Canadian Journal of Forest Research
Revue canadienne de recherche forestière

The effects of seed source health on whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) regeneration density after wildfire

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Forest Research</i>
Manuscript ID:	cjfr-2015-0043.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Jul-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Leirfallom, Signe; US Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory Keane, Robert; USDA Forest Service Tomback, Diana; University of Colorado Denver, Integrative Biology Dobrowski, Solomon; University of Montana, College of Forestry and Conservation
Keyword:	post-fire regeneration, wildfire, white pine blister rust, mortality, seed sources

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

The effects of seed source health on whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) regeneration density after wildfire

Signe B. Leirfallom, Rocky Mountain Research Station¹

Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory, 5775 US Hwy 10 West, Missoula, MT 59808

Phone: (406) 546-4467, email: sigleirfall@gmail.com

Address correspondence to:

Robert Keane, Rocky Mountain Research Station

Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory, 5775 US Hwy 10 West, Missoula, MT 59808

Phone: (406) 329-4846, Fax: (406)-329-4877, email: rkeane@fs.fed.us

Diana F. Tomback, Department of Integrative Biology, CB 171

University of Colorado Denver, P.O. Box 173364, Denver, CO 80217

Phone: (303) 556-2657, email: Diana.Tomback@ucdenver.edu

Solomon Dobrowski, College of Forestry and Conservation

University of Montana, 32 Campus Dr, Missoula, MT 59812

Phone: (406) 243-6068, email: solomon.dobrowski@umontana.edu

¹ Signe Leirfallom, Blackfoot Challenge
PO Box 103, Ovando, MT 59854
signe@blackfootchallenge.org

Abstract

Whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) populations are declining nearly rangewide from a combination of factors including: mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) outbreaks, the exotic pathogen *Cronartium ribicola*, which causes the disease white pine blister rust, and successional replacement due to historical fire exclusion practices. With high mortality in cone-bearing whitebark pine, seed production may not be sufficient to support natural regeneration after disturbance such as wildfire. Our objective was to examine the relationship between whitebark pine seed source health and whitebark pine regeneration density in adjacent burns. We sampled regeneration and seed source health in 15 burns within six national forests and three Wilderness Areas in Montana, ranging from five to 23 years old. We found a significant, positive relationship between seed source health and seedling density in adjacent burns. Natural regeneration was sparse when the proportion of infested or dead whitebark pine in the seed source exceeded 50%. Factors that influenced the presence of whitebark pine regeneration within a burn included both vegetation cover and potential solar radiation. Sites closer to seed sources had higher probabilities of seedling occurrence, but seedlings were present throughout most burns. Our results suggest that managers can prioritize restoration plantings of whitebark pine seedlings after wildfire, based on the health status of the nearest seed sources.

Keywords: *Pinus albicaulis*; post-fire regeneration; wildfire; white pine blister rust; mountain pine beetle

41 Introduction

42 Whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) is widely distributed throughout the mountains of the
43 western United States and Canada but restricted to subalpine and treeline elevations (Arno and
44 Hoff 1990). Its seeds are an important food source for Clark's nutcrackers (*Nucifraga*
45 *columbiana*), which are the pine's primary seed dispersers (Hutchins and Lanner 1982; Tomback
46 1982), and other granivorous birds and mammals including grizzly bears (*Ursus arctos*
47 *horribilis*) (Tomback et al. 2001a). Whitebark pine serves as a foundation species in subalpine
48 ecosystems by both structuring communities and stabilizing ecosystem function, and as a
49 keystone species by fostering biodiversity (Ellison et al. 2005; Tomback and Achuff 2010).

50 Invasive disease, native pest outbreaks, and fire exclusion practices have resulted in
51 major losses of this keystone subalpine tree species. The exotic pathogen white pine blister rust
52 (WPBR) (*Cronartium ribicola*) is present throughout most of the range of whitebark pine,
53 reducing cone production and killing trees (Schwandt et al. 2010; Tomback and Achuff 2010).
54 Estimates of WPBR infection levels are variable, but range from a low of 0-24% in the Sierra
55 Nevada Range (Maloney 2011) to over 73% in the northern US and southern Canadian Rocky
56 Mountains (Smith et al. 2008), with overall mortality doubling over the course of 20 years in the
57 Bob Marshall Wilderness in northwest Montana (Retzlaff et al. in prep). In the Canadian
58 Rockies, mean WPBR infection levels have risen from 42% in 2003-2004 to 52% in 2009
59 (Smith et al. 2008; 2013), with mortality increasing from 18% to 28% over the same time period.
60 In the Greater Yellowstone ecosystem, Bockino and Tinker (2012) found infection rates of over
61 80% in stands where WPBR was present. In addition, current climate-driven mountain pine
62 beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) outbreaks have killed cone-bearing whitebark pine at
63 unprecedented rates that could severely depress the regeneration potential of the species

(Schwandt et al. 2010; Macfarlane et al. 2013). Finally, fire exclusion policies over the past 100 years are leading to successional replacement of whitebark pine by shade-tolerant conifers in many areas (Keane et al. 1994; Murray et al. 2000). These threats were cited in whitebark pine's recent listing as a candidate species under the United States Endangered Species Act (USFWS 2011) and listing as endangered in Canada under the Species at Risk Act (Government of Canada 2012).

The upper subalpine forests of the northern Rockies are generally fire-prone, with long fire return intervals of 50-400 years for mixed severity fires (Arno and Hoff 1990; Morgan et al. 1994). Despite widespread fire exclusion practices, some fires are allowed to burn on federal lands as "fire for resource benefit," while other wildfires burn in whitebark pine forests in spite of suppression efforts (Parsons and Landres 1998). In many parts of the northern Rockies, the effects of multiple stressors on whitebark pine stands raises several important questions: (1) Given the widespread declining condition of the whitebark pine seed source, can whitebark pine effectively regenerate in these burns? (2) Is there a measure of seed source health that managers can use to decide which burned areas will need to be planted because of the loss of nearby seed sources?

Whitebark pine regeneration depends upon where Clark's nutcrackers cache seeds and the suitability of the cache site for seed germination and seedling establishment (Tomback 2001). Because nutcrackers often cache seeds several kilometers away from a seed source, whitebark pine is often one of the first trees to colonize large, stand-replacing burns (Tomback et al. 1990; 1993; 2001b). Additionally, burned areas tend to promote conditions favorable for whitebark pine germination and establishment by providing many ground features, i.e., "nurse objects", that provide protection from environmental exposure (Tomback et al. 1993; Loneragan et al. 2014),

reduced litter cover (McCaughey and Weaver 1990), higher levels of soil nutrients (Perkins 2004), and reduced competition from other conifer species that are physiologically less tolerant of environmental exposure (Maher and Germino 2006; Bansal et al. 2011). Evidence suggests that whitebark pine seedlings growing in burns are more robust and have a greater chance to reach cone-producing maturity. Perkins (2004) and Tomback et al. (2011) found higher growth rates of whitebark pine seedlings that had established in burned areas as opposed to closed-canopy forests, while Izlar (2007) and Lonergan et al. (2014) found higher survival of planted seedlings on burned vs. unburned sites. In summary, fire is often favorable, and in most cases necessary, for the long-term development of whitebark pine forest communities (Keane et al. 2012).

