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ABSTRACT

Atrazine behavior during crop maize (Zea mays L.) production in soils of Argentine humid pampas was investigated. Lysimeters (3.3 x 3.3 x 1.4 m) with a Typic Argiudoll (Pergamino) and others with a Typic Hapludoll (Junín) were monitored for drainage water content and atrazine concentrations in soil and water. Soil profiles were sampled in four depths in three dates, and water drainage pooled in four periods. Most of atrazine loss in drainage occurred within 30 d of atrazine application being 0.13% and 0.03% of the total atrazine applied for Pergamino and Junín, respectively. Under laboratory conditions half-life average in both profiles was 16 d. Atrazine extractable residues (AER) in lysimeters showed differences in quantity and distribution between both profiles. Extractable residues were 25.7 (Pergamino) and 69.4 g ha⁻¹ (Junín). At the 30th day of application, AER represented 25% of total AER in Junín and 88% in Pergamino in the first 30 cm. Occurrence of preferential flow through the B horizon (Pergamino) may explain differences detected. High proportion of AER in Junín profile suggests low atrazine affinity and should be followed through time in order to evaluate actual stability of these residues and if they constitute a potential risk for aquifers.
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INTRODUCTION

Atrazine (6-chloro-N²-ethyl-N⁴-isopropyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine) has been studied for more than 50 years, however, still it is difficult to reach a complete understanding of its behavior and establish the determinant characteristics and periods with greatest vulnerability of the environment to this herbicide.

Retention, transformation, and transport are the three mechanisms that regulate atrazine evolution in the soil. The study of those mechanisms in isolation has allowed the parameterization of each mechanism through indexes: determination coefficient, Kd; dissipation rate, k; and retardation factor, Rf. Currently, there are accessible and complete databases of those parameters (Tomlin, 2003).

Various environmental factors such as temperature, water content, oxygen status, soil type, soil organic matter, atrazine concentration, N fertilization, crop and soil management has also allowed the determination of variation ranges of Kd and k indexes (Stolpe and Shea, 1995; Barriuso and Houot, 1996; Koskinen and Clay, 1997; Azevedo et al., 2000a; Di et al., 2001). However, phenomena like preferential flow can lead to herbicide behavior in a soil profile that is different to that expected from its edaphic properties only (Pivetz and Steenhuis, 1995).

The complexity of these interactions is usually partially overcome through modeling (Azevedo et al., 2000b; Asare et al., 2001; Noshadi et al., 2002; Pollock et al., 2002), establishing field scale studies (Sadeghi and Isensee, 1992; Schoen et al., 1999; Azevedo et al., 2000a). Use of lysimeters allows for integral studies of pesticide behavior in soil. In studies at semi-field scale with partially controlled conditions, is possible to incorporate aspects related to crop such as crop development, fertilization, crop sequence, method of water supply, cultivation systems (Douset et al., 1995; Isensee and Sadeghi, 1996; 1997; Clay et al., 1998; Cherrier et al., 2005). Many of these studies have been conducted with irrigation, thus allowing for determination of changes in the atrazine residues availability in terms of the time passed since application and the water supply (Beck et al., 1996).
The study was conducted during the maize crop production in plots with lysimeters in two characteristic profiles of the region, maintaining local use strategies and management. In order to understand the fate of atrazine in soils of the humid pampas of Argentina with an integral study, the objectives were to identify the critic period during a crop of maize to transport down atrazine in two typic soil profiles, and to identify the soil properties more related to atrazine behavior.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Area of study and lysimeters**

The study was conducted at the Pergamino Experimental Station of the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) (33°51´ S; 60°40´ W). An experimental device composed of six lysimetric boxes of reinforced concrete (closed and gravity lysimeters) (Figure 1). The lysimeters were made in 1968. Dimensions of each (one) lysimetric box are 3.3 x 3.3 x 1.4 m of depth. Three lysimeters were mechanical filled with a Typic Argiudoll (Pergamino) and the other three were filled with a Typic Hapludoll (Junín), according the natural horizon sequences. Profiles were placed on a filtering bed made in sand and gravel which carried the water into a tube to collect the drainage. This device has been used since its installation with different rotations of maize, soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.), and sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

