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ABSTRACT

Bambara nut was subjected to different treatments prior 
to mechanical dry dehulling and milling into flours. Physico-
chemical properties of the flours and sensory attributes of 
moin-moin prepared from the flours were compared with 
that of moin-moin from fresh pastes from cowpea and 
Bambara. The functional properties investigated included 
foam-ability, foam-stability, gelation capacity, dispersibility 
and dehull-ability while proximate chemical composition 
of the flours was also investigated. Both cold and hot 
water steeping of Bambara prior to dehulling produced 
moin-moin that compared favourably with moin-moin from 
fresh pastes (controls). Fresh paste of Bambara produced 
through manual dehulling resulted in moin-moin that 
compared similarly to conventional moin-moin from fresh 
cowpea. The proximate chemical compositions were not 
significantly affected by the treatments but functional 
properties were significantly affected.
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INTRODUCTION

Bambara nut belongs to the family of leguminosae. 
It is indigenous to the tropical Africa (Kay, 
1979). Bambara is grown extensively in Nigeria 
(Oguntunde, 1985; Enwere, 1998) but it is one of 
the lesser utilised legume in Nigeria. Nigeria produced 
over 100,000 metric tonnes, closely followed by 
Niger with 30,000 metric tonnes and Ghana with 
20,000 metric tonnes (Asiedu, 1989). In Nigeria, 
the freshly harvested pods are cooked, shelled and 
eaten as a vegetable snack, while dry seeds are either 
roasted and eaten as a snack (Kay, 1979; Alobo, 
1999) or milled into flour and used in preparation of 
moin-moin (Olapade et al, 2005) analogy called ‘okpa’ among the Igbo tribe of Nigeria (Enwere, 1998). For most food uses, the seed coats 
of legumes including Bambara are removed to 
reduce the anti-physiological factors and fibre 
content, and this result in better appearance, texture, 
cooking quality, palatability and digestibility of the 
products (Akinjayeju and Enude, 2002). Dehulling 
can be accomplished manually or mechanically 
depending on the type of legume and/or quantity 
involved (Ehiwe and Reichert, 1987). Dehulling has 
been a limitation factor in the preparation of flour 
from Bambara that could produce acceptable moin-
moin substitute most especially with respect to the 
texture and flavour. The conventional methods used 
always result into a product with a very hard texture.
and strong beany flavour (Alobo, 1999). Lack of knowledge of the functional, chemical and nutritional properties of some legumes grown in developing countries is responsible for their less utilisation in food formulations (Udensi et al, 1999). Bambara groundnut is a complete food and is eaten in various forms either immature or fully ripe. The seed is hard, smooth, usually round and varying in size up to about 1.5cm in diameter (Kay, 1979). It can also vary in colour from white, cream, dark-brown, red or black and may be speckled or patterned with combination of these colours. This work, therefore, aims at investigating effect of different pre-treatments prior to dehulling on physico-chemical properties of the Bambara flours.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procurement of material

Cream coloured variety of Bambara nut was procured from mile 12 market in Lagos State Nigeria.

Preparation of bambara flours

The seeds were thoroughly cleaned and sorted to remove extraneous matters. 200 gram clean seeds was weighed into four different places, the first batch was steam blanched for 15min (SBS), the second batch was steeped in water at room temperature (28±2°C) for 8h (CWS), the third batch was parboiled for 10 min (HBS). The three samples were later dried in air draught drier (Mermmet, Germany) at 65°C for 48h to moisture content of about 10%. The fourth batch (NTS) was roasted (190°C) for 5min. The four samples were separately dehulled using plate mill with clearance of 6mm between the plates. The cotyledons from each sample were separately milled into powder using a laboratory hammer mill to pass through 0.8mm screen size. The samples were packed in high density polyethylene and stored under refrigeration (4°C) for further analysis.

Analyses

100 grammes of each batch was taking for manual separation. The seed coat and cotyledon were separately weighed using a top loading balance (Mettler, W. Germany). The dehulling-ability was expressed as percentage free seed coats from cracked beans. Proximate chemical composition of the samples was carried out according to official methods (AOAC, 1990). Bulk density, foaming capacity, foam stability and gelation capacity were done as previously described (Olapade et al, 2004). All tests were done in triplicate except otherwise stated.

Preparation of moin-moin

Fresh pastes were prepared from both cowpea and Bambara (figure 2) and reconstituted flours. Flours 200g were each hydrated with 300ml water (60°C) and allow standing for 1h. 200g paste each was blended with red pepper 20g, fresh onion 20g, 10g salt, 1g mono-sodium-glutamate seasoning and 50ml warm vegetable oil (60°C) in a Kenwood food blender at speed 3 for 4min. The mixture was dispensed into standard moin-moin aluminium cup and steamed for 45min at ambient pressure.