Recently, there has been concern among land managers that high-elevation burns may actually be detrimental to certain populations of whitebark pine (Keane et al. 2012). If a seed source adjacent to a burn produces few cones because mature trees are damaged or dead, Clark's nutcrackers may not utilize the seed source, or much of the available seed could be consumed by birds and mammals, resulting in low whitebark pine regeneration densities (McKinney and Tomback 2007; McKinney et al. 2009; Barringer et al. 2012). Although some Clark's nutcrackers will transport seeds up to 30 km from a seed source to cache seeds within a defined home range, most caching occurs locally (Lorenz et al. 2011). Managers need to know if sufficient natural regeneration in a burn will occur so they can decide if they need to supplement natural whitebark pine regeneration with planted seedlings (Keane et al. 2012). Regionally-coordinated planting of whitebark pine seedlings grown from parents with demonstrated WPBR-resistance is a benchmark of the Keane et al. (2012) whitebark pine restoration strategy;

implementation of this strategy will be most efficient by considering natural whitebark pine regeneration potential.

In this study, we evaluate natural whitebark pine regeneration patterns following wildfire in areas in the US northern Rocky Mountains, given varying levels of damage and mortality in nearby seed sources. It builds upon preliminary work completed by Tomback et al. (2008) in four burns in or near the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex, Montana. The central objective of this study was to examine effects of seed source health (considering factors such as WPBR infection and outbreaks of mountain pine beetle) on natural regeneration density in large, stand-replacing burns (greater than 100 ha). We hypothesized that there may be a threshold in the relationship between regeneration density and seed source health that would result from a level of seed production that both attracts nutcrackers to a stand and provides sufficient seed for caching (e.g., McKinney et al. 2009; Barringer et al. 2012). In addition, we evaluated site conditions within the burns that may have influenced whitebark pine seedling establishment, and described the health and age characteristics of whitebark pine seedlings within burns.

Methods

Study areas

Study areas were identified using extensive GIS analysis and the expertise of local land managers as follows: we analyzed fire histories using MTBS (Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity) data (Eidenshenk et al. 2007) and LANDFIRE vegetation data (LANDFIRE 1.1.0) to find burned areas that met the following criteria: (a) burned terrain within the elevational range of whitebark pine, (b) burns that were at least five years old to accommodate delayed germination, (c) terrain burned by a stand replacement fire greater than 100 ha, and (d) burned

area adjacent to at least 100 ha of unburned forest that contained seed-producing whitebark pine trees at the time of the fire. After identifying possible study areas, we prioritized sites that were accessible by foot or vehicle. We attempted to sample across a broad range of seed source health conditions, from relatively intact stands with little whitebark pine mortality to highly impacted stands with extensive mortality. All study areas were located in Montana, and ranged geographically from the Flathead National Forest in the north to the Gallatin National Forest in the south-central part of the state, and included three large Wilderness Areas (Figure 1; Table 1).

Each study area had two distinct sampling components with different sampling objectives. The “seed source” component refers to a patch or stand of mature trees adjacent to or within each sampled burn. Seed sources contained at least $2.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ basal area of mature, live whitebark pine (Barringer et al. 2012) (Table 1). Seed source boundaries generally followed the burn perimeter, but we included patches of unburned, mature trees $> 1 \text{ ha}$ within the burn as potential seed sources. In these cases, we only sampled seed source characteristics in the largest patch. We used GIS data including aerial photos, elevation data and LANDFIRE vegetation data and field notes to delineate seed source boundaries for sampling and analysis purposes. The “burned area” component refers to the sampled portion of the burn, adjacent to the seed source(s), and meeting the criteria described above (stand-replacing burn within the elevational distribution of whitebark pine).

Seed Source Sampling

Seed source stands were sampled using fixed-area, circular plots (400 m^2 , 11.28 m radius). Seed source plots were located along a transect parallel to and 100 m from the edge of the burn (Figure 2). At sites with multiple seed source patches, we sampled in the most

prominent or largest patch. The first plot center was selected at random and each subsequent plot center was located 50 m farther along the transect. We sampled four seed source plots at each burn. In the preliminary study, Tomback et al. (2008) used 500 m² belt transects to assess seed source stands; in 2010 we switched to fixed area plots with nested seedling subplots to better assess vegetation and regeneration characteristics in the seed source.

We used FIREMON methods (Lutes et al. 2006) for measuring plot and tree characteristics, recording UTM coordinates for each plot, slope, aspect, elevation, landform, ground cover, and upper (> 3 m tall), mid (> 1 m and < 3 m tall) and lower (< 1 m tall) dominant plant species. We also obtained measurements for mature trees following FIREMON protocol, including the diameter at breast height (DBH), height, height to live crown base, species, and health status (healthy, unhealthy, sick, dead) for each tree over 11.5 cm DBH in the plot boundary. For each living, mature whitebark pine tree we recorded the percent of crown killed by WPBR, abiotic, or unknown factors. We confirmed mountain pine beetle damage by pitch tubes and/or frass at the base of the tree. WPBR was confirmed by a combination of three or more indicators including: stem or branch cankers, presence of aecial spore sacs, foliage flagging, and/or rodent chewing. A tree was deemed healthy if it showed no sign of insect or disease damage; unhealthy if non-lethal insect or disease damage was present (i.e., a branch canker > 0.15 m from the bole or indication of an unsuccessful beetle attack); sick if the tree was expected to die within five years or could no longer produce cones (i.e., active stem cankers, > 50% crown kill, top kill or indication of a successful beetle attack). For dead whitebark pine trees, we attempted to estimate if the trees were dead prior to or after the fire. We also tallied live saplings (trees smaller than 11.5 cm DBH) by 2.5 cm DBH classes and recorded average

175 height and crown base height. Finally, we tallied seedlings by species and 0.3 m height classes
176 in a nested fixed-area, circular plot (40 m^2 , 3.64 m radius).