Soil properties were determined after sampling in plots near to lysimeters with corresponding soil profile. The soils were air-dried and sieved to 2 mm. Particle size distribution among sand, silt and clay fractions was done by sieving and sedimentation. The soil pH was determined in water (soil:water, 1:2), organic carbon (OC) content by wet combustion (Walkey and Black), cationic exchangeable capacity (CEC) was done by saturation with 1 N ammonium acetate at pH 7, water-holding capacity (WHC) was determined by pressure cooker, permanent wilting point (PWP) was determined by pressure membrane. All these techniques are described in Sparks (1996). The physical and chemical properties of each soil profile are shown in Table 1. Soil bulk density was done *in situ* by means the core method (Blake and Hartge, 1986).

Since 2001 was conducted a maize-soybean rotation under non-tillage system. Both sets of lysimeters were planted with maize (‘Dekalb 752 Bt’) on 29 September 2001. At planting fertilization with 100 kg ha⁻¹ diammonium phosphate was done, and 60 kg ha⁻¹ urea was applied at 4th leaf. The cropping was harvested after 110 d, on 5 March 2002. The grain yield in average was 10 000 kg ha⁻¹ and there were no significant differences between the two soil types.

Weather parameters such as temperature and rainfall were recorded with an automatic weather station situated 50 m from the device.

**Study of atrazine retention and transport in lysimeters**

A dose of 4 L ha⁻¹ atrazine (TRAC 50 FL Atanor, p.a. 50%, San Nicolás, Argentina) was applied at pre-emergence of maize crop. Soil samples were taken at four depths: 0-5, 5-30, 30-100, 100-120 cm in each lysimeter with a soil auger of 1 cm of inner diameter. The auger was cleaned with pure alcohol between the depth samples. The sampling dates were: Pre-planting, 30 d after atrazine application, and 110 d after atrazine application. The soil samples were air dried and sieved through 2 mm and stored at -18 ºC until their analysis.

Drainage water was sampled when present. Effluents were pooled into four periods: pre-planting-atrazine application (P1); 0-17 d after application (P2); 18-30 d after application (P3); and 31-110 d after application (P4). In each drainage period proportional mix samples were prepared with a final volume of 2 L, stored at 5 ºC in glass flasks until their analysis.

![Figure 1. Lysimeter design.](image-url)
Atrazine degradation studies under laboratory conditions

Soils were characterized by their potential capacity to degrade atrazine. Twenty grams of air dried sample obtained from each soil depth were incubated in the dark at 28 ± 1 ºC at constant moisture content (80% of field capacity), 5 mL (30 mg L⁻¹) of atrazine solution was added to soil samples placed in hermetic flasks to a final herbicide concentration of 7.5 μg g⁻¹ of soil per flask. After 0, 7, 14, 28, 56 days of incubation three replicates and a blank (soil + water, without atrazine) were extracted from each soil depth. The samples were frozen until their processing.

Atrazine daily dissipation rate was calculated from the atrazine degradation studies under laboratory conditions. Atrazine half-life was calculated using first order equation:

\[ C_t = C_0 e^{-kt} \]

where \( C_t \) is the concentration of atrazine at time \( t \), \( C_0 \) is the initial atrazine concentration; \( k \) is the dissipation rate and \( t \), the time of measurement.

