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secondhand smoke, as even low levels of exposure are associated with adverse health effects. Physicians caring for children need to discuss the harmful effects of smoking and the importance of reducing childhood exposure to secondhand smoke; parents should be educated and encouraged not to smoke; or if they are smokers, to quit.[5]

It has been observed that adopting the framework strategy of 5 A’s (ask, advise, assess, assist, and arrange) gives each parent the maximum chance of quitting.[6] However, before implementing an effective course of action, the counselor needs background knowledge about socio-demographic patterns and smoking habits of parents, as well as their attitudes towards the dangers of tobacco smoke. Questionnaires are relatively inexpensive and allow exposure assessment during different periods and in different indoor environments and hence are commonly used for assessing exposure to SHS in health-effects studies.[7]

We conducted this cross-sectional study to define smoking habits in parents of young children under the age of 5 years, to ascertain their knowledge of hazards that passive smoke creates for their offspring, and to see if risk awareness has any effect on their smoking behavior. Although smoking practices in different age groups have been appraised in quite a few research papers from Iran, we did not find another report from the region about the prevalence of smoking in parents of preschool children, in our literature search.[8-10]

Likewise, no research has addressed the issue of parental awareness about the adverse consequences of exposure to SHS in children and the effect of this insight on smoking behavior.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting
Families of preschool children visiting health centers based in two teaching hospitals in the northern of Tehran during a period of 18 months, from July 2005 to December 2006, were recruited for this study.

Inclusion criteria
Families available to the study team during the study period with children between the ages of 3 months and 5 years were included in the study. Each family included the mother, father, and at least one child under the age of 5 years.

Exclusion criteria
Single-parent families were not enrolled. Parents of children with gross congenital anomalies or chronic illnesses and also parents with babies under the age of 3 months were excluded from the study.

Trained members of the study team interviewed the parents and recorded socio-demographic and other relevant data in a structured questionnaire. Data were collected consecutively from all subjects who met the enrollment criteria and who were available to the study team during the specified period.

Variables
Variables tested for comparison of the two groups (habitual smokers and nonsmokers), included the number of children; the sex of these children; parents’ ages, education, and social status; and parents’ awareness about the adverse effects of secondhand smoke (SHS) on their children. The effect of risk awareness on their smoking behavior was documented. In addition, child’s birth weight, mode of delivery (normal or cesarean section), history of neonatal hospitalization, and duration of breast-feeding were recorded.

Socioeconomic status (SES) was graded according to the father’s occupation: the families of directors, professionals, or business managers were placed in the ‘high’ social class; government employees and skilled workers, as well as students in ‘intermediate’ class, laborers, farmhands, and the unemployed made up the ‘low’ social class.

Risk awareness was graded as nil if parents thought that SHS was not harmful or did not know if it was unsafe for their children. If they knew that it was harmful to the respiratory system only, risk awareness was graded as positive; risk perception was considered high if parents responded with answers like ‘secondhand smoke affects many systems including the heart, may cause death, is a poison, or a cause of cancer.’

Analysis
Families were divided into two groups on the basis of parental smoking behavior, i.e., smokers and nonsmokers. Smokers were then divided into two groups: those smoking outside the home and those who admitted to smoking indoors. A third grouping was made according to smoking status of parents: heavy smokers (10 or more cigarettes/day), moderate smokers (those who restrained their smoking habit to <10 cigarettes/day or smoked occasionally), and nonsmokers.

SPSS software was used and data analyzed by multiple logistic regression. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done for comparison of means; Turkey test was used for multiple comparisons in people with different smoking status, i.e., heavy smokers, moderate smokers, and nonsmokers. The ’t’ test and ‘independent samples’ test were done for comparison of data between smokers and non-smokers. Pearson chi-square was performed for all categorical data. A P value of <.05 was considered significant. All variables were compared between the nonsmoking families and families of habitual smokers. Risk awareness was compared in all three groups, i.e., smokers and nonsmokers; heavy smokers, moderate smokers, and nonsmokers; parents who smoked outdoors and those who smoked inside the home.

Details of the questionnaire were explained to all participants before obtaining their consent for the interview; all of them were agreeable for the interview since they felt that members of the study team were interested in their children’s welfare.

No masking was done; both the parent and the interviewer were completely aware of the nature of the interview.

RESULTS

A total of 647 families were enrolled.

Child characteristics
The mean age of the children was 20.75 months. Three hundred twenty (49.5%) children were females and 391 (60.4%) were firstborn; birth weight ranged from 1.3 to 4.8 kg, mean
birth weight being 3.17 kg. Two hundred ninety-one (45%) babies had been born through a cesarean section. Six hundred forty children were over 6 months of age at the time of enrollment, and 515 (79.6%) of these had been on predominant breastfeeding for at least 6 months.

