Apical gaps after apicoectomy procedures performed on teeth filled with gutta-percha or Resilon™
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Abstract

Aim: This ex vivo study compared, under scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the marginal adaptation of root canal obturation with either Resilon™ or gutta-percha cones following root-end resection. Methods: Thirty human single-rooted teeth with fully formed apices were collected and decoronated. The root canals were instrumented up to a size 45 taper .04 and obturated with laterally condensed gutta-percha (Group 1; n=15) or Resilon™ (Group 2; n=15). AH Plus sealer was used in both groups. After 48-h storage in saline, the apical 3 mm of each root were resected with a water-cooled high-speed plain fissure #170L carbide bur. Epoxy resin replicas of the resected root ends were examined by SEM. The total area of apical gap in each replica was measured using UTHSCSA ImageTool software. Data were analyzed statistically by the Mann-Whitney U-test (α=5%). Results: The mean area of apical gap in groups 1 and 2 was 0.0042 mm² and 0.0015 mm², respectively, with no statistically significant difference (P = 0.83). Conclusions: The type of material did not influence at the apical adaptation of root canal obturation after apicoectomy, and the misfit may be related to anatomic factors.
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Introduction

Periradicular surgery is based on two goals, namely to eliminate the etiologic agents causing infection and to prevent root canal reinfection and recontamination of the periodontal tissues thereafter. Basically, the etiologic agents involved in endodontic infections may be classified as intraradicular or extraradicular microorganisms, intraradicular or extraradicular chemical substances and extraradicular physical factors1-3. The root apex surrounded by a periapical lesion presents areas of cemental resorption and harbors microorganisms and bacterial biofilm4-5. Resection of the root apical portion may be performed with either high- or low-speed rotary instruments under constant saline irrigation. It has been demonstrated that depending on its type, angulation and rotary direction, the bur used for root-end resection may create surface irregularities and expose the dentinal tubules to a greater extent. The use of surgical length fissure burs6, cross-cut fissure burs7 and diamond burs8 has been recommended for root-end resection. A previous scanning electron microscopy (SEM) study9 examined root-end resections performed using three bur configurations in both high and low-speed handpieces and observed that the smoothest surface and the least amount of gutta-percha disturbance were produced by the #57 plain fissure bur at low-speed. In addition, better fit of the filling material to the canal walls is obtained when root-end resection is performed.
with the handpiece moved across the tooth in a forward direction in relation to the direction of rotation of the bur\(^\text{16}\).

However, the above-mentioned studies\(^\text{9-10}\) have examined gutta-percha root fillings. Although gutta-percha is universally accepted as a standard of root canal filling material, it does not have adhesion to root canal dentin and always requires association with an endodontic sealer\(^\text{11}\). Advances in adhesive technology and the search for a material with greater adhesion to the canal walls and to the sealer have resulted in a solid material named Resilon\(^\text{TM}\) (Resilon Research LLC, Madison, CT, USA), which is based on a blend of synthetic thermoplastic polyester polymers and contains bioactive glass and radiopaque fillers. This material performs like gutta-percha, has the same handling properties and is usually used in combination with a dual-cure methacrylate resin-based sealer (Epiphany; Pentron Clinical Technologies, Wallingford, CT, USA) supplied with a selfetching primer\(^\text{12}\). Obturation using the Resilon\(^\text{TM}\)/Epiphany system is reported to create a tight seal with the dentinal tubules within the root canal system; in essence, it is claimed to produce a "monoblock" effect, where the core material (Resilon\(^\text{TM}\)), sealer and dentinal tubules become a single solid structure\(^\text{13-15}\). However, a recent study\(^\text{16}\) has found significantly lower push-out bond strength of the new obturation system in comparison to the gutta-percha/AH Plus sealer. Studies\(^\text{20-22}\) have shown that Resilon\(^\text{TM}\) cones have similar thermoplasticity between gutta-percha and resin cones.

Nevertheless, no study has yet evaluated Resilon\(^\text{TM}\) and gutta-percha with respect to their apical fit in apicoctomized teeth. Therefore, the purpose of this in vitro study was to compare, under SEM, the apical fit of root canal obturation with either Resilon\(^\text{TM}\) or gutta-percha cones after root-end resection with high-speed #170L carbide burs.