177 **Burned Area Sampling**

178 Within a burn, we established fixed area, circular plots (15 m^2 , 2.18 m radius) along a set
179 of parallel transects that ran from the most prominent seed source stand toward the center of the
180 burn (Figure 2). We sampled between 30 and 80 plots at each burn with a grid resolution at or
181 near 100 m between both plots and transects. Tomback et al. (2008) sampled between 22 and 48
182 plots at each burn, with 25 m spacing between plots and transects. In 2010, we increased the
183 distance between plots to sample a larger portion of the burn. The distance and azimuth between
184 plots and transects were measured by cloth tape and compass, respectively. At each plot, we
185 recorded UTM coordinates, elevation, aspect, and slope, and visually estimated percent ground
186 cover and percent vegetation cover (vertically projected) according to FIREMON protocols.
187 We measured whitebark pine seedlings individually and tallied all other conifer seedlings and
188 saplings by 10 cm height class and species. For each whitebark pine seedling or sapling, we
189 sampled the additional variables of microsite (distance in meters to any major ground feature
190 such as a rock, stump, snag, etc.), presence or absence of WPBR symptoms, and seedling age
191 (estimated from branch whorls). If a plot was unburned or topographically inaccessible, we
192 offset the plot location by up to 20 m, or skipped the plot entirely. We ran transects as far as
193 possible into each burn, but ceased sampling when we reached the lower elevational limits of
194 whitebark pine, or where the topography became inaccessible.

Data Analysis

To analyze whitebark pine regeneration patterns, we developed two sets of models. One model describes how overall whitebark pine regeneration density varies among sampled burns. Whitebark pine regeneration increases over time following fire (Tomback et al. 2011); therefore, we normalized the overall mean regeneration density at each site by the number of years since fire at the time of sampling. This step was critical in isolating the effects of seed source health on regeneration density. The second model describes microsite or environmental variables within each burn that might influence the presence or absence (as opposed to density) of regeneration at a given plot. As a result of the caching habits of the Clark's nutcracker, whitebark pine seedlings often grow in clusters (Tomback 1982). For our purposes, each seedling cluster was counted as one regeneration site. All data analyses were completed using the software program R version 3.0.1 (R Core Team 2014).

Regeneration density model selection

Regeneration densities among burns were compared using simple, multiple and piecewise linear regression. In using linear regression, we assumed that the response was a linear function of the predictor variables, and that errors were normally distributed, independent and had equal variance. To test these assumptions, we plotted and assessed the residuals from each model. Numerous measures of seed source health were tested as potential predictors of seedling density in the burn (see Table 2). These included: (1) mature whitebark pine basal area stratified by health class (healthy, unhealthy, dead), (2) mean percent whitebark pine crown kill, (3) ratio of subalpine fir basal area to whitebark pine basal area, (4) whitebark pine regeneration density in the seed source, and (5) proportion of mature whitebark pine in each health class. Measures of

overall site severity (mean heatload, potential solar radiation and burn severity- see description below) were tested, but thrown out due to the geographic variation among study areas that confounded the results. We used piecewise regression to test for a statistical threshold in the relationship between regeneration density and seed source health, and tested quadratic forms of predictor variables to look for curvilinear relationships. Predictor variables and interaction terms were eliminated using t-tests; nested models were compared using F-tests in an analysis of variance. We also used Spearman's rank order correlation to test whether whitebark pine regeneration density in each burn was related to regeneration density in the adjacent seed source.

Seedling probability model selection

Because many of our burned area plots had no seedlings, we used non-parametric analysis methods in evaluating site conditions within burns that might influence the occurrence of whitebark pine seedlings at the plot level. Nearly all burned area plots had fewer than five regeneration sites; therefore, we were unable to model relative differences in seedling density within a burn. Instead, we built a logistic regression model to assess factors that influenced seedling presence or absence at each plot. We used a generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) to gain a visual understanding of the relationships between explanatory variables and the probability of seedling occurrence. Then, we used generalized linear mixed models (GLMM; binomial family and logit link (R Core Team 2014)) to further assess and refine the relationships (Table 3). We did not include data from Tomback et al. (2008) in the seedling probability model due to sampling resolution and protocol differences.

For both the GAMM and GLMM models, the burn study area was included as a random effect to reflect coarse scale site differences, including time since fire. We included potential

solar radiation, topographic convergence index (TCI), distance to seed source, percent vegetation cover, heatload, and relative differenced normalized burn ratio (RdNBR) (i.e., burn severity) as fixed effects (Table 3), which were calculated as follows: radiation, TCI and distance to seed source were all obtained using ArcGIS (v.10.1). To calculate radiation and TCI, we used the Solar Radiation and Flow Accumulation tools within the Spatial Analyst toolset on a digital elevation model (DEM) of each burn. For the Solar Radiation tool, we updated the slope and aspect to reflect field measurements, which more accurately depicted plot-level characteristics; we used the default inputs in ArcGIS for sampling frequency, and calculated total solar radiation (Wh/m^2) for an approximate growing season (May 1st – October 31st). TCI was calculated using the equation $tc_i = \ln(\text{flow accumulation}/\tan(\text{slope}))$. Distance to nearest seed source was calculated using the Near tool, under the Proximity toolset. Seed sources included both mature whitebark pine forests along the burn perimeter, and large patches (> 1 ha) of unburned forest within the burn perimeter. Vegetation cover (%) was estimated when plots were sampled. We calculated heatload according to the methods of McCune and Keon (2002), and RdNBR values (Miller and Thode 2009) were extracted from MTBS raster data for each burn.

We eliminated potential explanatory terms from the model using Wald z-tests ($\alpha = 0.05$), and compared nested models using chi-squared tests in an analysis of variance to determine whether progressively simpler models were statistically different from more complex models. We tested the accuracy of the final model with a ten-fold cross-validation of the area under the curve (AUC) statistic. The threshold for determining whether a predicted probability would revert to seedling presence or absence was 0.32, which was the prevalence of seedlings in the combined dataset.

Results

In total, we sampled 15 burns across Montana, ranging from five to 23 years post-fire (Figure 1). The basal area of live mature whitebark pine in the seed source ranged from 2.8 to 44.4 m² ha⁻¹, above the threshold identified for a high likelihood (0.75) of nutcracker visitation as reported in Barringer et al. (2012). Whitebark pine seedling densities in the burns were highly variable across and within sites (Figure 3, Table 4). Mean study area-level densities ranged from 0 to 783 seedling clusters per hectare (Table 4), with individual plot-level densities ranging from 0 to 6000 seedling clusters per hectare. When normalized by number of years since fire, mean study area-level seedling densities ranged from 0 to 86 seedling clusters ha⁻¹year⁻¹ (Table 4). The Charlotte Peak burn on the Flathead National Forest had the highest overall seedling density, but was also one of the oldest burns. When normalized by years since fire, the Pettengill burn on the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest had the highest seedling density, followed by the Mussigbrod burn, also on the Beaverhead-Deerlodge. Two areas had no regeneration at the time of sampling, Challenge and Wyman, but these were relatively recent burns (seven and five years old respectively).

