 5B) regions, whereas the less impacted departments were Magdalena (median 9.6, mode 15), Cesar (median 9.5, mode 16), Santander (median 8.2, mode 10), Antioquia (median 7.4, mode 4), Boyacá (median 7.3, mode 3), Bolívar (median 6.6, mode 4), and Chocó (median 3.7, mode 4).

The generated distribution model showed high statistical performance, with a higher average AUC = 0.963, ± 0.010 . The area of suitability for the occurrence of

Helicops danieli was found to be restricted to lowlands and moderate highlands in northwestern Colombia, in the surroundings of the Andes Mountain ranges, with two well-demarcated regions in the ecoregion Magdalena-Urabá moist forests and other portions around the Lake Maracaibo, in Venezuela (Figure 5C). The variables with the greatest contribution to the model were temperature seasonality (56% of contribution) and elevation (27.4%), followed by precipitation seasonality (10.8%), annual temperature range (3.3 %), and precipitation of the wettest month (2.5%).

Discussion

In this study we used a multidisciplinary approach to improve the taxonomic, morphological, distribution, and conservation efforts for a poorly understood aquatic snake, restricted to a small biogeographical region of South America. We update the species' diagnosis, investigate two types of growth rates (i.e., ontogenetic and static allometry), and report on sexual dimorphism in *Helicops danieli*. We also reassessed this species' distribution, detected anthropic impacts on its range, and indicate suitable areas within its distribution range.

Our data confirmed most of the morphological variation obtained by Rossman (2002a), with the exception of greater amplitude of variation for ventrals in females [130–145 vs. 130–141 in Rossman (2002a)] and subcaudals in females (58–71 vs. 61–70) and males (73–86 vs. 76–86). *Helicops danieli* is part of the *Helicops* species cluster, which has 19 dorsal scale rows in the middle of the body (*sensu* Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021). This group also includes *H. leopardinus* (Schlegel, 1837) and *H. angulatus* complexes (both widely distributed in South America), *H. carinicaudus* (Wied-Neuwied, 1824) (southeastern and southern Brazil), *H. infrataeniatus* Jan, 1865

(southern and central-western Brazil), *H. gomesi* Amaral, 1921, and *H. modestus* Günther, 1861 (central-western Brazil), *H. phantasma* Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021 (Tocantins-Araguaia Basin), and *H. tapajonicus* Frota, 2005 (Amazonia Basin) (Nogueira et al. 2019; Murphy et al. 2020; Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021). However, with the exception of the *H. leopardinus* complex (*sensu* Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021), the spotted dorsal pattern of *H. danieli* mainly appears in *Helicops* species that are restricted to the northern portion of South America, i.e., *H. hagmanni* Roux, 1910, *H. pastazae*, *H. petersi* Rossman, 1976, *H. polylepis* Günther, 1861, and *H. yacu* Rossman and Dixon, 1975 (*sensu* Moraes-da-Silva et al. 2021). The black semilunar ventral marks of *H. danieli* are shared with *H. carinicaudus*, *H. nentur* Costa et al. 2016 (both from southeastern Brazil), and *H. trivitattus* (Gray, 1849) (Amazon and Tocantins-Araguaia Basins) (Rossman 2010; Nogueira et al. 2019; Costa et al. 2016).

The presence of a white nuchal collar in *Helicops danieli* juveniles may play a disruptive role against visually oriented predators, depending on the background of the niches exploited by developing individuals (Stevens et al. 2006; Stevens 2007). Other color traits act more strongly on small individuals, as smaller rings increase the effect of blurring with the background for visually oriented predators (Pough 1976). *Helicops danieli* and many other aquatic snakes have bright aposematic colors that are concentrated on the belly (Batista et al. 2020), these characteristics likely act as a defense mechanism against predation by carnivorous fishes in aquatic environments (Batista et al. 2020). Thus, we hypothesize that the presence of the white nuchal collar in *H. danieli*, together with bright ventral coloration, may be driven by selective forces, thereby reinforcing aposematic/disruptive non-conflicting strategies (see Titcomb et al. 2014) against terrestrial and visually oriented aquatic predators.

The sexual dimorphism detected in *Helicops danieli*, where females are larger than males, is widely documented in snakes, and is common in aquatic species (Shine 1978; Shine 1994b; Fitch 1981, see Table 3 for *Helicops* spp.). Larger female body size is likely not only related to litter size and fat accumulation for embryo development, but also for the use of different niche parameters (Shine 1986, 1994a). Unlike many other studies with aquatic snakes (e.g., Shine 1986; Houston and Shine 1993; Shine et al. 2002) and with *Helicops* (Table 3), we did not detect females with proportionally larger heads than males. However, our results are similar to those found for the other three *Helicops* species, *H. angulatus* (which likely represents a species complex according to Murphy et al. 2020), *H. hagmanni*, and *H. carinicaudus* (Table 3). Considering that this trait may be triggered by ecological pressures related to diet and habitat use (Shine 1986; Camilleri and Shine 1990), or by phylogenetic conservatism (Westeen et al. 2020), we believe that filling in gaps in the knowledge of the natural history of *Helicops* spp. through a phylogenetic approach, can clarify the morphological evolution of the group. The proportionally larger tails in males may be due to three classic and non-excluding hypotheses: (i) to accommodate the hemipenis “The Morphological Constraint Hypothesis” (e.g., King 1989; Shine, et al. 1998, Sivan et al. 2020), (ii) females have relatively shorter tails as a secondary result of natural selection for increased reproductive capacity “The Female Reproductive Output Hypothesis” or (iii) an increased reproductive ability in males (sexual selection) “The Mating Ability Hypothesis” (King 1989; Shine et al. 1999). However, we did not detect significant differences in the allometric coefficient between adults from both sexes for tail length, suggesting that males are born with a proportionally larger tail, or acquire this trait during the juvenile stage, thus both hypotheses should be tested in the future with neonates.

