ABSTRACT

Background: In the past “blood-brain barrier” agents such as Tc99m-glucoheptonate were routinely used for the diagnosis of brain tumours. Of late, agents used for studying myocardial perfusion namely, Tc99m-tetrofosmin, Thallium-201, and Tc99m-sestamibi have replaced the “blood-brain barrier agents” when imaging is undertaken for the detection of the recurrence of brain tumours. However, the incremental diagnostic information provided by Tc99m-tetrofosmin when compared with a blood brain barrier agent in the diagnosis of recurrent brain tumour has not been evaluated till date.

Aims: The study was carried out to substantiate whether Tc99m-tetrofosmin provides any incremental diagnostic information not provided by the blood brain barrier agent Tc99m-glucoheptonate.

Material and Methods: Brain SPECT scans were performed using Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate in 126 patients of recurrent brain tumour. Bio-distribution and uptake properties of both the tracers were analysed by measuring relative uptake of both the tracers in tumour compared to background (T/B ratio), nasopharynx (T/N ratio) and scalp (T/S ratio).

Statistical Analysis: Descriptive statistics were calculated for each variable. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was applied to see agreement of the continuous variables. Paired t test was used to evaluate the difference between two means.

Results: Uptake properties of both the tracers were analysed in 105 patients in whom both Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate showed concentration. The remaining 21 patients in whom the tumour mass did not show Tc99m-tetrofosmin concentration were excluded from the study. Mean T/B ratio, T/N ratio and T/S ratio was 5.83 ± 2.09 and 5.99 ± 2.26, 0.53 ± 0.21 and 0.55 ± 0.22 and 1.11 ± 0.60 and 1.26 ± 0.52 for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate respectively. No statistically significant difference between T/B ratio and T/N ratio of Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate was found; p values were 0.25 and 0.83 respectively. However there was significant difference (P=0.006) between the T/S ratio of Tc99m-tetrofosmin and that of Tc99m-glucoheptonate.

Conclusion: Tc99m-tetrofosmin does not provide any incremental diagnostic information not provided by the blood brain barrier agent Tc99m-glucoheptonate.
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Material and Methods

Brain SPECT with Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate was performed in 126 patients of brain tumour with recurrence of disease suspected clinically and confirmed by contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. All eligible patients were sequentially enrolled and followed through the cancer clinic and imaged between 1995 and 2002. There were 43 females and 83 male patients in the group with an age range of 5-67 years. The histological type was ependymoma in 8 patients, meningioma in 15 patients, glioblastoma multiforme in 42 patients, anaplastic astrocytoma in 30 patients and low-grade gliomas in 31 patients. All of them had prior tumour debulking surgery followed by external beam radiotherapy. In total 90 views were obtained with one view at every 40 seconds per view in a 128 x 128 matrix. Acquisition time was approximately 20 minutes. Projection data was pre-filtered before back projection and reconstruction was performed with a two-dimensional Metz filter (cut off=0.43 cm, P=30, Value of max=124, position of max=23, FWHM=100). Attenuation correction was performed by Chang’s method. No scatter correction was done. Reconstructed images were displayed and analysed using transverse, sagittal and coronal views with a slice thickness of approximately 7 mm.