Seed source health vs regeneration density

We found that the greater the proportion of healthy whitebark pine trees in the seed source, the greater the mean seedling density in the burn (Figure 4). While several measures of seed source health were statistically significant predictors of seedling density in individual models (Table 2), these variables were often redundant (Table 2); the best model included only the proportion of mature whitebark pine in the seed source that were healthy (%healthy). Seedling density increased among burns in relation to %healthy ($R^2 = 0.54$, $P = 0.001$, Figure 4a), but the R^2 and the residuals were improved by adding a quadratic term, %healthy² ($R^2 = 0.70$, $P = 0.0002$, Figure 4b, residuals shown in Figure 5). In a piecewise regression model, we

identified a potential threshold of 50% healthy trees, above which, seedling density increased at a higher rate (Figure 4c). However, there were too few data points at high values of %healthy to determine the robustness of this threshold. We found no significant correlation between normalized whitebark pine regeneration density in burned areas and regeneration density in adjacent seed sources ($r_s(11) = -0.38, p = 0.25$).

Seedling occurrence within burns

We built a model that addresses some of the microsite factors that might influence seedling survival and establishment in burns after nutcracker caching (Table 3). We found that potential solar radiation, distance to seed source and vegetation cover were significant predictors of whitebark pine seedling presence within the burn (Figure 6, Table 3). The probability of seedling presence decreased with increasing distance to seed source up to about 600 m, but then increased between 600 and 1200 m (the limits of the study) although with a high level of uncertainty, given sparse sampling at this distance (Figure 6a). The probability of seedling presence increased up to 30% vegetation cover, but decreased above that (Figure 6b). Finally, probability of seedling presence decreased with increasing potential solar radiation (Figure 6c). The mean AUC of this model was 0.75 (standard deviation of 0.05), indicating that the model had average skill in predicting seedling presence.

Whitebark pine seedling health and age

Out of 376 whitebark pine regeneration sites measured for this study, only three percent showed symptoms of WPBR. About 54 % of seedlings were found within 0.5 m of a ground feature, such as a rock, log, stump or snag. Seedlings older than 15 years were difficult to age accurately using branch whorls, so we only analyzed age-structure of seedlings in burns that

occurred after the year 2000. In these six burns, we found that very few sampled, established seedlings germinated until at least four years post-fire (Figure 7). Across the five areas that burned in 2000 (Beaver Creek, Fall Fork, Monture, Mussigbrod and Skalkaho), we found that only 2 % of the sampled, established seedlings germinated in the first two years following fire; 11 % germinated between three and four years post-fire; 73 % of seedlings germinated between five and ten years post-fire; and the remaining 14 % germinated between 11 and 13 years post-fire (the limit of the data). We also evaluated seedling ages within the same five burns to look for regional germination trends that would indicate masting events, but did not find any patterns. The Mussigbrod fire had high germination in the year 2009, but this pattern was not evident in the Fall Fork fire, which occurred in the same geographic region. Interestingly, the Monture fire showed almost no germination after the year 2006, which coincides with the start of an observed mountain pine beetle outbreak in the seed source.

Discussion

Given the precipitous decline in whitebark pine in many regions, and the importance of fire to successional whitebark pine communities, the question of whether whitebark pine is regenerating at historical rates becomes an important management issue. Several studies have explored whitebark pine regeneration after fire (Tomback et al. 1993; 1995; Perkins 2004; Moody 2006), but none have examined the relationship between whitebark pine seed source health and whitebark pine regeneration density in large burns. Overall, we found that seed source health predicted regeneration density and that distance to seed source, vegetation cover, and potential solar radiation were significant predictors of whitebark pine seedling presence within a burn.

Seed source health vs regeneration density

While natural whitebark pine regeneration was present throughout most of the sampled burns, seedling density increased in areas with healthier seed sources, likely an indication of greater nutcracker visitation and seed-caching. The high variability in regeneration across our study sites (Figure 3 and Table 4) likely reflects bird-mediated seed dispersal and is inherent in this type of data. Barringer et al. (2012) found that regeneration density in unburned stands was related to the health and basal area of mature whitebark pine in the stand, but it was not clear that the relationship would hold for adjacent burned areas, because Clark's nutcrackers could transport seeds into burns from stands as far as 30 km away (Lorenz et al. 2011). Our results suggest that nutcrackers harvest seeds most often from local seed sources for caching in adjacent burns.

The best indicator of seedling density in the adjacent burn was the proportion of mature whitebark pines that were healthy (%healthy). "Healthy" whitebark pine forests are diverse in structure. Open-grown stands might have low basal areas, but cone production (therefore seed availability) might be high in the large, well-spaced crowns. This pattern is evident in a comparison of two of our sampling sites – Mussigbrod and Fall Fork – which burned in the same year, have similarly healthy seed sources (comprised of ~60% healthy whitebark pine), and are relatively close geographically. At Fall Fork, the seed source was dominated by whitebark pine growing in a dense, closed stand, while the seed source at Mussigbrod was open-grown and park-like. The basal area of mature whitebark pine in the Fall Fork seed source was more than twice that of Mussigbrod, but regeneration densities in the burn were essentially the same at both sites (Tables 1 and 4). Therefore, a qualitative measure such as %healthy may be an appropriate

indicator of seedling density across different forest types, in the absence of measuring actual cone-production.

Factors affecting seedling occurrence

Numerous factors influence whitebark pine seed dispersal and subsequent seedling establishment at a given location. The magnitude and effectiveness of seed dispersal by the Clark's nutcracker are the most important, yet the most difficult to measure. Given this caveat, we identified several variables that influence the probability of seedling presence at a given site. Whitebark pine seedling occurrence generally decreased further from seed sources, but this pattern was inconsistent throughout the dataset (Figure 6a). Tomback et al. (1990) found that seedling density declined as distance from the whitebark pine seed source increased (up to 3650 m), but this decline was also associated with an elevational loss of approximately 380 m. While we intentionally sampled the largest, most continuous stand-replacing burns within our study area, it was a challenge to find portions of a burn that were greater than 600 m from a seed source, especially after accounting for patches of unburned seed source that reside within the burn perimeter. Most subalpine burns are spatially patchy across the landscape, which will result in some spatial heterogeneity in both whitebark pine seed sources and regeneration patterns (see Kolden et al. 2012).