Although head height showed isometric growth in adults and juveniles, the differences in the growth coefficient of this trait (Table 1) and for the allometric coefficient of head length and head width between ages was expected, according to the proportional decrease in relative brain size during development (Gould 1966; Phillips and Shine 2006).

Paradoxically, the area with the highest concentration of *Helicops danieli* records is also one of the most threatened regions in South America due to the gold mining industry, in addition to other factors, such as deforestation and river pollution as a result of agricultural practices (Sánchez-Cuervo et al. 2012; Alvarez-Berrios and Aide 2015). Aquatic snakes can act as bioindicators along River Basins (Haskins et al. 2020), as they are susceptible to wastewater and siltation. Conservation strategies for *H. danieli* should be especially directed toward the Atlantic Caribbean region, which is also the region with the highest HFP values. As our records were associated with rivers, we suggest that *H. danieli* populations should be monitored, and that physiological studies on this species should be performed, with the aim of contributing to the management of water and bioaccumulation (Fontenot et al. 2000; Haskins et al. 2020).

Finally, we found that *Helicops danieli* occurrence in the Amazon Basin region was not suitable, even though one record (ICN-R 242) in Mitú, Vaupés, Colombia was reported by Yuki and Castaño (1998). Rossman (2002a) confirmed the identification of this specimen as *H. danieli* and commented that is probably wrong in origin. However, we cannot simply discredit the possibility of the correct origin of the specimen, based on the possibility of human transportation from trans-Andean locations or even the chance that this specimen may represent an unknown species to science with a general phenotype similar to *H. danieli*. Unfortunately, we did not find the specimen in the ICN-R collection, but all our records are from Caribbean drainages and at most ca.1000

m above sea level. We believe that the Colombian Andes (Cordillera Oriental and the Sierra de Perijá) act as important physical barriers to this species, which may also explain why we identified a high suitability for *H. danieli* around Lake Maracaibo Basin, in association with the absence of records there. A similar endemism pattern is found in others Hydropsini snakes, such as *H. scalaris* and *Pseudoreryx relictualis* Schargel et al. 2007, which are restricted to Lake Maracaibo Basin (Rossman 2002b; Schargel et al. 2007).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Andrew Crawford (UNIANDES-R), Andrés Acosta-Galvis (IAvH), José Espitia (MLS), Hussam Zaher (MZUSP), Julio Mario Hoyos (MPUJ), Martha Calderón and Guido Medina-Rangel (ICN-R), and Martha Patricia Ramírez-Pinilla (UIS) for access to specimens under their care. We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES (grants #88882.384453/2019-01 for NC and #88882.347234/2019-01 for MC), Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal – FAPDF (grant #0193-00001251/2019-73 for NC), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq (grants #302611/2018-5 and #309560/2018-7 for PP; #313622/2018-3 and #432506/2018-7 for PMSN; and # 306644/2020-7-PQ for RAB), and the Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (# E-26/202.737/2018 and # E-26/211.154/2019 for PP) for provide support for the authors.

References

- 493 Abegg, A.D., Passos, P., Mario-da-Rosa, C., dos Santos Azevedo, W., Malta-Borges,
494 L., and de Moura Bubadu, J. 2020. Sexual dimorphism, ontogeny and static allometry
495 of a semi-fossorial snake (genus *Atractus*). Zool. Anz. **287**: 95 –104.
496 doi:10.1016/j.jcz.2020.05.008
- 497 Alvarez-Beros, N.L., and Aide, T.M. 2015. Global demand for gold is another threat
498 for tropical forests. Environ. Res. Lett. **10**(1): 014006. doi:10.1088/1748-
499 9326/10/1/014006
- 500 Amaral, A. 1921 Contribuio para o conhecimento dos ofidios do Brazil. Parte I.
501 Quatro novas espcies de serpentes brasileiras. Mem. Inst. Butantan. **1**: 1–37. doi:
502 10.5962/bhl.title.10927
- 503 Amaral, A.D. 1937. Estudo sobre ophidios neotropicos 34. Novas notas sobre a fauna
504 da Colombia e descricao de uma especie nova de Colubrideo aglypho. Mem. Inst.
505 Butantan. **11**(1937): 232–240.
506 <https://bibliotecadigital.butantan.gov.br/arquivos/86/PDF/21.pdf>
- 507 Angarita-M, O., Montes-Correa, A.C., and Renjifo, J.M. 2015. Amphibians and reptiles
508 of an agroforestry system in the Colombian Caribbean. Amphib. Reptile Conserv. **8**(1):
509 33–52.
- 510 vila, R.W., Ferreira, V.L. and Arruda, J.A.O. 2006. Natural history of the South
511 American water snake *Helicops leopardinus* (Colubridae: Hydropsini) in the Pantanal,
512 Central Brazil. J. Herpetol. **40**(2): 274–279. www.jstor.org/stable/4092990.
- 513 Batista, S.F., Scartozzoni, R.R., and Marques, O.A. 2020. Food habits and substrate use
514 by the South American xenodontine snake *Erythrolamprus frenatus*, with comments on
515 its brightly coloured venter. Herpetol. Notes. **13**: 405–409.
516 <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/view/59442>