Data Analysis: Two experienced nuclear medicine physicians evaluated the scan findings independently. Abnormally increased radiotracer uptake more than or equal to scalp tracer concentration in the primary tumour bed was considered indicative of viable tumour. The intensity of the tracer concentration in the tumour mass was expressed as tumour to background ratio (T/B ratio). In addition, tumour to nasopharynx (T/N ratio), and tumour to scalp ratio (T/S ratio) were calculated in both sets of studies. For tumour to background (T/B ratio) ratio estimation an irregular region of interest (ROI) was drawn encircling the tumour on the transverse SPECT slice with greatest tumour activity and the average pixel count within the ROI was obtained. To obtain the background activity an exactly similar ROI was generated by computer software on the corresponding site of the opposite lobe and an average pixel count obtained. The ratio of the two average pixel counts was the T/B ratio. For tumour to nasopharynx (T/N ratio) ratio estimation, a ROI was drawn around the nasopharynx in the midline sagittal slice showing the highest nasopharyngeal uptake and the average pixel count was obtained. The ratio of the average pixel count of tumour ROI to nasopharyngeal ROI was designated as the T/N ratio. For tumour to scalp (T/S ratio) ratio estimation, a ROI was drawn around the scalp excluding the tumour margins on the transverse slice from which the tumour to background ratio was obtained and the average pixel count was obtained. The ratio of the average pixel count of tumour ROI to scalp ROI was designated as the T/S ratio.

Two radiologists experienced in neuroradiology evaluated the MRI independently and both were blinded to the brain SPECT findings. Lesions that were heterogeneous in signal intensity having perilesional oedema with convex margins causing effacement of adjacent sulcal spaces, and lesions which showed enhancement after gadolinium administration were considered as recurrent tumour mass. Lesions that were homogenous in signal intensity without any perilesional oedema with flattened or concave peripheral margins, without any enhancement after administration of gadolinium were considered as post-radiation gliosis.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics i.e. mean and standard deviations were calculated for each variable to summarize baseline parameters. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was applied to see agreement of the continuous variables. Paired t test was used to evaluate the difference between two means. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

One hundred and twenty-six patients were studied by both modalities. Increased radiotracer uptake over the site of the primary tumour was noted in all 126 patients with Tc99m-tetrofosmin brain SPECT. The 21 patients who did not show Tc99m-tetrofosmin concentration were excluded from further analysis. Mean T/B ratio was 5.83 ± 2.09 and 5.99 ± 2.26, respectively for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate, whereas mean T/S ratio was 1.11 ± 0.60 and 1.26 ± 0.55 for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate, respectively (Table 1). No statistically significant difference was noted between the means of tumour to background (P value 0.83) and tumour to nasopharynx ratios (P value 0.25) obtained with Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate. There was a statistically significant difference between the tumour to scalp ratio obtained with Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-

| Table 1: Mean values of tumour to background, tumour to nasopharynx and tumour to scalp ratios of Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate study |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Tc99m-Tetrofosmin | Tc99m-glucoheptonate | P value | Degree of freedom | Pearson coefficient correlation (R) |
| Mean Tumour to background ratio | 5.83 ± 2.09 (1.74-9.92) | 5.99 ± 2.26 (1.57-10.42) | 0.83 | 104 | 0.81 |
| Mean Tumour to scalp ratio | 1.11 ± 0.60 (-0.06-10.42) | 1.26 ± 0.52 (0.25-2.27) | 0.006 | 104 | 0.51 |
| Mean Tumour to nasopharynx ratio | 0.53 ± 0.21 (0.12-0.94) | 0.55 ± 0.22 (0.12-0.98) | 0.25 | 104 | 0.83 |

Figures in parentheses indicate confidence interval
glucoheptonate with a P value of 0.006.

**Discussion**

Accurate neuroimaging can assist in the diagnosis, management and follow-up of central nervous system (CNS) malignancies. SPECT has been established as a potentially useful tool for the assessment of brain tumours. A wide range of radiopharmaceuticals have been evaluated as SPECT tracers for functional imaging of brain tumours but none could be considered as ideal. The most widely used SPECT tracers are Thallium-201, Tc99-sestamibi or Tc99m-tetrofosmin. Prior to the introduction of these myocardial perfusion imaging agents for brain tumour evaluation, agents such as glucoheptonate or DTPA, whose predominant mode of tumour accumulation was through blood brain barrier breakage, were used. Thallium-201, Tc99-sestamibi or Tc99m-tetrofosmin, which have replaced blood brain barrier agents, are used in brain tumour imaging with the assumption that their uptake in tumour is not dependent on blood brain barrier disruption. Among the SPECT tracers used in brain tumour imaging glucoheptonate being a seven-carbon sugar has the closest structural similarity with glucose (six-carbon sugar) which is the physiological metabolite used by malignant cells. No study has evaluated or established the superiority of these agents over conventional blood brain barrier agents like glucoheptonate. However, recently, the concept that tumour accumulations of these agents are independent of blood brain barrier breakage has been questioned. None of these tracers accumulate in normal brain parenchyma with intact blood brain barrier, indicating that their accumulation in tumour is significantly dependent on the breakdown of the blood brain barrier. Kallen et al have demonstrated that tumour uptake of Tl-201 in glioma is secondary to blood brain barrier damage.