Sensory evaluation

The moin-moin samples were coded and presented to a ten panel of judges who are familiar with the product for sensory evaluation. The trained panel scored the colour, flavour, taste, texture and overall-acceptability of the moin-moin using a nine point Hedonic scale, where 9 indicated extremely like and 1 extremely dislike.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained were statistically analysed and samples, means were separated using Duncan’s multiple test procedure.
**Fig1.** Flow chart for different processes of preparation of bambara flour.
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**Fig 2.** Flow diagram of preparation of fresh paste
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of functional properties of bambara flours are presented in Table 1. Foam-ability value was highest for cold water soaked sample (21.6%) while roasted sample showed the least value (12.5%). The results agreed with the early report of Desphade et al. (1982), which reported foaming capacity values of 26-41% for some dry beans and attributed foaming capacity to ability of the proteins to denature, precipitate and lower the surface tension at the air-liquid interface of the foam. Diwakar et al. (1996) attributed foam-ability to the configuration of the protein molecules; flexible protein molecules give good foam-ability but highly ordered globular molecules give low foam-ability. Low foam-ability of roasted sample flour may be attributed to ordered globular molecules as a result of high temperature (190°C) employed during roasting. The least concentration of the samples ranged from 12 to >20%. The results fall within those reported for some legumes (Henshaw and Shobowale, 1996; Abbey and Ibeh, 1988; Olapade et al., 2004). The higher the least gelation concentration the lower the ability of the flour to form a stable gel. There were significant differences among the samples means (p=0.05). Fleming et al. (1974) attributed gelation capacity of legume proteins to the globulin fraction. There were significant differences among samples means for bulk density (p=0.05). Dehull-ability values ranged from 4.7% to 15.7% for the flour samples. Cold water soaking results in highest dehull-ability value. Hanson (1975) attributed dehull-ability of legumes to the pectin content, which was regarded as the intercellular cementing substances between the cotyledons and the seed coats. The higher values of dehull-ability for treatment involving water soaking may be attributed to the weakening of the pectin substances.

The proximate chemical composition of the flours was essentially similar (Table 2) and within the range generally reported for bambara nut (Oyenuga, 1968). Crude protein values of the flours were not significantly different (p=0.05). The moisture content ranged from 10.7 to 12.0% for roasted sample and hot water soaked sample respectively. Fat content was generally higher compared to other non-oil seed legumes (5.03-7.06%)

Table 1 presents the results of sensory evaluation of moin-moin samples from bambara and cowpea. Fresh paste from bambara produces moin-moin that is equally accepted in all attributes compared to moin-moin from fresh cowpea paste. The colour, flavour, texture, taste and overall acceptability scores of moin-moin from cold water steeped and hot water blanched bambara flour were rated similarly to those of moin-moin from fresh pastes (controls). Moin-moin from steam blanching treatment had low rated colour, flavour, taste, texture and overall acceptance. Roasted sample produced less firm moin-moin. This may be attributed to heat damage to its biopolymers constituents. Poor rating of the samples SBS and NTS could be attributed to their low dehull-ability and high least gelation concentration.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that it is possible to produce acceptable moin-moin from Bambara nut. The moin-moin from Bambara flours were favourably scored compared to the control. Pre-soaking Bambara nut for dry dehulling gave better dehull-ability that resulted in more acceptable moin-moin.
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Table 1- Functional properties of bambara flours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Foam-ability %</th>
<th>Foam-stability concentration %</th>
<th>Least gelation density g/ml</th>
<th>Bulk ability %</th>
<th>Dehulling-a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWS</td>
<td>21.5&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.0&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.625&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>15.7&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBS</td>
<td>17.5&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.0&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>20&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.571&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>9.5&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBS</td>
<td>13.75&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.5&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.500&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>12.9&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>12.5&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.5&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;20&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.606&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.7&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same subscripts along the column are not significantly different (p=0.05)
CWS- Cold water soaked sample for 8h
SBS- Steam blanched sample
HBS- Hot water blanched sample
NTS- Roasted sample (190°C)

Table 2. Proximate composition of bambara flours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture %</th>
<th>Crude protein %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>Fat %</th>
<th>Carbohydrate %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWS</td>
<td>11.33&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>19.11&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.61&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.49&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>59.46&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBS</td>
<td>11.83&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>18.42&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.77&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.81&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60.17&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBS</td>
<td>11.97&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17.71&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.03&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>61.33&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>10.70&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>19.47&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.99&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.06&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>58.78&lt;sub&gt;_&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same subscripts along the column are not significantly different (p=0.05)
CWS- Cold water soaked sample for 8h
SBS- Steam blanched sample
HBS- Hot water blanched sample
NTS- Roasted sample (190°C)
Table 3. Sensory evaluation of moin-moin from bambara flours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Flavour</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Overall-acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWS</td>
<td>7.7&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.5&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.0&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.0&lt;sub&gt;abc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.0&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBS</td>
<td>6.5&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.7&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.1&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.3&lt;sub&gt;cd&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.6&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBS</td>
<td>6.7&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.7&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.3&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.7&lt;sub&gt;bcd&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.7&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>5.2&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.2&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.3&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.3&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.4&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>8.1&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.3&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.7&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.3&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.7&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS</td>
<td>8.0&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.3&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.7&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.0&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.3&lt;sub&gt;ab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same subscripts along the column are not significantly different (P=0.05)
CWS-Cold water soaked sample for 8hr.
SBS- Steam blanched sample
HBS- Hot water blanched sample
NTS-Roasted sample (190°C)