- 517 Bivand, R.; Keitt, T. and Rowlingson, B. 2019. rgdal: bindings for the 'geospatial' data
518 abstraction library. R package version 1.4-8. [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgdal)
519 [project.org/package=rgdal](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgdal).
- 520 Brandley, M.C., Huelsenbeck, J.P., and Wiens, J.J. 2008. Rates and patterns in the
521 evolution of snake-like body form in squamate reptiles: evidence for repeated re-
522 evolution of lost digits and long-term persistence of intermediate body forms.
523 *Evolution*. **62**(8): 2042–2064. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00430.x.
- 524 Braz, H.B., Almeida-Santos, S.M., Murphy, C.R., and Thompson, M.B. 2018. Uterine
525 and eggshell modifications associated with the evolution of viviparity in South
526 American water snakes (*Helicops* spp.). *J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol.* 330(3): 165–
527 180. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22800.
- 528 Braz, H.B., Scartozzoni, R.R., and Almeida-Santos, S.M. 2016. Reproductive modes of
529 the South American water snakes: a study system for the evolution of viviparity in
530 squamate reptiles. *Zool. Anz.* **263**: 33–44. doi:10.1016/j.jcz.2016.04.003
- 531 Camargo, I.C.M., Veras, J.C.P., Ribeiro, S., Kawashita-Ribeiro, R.A., de Fraga, R.,
532 Junior, A.P.S. 2021. Sexual dimorphism in the South American water snake *Helicops*
533 *polylepis* (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). *Phyllomedusa*. **20**(1). 15–25.
534 <http://dx.doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v20i1p15-25>.
- 535 Camilleri, C., and Shine, R. 1990. Sexual dimorphism and dietary divergence:
536 differences in trophic morphology between male and female snakes. *Copeia*. **1990**. 649–
537 658. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1446430>
- 538 Cicero C., Mason N.A, Benedict L., and Rising J.D. 2020. Behavioral, morphological,
539 and ecological trait evolution in two clades of New World Sparrows (*Aimophila* and
540 *Peucaea*, Passerellidae) *PeerJ*. **8**. e9249. doi: 10.7717/peerj.9249.

- 541 Costa, H.C., Santana, D.J., Leal, F., Koroiva, R., and Garcia, P.C. 2016. A new species
542 of *Helicops* (Serpentes: Dipsadidae: Hydropsini) from southeastern Brazil.
543 *Herpetologica*. **72**(2). 157–166. doi:10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-15-00059.
- 544 Daniel, H. 1949. Las serpientes en Colombia. *Rev. Fac. Nac. Agron. Medellin*. **10**(36):
545 301–333. <https://revistas.unal.edu.co/index.php/refame/article/view/29830>.
- 546 Defler T. 2019 The Genesis of the Modern Amazon River Basin and Andean Uplift and
547 Their Roles in Mammalian Diversification. *In* History of Terrestrial Mammals in South
548 America. Topics in Geobiology, vol 42. Springer, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-
549 98449-0_12.
- 550 De-Lima, A.K.S., Paschoaletto, I.P., Pinho, L.D.O., Benmamman, P., and Klaczko, J.
551 2019. Are hemipenial traits under sexual selection in *Tropidurus* lizards? Hemipenial
552 development, male and female genital morphology, allometry and coevolution in
553 *Tropidurus torquatus* (Squamata: Tropiduridae). *PloS One*. **14**(7): e0219053. doi:
554 10.1371/journal.pone.0219053.
- 555 Dinerstein E., Olson D., Joshi A., Vynne C., Burgess N.D., Wikramanayake E., et al.
556 2017. An ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *Bioscience*.
557 **67**(6). 534–545. doi:10.1093/biosci/bix014.
- 558 Dowling, H.G. 1951 A proposed standard system of counting ventral in snakes. *British*
559 *Journal of Herpetology*. **1**. 97–99. doi:10.2307/1437542.
- 560 Fabre, A. C., Bickford, D., Segall, M., and Herrel, A. 2016. The impact of diet, habitat
561 use, and behaviour on head shape evolution in homalopsid snakes. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*
562 *Lond.* **118**(3). 634–647. doi: 10.1111/bij.12753.

- 563 Fernandes, A.M., Wink, M., Sardelli, C.H., and Aleixo, A. 2014. Multiple speciation
564 across the Andes and throughout Amazonia: the case of the spot-backed antbird species
565 complex (*Hylophylax naevius*/*Hylophylax naevoides*). J. Biogeogr. **41**(6). 1094–1104.
566 doi:10.1111/jbi.12277.
- 567 Fick, S.E., and Hijmans, R.J. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate
568 surfaces for global land areas. Int J. Climatol. **37**. 4302–4315. doi:10.1002/joc.5086.
- 569 Fitch, H.S. 1981. Sexual size differences in reptiles. Misc. Publ. Univ. Kans. Mus. Nat.
570 Hist. **70**. 1–72. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.16228>
- 571 Fontenot, L.W., Noblet, G.P., Akins, J.M., Stephens, M.D., and Cobb, G.P. 2000.
572 Bioaccumulation of polychlorinated biphenyls in ranid frogs and northern water snakes
573 from a hazardous waste site and a contaminated watershed. Chemosphere. **40**(8).
574 803809. doi: 10.1016/s0045-6535(99)00329-x.
- 575 Fourcade, Y., Engler, J.O., Rödder, D., and Secondi, J. 2014. Mapping species
576 distributions with MAXENT using a geographically biased sample of presence data: a
577 performance assessment of methods for correcting sampling bias. PloS One. **9**(5).
578 e97122. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097122.
- 579 Frota, J.G. 2005. Nova espécie de *Helicops* Wagler, 1830 (Serpentes, Colubridae) do
580 Rio Tapajós, Amazônia, Brasil. Phyllomedusa. **4**. 61–68. doi:10.11606/issn.2316-
581 9079.v4i1p61-67.
- 582 García-Cobos, D., Crawford, A.J., and Ramírez-Pinilla, M.P. 2021. Reproductive
583 phenology in a Neotropical aquatic snake shows marked seasonality influenced by
584 rainfall patterns. J. Nat. Hist. **54**(29-30): 1845–1862.
585 doi:10.1080/00222933.2020.1829724.