Chemical analysis of atrazine extractable residues

Atrazine was extracted from soil with 20 mL of acetonitrile:water (90:10). Centrifuge tubes were agitated for 2 h at room temperature (20 ± 2 ºC approximately). Soil extracts were purified using 3 mL of benzencesulfonic acid cartridges (Bakerbond SPE aromatic sulfonic acid 3 mL, [500 mg], J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, New Jersey, USA) under vacuum (Visiprep Solid Phase Extraction Vacuum Manifold, Supelco, Bellefonte, Pennsylvania, USA). Cartridges were conditioned with 3 mL of aqueous solution of 1% acetic acid. From each soil, 5 mL extract were mixed with 25 mL of aqueous solution of 1% acetic acid and then aspirated through the cartridges at 5 mL min⁻¹. The cartridges were successively washed with 1 mL acetonitrile, 3 mL MilliQ water and finally with 1 mL 0.1 M K₂HPO₄. An atrazine elution was performed with 5 mL of a mixture of acetonitrile:K₂HPO₄ 0.1 M (1:1). The eluate was recovered in 5 mL volumetric flasks and filled to 5 mL with acetonitrile:K₂HPO₄ 0.1 M solution.

Atrazine quantification was performed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Waters appliance equipped with automatic injection and a 996-Photodiode Array, using an LC 10AS pump, a UV-Vis SPD 10 VP detector, an SIL 10 ADVP automatic injector, an SCL 10 A controller and Millenium 03 software (Waters 2690, Milford, Massachusetts, USA). Samples were injected using acetonitrile:K₂HPO₄ 0.05 M (40:60) as mobile phase and measurements were performed at 254 nm. Based on previous assays, it was observed that the percentage of recovery and the quantification limit of this procedure ranged between 95 and 105% and 0.04 μg mL⁻¹, respectively (Cañas et al., 2000).

Extractable atrazine in soils was expressed in concentration units (μg kg⁻¹) and content per unit surface (μg ha⁻¹), applying the mean values of apparent density and at each depth. Atrazine in water was expressed in concentration units (μg L⁻¹) and in quantity (μg ha⁻¹) from the mean concentrations and the volume of drained water accumulated in each drainage period.

Experimental design and statistical analysis

The experimental design was randomized complete blocks with three repetitions and two treatments. Double ANOVA were carried out. Comparison of means between treatments was performed by Duncan test (\( P < 0.05 \)) and orthogonal contrasts were done. The statistical program used was InfoStat (2003).

### Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of two soil profiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Soil classification</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Clay (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sand (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Silt (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>WHC (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>PWP (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>OC (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>CEC (cmolc kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Bulk density (Mg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>Silty loam</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Silty clay loam</td>
<td>5-30</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Silty loam</td>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100-120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHC: water holding capacity; PWP: permanent wilting point; pH: soil:water, 1:2; OC: organic carbon; CEC: cation exchange capacity.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drainage and atrazine leaching losses

Most of the drainage occurred during the first 30 d, with significant differences between soil profiles (Table 2). In this first crop stage the drainage was 81% and 85% of the total recovered for Pergamino (213 mm) and Junín (256 mm), respectively. These drainage levels coincided with a high volume of rainfall, soils with high water content (80% field capacity) and a maize crop status with low evapotranspiration capacity.

Atrazine concentration in drainage water for both soil profiles is shown in Figure 2. Atrazine was not detected in the period pre-planting-application (P1). After its application it was detected in all subsequent periods. Most of atrazine leaching losses occurred during the first 30 d after application (P2 y P3) corresponding to the 0.13% of the atrazine input for Pergamino and the 0.03% for Junín. At the time of atrazine application the soil showed a high water content, approximately 80% of the field capacity in both profiles. The high water content of the soil favors atrazine transport, associated with gravity drainage (Beck et al., 1996), piston-type water flow (Sorenson et al., 1994) reduces atrazine retention (Koskinen and Rochette, 1996). Besides, the condition of “fresh residues” favors atrazine mobility (Beck et al., 1996; Neurath et al., 2004; Cherrier et al., 2005). In P4 (31-110 d after application) these percentages decreased to 0.01 and 0.005% for Pergamino and Junín, respectively. Significant differences between both profiles were not found, probably due to the high variability among lysimeters of each profile.

