Anticancer agents may be derived from nature through isolation of active lead compounds.\(^1,2\) There are examples of successful drugs obtained from plant sources which have had a profound impact in the field of cancer. Indeed, the medical armamentarium is rich in examples of important agents that were isolated from plants and which continue to be used in current, routine clinical practice. Worldwide efforts are on to discover new anticancer agents from plants.\(^3-5\)

The Ochnaceae is a large family of tropical plants, with 40 genera, from which 600 species have been identified in South America. In the course of our phytochemical and pharmacological investigations on Brazilian plants, we have studied species of both \textit{Ouratea} and \textit{Luxemburgia} genera in which the presence of biflavonoids\(^6-12\) were detected. Biflavonoids from \textit{Ouratea} have been found to possess cytotoxic and antitumor activities\(^3,13\) as well as the ability to inhibit DNA topoisomerases.\(^11,13\) Natural and synthetic flavonoids have been shown to have antileukemic activity in P388-infected mice.\(^10\) Moreover, a 5,3'-dihydroxy-3,6,7,8,4'-pentamethoxyflavone isolated from \textit{Polanisia dodecandra} demonstrated remarkable cytotoxicity and inhibition of tubulin polymerization against a panel of human tumor cell lines.\(^17\) The biflavonoids have advantages over simple monomeric flavonoids, such as the ability to survive first-pass metabolism, which inactivates most flavonoids.\(^18\)

We have earlier reported the isolation and the structure determination of four biflavonoids: 7,7"-dimethyllanaraflavone, agathisflavone, and 7"-methylagathisflavone from \textit{Ouratea hexasperma} (leaves) and luxenchalcone from \textit{Luxemburgia octandra} (leaves and branches).\(^6,7\) In the present study we investigated the antiproliferative activity of these compounds against five human cancer cell lines (HT-29, NCI-H460, RXF-393, MCF-7, and OVCAR-3).

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material**

The leaves of \textit{Ouratea hexasperma} St.-Hill (Ochnaceae) were collected at João Pessoa, PB, Brazil, in October 2002. A voucher specimen (JPB-21438) was deposited at the herbarium of Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa-PB, Brazil. The leaves and branches of \textit{Luxemburgia octandra} St. Hill were collected at Morro de São Sebastião, Ouro Preto-MG, Brazil.
A voucher specimen (26197) was deposited at the herbarium, OUPR-UFO, Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto-MG, Brazil.

**Extraction and isolation**

Air-dried leaves of *O. hexasperma* (585.0 g) were extracted exhaustively with dichloromethane and methanol. The solvents were removed under vacuum to yield the extracts residues Leaves-Dichloromethane (LD, 14.5 g) and Leaves-Methanol (LM, 133.0 g). The LD residue (13.5 g) was filtered on a silica gel column, and the hexane:diethyl ether 1:1 (named OFMHE-6) fraction was filtered on a sephadex LH-20 column, to give 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone (1) and 7″-methylagathisflavone (2).[6] Dried leaves (621.0 g) and branches (1.8 Kg) of *L. octandra* were powdered and extracted with hexane and ethyl acetate. The solutions were concentrated under vacuum distillation and after removal of the remaining solvent, yielded the residues A (6.1 g) and B (10.4 g) from the leaves and C (4.8 g) from the branches, respectively, with hexane and ethyl acetate. The residue D was dissolved in H2O/CH3OH (1:1) and D (20.7 g) from the branches, respectively, with hexane:diethyl ether 1:1 and this fraction (D-1, 3.5 g) was filtered on a sephadex LH-20 column, to give 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone (1) and 7″-methylagathisflavone (2).[8] Dried leaves (621.0 g) and branches (1.8 Kg) of *L. octandra* were powdered and extracted with hexane and ethyl acetate. The solutions were concentrated under vacuum distillation and after removal of the remaining solvent, yielded the extracts residues Leaves-Methanol (LM, 133.0 g).

**Cell growth inhibition studies**

For the assay of antiproliferative activity, the biflavonoids (1–4) and the fraction with the mixture of the biflavonoids 1+3 (OFMHE-6) were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and further diluted in cell culture medium to obtain a final DMSO concentration of 0.25% (v/v). The cell lines were inoculated into 96-well microplates. After 24 h, triplicate cultures were treated for 72 h with the biflavones in final volumes of 200 µl per well. Untreated control wells received only maintenance medium. The antineoplastic agent etoposide was used as a positive control.

Cellular responses were colorimetrically assessed by sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay.[19] Briefly, the cells were fixed with 50% (v/v: 50 µl/well) trichloroacetic acid and stained with 0.4% SRB. Later the cell-bound SRB was solubilized by the addition of 10 mM Trizma base. The latter was colorimetrically assessed with an ELISA microplate reader (Multiskan Ex, Labsystems, Finland) at a wavelength of 540 nm.

Cell growth inhibition was expressed in terms of percentage of untreated control absorbance following subtraction of the mean background absorbance. Compounds were considered to have potent growth inhibitory activity when the reduction in SRB absorbance was more than 25% compared to untreated control cells.[20] The IC50 concentration (50% inhibition of cell growth values) was calculated from the dose-response curves.