- 586 Gartner, G.E., Hicks, J.W., Manzani, P.R., Andrade, D.V., Abe, A.S., Wang, T., Secor,
587 S.M. and Garland Jr, T. 2010. Phylogeny, ecology, and heart position in snakes.
588 *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **83**(1): 43–54. doi:10.1086/648509
- 589 Gould, S.J. 1966. Allometry and size in ontogeny and phylogeny. *Biol. Rev. Camb.*
590 *Philos. Soc.* **41**(4): 587–640. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185x.1966.tb01624.x.
- 591 Gray, J.E. 1849. Catalogue of the Specimens of Snakes in the Collection British
592 Museum. Trustees of the British Museum, London. doi:10.5962/bhl.title.14873.
- 593 Günther, A. 1861 On the ophidian genus *Helicops*. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* **3**(7). 425–428.
594 <https://biostor.org/reference/50238>
- 595 Haskins, D.L., Brown, M.K., Bringolf, R.B., and Tuberville, T.D. 2020. Brown
596 watersnakes (*Nerodia taxispilota*) as bioindicators of mercury contamination in a
597 riverine system. *Sci. Total. Environ.* **755**(2): 142545.
598 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142545.
- 599 Hijmans, R.J., and Graham, C.H. 2006. The ability of climate envelope models to
600 predict the effect of climate change on species distributions. *Glob. Change Biol.* **12**(12):
601 2272–2281. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01256.x.
- 602 Hijmans, R.J., Phillips, S.; Leathwick, J. and Elith, J. 2017. dismo: species distribution
603 modeling. R package version 1.1-4. Available from [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dismo)
604 [project.org/package=dismo](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dismo).
- 605 Houston, D., and Shine, R.1993. Sexual dimorphism and niche divergence: feeding
606 habits of the Arafura filesnake. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **62**(4): 737–748. doi:10.2307/5393.

- 607 Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F. P., Ter Steege, H., Bermudez, M. A., Mora, A., Sevink, J. et
608 al. 2010. Amazonia through time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution,
609 and biodiversity. *Science*. **330**(6006): 927–931. doi:10.1126/science.1194585.
- 610 Kawashita-Ribeiro, R. A., Ávila, R.W., and Morais, D.H. (2013). A new snake of the
611 genus *Helicops* Wagler, 1830 (Dipsadidae, Xenodontinae) from Brazil. *Herpetologica*.
612 **69**(1): 80–90. doi:10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-12-00013.
- 613 King, R.B. 1989. Sexual dimorphism in snake tail length: sexual selection, natural
614 selection, or morphological constraint?. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* **38**(2): 133–154. doi:
615 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1989.tb01570.x.
- 616 Klaczko, J., Sherratt, E., and Setz, E.Z. 2016. Are diet preferences associated to skulls
617 shape diversification in xenodontine snakes? *PloS One*. **11**(2): e0148375.
618 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148375.
- 619 Klingenberg, C.P. 2005. Developmental constraints, modules, and evolvability. *In*:
620 *Variation: A Central Concept in Biology*: 219–247 pp (B. Hallgrímsson and B.K. Hall,
621 eds). Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, USA.
- 622 [https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.714.1654&rep=rep1&type=](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.714.1654&rep=rep1&type=pdf)
623 [pdf](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.714.1654&rep=rep1&type=pdf)
- 624 Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema naturæ per regna tria naturæ, secundum classes, ordines,*
625 *genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio*
626 *decima, reformata. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ. 10th Edition: 824 pp.*
627 doi:10.5962/bhl.title.542.

- 628 Loebens, L., Hendges, C.D., Almeida-Santos, S.M., and Cechin, S.Z. 2019.
629 Morphological variation and sexual dimorphism in two sympatric dipsadine snakes
630 from Southern Brazil. *Zool. Anz.* **280**: 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2019.03.004>.
- 631 Lynch, J.D. 2015. The role of plantations of the african palm (*Elaeis guineensis* JacQ.)
632 in the conservation of snakes in Colombia. *Caldasia*. **37**(1): 169–182. doi:
633 doi.org/10.15446/caldasia.v37n1.50992.
- 634 Maia, D.C., da Silva, A.G. Travaglia-Cardoso, S. R. 2015. *Helicops modestus* (Ophidia:
635 Dipsadidae): reproducción. *Acta Zool. Mex. (n. s.)*. **31**(2): 333–334.
636 <https://doi.org/10.21829/azm.2015.312995>
- 637 Markezich, A.L., and Rossman, D.A. 1992. Geographic distribution. *Helicops pastazae*.
638 *Herpetol. Rev.* **23**(4):124.
- 639 Medina-Rangel, G.F. 2013. Seasonal change in the use of resources of the Reptilian
640 assemblages in the Zapatosa's Wetland Complex, Departamento del Cesar (Colombia).
641 *Caldasia*. **35**(1): 103–122. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/90007901>.
- 642 Moraes-da-Silva, A., Amaro, R.C., Nunes, P.M.S., Rodrigues, M.T., and Curcio, F.F.
643 2021. Long known, brand new, and possibly threatened: a new species of watersnake of
644 the genus *Helicops* Wagler, 1828 (Serpentes; Xenodontinae) from the Tocantins-
645 Araguaia River Basin, Brazil. *Zootaxa*. **4903**(2): 217–241.
646 doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4903.2.3.
- 647 Moraes-da-Silva, A., Amaro, R.C., Nunes, P.M.S., Strüssmann, C., Teixeira, M.J.,
648 Andrade, A.J., and Curcio, F.F. 2019. Chance, luck and a fortunate finding: a new
649 species of watersnake of the genus *Helicops* Wagler, 1828 (Serpentes: Xenodontinae),
650 from the Brazilian Pantanal wetlands. *Zootaxa*. **4651**(3): 445–470.
651 doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4651.3.3.