Atrazine mean concentration in the drainage was of 1.98 μg L⁻¹ for the Pergamino soil and 0.58 μg L⁻¹ for the Junín soil, that is to say that the atrazine concentration average was below the maximum contamination level (MCL) of atrazine in drinking water set by the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States (USEPA) (3.0 μg L⁻¹). However, for Pergamino in the P3 period, the mean value of atrazine concentration in drainage water was over the MCL (3.3 ± 2.3 μg L⁻¹). The higher concentration and quantity of atrazine recovered from drainages during the P2 + P3 periods for Pergamino compared to Junín suggest the incidence of various factors on atrazine transportation, such as the soil hydric status, the crop phenologic status, amount of rainfall and their proximity to the herbicide application. The incidence of these factors has been noted by others authors (Logan et al., 1994; Kalita et al., 2006).

On the other hand, preferential flow would partly explain why Pergamino profile, whose edaphic characteristics favor atrazine retention, had the highest herbicide leaching losses. Atrazine mobility in soils with clay texture related to preferential flow phenomena has been described in numerous works (Sorenson et al., 1993, 1994; Azevedo et al., 2000a; Sadeghi et al., 2000). Bundt et al. (2001) point out that fast transport of water and solutes in soil occurs in most soils, and that an important characteristic of preferential flow is the by-pass of solutes to a big portion of the soil matrix. One of the forms of preferential flow is due to a non-homogeneous infiltration (Edwards et al., 1993) like the occurrence of the argillic horizon (B) in the Pergamino profile.

Atrazine extractable residues in soil

In pre-planting sampling atrazine extractable residues of previous applications were not found in any of the studied depths. Concentration of atrazine extractable residues recovered at 30 and 110 d in both profiles is shown in Table 3. Statistical analysis is shown together with the atrazine values recovered for each factor (profile-depth-date). In both sampling dates, the highest concentration was recorded in the first soil depth (0-5 cm). The total concentration of atrazine in the Pergamino profile was higher than in Junín, at the 30th day as in the 110th day; however, it was only significantly different in the first period. Both profiles showed a higher concentration of atrazine extractable residues at the 30th day (P < 0.01). After 30 d, the extractable residues distribution differed only significantly at 100-120 cm depth. After 110 d, it was only possible to quantify atrazine in the first 30 cm in the Pergamino profile and in the first 5 cm in Junín. Below

### Table 2. Rainfall and cumulative drainage during crop maize cycle grouped in two periods (0-30 and 30-110 days).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (d)</th>
<th>0-30</th>
<th>30-110</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall, mm</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pergamino drainage, mm</td>
<td>172a</td>
<td>41a</td>
<td>213a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junín drainage, mm</td>
<td>218b</td>
<td>38a</td>
<td>256a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan test (P < 0.05).
230 days: Planting-4th leaf; 30-110 days: 4th leaf-harvest.
these depths atrazine was only detected but below the quantification limit (0.01 μg kg⁻¹).

Junín profile presented less vertical variation in the atrazine extractable residues distribution than Pergamino profile. This difference in extractable residues distribution was more evident expressed as total amount of atrazine extractable residues (Table 4). Amount of atrazine extractable residues in each profile recovered at the 30th day were 25.7 and 69.4 g ha⁻¹ for Pergamino and Junín, respectively.

Approximately 88% (22.6 g atrazine ha⁻¹) was recovered in the 30 cm topsoil in the Pergamino profile, while in Junín only was the 25% (17.3 g atrazine ha⁻¹). In Junín, 94% (68.0 g atrazine ha⁻¹) of the total amount of atrazine extractable residue was recovered in the first 100 cm. Amounts of atrazine recovered in both profiles in the upper 30 cm of the soil suggest similar behavior in both depths. These records agree with previous studies that show that atrazine accumulates from 36 to 54 cm of depth, depending on the edaphic profile characteristics (Dousset et al., 1995; Clay et al., 2000). Asare et al. (2001) determined by means of models that the mass centre of the atrazine distribution profile ranged on 23.8-49.4 cm. Total organic carbon (OC) and clay in the 30 cm topsoil are 854 and 696 t ha⁻¹ for Pergamino and Junín, respectively, and could partially explain the higher total of atrazine extractable residues for Pergamino than Junín, due to that both components have high atrazine retention capacity and the hysteresis of the process have been demonstrated in several works (Barriuso et al., 1992; Benoit and Preston, 2000).