We found that intermediate amounts of vegetation cover were associated with increased whitebark pine seedling occurrence in sampled burns, but dense vegetation cover decreased probability of seedling occurrence (Figure 6b). Although we cannot know the percent vegetation cover under which seedlings first germinated in this study, our results suggest that the presence of some cover has facilitated survival. This finding is consistent with previous research

(McCaughey and Weaver 1990; Maher and Germino 2006; Tomback et al. 2011), indicating that while whitebark pine is more tolerant of exposure than other subalpine conifers, it is more likely to establish under partial-shade conditions. Tomback et al. (2011) found that some vegetation cover, in addition to woody debris cover, increased survival of seedlings that germinated after the 1988 Yellowstone Park fires; however, dense residual vegetation in the understory on moderately burned sites limited seedling survival. In addition to reducing competition from other conifers, burns provide an abundance of ground features, such as fallen trees, branches and stumps and low vegetation cover that can help protect new whitebark pine seedlings from desiccation and cold exposure. Seedling establishment is also influenced by changes in topography, which impacts the amount of solar radiation at a given site. Whitebark pine seedling occurrence decreased as potential solar radiation increased; this pattern is not surprising, because higher amounts of solar radiation may lead to lower soil moisture and increased seedling mortality (Maher et al. 2005), especially on an exposed site post-fire.

Our results show that whitebark pine establishes for many years following a fire, which is consistent with previous findings (e.g. Tomback et al. 1993; 1995; 2001b, and 2011). Only 13% of the seedlings we sampled germinated in the first four years following a fire; Tomback et al. (2001b) found a similar pattern in a more fine-scale regeneration analysis of the 1988 Yellowstone Park fires. In their study, the first whitebark pine seedlings didn't emerge until three years post-fire, following a masting event and two winters of seed dormancy. In general, it takes at least five years for whitebark pine to begin populating a burn, so immediate post-fire assessments are unnecessary.

Management implications

Our results indicate that in burned areas where more than 50% of the adjacent whitebark pine seed source is damaged or dead, low seed availability may limit natural regeneration potential (< 300 seedling clusters ha^{-1} ten years post-fire). Given that older, taller seedlings are more susceptible to WPBR infection, seedling and sapling mortality will likely increase over time in areas where WPBR is present (Tomback et al. 1995), which may prevent these stands from becoming productive, cone-producing forests. Long-term monitoring of whitebark pine regeneration at these sites is necessary to confirm or refute this trend, as WPBR-susceptibility and resistance are highly variable at local and regional scales (Mahalovich et al. 2006).

The Keane et al. (2012) whitebark pine restoration strategy suggests a target density of approximately 250 seedlings ha^{-1} (6.1 m spacing) for optimal long-term forest structure. This target is based on planted WPBR-resistant seedlings with an assumed survival rate of 50%, and will vary based on stand-level forest structure objectives. Target densities for natural regeneration need to be adjusted to local seed source WPBR infection and resistance levels, which will ultimately determine seedling survival. Managers can use WPBR-resistance data from nearby plus trees (trees with demonstrated WPBR-resistance, see Mahalovich and Dickerson (2004)) to estimate WPBR-resistance in natural regeneration, as it will usually be a mix of putatively resistant and susceptible seedlings. If managers choose to supplement natural regeneration with planted seedlings, they should utilize seedlings grown from screened parents containing multigenic partial WPBR-resistance (Keane et al. 2012), because there has been a trend in increased incidence of WPBR infection among trees in all regions (Maloney et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2013). It is also important to note that regeneration rates are but one of the key vital rates which influence population growth rates following wildfire. While regeneration density and

mortality are important indicators of potential forest structure, managers should also consider long-term adult growth rates, fecundity, masting frequency and mortality rates as WPBR infection levels stabilize or increase (Maloney et al. 2012). Long-term monitoring of natural regeneration characteristics, planted seedling survivorship, and seed source health is critical in developing effective restoration plans (Keane et al. 2012).

Fire managers are often faced with conflicting issues when determining whether or not to suppress high elevation fire. On one hand, fire is an integral process in shaping the subalpine landscape. On the other hand, fires that pose a risk to humans, structures and other development, historical preservation and other management objectives might preclude “letting it burn.” Given that burned areas provide the conditions most favorable for whitebark pine seedling establishment and growth to reproductive maturity, suppressing high elevation fire for the benefit of whitebark pine could be counter-productive. Patches of burns that are not regenerating will create landscape heterogeneity over time and may help limit the size of future disturbance. Fire suppression on a small scale that leads to the protection of healthy seed source stands and plus trees or small islands of subalpine habitat that hold special recreational or wildlife value comprises the best fire management response in whitebark pine forests (Keane et al. 2012).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Steve Wirt, retired Flathead National Forest, and Ward McCaughey, retired USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station, for their contributions to the study. For field work in 2004-2005, we thank Deb Mucklow, Larry Schutz, Bob Jeligson and Tad Wehunt of the Flathead National Forest, Spotted Bear Ranger District, for housing, logistical and pack support. Dave Warder, Mary Lou Zimmerman, Kate Kendall, Susan Kemper, Marcie

439 Johnson, Jennie Birdsall, and Marcia Youngman provided volunteer field support or logistic
440 support during the study. Students from the University of Colorado Denver – Jennifer Berg,
441 Kara Stevens, David Meyers, Kristen Grompone, Ashley East, Daniel Maddox, David Meyers
442 and Mario Perez – provided field assistance or help with data analysis in 2004-2006. For field
443 work in 2010-2013, we thank Bob Hutton and Ben Wilson of the Beaverhead-Deerlodge
444 National Forest, and Wendel Hann, retired USDA Forest Service, for pack and logistical support.
445 We also thank the Beaverhead-Deerlodge, Flathead, Gallatin, Helena, Lolo and Lewis and Clark
446 National Forests for hosting us in the backcountry. We are grateful to the field crew - Sarah
447 Flanary, Jay Fronden, Violet Holley, Brian Izbicki, Christy Lowney, Aaron Sparks, Greg Cohn,
448 Edith Dooley and Colin Hunton, all current or former employees of the USDA Forest Service,
449 Rocky Mountain Research Station Fire Sciences Lab. Andrew Larson and Anna Sala of the
450 University of Montana provided helpful reviews of this manuscript, as did two anonymous
451 reviewers. Finally, we are all grateful to the USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research
452 Station Fire Sciences Lab and Forestry Sciences Lab for funding and logistical support and to the
453 University of Colorado Denver and University of Montana for general project support.

References

- Arno, S.F., Hoff, R. 1990. *Pinus albicaulis* Engelm. Whitebark pine. Silvics of North America. Vol. I. Conifers. Agriculture Handbook, pp. 268-279. USDA Forest Service.
- Bansal, S., Reinhardt, K., Germino, M.J. 2011. Linking carbon balance to establishment patterns: comparison of whitebark pine and Engelmann spruce seedlings along an herb cover exposure gradient at treeline. *Plant Ecology* 212:219-228.