- 652 Murta-Fonseca, R.A., Fernandes, D.S., 2016. The skull of *Hydrodynastes gigas*
653 (Dumeril, Bibron and Dumeril, 1854) (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) as a model of snake
654 ontogenetic allometry inferred by geometric morphometrics. *Zoomorphology*. **135**(2):
655 233e241. 10.1007/s00435-015-0297-0.
- 656 Murphy, J.C., Muñoz-Mérida, A., Auguste, R.J., Lasso-Alcala, O., Rivas, G.A., and
657 Jowers, M.J. 2020. Evidence for cryptic diversity in the Neotropical water snake,
658 *Helicops angulatus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Dipsadidae, Hydropsini), with comments on its
659 ecology, facultative reproductive mode, and conservation. *Amphib. Reptile Conserv.*
660 **14**(3): 138–155.
- 661 Nogueira, C.C., Argôlo, A.S.J., Arzamendia, V., Azevedo, J.A., Barbo, F.E., Bérnils, et
662 al. 2019. Atlas of Brazilian snakes: Verified point-locality maps to mitigate the
663 Wallacean shortfall in a megadiverse snake fauna. *South Am. J. Herpetol.* **14**(Special
664 Issue 1): 1–274. 10.2994/SAJH-D-19-00120.1.
- 665 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D. et al.
666 2018. *vegan*: community ecology package. R package version 2.5-3. Available from
667 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>.
- 668 Pesantes, O.S. 1994. A method for preparing the hemipenis of preserved snakes. *J.*
669 *Herpetol.* **28**(1): 93–95. doi:10.2307/1564686.
- 670 Pough, F.H. 1976. Multiple cryptic effects of crossbanded and ringed patterns of
671 snakes. *Copeia*. **1976**(4): 834–836. doi:10.2307/1443481.
- 672 Phillips, B.L. and Shine, R. 2006. Allometry and selection in a novel predator-prey
673 system: Australian snakes and the invading cane toad. *Oikos*. **112**(1): 122–130. doi:
674 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.13795.x.

- 675 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., and Schapire, R.E. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of
676 species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Model.* **190**(3-4): 231–259.
677 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026.
- 678 Pizzatto, L., Almeida-Santos, S.M., and Shine, R. 2007. Life-history adaptations to
679 arboreality in snakes. *Ecology*. **88**(2): 359–366. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3299573.v1.
- 680 Pizzatto, L., Marques, O., and Facure, K. 2009. Food habits of Brazilian boid snakes:
681 overview and new data, with special reference to *Corallus hortulanus*. *Amphibia-*
682 *Reptilia*. **30**(4): 533–544. doi:10.1163/156853809789647121.
- 683 Racca, L., Villa, A., Wencker, L.C., Camaiti, M., Blain, H.A., and Delfino, M. 2020.
684 Skull osteology and osteological phylogeny of the Western whip snake *Hierophis*
685 *viridiflavus* (Squamata, Colubridae). *J. of Morphol.* **281**(7): 808–833.
686 doi:10.1002/jmor.21148.
- 687 Rasmussen, A.R., Murphy, J.C., Ompi, M., Gibbons, J.W., and Uetz, P. 2011. Marine
688 reptiles. *PLoS One*. **6**(11): e27373. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027373.
- 689 Rasband, W.S. 2016. ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland,
690 USA.
- 691 R Core Team. 2021. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R
692 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from [https://www.R-](https://www.R-project.org/)
693 [project.org/](https://www.R-project.org/).
- 694 Rossman, D.A. 1976. Revision of the South American colubrid snakes of the *Helicops*
695 *pastazae* complex. *Occ. Pap. Mus. Sci. LSU*. **50**: 1-15.
696 <https://www.museum.lsu.edu/OccPap/50.pdf>

- 697 Rossman, D.A. 2002a. Morphological variation in the endemic Colombian water snake,
698 *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937 (Serpentes: Xenodontidae). Rev. Acad. Colomb. Cienc.
699 Exact. Fis. Nat. **26**(101): 589–594.
- 700 Rossman, D. 2002b. Variation in the xenodontid water snake *Helicops scalaris* Jan, and
701 the status of *H. hogei* Lancini. Occ. Pap. Mus. Sci. LSU **(78)**: 1–18.
- 702 Rossman, D.A.; Dixon, J.R. 1975. A new colubrid snake of the genus *Helicops* from
703 Peru. Herpetologica **31**(4): 412–414. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3891529>.
- 704 Rossman, D.A. 2010. Morphological variation in the striped water snake *Helicops*
705 *trivittatus* (Gray, 1849)(Reptilia: Serpentes: Xenodontidae) of eastern Amazonia. Bol.
706 Mus. Para. Emílio Goeldi, sér. Ciências Naturais. **5**(3): 271–278.
707 <https://boletimcn.museu-goeldi.br/bcnaturais/article/view/630>
- 708 Roux, J.1910. Eine neue *Helicops*-Art aus Brasilien. Zool. Anz. **36**: 439–440.
709 <http://biostor.org/reference/49137>
- 710 Ruíz, O. 2014. Ofidios del corregimiento de San Rafael de Pirú, Valencia, Córdoba-
711 Colombia. Rev. Colombiana Cienc. Anim. – Recia. **6**: 3–13.
712 doi:10.24188/recia.v6.n1.2014.196.
- 713 Runquist, R.D.B., Lake, T., Tiffin, P., and Moeller, D.A. 2019. Species distribution
714 models throughout the invasion history of Palmer amaranth predict regions at risk of
715 future invasion and reveal challenges with modeling rapidly shifting geographic ranges.
716 Sci. Rep. **9**(2426): 1–12. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-38054-9.
- 717 Sabaj, M. 2020. Codes for natural history collections in ichthyology and herpetology.
718 Copeia, **108**(3): 593–669. doi:10.1643/ASIHCODONS2020.