### Material and methods

Thirty extracted single-rooted human teeth with fully formed apices were selected for the study. The teeth were immersed in 5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 12 h and then stored in saline until use, when they were decoronated at the cementoenamel junction with a double-faced diamond saw at low speed. A size 10 K-file (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into the canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen and the working length was established 1 mm short of this length. The root canals were instrumented using the Profile rotary system (Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into the canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen and the working length was established 1 mm short of this length. The root canals were instrumented using the Profile rotary system (Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into the canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen and the working length was established 1 mm short of this length. The root canals were instrumented using the Profile rotary system (Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into the canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen and the working length was established 1 mm short of this length.
Table 1. Mean and median (mm²) of the gap area and sum post and mean post obtained by Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Sum of posts</th>
<th>Mean post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gutta-percha</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
<td>226.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilon</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.00044</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Number specimens with and without gap in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>With</th>
<th>Without</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gutta-percha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilon</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Group 1 (Gutta-percha). SEM micrograph of a tooth subjected to apicoectomy after root canal filling with gutta-percha cones. Arrow indicates to gap areas (×75 magnification).

Figure 2. Group 2 (Resilon). SEM micrograph of a tooth subjected to apicoectomy after root canal filling with Resilon™ cones. Arrow indicates to gap areas (×75 magnification).

Discussion

In periradicular surgeries, curettage of the pathologic apical lesion and resection of the contaminated root apex are of paramount importance for treatment success. Even if the root canal filling is radiographically classified as adequate, the occurrence of apical gap between the obturation and the canal walls and the need for root-end cavity preparation and retrograde restoration should always be assessed after apicoectomy.

Studies have compared the action of different rotary instruments and techniques on root apex morphology after apicoectomy, the refinement of resected root-end surfaces with finishing burs to improve root apex topography, the use of high-power lasers for apicoectomy, the use of ultrason the sealing capacity of several filling materials, such as Resilon™ cones, gutta-percha cones, Epiphany sealer, AH Plus sealer. However, to the best of our knowledge, no other study has duplicated the present experimental model to evaluate the marginal adaptation of obturations with Resilon™ and gutta-percha cones in apicoectomized teeth.

The type of rotary instrument, the technique and the direction of rotation of the bur may produce an irregular surface following root-end resection and gap formation between the filling material and the root canal walls in the apical portion leading to microbial recontamination and treatment failure. In the present study, root-end resections were performed with a water-cooled high-speed #170L multifluted carbide bur because this type of rotary instrument has been shown to produce smoother surfaces. The direction of root-end resection was the same as that of bur rotation in order to minimize tearing, smearing and distortion of the cones onto the root canal walls.

In the present study, comparison between the groups based on the mean values of apical gap demonstrate that the group with root canals filled with Resilon™ cones presented less gap formation (0.0015 mm²) than the group with root canals filled with gutta-percha cones (0.0042 mm²). This difference was not statistically significant, probably because the filling materials had similar thermoplasticity. Although water-cooling was used in the present study, a temperature rise may occur during root-end resection procedures.

Adhesion of the filling material to the root canal walls after apicoectomy is another important factor. The sealer used in the present study, AH Plus, has shown better adhesion to the dentin walls when compared to other sealers. In this sense, although Resilon™ cones have been developed for use with Epiphany sealer, in the present study AH Plus was used in both experimental groups because this sealer has demonstrated a good interaction with Resilon™ cones, and better adhesion to Resilon™ than Epiphany when used with cold compaction techniques. The use of the same sealer in both groups allowed analyzing the influence of the type of cone (gutta-percha or Resilon™) without interference of the sealer as an additional variable.

The root end was burnished prior to SEM analysis to provide a better fit of gutta-percha to the canal walls because, in a previous study, this procedure reduced significantly the apical leakage after root end resection and glass ionomer cement retroseals.

In the present study, the root canals were filled by lateral compaction because it is a widely employed obturation technique that does not require special instruments or devices.

Given that the goal of periradicular surgery is to eliminate root canal infection and prevent recontamination, apical gap of the filling material after root-end resection is an important factor that should be taken into account. In the present study, the great majority of specimens presented gap between the obturation and the root canal walls, and the type of cone used for root canal obturation (gutta-percha or Resilon) did not influence the marginal adaptation after
root-end resection. This indicates that there is material able to avoid gaps at the obturation and very often the misfit is related to root canal anatomy. In this way, the findings of this SEM evaluation reinforce the need of performing root-end cavity preparation and retrograde filling in apicoectomized teeth because the areas of apical gap observed in both groups may serve as niches for microbial recolonization invariably leading to failure of the surgical treatment.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Bauru Dental School, University of São Paulo, Brazil, and Mr. Edmauro de Andrade for undertaking the SEM images.

References