However, significant differences were detected in atrazine extractable residues in the 30-100 cm depth. Lowest atrazine extractable residues concentration was recovered at Argilic horizon (Bt) in Pergamino profile at 30 d (P < 0.01). In both profiles in the 30-100 cm depth, the OC content was similar, 49.2 t ha⁻¹ in Pergamino and 52.4 t ha⁻¹ in Junín, but while Pergamino soil presented 3666.6 t clay ha⁻¹, Junín soil has 1625.4 t clay ha⁻¹. This difference is related to the presence of argilic horizon in the Pergamino profile that affects soil properties such as structure, porous distribution, etc.

On the other hand, the Bt horizon behavior would be related with the dual effect of clay content on atrazine retention. Edaphic characteristics favour formation of non-extractable residues. Hang et al. (2005) working with profiles with pedologic characteristics similar to the ones in this study, determined a high proportion of non-extractable residues in an argilic horizon, developing in the first 7 d more than 80% of the total amount developed in 56 d. Extractable and non-extractable residues are pools of atrazine related to the extraction method (Lerch et al., 2001)

Table 3. Atrazine extractable residues in four horizons of two soil profiles in three sampling dates (application dose 4 L ha⁻¹ TRAC 50 FL Atanor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Pergamino</th>
<th>Junín</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>Atrazine concentration (μg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>Before atrazine application</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 days¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>20.6 ± 4.3a²</td>
<td>7.3 ± 3.8c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-30</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4c</td>
<td>4.4 ± 5.8cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>nd³</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.5cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-120</td>
<td>1.2 ± 2.0c</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total atrazine residues extractable</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.9A³</td>
<td>18.7 ± 8.2B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Atrazine extractable residues in four horizons of two soil profiles, Pergamino (Typic Argiudoll) and Junín (Typic Haplustoll) recovered after 30 days of atrazine application (application dose 4 L ha⁻¹ TRAC 50 FL Atanor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Pergamino</th>
<th>Junín</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-30</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-120</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: non-detected.  
¹30 days: planting-4° leaf; 30-110 days: 4° leaf-harvest.  
²Different small letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan test (P < 0.01).  
³Different small letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.01) between profiles at the same sampling date.  
⁴Capital letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan test (P < 0.01) between sampling dates at the same profile.
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that is to say; the absence of atrazine extractable 
residues in Bt horizon in Pergamino profile could be 
assOCIated to occurrence of non-extractable residues 
(Hang et al., 2005).

Half-life and daily dissipation rate
The average value of $t_{1/2}$ was 16 d in both profiles (Table 5). 
Dissipation rate decreased with depths in the Junín profile, 
the opposite happened with the half-life. Pergamino on 
the other hand, showed greater variability, and the argilic 
horizon (Bt) showed the lowest atrazine daily dissipation 
rate and correspondingly the highest $t_{1/2}$ value.

Mass balance
Mass balance between the amount of initial atrazine input 
and the amount of the atrazine recovered in soil and water 
is shown in Table 6. Due to the high variability among 
lysimeters, the mass balance was calculated separately 
for each one of them. The percentage of atrazine input 
quantified at the 30th d was 1.4 ± 0.5% for Pergamino and 
3.5 ± 1.2% for Junín. At the end of the maize cycle these 
percentages decreased to 0.4 ± 0.4% and 0.003 ± 0.002%, 
respectively. After 30 d of it application, 98.6% and 96.5% 
of the initial atrazine input for Pergamino and Junín, 
respectively, was not recovered.

Atrazine accelerate mineralization is a process 
detected in soils where atrazine application is frequently 
used (Barriuso and Houot, 1996; Hang et al., 2005; 
2007a). This process is due to development of adapted 
microflora induced by atrazine application, and atrazine 
is mineralized until carbon dioxide in very short periods 
(ZablotoWicz et al., 2006; Hang et al., 2007a).