- 719 Sánchez-Cuervo, A.M., Aide, T.M., Clark, M.L., and Etter, A. 2012. Land cover change
720 in Colombia: surprising forest recovery trends between 2001 and 2010. *PloS One*. 7(8):
721 e43943. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043943.
- 722 Schargel, W.E., Rivas Fuenmayor, G., Barros, T.R., Péfaur, J.E., and Navarrete, L.F.
723 2007. A new aquatic snake (Colubridae: *Pseudoeryx*) from the lake Maracaibo basin,
724 northwestern Venezuela: a relic of the past course of the Orinoco river. *Herpetologica*.
725 **63**(2): 236–244. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4497955>.
- 726 Schlegel, H. (1837) *Essai sur la Physionomie des Serpens*. Vol. 2. Text & Atlas. M.H.
727 Schonekat, Amsterdam and J. Kips, J. Hz. et W.P. Van Stockum, La Haye, 606 pp.
728 doi:10.5962/bhl.title.4273.
- 729 Segura, C., Feriche, M., Pleguezuelos, J.M., and Santos, X. 2007. Specialist and
730 generalist species in habitat use: implications for conservation assessment in snakes. *J.*
731 *Nat. Hist.* **41**: 2765–2774. doi:10.1080/00222930701664203.
- 732 Shine, R. 1978. Sexual size dimorphism and male combat in snakes. *Oecologia*.
733 **33**:269–277. doi:10.1007/BF00348113.
- 734 Shine, R. 1986. Sexual differences in morphology and niche utilization in an aquatic
735 snake, *Acrochordus arafurae*. *Oecologia*. 69(2): 260–267. doi:10.1007/BF00377632.
- 736 Shine, R. 1991. Intersexual dietary divergence and the evolution of sexual dimorphism
737 in snakes. *Am. Nat.* **138**(1): 103–122. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2462535>.
- 738 Shine, R. 1994a. Allometric patterns in the ecology of Australian snakes. *Copeia*.
739 1994(4): 851–867. doi: 10.2307/1446709.
- 740 Shine, R. 1994b. Sexual Size Dimorphism in Snakes Revisited. *Copeia*. 1994(2): 326–
741 346. doi:10.2307/1446982.

- 742 Shine, R., Olsson, M.M., Moore, I.T., LeMaster, M.P., and Mason, R.T. 1999. Why do
743 male snakes have longer tails than females? P. Roy. Soc. b-Biol. Sci. **266**(1434): 2147–
744 2151. doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0901.
- 745 Shine, R., Harlow, P.S., and Keogh, J.S. 1998. The allometry of life-history traits:
746 insights from a study of giant snakes (*Python reticulatus*). J. Zool. **244**(3): 405–414.
747 doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1998.tb00045.x.
- 748 Shine, R., Reed, R., Shetty, S., and Cogger, H. 2002. Relationships between sexual
749 dimorphism and niche partitioning within a clade of sea-snakes (Laticaudinae).
750 Oecologia. **133**(1): 45–53. doi: 10.1007/s00442-002-1012-7.
- 751 Sivan, J., Hadad, S., Tesler, I., Rosenstrauch, A., Degen, A. A., Kam, M. 2020. Relative
752 tail length correlates with body condition in male but not in female crowned leafnose
753 snakes (*Lytorhynchus diadema*). Sci Rep. 10,4130. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-61168-y
- 754 Steckhoven, D. J., Buhlmann, P. 2012. MissForest—non-parametric missing value
755 imputation for mixed-type data. Bioinformatics. **28**(1): 112–118. doi:
756 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr597
- 757 Stevens M., Cuthill I.C., Windsor, A.M.M. and Walker, H.J. 2006. Disruptive contrast
758 in animal camouflage. Proc. R. Soc. B. **273**(1600): 2433–2438.
759 doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3614
- 760 Stevens M. 2007. Predator perception and the interrelation between different forms of
761 protective coloration. Proc. R. Soc. B. **274**(1617):1457– 64.
762 doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.0220.
- 763 Tamagnini, D., Stephenson, J., Brown, R.P., and Meloro, C. 2018. Geometric
764 morphometric analyses of sexual dimorphism and allometry in two sympatric snakes:

- 765 *Natrix helvetica* (Natricidae) and *Vipera berus* (Viperidae). *Zoology*. **129**: 25–34.
766 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2018.05.008>.
- 767 Titcomb, G.C., Kikuchi, D.W., and Pfennig, D.W. 2014. More than mimicry?
768 Evaluating scope for flicker-fusion as a defensive strategy in coral snake mimics.
769 *Current Zoology*. **60**(1): 123–130. doi:10.1093/czoolo/60.1.123.
- 770 Warton, D.I., Duursma, R.A., Falster, D.S., Taskinen S. 2012. Smatr 3- an R package
771 for estimation and inference about allometric lines. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**(2): 257–259.
772 doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011. 00153.x.
- 773 Warton, D.I., Wright, I.J., Falster, DS., Westoby, M. 2006. Bivariate line-fitting
774 methods for allometry. *Biol. Rev.* **81**(2): 259–291.
- 775 Weir, J.T., and Price, M. 2011. Andean uplift promotes lowland speciation through
776 vicariance and dispersal in *Dendrocincla* woodcreepers. *Mol. Ecol.* **20**(21): 4550–4563.
777 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05294.x.
- 778 Wied-Neuwied, M. 1824. Verzeichniss der Amphibien, welche im zweyten Bande der
779 Naturgeschichte Brasiliens vom Prinz Max von Neuwied werden beschrieben werden.
780 *Isis von Oken*. **14**: 661–673.
- 781 Westeen, E.P., Durso, A.M., Grundler, M.C., Rabosky, D.L., and Rabosky, A.R.D.
782 2020. What makes a fang? Phylogenetic and ecological controls on tooth evolution in
783 rear-fanged snakes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **20**: 80. doi:10.1186/s12862-020-01645-0.
- 784 Whitford, M.D., Freymiller, G.A., Higham, T.E., and Clark, R.W. 2019. Determinants
785 of predation success: how to survive an attack from a rattlesnake. *Funct. Ecol.* **33**(6):
786 1099–1109. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13318.