Staudenherz et al showed that tumour uptake of Tc99m-sestamibi is secondary to damaged blood brain barrier. They evaluated 25 patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme with both sestamibi and plain pertechnetate. Normalised tumour uptake (NU) was calculated from attenuation-corrected transaxial slices. In addition, tumour/plexus, tumour/nasopharynx, and tumour/parotid gland ratios were assessed in both studies. No statistically significant differences were detected for the mean NU of tumour tissue with MIBI and plain pertechnetate and for the tumour/nasopharynx and tumour/parotid gland ratios; only the tumour/plexus ratio was significantly higher for plain pertechnetate than for MIBI.

For the proper evaluation of a tumour, the tracer should not only have the ability to localise in the tumour in a quantity sufficient to allow imaging but should also have an ideal intra- and pericardial misdistribution. Misdistribution of Tc99m-tetrofosmin was not very suitable for brain tumour evaluation. Tetrofosmin shows intense physiological uptake in the choroid plexus of the ventricles, temporalis muscles, and extra-ocular muscles, which significantly interfered with tumour evaluation (Figures 1a, 1b and 1c). Tc99m-glucoheptonate did not reveal any of these confounding physiological uptakes. Therefore, misdistribution of Tc99m-glucoheptonate was more favourable for brain tumour evaluation (Figures 2a and 2b).

Tumour to background ratio, which reflects the intensity of tracer uptake in the tumour, and how distinctly the lesion was seen from the surrounding healthy brain parenchyma, was 5.83 ± 2.09 and 5.99 ± 2.26 for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate respectively. There was no significant difference between the two ratios (P value 0.83) and both the agents allowed equally good visualisation of tumour mass.

Tumour to nasopharynx ratio, which reflects how distinctly lesions close to the nasal mucosa can be visualised, was 0.53 ± 0.21 and 0.55 ± 0.22 for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate respectively. There was no significant difference between the two ratios, signifying that neither of

![Figure 1a](image1.png) Transaxial slice of the right temporoparietal glioblastoma showing avid Tc99m-tetrofosmin uptake (Arrow 1). Very intense physiological uptake in the choroid plexus of the lateral ventricle (Arrow 2) masks tumour margin close to the midline. Intense physiological uptake (Arrow 3) in the temporalis muscle is seen.

![Figure 1b](image2.png) Corresponding slice of Tc99m-glucoheptonate study of the same patient showing distinct tumour margins even close to midline with no interfering physiological uptake in the ventricles or in the temporalis muscle.
the tracers offer any advantage in evaluating a tumour mass close to the nasal mucosa, as may be in the case of a tumour located in the basifrontal region. Tumour to scalp ratio, which reflects how distinctly lesions close to scalp can be visualised, was $1.11 \pm 0.60$ and $1.26 \pm 0.52$ for Tc99m-tetrofosmin and Tc99m-glucoheptonate respectively. There was a significant difference between the two ratios ($P$ value, 0.006) signifying that tumour margins close to the skull are better visualised in Tc99m-glucoheptonate images. This finding is explainable from the fact that the temporalis muscles, which are attached to both the temporal bones, show intense Tc99m-tetrofosmin uptake resulting in a higher scalp uptake when compared with Tc99m-glucoheptonate.