On the other hand, non-extractable residues (bound 
residues) are considered atrazine dissipation processes (Clay 
and Koskinen, 1997; Hang et al., 2003; 2007b). Sorenson 
et al. (1993; 1994) working with 14C-atrazine in lysimeters 
determined that the remaining radioactivity in the profile 
after 16 mo was 75% in a clay soil and 80% in a sandy 
soil. In our study, which was conducted in a period of 110 
d it was observed that the highest proportion of recovered 
atrazine was quantified during the first 30 d in the drainage 
water as well as in the extractable residues in the soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Pergamino</th>
<th>Junín</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>$k$ (d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$t_{1/2}$ (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0.066 ± 0.008</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-30</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.009</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-100</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.005</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-120</td>
<td>0.063 ± 0.005</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Atrazine dissipation rate, $k$ (d$^{-1}$) and half-life, $t_{1/2}$ (d) in four layers of two soil profiles.
CONCLUSIONS

The results show that atrazine transport decreases from its application time. Most of atrazine loss in drainage water occurred within 30 d of atrazine application. Therefore, the critical period for the aquifer can be associated with precipitation events close to atrazine application (before and after) and the early growing season.

Moreover, similarities in atrazine behavior between profiles were observed up to the first 30 cm, while below this depth significant differences were found. The occurrence of preferential flow through the Bt horizon allowed atrazine concentration in drainage water to be higher in the profile of silty clay texture than in the profile of sandy loam texture (3.10\(^{-4}\) vs. 6.10\(^{-4}\) kg de atrazine ha\(^{-1}\)). In the profile with sandy loam texture the great amount of recovered extractable residues between 30-100 cm suggests low atrazine affinity in this horizon and should be followed through time in order to evaluate the actual stability of these residues and if they constitute a potential risk for aquifers. Although, volume of water drained in the first 30 d for Pergamino was approximately 21% lower than the one in Junín, in terms of the amount of atrazine recovered in drainage for the same period, Pergamino surpassed Junín in 73%, which indicates that the higher water volume drained in Junín did not compensate for the lower concentration of leaching losses in this soil.
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RESUMEN

Estudio integral del comportamiento de atrazina en lisímetros de campo en suelos de la pampa húmeda Argentina. Se estudió el comportamiento de atrazina durante el ciclo de un cultivo de maíz (Zea mays L.) en suelos de la pampa húmeda Argentina. Se monitoreó el total de agua drenada y la concentración de atrazina en suelo y agua, mediante el uso de seis lisímetros (3.3 x 3.3 x 1.4 m) tres de ellos llenados con un Argiudoll Típico (Pergamino) y otros tres con un Hapludoll Típico (Junín). Se tomaron muestras de cuatro horizontes en cada perfil de suelo y agua drenada se agrupó en cuatro períodos. La mayor parte de las pérdidas de atrazina en el drenaje ocurrieron dentro de los primeros 30 días desde la aplicación de atrazina. Los porcentajes recuperados respecto de la cantidad inicial aplicada fueron 0.13% and 0.03% para Pergamino y Junín, respectivamente. La vida media para ambos perfiles y cada uno de los horizontes fue determinada en condiciones de laboratorio siendo en promedio de 16 días. Se detectaron diferencias en la cantidad y la distribución de los residuos extractables de atrazina (REA) entre los dos perfiles de suelo. Mientras que en el perfil Pergamino la cantidad total de REA fue de 25.7 g ha\(^{-1}\) para el perfil Junín fue de 69.4 g ha\(^{-1}\) (Junín). A los 30 días de la aplicación los REA obtenidos en el perfil de Junín representaron el 25% del total recuperado y el 88% en el perfil de Pergamino. En ambos perfiles estos porcentajes se recuperaron de los primeros 30 cm. Las diferencias detectadas en el perfil de Pergamino podrían estar asociadas a la presencia de un horizonte Bt con vías de flujo preferencial. La mayor cantidad de REA en el perfil de Junín sugiere baja afinidad de atrazina y debiera ser estudiado a lo largo del tiempo a fin de evaluar la real estabilidad de estos residuos y si constituyen un potencial riesgo para los acuíferos.
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