- Barringer, L.E., Tomback, D.F., Wunder, M.B., McKinney, S.T., 2012. Whitebark pine stand condition, tree abundance, and cone production as predictors of visitation by Clark's nutcracker. *Plos One* 7, e37663.
- Bockino, N.K., Tinker, D.B. 2012. Interactions of White Pine Blister Rust and Mountain Pine Beetle in Whitebark Pine Ecosystems in the Southern Greater Yellowstone Area. *Natural Areas Journal* 32(1):31-40.
- Eidenshenk, J., Schwind, B, Brewer, K, Zhu, Z.L., Quayle, B, Howard, S. 2007. A Project for Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity. *Fire Ecology Special Issue* 3(1):3-21.
- Ellison, A.M., Bank, M.S., Clinton, B.D., Colburn, E.A., Elliott, K., Ford, C.R., Foster, D.R., Kloeppel, B.D., Knoepp, J.D., Lovett, G.M., Mohan, J., Orwig, D.A., Rodenhouse, N.L., Sobczak, W.V., Stinson, K.A., Stone, J.K., Swan, C.M., Thompson, J., Von Holle, B., and Webster, J.R. 2005. Loss of foundation species: consequences for the structure and dynamics of forested ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 3:479-486.
- Government of Canada. 2012. Order amending Schedule 1 to the Species at Risk Act. Canada Gazette Part II, Vol 146, No. 14, SOR/2012-113, June 20, 2012 [online]. Available from http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/virtual_sara/files/orders/g2-14614i_e.pdf.
- Hutchins, H.E., Lanner, R.M. 1982. The central role of Clark's Nutcracker in the dispersal and establishment of Whitebark pine. *Oecologia* 55:192-201.
- Izlar, D.K. 2007. Assessment of whitebark pine seedling survival for Rocky Mountain plantings. M.Sc. thesis, Department of Forestry, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA.

- Keane, R., Morgan, P., Menakis, J. 1994. Landscape assessment of the decline of whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) in the Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex, Montana, USA. *Northwest Science* 68:213-229.
- Keane, R.E., Tomback, D., Aubry, C., Bower, A., Campbell, E., Cripps, C., Jenkins, M., Mahalovich, M.F., Manning, M., McKinney, S., Murray, M., Perkins, D., Reinhart, D., Ryan, C., Schoettle, A., Smith, C. 2012. A range-wide restoration strategy for whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*). General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-279. USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
- Kolden, C.A., Lutz, J.A., Key, C.H., Kane, J.T., van Wagtendonk, J.W. 2012. Mapped versus actual burned area within wildfire perimeters: Characterizing the unburned. *Forest Ecology and Management* 286:38-47.
- LANDFIRE: LANDFIRE 1.1.0. Existing Vegetation Type layer. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. [Online]. Available: <http://landfire.cr.usgs.gov/viewer/> [2010, January 8].
- Lonergan, E.R., Cripps, C.L., Smith, C.M. 2014. Influence of site conditions, shelter objects, and ectomycorrhizal inoculation on the early survival of whitebark pine seedlings planted in Waterton Lakes National Park. *Forest Science* 60(3):603-612
- Lorenz, T.J., Sullivan, K.A., Bakian, A.V., Aubry, C.A. 2011. Cache-site selection in Clark's nutcracker (*Nucifraga columbiana*). *Auk* 128:237-247.
- Lutes, D.C., Keane, R.E., Caratti, J.F., Key, C.H., Benson, N.C., Sutherland, S., Gangi, L.J. 2006. FIREMON: fire effects monitoring and inventory system. General Technical

Report RMRS-GTR-164-CD, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO, USA.

Macfarlane, W.W., Logan, J.A., Kern, W.R. 2013. An innovative aerial assessment of Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem mountain pine beetle-caused whitebark pine mortality. *Ecological Applications* 23(2):421-437.

Mahalovich, M.F., Dickerson, G.A. 2004. Whitebark pine genetic restoration program for the Intermountain West (United States). *Edited by: Snieszko, R.A., Samman, S., Schlarbaum, S.E., Kriebel, H.B.* 2004. Breeding and genetic resources of five-needle pines: growth, adaptability and pest resistance, 23-27 July 2001, Medford, OR, USA. IUFRO Working Party 2.02.15. Proceedings RMRS-P-32, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO, USA.

Mahalovich, M.F., Burr, K.E., Foushee, D.L. 2006. Whitebark Pine Germination, Rust Resistance, and Cold Hardiness Among Seed Sources in the Inland Northwest: Planting Strategies for Restoration. *Edited by: Riley, L.E., Dumroese, R.K., Landis, T.D.* 2006. National proceedings: Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations – 2005. Proceedings RMRS-P-43, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO, USA.

Maher, E.L., Germino, M.J. 2006. Microsite differentiation among conifer species during seedling establishment at alpine treeline. *Ecoscience* 13:334-341.

Maher, E.L., Germino, M.J., Hasselquist, N.J. 2005. Interactive effects of tree and herb cover on survivorship, physiology, and microclimate of conifer seedlings at the alpine tree-line ecotone. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 35(3):567-574.

- Maloney, P.E. 2011. Incidence and distribution of white pine blister rust in the high-elevation forests of California. *Forest Pathology* 41(4):308-316.
- Maloney, P.E., Vogler, D.R., Jensen, C.E., Delfino Mix, A. 2012. Ecology of whitebark pine populations in relation to white pine blister rust infection in subalpine forests of the Lake Tahoe Basin, USA: Implications for restoration. *Forest Ecology and Management* 280:166-175.
- McCaughey, W.W., Weaver, T. 1990. Biotic and microsite factors affecting whitebark pine establishment. General Technical Report INT-270, USDA Forest Service, Bozeman, Montana, USA. Pages 140-150.
- McKinney, S.T., Tomback, D.F. 2007. The influence of white pine blister rust on seed dispersal in whitebark pine. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 37:1044-1057.
- McKinney, S.T., Fiedler, C.E., Tomback, D.F. 2009. Invasive pathogen threatens bird-pine mutualism: implications for sustaining a high-elevation ecosystem. *Ecological Applications* 19(3):597-607.
- McCune, B., Keon, D. 2002. Equations for potential annual direct incident radiation and heat load. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13:603-606.
- Miller, J.D., Thode, A.E. 2009. Quantifying burn severity in a heterogeneous landscape with a relative version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 109(1):66-80.