- 787 Venter, O.; Sanderson, E.W.; Magrath, A.; Allan, J.R.; Beher, J.; Jones, K.R.; et al.
788 2016a. Global Human Footprint maps for 1993 and 2009. *Sci. Data* **3**: 10067.
789 doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.67.
- 790 Venter, O.; Sanderson, E.W.; Magrath, A.; Allan, J.R.; Beher, J.; Jones, K.R.; et al.
791 2016b. Sixteen years of change in the global terrestrial human footprint and
792 implications for biodiversity conservation. *Nat. Commun.* **7**: 12558.
793 doi:10.1038/ncomms12558.
- 794 Vincent, S.E., Herrel, A., and Irschick, D.J. 2004. Sexual dimorphism in head shape and
795 diet in the cottonmouth snake (*Agkistrodon piscivorus*). *J. of Zool.* **264**(1): 53–59.
796 doi:10.1017/S0952836904005503.
- 797 Yuki, R.N. 1994. Regarding *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937, with a description of the
798 hemipenis (Serpentes, Colubridae, Xenodontinae). *Bol. Mus. Para. Emílio Goeldi, sér.*
799 *Ciências Naturais.* **10**(2): 203–209.
- 800 Yuki, R.N. and Castaño, O.V. 1998. Geographic distribution note of water-snake
801 *Helicops danieli* Amaral, 1937 (Colubridae: Xenodontidae). *The Snake.* **28**: 90–91.
- 802 Zaher, H. 1999. Hemipenial Morphology of the South American Xenodontine Snakes,
803 with a Proposal for a Monophyletic Xenodontinae and a Reappraisal of Colubroid
804 Hemipenis. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **240**: 1–168. <http://hdl.handle.net/2246/1646>
- 805 Zaher, H., and Prudente, A. L. C. 2003. Hemipenes of *Siphlophis* (Serpentes,
806 Xenodontinae) and techniques of hemipenial preparation in snakes: a response to
807 Dowling. *Herpetol. Rev.* **34**(4): 295–302.
- 808
- 809

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Morphological variation of *Helicops danieli*. (A) Uncollected specimen from Cauca, Antioquia, Colombia, photographed in life; (B–C) Dorsal and ventral view of an adult preserved specimen UIS-R 4464; (D–E) Dorsal and ventral view of a juvenile preserved specimen showing a white nuchal collar IAvH 7757. Photographs taken by Hernan Martinez (A) and Nathalie Citeli (B–E)

Figure 2. Sulcate and asulcate faces, respectively, of the right hemipenis of AMNH 97461 of *H. danieli*, scale bars = 5.0 mm. In detail, arrows showing the area between the lobes containing a longitudinal row of papillae, at sulcate and asulcate faces.

Figure 3. Regressions of eight log-transformed morphometric traits vs. log-transformed body size (SVL) of *Helicops danieli* for both adults (black squares) and juveniles (gray circles) (A–H).

Figure 4. Regressions of eight log-transformed morphometric traits vs. log-transformed body size (SVL) of *Helicops danieli* for both females (black squares) and males (gray circles) (A–H).

Figure 5. Distribution maps of *Helicops danieli*, including (A) occurrence in five Colombian ecoregions (Ecoregions base map by Dinerstein et al. 2017); (B) anthropic impacts index on *H. danieli* range distribution (HFP base map by Venter et al. 2016a); (C) suitable areas for *H. danieli* potential occurrence along Colombia and Venezuela (Elevation base map by Fick and Hijmans, 2017).

Table 1. Ontogenetic allometry in *Helicops danieli*. J= juveniles, A= adults, b = 1 indicates isometry, values significantly higher or lower than 1, indicates positive or negative allometry, respectively. The traits that showed a significant differences are in bold.

Trait	Stage	Mean (SD)	Allometry			Adjusted mean (SD)	Difference in allometric coefficient (slope)		Difference in adjusted trait size (intercept)	
			b	r ²	P		F statistics	P	F statistics	P
Snout-vent length	J	191.22 (±33.65)	-	-	-	5.76	-	-	-	-
	A	441.78 (±130.01)	-	-	-	5.76				
Tail length	J	66.05 (±20.09)	1.21	0.60	<0.001	4.72 (±0.03)	7.50	0.007	3.73	0.06
	A	144.58 (±36.23)	0.73	0.58	0.057	4.78 (±0.09)				
Head length	J	13.04 (±1.58)	0.52	0.57	<0.001	2.83 (±0.03)	16.20	<0.001	0.49	0.48
	A	28.82 (±5.72)	0.79	0.93	<0.001	2.91 (±0.01)				
Head width	J	6.88 (±1.09)	0.63	0.48	0.38	2.24 (±0.06)	4.18	0.04	1.43	0.23
	A	13.19 (±3.73)	0.88	0.79	0.87	2.28 (±0.02)				
Head height	J	4.83 (±0.81)	0.75	0.64	0.53	1.95 (±0.52)	4.88	0.03	7.99	<0.01
	A	9.50 (±3.04)	0.98	0.87	0.23	1.93 (±0.02)				
Interocular length	J	3.63 (±0.47)	0.54	0.53	<0.01	1.56 (±0.04)	2.66	0.11	3.16	0.08
	A	5.89 (±1.25)	0.67	0.86	<0.001	1.56 (±0.01)				
Snout length	J	3.04 (±0.45)	0.61	0.50	0.18	1.41 (±0.05)	2.568	0.11	0.06	0.81
	A	5.53 (±1.31)	0.75	0.87	<0.001	1.41 (±0.02)				
Eye-mouth distance	J	1.18 (±0.20)	0.54	0.33	0.66	0.43 (±0.07)	8.72	<0.01	0.88	0.35
	A	2.35 (±0.73)	0.94	0.80	0.37	0.53 (±0.02)				
Eye diameter	J	1.87 (±0.23)	0.53	0.56	<0.001	0.89 (±0.05)	0.32	0.57	0.24	0.63
	A	2.74 (±0.49)	0.47	0.61	<0.001	0.85 (±0.02)				

Table 2. Static allometry in *Helicops danieli*. F=female, M=male, b = 1 indicates isometry, values significantly higher or lower than 1 indicates positive or negative allometry, respectively. The traits that showed a significant difference for sexual dimorphism are in bold