**Family characteristics**

At least one parent in 231 (35.7%) families was a smoker; in all, except in 5 families, father was the sole smoker; and in 5 families, both parents smoked. A majority of smokers, i.e., 168 (72.7%) habitual smokers, admitted to smoking indoors in the presence of their children. Out of 203 smokers in whom smoking status had been recorded, 112 parents smoked more than 10 to 15 cigarettes/day and were classified as heavy smokers. The mean age of mothers and fathers was 27.6 and 32.2 years respectively; only 30 mothers were less than 20 years of age. The mean duration of education among mothers was 10.6 years; and among fathers, it was 11.6 years. One hundred nineteen (18.4%) of all mothers were working mothers; the rest were housewives. Only 24 (3.7%) parents were housewives. Only 24 (3.7%) parents were housewives. Only 24 (3.7%) parents were housewives. Only 24 (3.7%) parents were...
assessment of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure has varied from 7% in Finnish children to >60% among Californian youth. A survey in Greece revealed smoking prevalence among adults with preschool children to be 44% (52% of fathers and 36% of mothers). Almost all smokers in our study were fathers (37% vs. <3% mothers), which is contrary to reports from studies in western countries and Japan, where although fathers’ smoking rates were higher, a significant number of mothers smoked as well, but is comparable to the situation in Taiwan, where the ratio of male-to-female adult smokers was 11 to 1. A recent global survey has estimated the prevalence of smoking in men to be 40% as compared to 12% in women.

Strength of the study

To identify the circumstances that predispose to parental smoking in normal family settings, we excluded families with stressful situations, like those having children with gross congenital anomalies or chronic illnesses. We chose to study parents of preschool children, since young children are unable to avoid exposure and their youth makes them vulnerable to parental role modeling; also, increased nicotine receptors in the brain due to smoke exposure have some bearing on increased rates of experimenting with cigarettes and smoking initiation in the children of habitual smokers. The major limitation of this study was that the smoking status was not checked using biomarkers of exposure, i.e., cotinine, but we relied on parents’ information; however, studies have shown that parental self-report accurately captures ETS and is therefore valid and reliable. Reports suggest that smoking remains concentrated among the poor and less well educated, precisely the families who can least afford the financial burden. A study from Iran identified poverty as one of the most common reasons for cigarette smoking in young people. We observed that in our subjects, frequency of smoking parents was significantly lower in families with high social status in comparison to households with low socioeconomic circumstances.

The likelihood that a child will live with an adult smoker decreases as the education level of adults in the family increases. According to statistics, only about 25% of children living with an adult who had 13 or more years of education faced the issue of secondhand smoke at home, versus nearly 40% of children from families in which no adult had that much education. Two national surveys done in Norway stated that the level of parental education was a significant predictor; while in Greece, paternal education was related to smoking, but the level of maternal education was not. We found a positive association between higher maternal education and no smoking in the family; likelihood of smoking decreased with a higher level of paternal education as well, although the difference was not significant.

Some reports state that a significant number of parents remain unaware of the detrimental effects of smoking on their children’s health; however, almost all subjects in our study were aware that passive smoking was harmful to their children, while 25% thought it was extremely harmful and may cause death. Studies have revealed that risk awareness seems to have insignificant effect on smoking behavior, a fact that was reiterated in our study as well. It seems that risk perception is not the prime factor that would curtail or stop habitual smokers from smoking in the presence of their children.

A prospective cohort study shows that maternal smoking has a negative effect on the initiation and duration of breast-feeding. In our study, the number of smoking mothers was very small, and fathers’ smoking behavior did not affect the status of breast-feeding.

Since children who are exposed to smokers in their household are three times more likely to initiate smoking themselves, the number of potential smokers increases with increasing number of children in the family. In our study, there was no difference in the rate of smokers in families with one or more children. We did not find a comparative study during our literature search.

Summary of key findings

With our young children, the main problem is paternal smoking; mostly mothers do not smoke. Also, lower parental education and low socioeconomic conditions are risk factors for parental smoking. Despite risk awareness, fathers continue their smoking habits, revealing that a deeper insight than mere knowledge is needed to change ingrained behavior.

The interesting finding of the positive effect of maternal education on their spouses’ smoking habits underscores the significance of female education in improving health consciousness in families. However, it is clear that a lack of maternal education is not the primary risk factor for exposure of children at home. To reduce exposure to secondhand smoke in young children and to understand the motivation behind risk-taking social behaviors, well-planned nationwide surveys are needed.
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