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ABSTRACT
The HIV/AIDS pandemic has changed the prevalence of some infectious diseases. Hepatitis B is a very important potentially lethal and presently treatable infection which affects the course of HIV disease. This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of hepatitis B virus [HBV] infection in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infected patients undergoing treatment in two major hospitals in Edo state over a 12 month period. All HIV infected persons aged 15 years and above of either gender seen between 1st January and 31st December 2002 in the University of Benin Teaching hospital and central Hospital Benin City were included in this study. A total of 204 HIV infected patients [81 males, 123 females] seen over this period were screened for HbsAg. HIV infection was confirmed using the double ELISA technique. One hundred and sixty six [66 males and 100 females] age and sex matched HIV negative patients recruited from the general outpatients clinic of those hospitals formed the control group. The mean age of HIV infected patients was 37.14 ±10.94 with a range of 15-70. The two groups compared well as regards age, sex, occupation and social class. However, widows has significantly higher prevalence amongst the HIV patients. Seventy one percent [71%] of the HIV patients were symptomatic. The prevalence of HBV amongst the HIV infected patients was 10.75% as compared to 7.2% in the control [P> 0.05]. This was however not statistically significant. This study showed that though the prevalence of Hepatitis B infection is higher in HIV infected patients, this difference is however, not statistically significant from that in HIV negative controls.
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INTRODUCTION
The prevalence of several diseases has changed with the advent of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pandemic. The incidence and prevalence of some infectious diseases that were hitherto on the decline are now experiencing an upward trend. Hepatitis B (HBV) is a very important potentially lethal and presently treatable disease. This on its own may be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. The prevalence rate of HBV infection has been shown to be higher in HIV infected persons than the general population. The
presence of hepatitis B in HIV infected patients may pose serious problems for them.

The prevalence of HBV varies significantly from one geographical region to another. It is highly endemic in south East Asia, China and Sub-Saharan Africa with carrier rates of 8-20 percent. In these regions 70-90% of the population show serologic evidence of previous and current HBV infection. In the general population, the prevalence is between 0.5-2 percent. In Nigerian children, a prevalence of 10% has been reported. Amongst Intravenous (IV) drugs users and HIV infected persons the prevalence is higher.

Transmission of HBV and HIV infection is quite similar. Both infections are transmitted horizontally and vertically. Horizontally, transmission occurs via coitus, percutaneous and parenteral routes such as transfusion of contaminated blood or blood products, needlestick injuries, intravenous (I.V) drug abuse, dental and surgical procedures, hemodialysis, tattooing, acupuncture, circumcision and ear piercing. Vertical transmission occurs from infected mother to infants in the uterus or during the perinatal or postnatal period.

The incubation period of this infection averages about 3 months, with a transient viraemia lasting 4-8 weeks. In about 90% of patients, the acute infection is silent. The three antigens hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg), hepatitis B core antigen (HbcAg) and Hepatitis B infectivity antigen (HbeAg) elicit an antibody response. Only Anti-HBS accounts for recovery and immunity. Ongoing infectivity and viral replication is suggested by high titer of IgM anti-HbsAg and persistent HbeAg and HBV DNA polymerase. Presence of Anti-HbcAg suggests low infectivity. Rates of progression to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma vary according to the patients’ immune status, age and serologic stage of the infection, as well as geographic and genetic factors. The relative risk of death due to cirrhosis for HbeAg carriers as compared with normal individuals ranges from 12 to 79 and relative risk of hepatocellular carcinoma ranges from 148 in Alaska to 30-98 in the Far East.

HIV infection has reached pandemic proportions worldwide with sub Saharan Africa being the worst hit. In Eastern and southern Africa the prevalence ranges from 9% in males to 34% in females. Whilst in Nigeria, the prevalence is 4.4%. It is caused by a retrovirus that attacks the central point in the human immune system, the CD4+ cell, and therefore lowers the patient’s, immunity and predisposes the patient to opportunistic infections and secondary malignancies.

There is an acute phase of infection which in most cases is silent but about 20% present with flu-like symptoms and a glandular fever like illness, sometimes aseptic meningitis (stage 1). The infection becomes latent and asymptomatic for 5-8 years (stage II). Then it gets to the stage where in about 10% of patients there is persistent generalized lymphadenopathy (stage III) and finally AIDS develops (stage IV) with full blown symptoms such as wasting (slim disease) recurrent fever and diarrhoea, numerous skin manifestations, opportunistic diseases and secondary malignancies.

Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) is giving HIV infected patients a new lease of life, therefore, there is need to tackle the health problems associated with HBV in order to reduce the morbidity and mortality in these patients. Also with the use of lamivudine as treatment in patients infected with HBV, the outlook is improving for HIV and HBV co-infected patients.

Studies have been done in Nigeria to determine the prevalence of HBV in the general population especially amongst certain risk groups such as Health workers, Blood donors, dialysis patients and prisoners.
but no study has been done amongst HIV infected persons, comparing the findings with controls in this part of the country. The paucity of reports from this region warrants a closer study of these diseases in this environment. Therefore the objective of this study is to determine the seroprevalence of Hepatitis B infection amongst HIV infected persons in Edo state, Nigeria.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The study was carried out at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH) Benin City and the Central Hospital (CH), Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria, between 1st January and 31st December 2002. The University of Benin Teaching Hospital caters for the tertiary Health care needs of patients referred from Edo, Delta, Ekiti, Bayelsa, Kogi and Ondo states. Its coverage serves a population of over 5 million people. The central Hospital Benin city is a secondary health facility in Benin that receives a large bulk of patients due to its centrality of location and low cost of services. Both Hospitals have over 400 beds and are diagnostic and treatment centers for HIV/AIDS and Infectious diseases.

All HIV infected persons aged 15 years and above of either gender seen between 1st January and 31st December 2002 in the University of Benin Teaching Hospital and Central Hospital Benin City were included in this study. Age and sex matched controls were recruited from patients attending the out patients clinics of the above Hospitals who were HIV negative. Patients with sickle cell anemia and all patients with other immunocompromising diseases such as diabetes mellitus and lymphoma were excluded. Clinical assessment included a detailed history and physical examination. Pre-test and Post-test HIV counseling were done for all patients, blood samples were taken and tests were carried out with the informed consent of all patients.

Consecutive sampling was used. Using a prevalence of 6.05%⁶, sample size for comparative study¹³ per group was 93. However a sample size of 204 patients HIV positive and 166 controls was used.

Laboratory Methods

Two assays were done for each of the patients: HIV screening by Elisa technique and confirmed by double Elisa and Hepatitis B virus (HbsAg) screening.

Hiv Test

The tests for HIV were done using ELISA HIV test kits, Determine by Abbott and Stat Pak by CHEMBIO. Confirmation of indeterminate cases was done with GENIE by BIO-RAD.

HbsAg test

The screening tests for HbsAg were done using the ELISA test kits by Human Gesellschaft for biomedical and diagnostica mbti-mbh max-panch-ing 21-65205 Wiesbauden Germany.

Fasting blood sugar and Hemoglobin electrophoresis were done to rule out diabetes mellitus and sickle cell anemia respectively. Routine full blood counts, electrolytes and urea and liver function tests were also done.

Statistics

The data were analyzed using the EPI- INFO 6 statistical program. Continuous variables were described with means while discontinuous variables were described with proportions. Group means were compared with two-tailed t-test. When comparing groups of subjects, the X² (Chi square) test was applied to determine the significance of differences observed. Where the number in any cell was less than five, Fisher exact tests were used.
Results
A total of 370 subjects were involved in the study. There were 204 HIV positive patients while another 166 were HIV negative controls. Amongst the HIV positive patients, 81 were males and 123 were females with a mean age of $37.14 \pm 10.94$ years (Age range 15-70 years). In the control group 66 were males and 100 were females with a mean age of $37.21 \pm 11.30$ years (Age range 15-70 years). There was no significant age and sex difference between HIV positive patients and controls ($P>0.05$). Table 1 shows that the two groups of patients in the study were comparable as regards age and sex.