- Moody, R.J. 2006. Post fire regeneration and survival of whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis* Engelm). M.Sc. thesis, Department of Forestry, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
- Morgan, P., Bunting, S.C., Keane, R.E., Arno, S.F. 1994. Fire ecology of whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) forests in the Rocky Mountains, USA. Pages 136-142 in Proceedings of the international symposium Subalpine stone pines and their environment: The status of our knowledge, St. Moritz, Switzerland.
- Murray, M.P., Bunting, S.C., Morgan, P. 2000. Landscape trends (1753-1993) of whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) forests in the west Big Hole range of Idaho/Montana, USA. Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research: 32(4):412-418.
- Parsons, D. J., Landres, P.B. 1998. Restoring natural fire to wilderness: How are we doing? Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 20:366-374.
- Perkins, J.L. 2004. *Pinus albicaulis* regeneration after fire. Dissertation, Department of Forestry, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- Retzlaff, M.J., Leirfallom, S., Keane, R.E. 2015. A 20 year reassessment of the health and status of Whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) forests in the Bob Marshall Wilderness complex, Montana, USA. Manuscript in preparation.

- Schwandt, J.W., Lockman, I.B., Kliejunas, J.T., Muir, J.A. 2010. Current health issues and management strategies for white pines in the western United States and Canada. *Forest Pathology* 40:226–250.
- Smith, C., Wilson, B.C., Rasheed, S., Walker, R.C., Carolin, T., Shepherd, B. 2008. Whitebark pine and white pine blister rust in the Rocky Mountains of Canada and northern Montana. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 38:982-995.
- Smith, C., Shepherd, B., Gillies, C., Stuart-Smith, J. 2013. Changes in blister rust infection and mortality in whitebark pine over time. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 43:90-96.
- Tomback, D.F. 1982. Dispersal of whitebark pine seeds by Clark's nutcracker: a mutualism hypothesis. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 51:451-467.
- Tomback, D.F. 2001. Clark's nutcracker: Agent of regeneration. *Edited by:* Tomback, D.F., Arno, S.F., Keane, R.E. *Whitebark pine communities: ecology and restoration*. Island Press, Washington DC, USA, pp. 89-104.
- Tomback, D.F., Achuff, P. 2010. Blister rust and western forest biodiversity: Ecology, values, and outlook for white pines. *Forest Pathology* 40(3-4):186-225.
- Tomback, D.F., Hoffman, L.A., Sund, S.K. 1990. Coevolution of whitebark pine and nutcrackers: implications for forest regeneration. General Technical Report INT-270, USDA Forest Service, Bozeman, Montana, USA, pp. 118-129.
- Tomback, D.F., Sund, S.K., Hoffman, L.A. 1993. Postfire regeneration of *Pinus albicaulis*: height-age relationships, age structure, and microsite characteristics. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23:113-119.

- Tomback, D.F., Clary, J.K., Koehler, J., Hoff, R.J., Arno, S.F. 1995. The effects of blister rust on post fire regeneration of whitebark pine: the Sundance burn of northern Idaho (USA). *Conservation Biology* 9(3):654-664.
- Tomback, D.F., Anderies, A.J., Carsey, K.S., Powell, M.L., Mellmann-Brown, S. 2001b. Delayed seed germination in whitebark pine and regeneration patterns following the Yellowstone fires. *Ecology*. 82(9): 2587-2600.
- Tomback, D.F., Arno, S.F., Keane, R.E., editors. 2001a. Whitebark pine communities: Ecology and Restoration. Island Press, Washington, DC USA.
- Tomback, D.F., Wirt, S., McCaughey, W.M., Keane, R.E. 2008. Preliminary pattern of investigation of the magnitude and time-frame of post-fire whitebark pine regeneration within selected areas in the Bob Marshall Wilderness Area and adjacent lands. Joint Venture Agreement Final Report on file at Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory, P.O. 5775 Hwy 10 West Missoula, MT JVA 03-JV-112222022-251, USDA Forest Service, Missoula, MT.
- Tomback, D.F., Schoettle, A.W., Perez, M.J., Grompone, K.M., Mellmann-Brown, S. 2011. Regeneration and survival of whitebark pine after the 1988 Yellowstone Fires. *Edited by:* Keane, R.E., Tomback, D.F., Murray, M.P., Smith, C.M. The future of high-elevation, five-needle white pines in western North America: Proceedings of the High Five Symposium. 28-30 June 2010, Missoula, MT. Proceedings RMRS-P-63. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ft. Collins, CO, USA, pp. 66-68.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants, 12-month finding on a petition to list *Pinus albicaulis* as endangered or threatened with critical habitat. Federal Register 76(138):42631-42654.

Tables

Table 1. Summary of subalpine burns in Montana, USA sampled for this study, in order of decreasing seed source health. WBP refers to whitebark pine.

Burn name	Year burned	Size of burn (ha)	WBP basal area* in seed source ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	Healthy WBP in seed source (%)	Mean WBP seedling density in seed source (clusters ha^{-1})	SD WBP seedling density in seed source (clusters ha^{-1})	Study location (Montana, USA) NF = National Forest
Pettengill	2007	6,192	12.3	65.1	1174	738	Beaverhead-Deerlodge NF
Mussigbrod	2000	11,178	20.5	62.2	185	371	Anaconda-Pintler Wilderness, Beaverhead-Deerlodge NF
Fall Fork	2000	850	44.4	60.5	124	143	Anaconda-Pintler Wilderness, Beaverhead-Deerlodge NF
Red Owl	1984	591	NA	43.3	NA	NA	Flathead NF
Charlotte Pk	1985	2,385	23.8	40.9	NA	NA	Bob Marshall Wilderness, Flathead NF
Beaver Creek	2000	4,323	5.5	26.7	1297	865	Gallatin NF
Wyman	2007	14,374	4.6	26.7	988	1159	Beaverhead-Deerlodge NF
Bighorn Lk	1988	80,961	3.6	22.5	2224	1555	Scapegoat Wilderness, Helena NF
Skalkaho	2000	3,027	16.5	20.3	432	371	Bitterroot NF
Monitor Mtn	1988	80,961	2.8	16.7	3645	3991	Scapegoat Wilderness, Lewis and Clark NF
Gates Park	1988	22,093	10	14	0	0	Bob Marshall Wilderness, Lewis and Clark NF
Challenge	1998	3,846	3.9	13.3	NA	NA	Flathead NF
Ann	1994	1,265	3.1	7.7	556	816	Bitterroot NF
Monture	2000	9,624	5.2	2.7	1977	2917	Bob Marshall Wilderness, Flathead NF
Helen Creek	1994	2,846	19.6	1.6	NA	NA	Bob Marshall Wilderness, Flathead NF

*Basal area of mature whitebark pine trees with the potential to produce cones (i.e., not damaged or dead)

Table 2. Summary of linear regression models for the mean whitebark pine regeneration density (seedling clusters $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$) among 15 burn study areas in Montana, USA; WBP refers to whitebark pine, SAF refers to subalpine fir, BA refers to basal area ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$). Final model is in bold; also shown are correlations between measures of seed source health.