Thallium-201 and Tc99m-sestamibi are commonly used for imaging brain tumours. Nagamachi et al have reported a sensitivity of 90.3% and a specificity of 77.4% for Tc99m-MIBI, which was comparable to that of TI-201 (90.3% and 80.6%, respectively). No investigator has reported the sensitivity and specificity of Tc99m-tetrofosmin as brain tumour imaging agent to date. However, Barai et al have reported poor sensitivity of Tc99m-tetrofosmin for the detection of posterior fossa tumours. Pentavalent Dimercapto succinic acid (DMSA-V) is also used for brain tumour imaging. Hirano et al have reported a sensitivity of approximately 95% and 88% for DMSA and thallium respectively. 3-[123I]Iodo-alpha-methyl-L-tyrosine (IMT) is an artificial amino acid which exhibits high uptake in brain tumours, though it is not incorporated into cellular proteins. Henze et al in their comparative study between MIBI and IMT reported a sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 100% for IMT and 53% and 75% for MIBI in differentiating progressive tumours from post-radiation gliosis. Positron emission tomography (PET) using various radiotracers is widely utilized for evaluating residual or recurrent tumours following therapy, and can be used to survey patients with low-grade brain tumours for evidence of degeneration into high-grade malignancy. In the case of suspected tumour recurrence or progression, PET can aid in defining appropriate targets for biopsy. FDG-PET is however less sensitive than contrast-enhanced MRI for detecting intracranial metastases. Chao et al evaluated 43 patients with brain tumour by FDG-PET. For all tumour types, the sensitivity of FDG PET for diagnosing tumour was 75% and the specificity was 81%. For brain metastasis without MRI co-registration, FDG PET had a sensitivity of 65% and a specificity of 80%. For brain metastasis with MRI co-registration, FDG PET had a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 80%.

Other tracers, such as 11C-methionine and [(18) F] fluorocholine (fluoromethyl-dimethyl-2-hydroxyethylammonium [FCH]), also avidly accumulate in brain tumours and have the advantage of low background cortical activity. The relationship between the degree of uptake of these agents and tumour grade is not established. One limitation of FDG-PET is the occasional inability to distinguish...
radiation necrosis from recurrent high-grade tumour. Necrotic mass intensely infiltrated with inflammatory cells sometimes also reveals increased glucose metabolism.\textsuperscript{22} Amino-acid tracers, such as C11-methionine, are better for this purpose and thus play a complementary role to FDG. L-methionine uptake is known to correlate better than FDG with tumour proliferative activity.\textsuperscript{23} Given the poor prognosis of patients with primary brain tumour, particularly with high-grade lesions, the overall clinical utility of single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and PET in characterising recurrent lesions remains dependent on the availability of effective treatments.

Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-tetrofosmin brain SPECT provided false negative results in 21 (16.6\%) patients in our study. Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-tetrofosmin does not show any concentration in tumours expressing p-glycoprotein, a product of multidrug resistance gene.\textsuperscript{24,25} p-glycoprotein expression study was not performed in these 21 patients but it is possible that these tumours were expressing p-glycoprotein. Imaging brain tumours expressing p-glycoprotein is a great challenge since they do not concentrate the commonly used tracers like Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-sestamibi and Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-tetrofosmin.\textsuperscript{26,27} Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-glucoheptonate accumulation does not appear to be influenced by the expression of p-glycoprotein. The tumours that did not show any Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-tetrofosmin concentration showed avid Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-glucoheptonate concentration.

The lack of histopathological verification of diagnosis in those 21 patients with no tetrofosmin uptake along with the lack of information regarding the p-glycoprotein expression in them are the principal limitations of this study.

Conclusion

Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-tetrofosmin does not provide any incremental diagnostic information not provided by the blood brain barrier agent Tc\textsuperscript{99m}-glucoheptonate.
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