Gingival peripheral odontoma in a child: case report of an uncommon lesion
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Abstract
Odontoma in an extraskeletal location represents a challenge for diagnosis. This article reports a case of peripheral odontoma and its clinical presentation, histological evaluation and treatment. A 12-year-old boy reported a firm asymptomatic gingival mass in the anterior maxilla with two years of evolution. The procedures for diagnosis included intraoral examination, excisional biopsy and histological analysis. The diagnosis was peripheral odontoma. The follow-up revealed no sign of recurrence. Peripheral odontoma is rare and the differential diagnosis with other gingival masses is rather difficult and must include inflammatory and reactive processes. The definitive diagnosis is based on microscopic features.
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Introduction
Odontomas are considered hamartomatous malformations of odontogenic origin in which all dental tissues are represented, occurring in a more or less disorderly pattern. These lesions are usually diagnosed in the second decade of life and have no predilection for sex. The intraosseous (central) odontomas represent the odontogenic tumors of greatest incidence. Otherwise, odontomas arising in the extraskeletal soft tissue, also known as peripheral odontoma (POs), are extremely uncommon. PO shows the histological characteristics of an intraosseous odontoma, but occurs only in the soft tissue covering the tooth-bearing portion of the mandible. To the best of our knowledge only eight cases have been previously reported. This article presents a case of PO in a child referred to our Dental Clinic for treatment.

Case Report
A 12-year-old white boy was referred to Dental Clinic of the School of Dentistry, Federal University of Minas Gerais, with a single, asymptomatic nodule on the gingiva in the region of the left lateral incisor. The patient reported that the mass had a slow growth and two years of evolution. Physical and intraoral examination showed a healthy boy with a slightly reddish, circumscribed, firm 4-mm-diameter nodule. The overlying gingiva had normal texture (Figure 1A). Neither signs nor history of trauma or infection were detected. Teeth were visually free of caries and adjacent tissues were clinically normal. The hypotheses of diagnosis included pyogenic granuloma, periodontal abscess, and peripheral ossifying fibroma, and an excisional biopsy was performed for confirmation. No bone involvement was observed during the surgery. The specimen was immediately placed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed in the usual manner and submitted for histopathological analysis. Histologically, a developing rudimentary tooth was observed in the submucosal tissue. Enamel matrix, dentin, pulp tissue and ameloblasts were present (Figure 1B). Ghost cells were also noted (not shown). The lesion was completely separated from the overlying epithelium by a capsule composed of connective tissue with fibroblasts, blood vessels and islands of odontogenic epithelium. On the basis of the clinical and histopathological findings, the lesion was diagnosed as a developing peripheral compound odontoma. The two-week postoperative follow-up showed that the area had already healed. Two years later, there is still no clinical sign of recurrence.

Discussion
Two types of odontoma are histologically recognized: compound and complex lesions. The compound lesion is
comprised of tooth-like structures while the complex lesion is comprised of a mixture of odontogenic tissues without dental organization. Clinically, three types of odontoma are described in literature: central (intraosseous), erupted, and peripheral odontoma (extraosseous or soft tissue). Intraosseous odontomas represent the most common type. These extraosseous lesions are quite rare and the reports in the literature are limited. The lesions are usually diagnosed between the first and the second decade of life but may be found at any age. The most common location for this type of lesion is the maxillary anterior region, and most odontomas are relatively small, rarely exceeding 1 cm in diameter. Histological examination shows rudimentary denticles or tooth-like structures and epithelial elements may be absent. In the present case, the relationship among the structures suggested a compound odontoma. The presence of ghost cells, as noted in this case, is not a pathognomonic sign. It has been described in odontoma as well as in other lesions, such as calcifying odontogenic cyst, ameloblastoma, ameloblastic fibroma and ameloblastic fibro-odontoma. The radiographic examination may show three different developmental stages based on the amount of calcification present at the time of discovery. Unfortunately, no radiographic exam was performed in this case. The histogenesis of PO is controversial. POs are speculated to arise from the soft tissue remnants of the dental lamina. Gingival rests of Serres seems to retain the ability to pursue necessary epithelial-mesenchymal interactions, thus leading to an odontoma formation. The specific etiological factors responsible for their development have not been determined. Theories have included physical trauma, infection and hereditary influence. PO occurs in gingival tissue, appearing as an exophytic mass that can be mistakenly diagnosed as other more common exophytic gingival lesions. The differential diagnosis includes lesions of inflammatory origin (e.g., periodontal abscess) and reactive proliferations (e.g., pyogenic granuloma, peripheral ossifying fibroma, peripheral giant cell granuloma). Because of their rarity, peripheral odontogenic tumors are usually not included in differential diagnosis. When considered, the first suggestion is the peripheral odontogenic fibroma. Peripheral ameloblastoma, peripheral calcifying odontogenic cyst and peripheral calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor can also be suspected. However, they are extremely rare and usually occur in the third and sixth decade of life. Since these lesions are considered hamartomatous with a very limited growth potential, surgical removal should be conservative with no real expectation of recurrence. The histological examination is mandatory for an accurate diagnosis. In the present case, two years of follow-up indicate no sign of recurrence.
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