Trait	Sex	Mean (SD)	Allometry			Adjusted mean (SD)	Dimorphism in allometric coefficient (slope)		Dimorphism in adjusted trait size (intercept)	
			b	r ²	P		F statistics	P	F statistics	P
Snout-vent length	F	446.78 (±164.40)	-	-	-	5.91	-	-	-	-
	M	338.41 (±80.40)				5.91				
Tail length	F	133.73 (±42.03)	0.84	0.85	0.20	4.74 (±0.027)	1.89	0.18	38.89	<0.001
	M	136.35 (±37.50)	1.00	0.76	0.12	4.99 (±0.029)				
Head length	F	24.02 (±7.40)	0.81	0.97	<0.001	3.03 (±0.014)	4.57	0.03	0.02	0.88
	M	19.42 (±3.50)	0.68	0.83	<0.001	3.03 (±0.014)				
Head width	F	13.38 (±4.86)	0.89	0.85	0.63	2.42 (±0.028)	0.45	0.50	0.05	0.81
	M	10.39 (±2.57)	0.81	0.68	0.86	2.40 (±0.030)				
Head height	F	9.69 (±3.68)	0.93	0.88	0.87	2.09 (±0.023)	1.75	0.19	0.03	0.86
	M	7.43 (±1.64)	0.79	0.78	0.21	2.40 (±0.030)				
Interocular length	F	5.92 (±1.57)	0.62	0.87	<0.001	1.67 (±0.016)	0.27	0.60	0.20	0.65
	M	4.98 (±0.75)	0.53	0.79	<0.001	1.66 (±0.016)				
Snout length	F	5.55 (±1.77)	0.79	0.94	<0.001	1.57 (±0.015)	2.74	0.10	3.13	0.08
	M	4.67 (±0.85)	0.66	0.83	<0.001	1.61 (±0.016)				
Eye-mouth distance	F	2.39 (±0.85)	0.91	0.87	0.76	0.70 (±0.025)	1.22	0.27	1.00	0.32
	M	1.79 (±0.37)	0.71	0.72	0.07	0.66 (±0.025)				
Eye diameter	F	2.72 (±0.65)	0.51	0.73	<0.001	0.92 (±0.020)	0.56	0.46	0.65	0.42
	M	2.45 (±0.36)	0.42	0.58	<0.001	0.94 (±0.021)				

Table 3. Comparisons of sexual dimorphism (SD) in Snout-vent length (SVL), Head length (HL) and Tail length (TL) among the species of the genus *Helicops*.

Specie	SD in morphometric traits	SD in SVL	SD in HL	SD in TL	References
<i>Helicops angulatus</i>	Yes	F>M	F=M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*; Murphy et al. 2021 [†]
<i>Helicops apiaka</i>	?	?	?	?	
<i>Helicops boitata</i>	?	?	?	?	
<i>Helicops carinicaudus</i>	Yes	F>M	F=M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*
<i>Helicops danieli</i>	Yes	F>M	F=M	F<M	Rossman 2002a [‡] ; present study
<i>Helicops gomesi</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*
<i>Helicops hagmanni</i>	Yes	F>M	F=M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*
<i>Helicops infrataeniatus</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	de-Aguar and di-Bernardo 2005; Scartozzoni 2009
<i>Helicops leopardinus</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Ávila et.al. 2006; Scartozzoni 2009
<i>Helicops modestus</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009; Maia et al. 2015.
<i>Helicops nentur</i>	?	?	?	?	
<i>Helicops pastazae</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Rossman 1976 [‡] ; García-Cobos et al. 2021
<i>Helicops petersi</i>	Yes	?	?	F<M	Rossman 1976 [‡]
<i>Helicops phantasma</i>	?	?	?	?	
<i>Helicops polylepis</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*; Camargo et al. 2021
<i>Helicops scalaris</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M [‡]	F<M	Rossman 2002b [‡]
<i>Helicops tapajonicus</i>	Yes	?	?	F<M	Frota 2005 [‡]
<i>Helicops trivittatus</i>	Yes	F>M	F>M	F<M	Scartozzoni 2009*
<i>Helicops yacu</i>	?	?	?	?	

* Scartozzoni, R.R. 2009, Unpublished PhD thesis. Estratégias reprodutivas e ecologia alimentar de serpentes aquáticas da tribo Hydropsini (Dipsadidae, Xenodontinae). Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil

[†] authors presented only descriptive data

[‡] differences in head size considered subtle by the author

Figure 1. Morphological variation of *Helicops danieli*. (A) Uncollected specimen from Cauca, Antioquia, Colombia, photographed in life; (B–C) Dorsal and ventral view of an adult preserved specimen UIS-R 4464; (D–E) Dorsal and ventral view of a juvenile preserved specimen showing a white nuchal collar IAvH 7757. Photographs taken by Hernan Martinez (A) and Nathalie Citeli (B–E).

165x231mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2. Sulcate and asulcate faces, respectively, of the right hemipenis of AMNH 97461 of *H. danieli*, scale bars = 5.0 mm. In detail, arrows showing the area between the lobes containing a longitudinal row of papillae, at sulcate and asulcate faces.

88x61mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 3. Regressions of eight log-transformed morphometric traits vs. log-transformed body size (SVL) of *Helicops danieli* for both adults (black squares) and juveniles (gray circles) (A–H).

115x234mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 4. Regressions of eight log-transformed morphometric traits vs. log-transformed body size (SVL) of *Helicops danieli* for both females (black squares) and males (gray circles) (A–H).

116x234mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 5. Distribution maps of *Helicops danieli*, including (A) occurrence in five Colombian ecoregions (Ecoregions base map by Dinerstein et al. 2017); (B) anthropic impacts index on *H. danieli* range distribution (HFI base map by Venter et al. 2016a); (C) suitable areas for *H. danieli* potential occurrence along Colombia and Venezuela (Elevation base map by Fick and Hijmans, 2017).

88x189mm (300 x 300 DPI)