Model predictor variable(s)	Coefficients	SE	R ²	P-value
Healthy WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	1.397	0.60	0.25	0.037*
Healthy+unhealthy WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	0.998	0.53	0.16	0.085
Dead WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	-1.014	0.86	0.02	0.262
Mean WBP crown kill (%)	-0.889	0.23	0.48	0.002**
Ratio of live SAF BA to live WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	-9.789	7.39	0.07	0.218
WBP regeneration density in seed source (clusters ha^{-1}) [†]	-0.009	0.01	0.07	0.222
%healthy WBP	0.850	0.20	0.54	0.001***
(%healthy WBP) ²	0.013	0.00	0.69	0.0001***
%healthy+unhealthy WBP	0.634	0.23	0.33	0.015*
%dead WBP	-0.520	0.25	0.19	0.060
%healthy WBP+(%healthy WBP)²	-0.957+0.026	0.66, 0.01	0.70	<0.001***
Correlation between measures of seed source health				
%healthy WBP healthy WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$)	1.708	0.41	0.56	0.001**
%healthyWBP mean WBP crown kill (%)	-1.001	0.13	0.81	<0.001***
Healthy WBP BA ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$) mean WBP crown kill (%)	-0.352	0.10	0.47	0.004**

* = *P*-value significant at 0.05, ** = *P*-value significant at 0.01, *** = *P*-value significant at 0.001

[†] Seed source WBP regeneration density data is available for only 11 of 15 study areas.

Table 3. Summary of generalized linear mixed model (GLMM, family = binomial, link = logit) predicting probability of whitebark pine seedling presence at plots located throughout 15 burns in Montana, USA.

Model and fixed effects (study area=random effect)	Coefficients	SE	P
Full model			
Heatload Index	-2.169e-01	1.390e+00	0.8759
RdNBR	3.543e-04	5.355e-04	0.5082
Topographic Convergence Index (TCI)	-7.475e-02	8.732e-02	0.3920
Potential Solar Radiation (Wh/m ²)	-3.942e-06	1.728e-06	0.0226*
Distance to Seed Source (m)	-7.104e-03	1.867e-03	0.0001***
Distance to Seed Source ²	6.316e-06	1.794e-06	0.0004***
Vegetation Cover (%)	7.486e-02	2.833e-02	0.0082**
Vegetation Cover ²	-1.085e-03	3.229e-04	0.0008***
Final, reduced model			
Potential Solar Radiation (Wh/m ²)	-4.346e-06	1.337e-06	0.0012**
Distance to Seed Source (m)	-5.993e-03	1.628e-03	0.0002***
Distance to Seed Source ²	5.367e-06	1.626e-06	0.0097***
Vegetation Cover (%)	5.948e-02	2.548e-02	0.0196*
Vegetation Cover ²	-8.759e-04	2.786e-04	0.0017**

* = *P*-value significant at 0.05, ** = *P*-value significant at 0.01, *** = *P*-value significant at 0.001

Table 4. Summary of whitebark pine regeneration data from 15 burn study areas in Montana, USA, in order of decreasing seed source health. WBP refers to whitebark pine.

Site	<i>n</i> plots	Healthy WBP in seed source (%)	Mean WBP dens. (clusters ha ⁻¹)	WBP SD (clusters ha ⁻¹)	WBP SE (clusters ha ⁻¹)	Normalized WBP dens. (clusters ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Normalized WBP SD (clusters ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Normalized WBP SE (clusters ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)
Pettengill	44	65.1	515	687.5	103.6	85.9	114.6	17.3
Mussigbrod	51	62.2	614	1048.5	146.8	61.4	104.9	14.7
Fall Fork	40	60.5	650	1012.2	160	48.7	77.9	12.3
Red Owl	22	43.3	273	428.9	91.4	13.6	21.4	4.6
Charlotte Pk	23	40.9	783	735.9	153.4	41.2	38.7	8.1
Wyman	30	26.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Beaver	48	26.7	389	712.4	102.8	32.4	59.4	8.6
Bighorn Lk	80	22.5	508	836.9	93.6	23.1	38	4.3
Skalkaho	40	20.3	167	362	57.2	12.8	27.8	4.4
Monitor	30	16.7	44	169.1	30.9	2	7.7	1.4
Gates Park	39	14.0	615	1063.9	170.4	26.8	46.3	7.4
Challenge	32	13.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ann	50	7.7	480	762.4	107.8	26.7	42.4	5.9
Monture	50	2.7	160	394.1	55.7	14.5	35.8	5.1
Helen Creek	48	1.6	177	282.3	40.7	17.7	28.2	4.1

Figure captions

Figure 1. Map of study region showing the location of 15 study areas across Montana, USA.

Shaded areas indicate National Forest; the Continental Divide is shown for reference.

Figure 2. Study area layout. All plots were sampled at or above the lower elevational limit of whitebark pine.

Figure 3. Boxplots of whitebark pine seedling density by study area, in order of decreasing seed source health, where the median is shown by the solid black line and the mean is shown by the solid black circle. Seedling density is normalized by number of years since fire.

Figure 4. Simple linear (4a), multiple linear (4b) and piecewise (4c) models reflecting the relationship between seed source health (percent of mature trees in the seed source that are healthy) and seedling density (seedlings $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) in the adjacent burn. Standard error bars are shown. Solid vertical line in 4c is a potential threshold identified in the piecewise model.

Figure 5. Residuals with smoother curve from the top multiple linear model (Figure 4b) reflecting the relationship between seed source health (percent of mature trees in the seed source that are healthy) and seedling density in the adjacent burn (seedlings $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$).

Figure 6. Partial response curves from the GAMM that illustrate the influence of a) distance to seed source (DIST) (m), b) total vegetation cover (VEG) (%) and c) potential solar radiation (RAD) (Wh/m^2) on the probability of whitebark pine seedling presence, while holding the other predictor variables at their mean. Gray shading is ± 2 standard errors; residuals are shown.

Figure 7. Boxplots showing number of years post-fire until germination of sampled whitebark pine seedlings in five study areas that burned in the year 2000, and one study area (Pettengill)

that burned in 2007, where the median is shown by the black line and the width of each boxplot is proportional to the sample size. Note the lag period of at least four years until the majority of germination occurs.

Figures

Draft