The Wits appraisal among a Nigerian sub-population: an assessment of dental base geometric factors
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Abstract

The Wits appraisal is a common linear cephalometric analytic tool established by Jacobson. It has been reported to be superior to angular cephalometric measures as it is not dependent on pericranial structures and their variations both during growth and orthodontic treatment. **Aim:** To obtain reference values for the Wits appraisal among Nigerians and assess the effect of the dental base geometry on it. **Methods:** Lateral cephalometric radiographs of 100 Nigerian subjects with normal occlusion were analyzed to obtain the Wits appraisal, and the effect of the vertical distance from subspinale to supramentale, length of the palatal and mandibular planes, the mandibular plane angle as well as the palatal plane angle were assessed using the ANOVA and linear regression analysis. **Results:** The mean age was 20.69 ± 4.9 years. Thirty-four (34%) were males and 66(66%) were females. Mean Wits value of -3.43 ± 3.24 mm was obtained based on the functional occlusal plane (FOP). Linear regression analysis showed that the vertical height from subspinale (A point) to supramentale (B point) caused a significant change in the Wits appraisal reading in participants still undergoing active growth process (less than 16 years of age) (p=0.02). **Conclusions:** It is advocated that the Wits appraisal be used as a moderator to the ANB angle among Nigerians. However, as a result of dental height variations its use in growing Nigerian children requires caution.
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Introduction

Radiographic analysis is useful in diagnosis, treatment monitoring and assessment of achieved goals at the end of orthodontic treatment. It also influences the course of treatment for malocclusion especially when decisions for or against extractions are involved1.

The ANB angle used in Steiner’s analysis2-3 has been the most commonly used and possibly the simplest radiographic parameter in sagittal skeletal base position assessments4. However, the reliability of the ANB angle has been reported to be dependent on the antero-posterior position of the nasion and the subspinale5, the length of the cranial base, the inclination of the mandible in reference to the anterior cranial base, inclination of the occlusal plane, and the vertical dental height from A to B points5-8. Thus, the ANB may not always offer an accurate data for the antero-posterior relationships of the jaws5. Numerous cephalometric parameters have been proposed as adjuncts to the ANB10-14.
The Wits appraisal, which is based on the occlusal plane, was established by Jacobson in 1975. It has become one of the most popular linear cephalometric modalities used in assessing orthodontic patients. Its primary importance is to serve as a source of supplementary information used in conjunction with the ANB angle for assessment of sagittal skeletal base discrepancies. This is expedient in patients whose angular cephalometric (ANB) value show great divergence from the clinical assessment. Since the Wits appraisal does not use cranial or pericranial landmarks, it should, theoretically, give a more accurate picture of any antero-posterior skeletal disharmonies existing between the maxilla and the mandible. The Wits appraisal has been reported to show no significant age changes in people with normal occlusion though contrary report exists. The assessment of sagittal jaw positions using the Wits appraisal is however reported to be affected by the inclination of the occlusal plane and the vertical distance from the A to the B point. This study presents reference values for the Wits appraisal among a group of Nigerians and investigates the effect of the dental base geometry on it.

Material and methods

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Ibadan/ University College Hospital Ethical Review Board. Participants with normal occlusion based on the following criteria were recruited from secondary and tertiary institutions in Ibadan, Nigeria (Figures 1a, 1b).
1. Age between 11-30years of age
2. Angle’s class I molar relationship
3. Overjet between 2-4 mm and overbite not exceeding half of the labial surface of the lower incisors
4. Arch crowding or spacing not exceeding 2 mm
5. No buccal or lingual crossbites
6. No rotations of teeth
7. A coincident midline
8. No supernumeraries or congenitally missing teeth with the exception of the third molars
9. No previous exodontia of permanent teeth

Non-Nigerians or those of mixed origin as well as individuals with special needs and other craniofacial anomalies were excluded from the study. All participants had never had orthodontic treatment.

Intraoral examination was performed by the principal investigator (IJU) under natural light using a sterile wooden spatula and a dental mirror. Lateral cephalometric radiographs of all participants were taken (Blue-X Imaging S/no 2402kk0164 ASSAGO, ITALY) with participants’ head held in a cephalostat, looking forward with the Frankfort horizontal plane parallel to the floor and the teeth in maximum intercuspation. The distance from mid-sagittal plane of each participant to the source of radiation and the film was maintained at 150 cm and 15 cm respectively. Soft and hard tissue tracings were obtained manually on a 0.003 inch matte finish acetate tracing paper and a sharpened 2H pencil over a light viewing box in a dark room. The A point (subspinale: located on the deepest point on the anterior outline of the bony maxilla), B point (supramentale: located on the deepest point on the anterior outline of the bony mandible) as well as the functional occlusal plane (defined by a straight line passing through the intercuspaton of the first premolar and the first molar) were determined. The Wits appraisal was obtained by projecting straight lines from the A and B points respectively unto the functional occlusal plane at 90° and measuring the horizontal distance form point AO to BO (Figure 2). The vertical distance from subspinale to supramentale, the length of the palatal and mandibular planes, the mandibular plane angle and the palatal plane angle were also ascertained.

Intraexaminer variability was assessed by retracing 20 randomly selected radiographs at a two-week interval. The correlation coefficient was used for assessment of variability of measurements. Correlation value of 0.88 (p<0.01) was obtained, showing an acceptable level of agreement between both readings.

Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 19 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The t-test was used to assess any gender-based difference in the Wits appraisal. The ANOVA was used to assess the relationship between the Wits appraisal values and the other dental base factors, while linear regression analysis was used for assessing the effect of growth phase on the Wits appraisal. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.
Results

One hundred participants were evaluated, with mean age of 20.69±4.9 years (age range of 11-30 years), and the majority (66%) being females. Though all participants had class I molar relationship and clinical type I facial profile, 48% of them were radiographically determined to be class I skeletal pattern, 35% were class II, and 17% were class III based on the ANB. However, using the Wits appraisal, 68% were class I skeletal pattern, 17% were class II and 15% were class III. This difference in diagnostic accuracy was statistically significant (p<0.01) as shown in Table 1.

Linear cephalometric values for the Wits appraisal using the functional occlusal plane (FOP) showed a range of -12mm to 3.5mm (mean -3.43 ± 3.24 mm). Gender distribution of the Wits values did not show any significant variation (Table 2). One-way ANOVA showed no significant variation in the Wits appraisal values with respect to the vertical distance from the subspinale to the supramentale, length of the palatal or mandibular plane as well as the mandibular or palatal plane angles (Table 3). A linear regression model constructed based on age of the participants showed that with exception of the vertical dental base height (p<0.05), the skeletal base variables caused no significant change (p>0.05) in the Wits appraisal values among participants still actively growing (age of 16 years and less). However, among those in whom active growth had ceased none of the dental base factors was associated with a significant change (p>0.05) in the Wits appraisal value (Table 4).

Discussion

The Wits appraisal obtained from this study using the functional occlusal plane as originally described reveals a more negative value than that reported by Jacobson9. Results similar to Jacobson’s have been reported among the Saudi, Cappadocian, Turkish and American populations21-23. A Southern Chinese study had reported a similar finding to that obtained in this study24. Nigerians exhibit bi-maxillary protrusion25 and this may be responsible for the difference in Wits appraisal values.

It has been established that angular measurements do not compensate for facial divergence hence linear measurements such as the Wits appraisal offer a more reliable assessment of sagittal skeletal base discrepancy irrespective of the degree of malocclusion26. In congruence with this, the stability of the Wits appraisal values in the studied population, especially among those who have passed their active growth phase, may mean that the Wits appraisal in itself is a sufficient diagnostic tool among this group of people. However in actively growing patients, caution must be exercised because of the effect of the vertical dental base height: a finding corroborating a previous report19. A recent study reported no change in the Wits appraisal in the 8-14-year-old age group in Class I subjects with clinically acceptable occlusion and subjects with class III malocclusion27. Similarly, the ANB and Wits appraisal obtained from CBCT images has been reported not to show any significant correlation with age. The latter study however assessed a sample with a wide age disparity28 and this wide age gap might have masked the true influence of age in the sample. This study found no significant influence of age on the Wits appraisal only among participants older than 16 years.

On the other hand, it has been shown that the ANB and Wits appraisal decreased among cleft lip, alveolus and palate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Class I Malocclusion</th>
<th>Class II Malocclusion</th>
<th>Class III Malocclusion</th>
<th>Total N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANB angle</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
<td>35 (35)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM4 bisector plane Wits appraisal</td>
<td>70 (70)</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>16 (16)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOP Wits appraisal</td>
<td>68 (68)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>16 (16)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOP Wits appraisal</td>
<td>68 (68)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Classification of participants’ skeletal pattern as obtained using ANB angle and Wits appraisal among participants with normal occlusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± Std. Deviation (mm)</th>
<th>F-test score</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-4.15 ± 3.65</td>
<td>2.744</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-3.06 ± 2.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients from serial cephalograms taken at ages 10, 15 and 18 years29. A similar finding was repeated among 10-14-year-old Chinese children30 and our study corroborates this finding in participants aged 16 years and younger.

Roth’s proposal of projecting the Wits measurement from a standardized point 50mm along the vertical distance AB to the occlusal plane (the Wits50) as a means of eliminating the effect of the vertical dental height among growing patients19 may be applicable in growing Nigerian children. An added advantage of using Wits50 is that it describes the molar relationship relative to the occlusal plane and presents a better separation among cases in the three classes of malocclusion19.

The difference in diagnostic accuracy between the ANB angle and the Wits appraisal shows the Wits appraisal as being more reliable than the ANB in assessment of sagittal dental base discrepancy. This is similar to findings from other studies8,9,31-32 and, based on this, it is wise to use the Wits appraisal as a moderator of the ANB angle in the management of orthodontic patients.

In conclusion, despite the obvious advantages of the Wits appraisal, using it as a moderator of the ANB angle among Nigerians must be done with caution. This is pertinent especially in actively growing children where the effect of dental height variations may confound Wits value.
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### Table 3. Relationship between Wits appraisal values and dental base geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dental base geometric variable</th>
<th>Mean ± Std. Deviation (mm)</th>
<th>F test score</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical distance from a to b point</td>
<td>44.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.38 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of anterior cranial base</td>
<td>71.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of palatal plane</td>
<td>55.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.16 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of mandibular plane</td>
<td>83.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular plane angle</td>
<td>32.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.38 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal plane angle</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80 N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillomandibular plane angle</td>
<td>27.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.31 N.S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Influence of growth on the relation of wits appraisal and dental base geometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Age ≤16 years</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Age &gt;16 years</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
<td></td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular plane angle</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal plane angle</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length palatal plane</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length mandibular plane</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical distance A to B point</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>-0.328</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillomandibular plane angle</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>-0.479</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.S.-Not significant