**Statistics**

All the experiments were conducted in triplicate. The differences in means were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test. P < 0.05 was taken to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

The tested biflavones showed different patterns of growth inhibitory activity (< 23% of control cell growth) among the cell lines tested [Table 1]. The 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone (1) promoted growth inhibitory activity at 3-5 µg/ml (< 25% control growth) in NCI-H460, MCF-7, and OVCAR-3 cell lines [Table 1]. This significant effect was also confirmed by the low IC50 values of IC50 for these cell lines (0.77-2.5 µg/ml) [Table 1]. However, in HT-29 and RXF-393 cell lines this compound induced comparatively low cytotoxic effect [Table 1]. The 7″-methylagathisflavone (3) showed the highest activity on all cell lines, taking into account that the IC50 value was around 4 µg/ml for all the five cell lines tested [Table 1].

**Table 1**

Cytotoxic effect of the biflavonoids and etoposide in HT-29 colon adenocarcinoma, NCI-H460 non-small cell lung carcinoma, RXF-393 renal cell carcinoma, MCF-7 breast cancer, and OVCAR-3 ovarian adenocarcinoma. IC50 values are expressed as µg/mL (mean ± SD, n ≥ 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HT-29</th>
<th>NCI-H460</th>
<th>RXF-393</th>
<th>MCF-7</th>
<th>OVCAR-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone (1)</td>
<td>&gt; 50bc,e</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.08bc,d,e</td>
<td>40.61 ± 1.44bc,e</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.22bc,d,e</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.32bc,d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agathisflavone (2)</td>
<td>&gt; 50bc,e</td>
<td>&gt; 50bc,e</td>
<td>44.89 ± 1.84bc,e</td>
<td>&gt; 50bc,e</td>
<td>&gt; 50bc,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7″-methylagathisflavone (3)</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.42bc,d,e</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.35bc,d,e</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.64bc,d,e</td>
<td>4.58 ± 0.27bc,d,e</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.82bc,d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxenchalcone (4)</td>
<td>12.01 ± 1.59bc,d,e</td>
<td>6.85 ± 0.73bc,d,e</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.72bc,d,e</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.47bc,d,e</td>
<td>6.43 ± 1.24bc,d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFMHE-6</td>
<td>8.19 ± 1.19bc,d,e</td>
<td>9.88 ± 1.57bc,d,e</td>
<td>8.39 ± 1.48bc,d,e</td>
<td>9.02 ± 1.20bc,d,e</td>
<td>10.45 ± 2.56bc,d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.09bc,d,e</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02bc,d,e</td>
<td>13.77 ± 2.67bc,d,e</td>
<td>3.42 ± 1.00bc,d,e</td>
<td>9.42 ± 1.62bc,d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way ANOVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1800.7</td>
<td>1324.4</td>
<td>513.99</td>
<td>1318.0</td>
<td>520.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.0862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different from 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone; †Different from 7″-methylagathisflavone; ‡Different from Luxenchalcone; §Different from Agathisflavone; ¶Different from OFMHE-6.
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Luxenchalcone (4), showed similar IC_{50} values on NCI-H460, RXF-393, MCF-7, and OVCAR-3 cell lines [Table 1]. On the other hand, in HT-29 cells, the IC_{50} increased by 1.7 fold compared to that of other cell lines.

Agathisflavone (2) did not have a major impact on the cell growth in any of the cell lines tested [Table 1].

Considering the better antitumor activity (P<0.05) observed with 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone and 7″-methylagathisflavone than other biflavones, we decided to test the effect of the mixture (OFMHE-6) of those compounds. The OFMHE-6 showed growth inhibitory activity (<25% of control cell growth) among the cell lines tested at 18-20 μg/ml and the IC_{50} values ranged from 8-10 μg/ml [Table 1].

Discussion

In order to evaluate the cytotoxic activity of four biflavonoids, antiproliferative assay with five human cancer cell lines were performed. As observed with the anticancer agent etoposide, the biflavonoids demonstrated different patterns of growth inhibition among the cell lines tested.

Our results indicate that 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone (1) had more selective activity among the cell lines tested. HT-29 and RXF-393 cell lines seem to be resistant, while NCI-H460 and RXF-393 cell lines had more selective activity among the cell lines tested. As observed with the anticancer agent etoposide, antiproliferative effect appears to vary depending upon tumor type.

The significant growth inhibition induced by 7″-methylagathisflavone (3) on all the cell lines tested is in agreement with the observed antiproliferative activity of this biflavonoid against human K562 leukemia cells.[14]

The effect demonstrated with 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone, 7″-methylagathisflavone, and OFMHE-6 (a mixture of 7,7″-dimethyllanaraflavone and 7″-methylagathisflavone) could be explained by the presence of a methoxy group in carbon 7. This group confers more lipophilicity to the substance, allowing better incorporation of these molecules into the cells.[20] In contrast, agathisflavone does not have a methoxy group. This could probably explain the absence of antiproliferative activity with this compound.

The antitumor activity observed with luxenchalcone (4) is in accordance with several studies demonstrating that chalcones are cytotoxic in different tumor cell lines.[21–23]

Our findings suggest that, although the three biflavonoids demonstrated cytotoxicity on the five cell lines tested, the antiproliferative effect appears to vary depending upon tumor cell type; this should be further investigated to study the mechanism apart from possible toxicity.
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