Table 1: Demographic data of patients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>$37.14 \pm 10.94$</td>
<td>$37.21 \pm 11.30$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical Staging of HIV infected patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical stage</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>145(71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>57(27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>204(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: shows the prevalence of hepatitis B and HIV co-infection compared to the HIV negative controls. Of the 204 HIV infected patients tested, 22(10.8%) were also hepatitis B infected compared to 12 (7.2%) out of the 166 HIV negative controls. Even through the prevalence of hepatitis B infection is higher in HIV infected patients, this difference is, however, not statistically significant from that in HIV negative controls (P>0.05). The overall prevalence of Hepatitis B infection in both groups was 9.2%.

Table 3: prevalence of Hepatitis B and HIV Co-infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hepatitis B status</th>
<th>HIV status</th>
<th>HIV-(%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>22(10.8)</td>
<td>12(7.2)</td>
<td>34(9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>182(89.2)</td>
<td>154(92.8)</td>
<td>336(90.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204(55)</td>
<td>166(45)</td>
<td>370(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[X^2 = 0.99\]
\[P \text{ value} = 0.3\]

Discussion
HIV disease affects the prevalence and prognosis of HIV infection. In the developed world, the prevalence of HBV infection in the general population is 0.5-2% but is up to 80-90% amongst HIV infected individuals. Ahmed et al in Malawi showed that in pregnant women there was no statistical evidence to suggest that HIV infection was associated with an increased prevalence of HBV markers. The presence of HBV in HIV patients increases the morbidity of HIV disease and prognosis for HBV is worse in the presence of HIV infection. The hepatitis B virus progresses to chronic carrier state but does not progress to fibrosis and cirrhosis as fast as in the non-HIV infected chronic hepatitis patients. The incidence of chronic carrier state was shown to be forty times higher than in the general population in one study.

In Nigeria, a study done in Jos showed HBV prevalence to be as high as 28.7% in the HIV infected population while it was 48% amongst prisoners in Nigeria. This study showed that HIV prevalence did not significantly affect the prevalence of hepatitis B in Benin City even though the prevalence was higher. (10.8% in HIV-positive versus 7.2% in HIV negatives.) The figures are in agreement with the literature that showed a prevalence of 8.9% in Jos and 8.6% amongst hemodialysis patients in a teaching hospital in Jos. In sub-Saharan Africa carrier rates are 8.2% in published data. The prevalence in this study is therefore comparable with other reports, despite the difference between the prevalence in HIV positive and HIV negative patients that is not statistically significant (X^2=0.99). Report in Nigeria by Idoko and Sirisena showed a significant difference between the HIV positive and HIV negative groups in Nigeria. This difference is more pronounced in data from developed countries.

The observed lower prevalence of hepatitis B in this study as compared with some other rates in the literature may be
due to the sample size used compared to other studies. It may be due to lower prevalence of risk factors for HBV transmission in this study group such as Intravenous drug users (IDUS),5 blood products recipients, and hemodialysis patients10. The prevalence of HBV in HIV patients in this study though not significantly higher in HIV infected individuals than controls was lower compared to figures reported in Europe and America5. In these counties, HIV and HBV co-infection was usually associated with I.V. drugs abuse, which in itself is a major risk factor for HBV transmission. Intravenous drug abuse is not a common problem in this part of the world and is still not a major route for HIV transmission in Africa. A more prevalent risk factor such as homosexuality in the western world is also a risk factor, which is not as frequently reported in this part of the world15. This may explain the comparatively low figures seen in this study.

Other studies focus on the prevalence of HBV in high risk groups such as commercial sex workers, intravenous drug users, blood products recipients and blood donors. This study was conducted amongst patients who largely did not have these risk factors20,21.

The prevalence reported in this study of HBV in HIV patients actually compares with that in the general population as seen in this study. This is in agreement with other studies done both in Nigeria and elsewhere showing that HIV prevalence did not on its own affect the HBV prevalence in this part of the world. The study done in Jos, Nigeria, which showed significant differences were done among prisoners who are a high risk group for homosexual behavior and I.V drug abuse, and among hemodialysis patients who on their own are a high risk group for HBV infection.16,18.

The prevalence of Hepatitis B is not significantly higher in HIV positive individuals than in the general population in this study. It is important to note however that the prevalence of hepatitis B in the general population is also quite high. This highlights the need for prevention of this disease. Thus all blood for transfusion should be screened for these infections and standard infection control measures (universal and standard precautions) should be emphasized in all levels of population and especially among healthcare workers in our hospitals.
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