Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques

**Trade-offs between light and nutrient availability across
gradients of dissolved organic carbon concentration in
Swedish lakes: Implications for patterns in primary
production**

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID:	cjfas-2015-0187.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Jul-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Seekell, David; Umeå University, Department of Ecology and Environmental Science Lapierre, Jean-François; Michigan State University, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Karlsson, Jan; Umeå University, Department of Ecology and Environmental Science
Keyword:	LAKES < Environment/Habitat, ECOSYSTEMS < General, LIMNOLOGY < General, DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON < General

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Title: Trade-offs between light and nutrient availability across gradients of dissolved organic carbon concentration in Swedish lakes: Implications for patterns in primary production

Article for consideration by *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*

David A. Seekell^{1,*}, Jean-François Lapierre², and Jan Karlsson¹

1) Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

2) Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan,
USA

*Corresponding author, email: david.seekell@emg.umu.se

Abstract

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) limits primary production in lakes when present at high concentrations by reducing light availability, but stimulates primary production at lower concentrations by releasing nutrients through photolysis. These dual influences create the potential for threshold relationships between DOC and primary production, but empirical tests for the prevalence of thresholds are scarce. We used Box-Cox regression and environmental monitoring data from 703 sub-arctic and boreal lakes to assess patterns and potential threshold relationships between light and nutrient availability along gradients of DOC in northern Sweden's six major watersheds. We found consistent patterns of increasing nutrient concentration and light attenuation with DOC. Further, we identified thresholds (mean = 5.96 mg l⁻¹) below which nutrient concentrations increased more rapidly than light extinction and above where the opposite occurred. These results suggest consistent patterns in primary production with shifts from nutrient to light limitation with increasing DOC. Accordingly, the thresholds agree with vertex of the curvilinear relationship between lake primary production and DOC. We estimated that most lakes in Sweden are within ± 3 mg L⁻¹ of the threshold, indicating high potential for changes from positive to negative influences of DOC on primary production if forecasted increases in DOC concentrations due to climate and land cover change are realized.

Keywords: primary production; dissolved organic carbon; nutrient limitation; light limitation; spatial patterns; thresholds

Introduction

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations are changing in lakes worldwide, with positive trends reported in most regions (Monteith et al. 2007; Larsen et al. 2011). The implications of these changes are poorly understood, in part because there are few theoretical or empirical relationships describing the influence of DOC concentration on ecosystem processes (e.g., Ask et al. 2009; Carpenter and Pace 1997; Lapierre et al. 2013; Solomon et al. 2015). DOC in lakes is mostly from terrestrial sources and is strongly colored, reducing light availability when present in high concentrations and potentially limiting primary production (Carpenter et al. 1998; Williamson et al. 1999; Ask et al. 2009; Wilkinson et al. 2013; Thrane et al. 2014). However, DOC also binds strongly to nutrients that may subsequently be released by photochemical reactions and stimulate primary production (Moran and Zepp 1997; Klug 2002; Kissman et al. 2013; Solomon et al. 2015). The rate of such photochemical reactions is highest at low DOC concentrations where nutrient availability is the most limiting factor (Moran and Zepp 1997; Molot and Dillon 1997; Seekell et al. 2015). These dual effects have led to the hypothesis that lake primary production should have a unimodal relationship with DOC concentration, where production increases at low DOC concentrations and decreases at higher DOC concentrations (Solomon et al. 2015). This hypothesis is in contrast to prevailing understanding that primary production monotonically decreases with increasing DOC concentrations due to light attenuation (e.g., Carpenter et al. 1998; Lewis 2011). Half of Earth's lakes have DOC concentrations $\leq 5.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Sobek et al. 2007), and the unimodal and monotonic hypotheses give opposite predictions (increase or decrease in primary production, respectively) for how many of these lakes should respond to increasing DOC concentrations (Seekell et al. 2015; Solomon et al. 2015). Hence, there is a strong need for improved understanding of the influence

of DOC on lake ecosystems in order to predict future changes in lake function (Williamson et al. 1999; Solomon et al. 2015).

Empirical tests for unimodal relationships between primary production and DOC typically provide only weak evidence in support of the unimodal hypothesis (e.g., Solomon et al. 2015). Specifically, studies typically either find 1) unimodal relationships, but with high magnitudes of residual variability (e.g., Solomon et al. 2015), or 2) a monotonic relationship (positive or negative), but no unimodal curve (e.g. Carpenter et al. 1998; Kissman et al. 2013; Godwin et al. 2014). We previously documented a unimodal relationship between whole-lake primary production and DOC that was emergent, only appearing when comparing multiple geographic regions (Seekell et al. 2015). We identified a threshold DOC concentration, above which primary production was negatively correlated with DOC, presumably due to light absorption from chromophoric molecules, and below which primary production was positively correlated with DOC, which was attributed to nutrient availability. Because DOC concentrations vary maximally at the regional scale, geographic regions predominately fell on one side or the other of the threshold (Seekell et al. 2015). Specifically, we found that arctic and sub-arctic lakes with DOC concentrations below the threshold had a positive correlation between primary production and DOC. Boreal lakes with DOC concentrations above the threshold had a negative correlation between primary production and DOC. There was no unimodal relationship within each individual region and this potentially explains why most studies find weak unimodal or monotonic relationships, because most previous studies were based on one or a few lakes within the same region (e.g., Carpenter et al. 1998; Kissman et al. 2013; Godwin et al. 2014). This emphasizes the need to examine lake characteristics at broad spatial scales in order to understand their response to this type of large-scale environmental change (e.g., Monteith et al. 2007).

How pervasive are DOC thresholds for primary production? Our previous study was unable to evaluate this question because it was based on a small number ($n = 28$) of lakes (Seekell et al. 2015). We identified, however, a mechanism that appeared to explain the changing role of DOC on lake primary production across regions. Specifically, we evaluated patterns and elasticity in TN-DOC and light attenuation-DOC relationships. Elasticity is the percentage change in either light extinction or nutrient concentration with a percent change in DOC concentration (Haque 2005). With estimates of elasticity, we identify ranges of DOC concentration where nutrient concentration increases proportionately more rapidly than light extinction and identify DOC concentrations where this pattern reverses (i.e. the threshold value). Such data are routinely available via lake surveys and this trade-off can be used as a surrogate to understand potential role of DOC as either a promoter or a limiter of lake primary production (Seekell et al. 2015). Here, we apply this methodology to evaluate the light attenuation-DOC and nutrient concentration-DOC relationships in 703 Swedish lakes in order to explore the geographic patterns in thresholds in light-nutrient trade-offs related to landscape characteristics. We then use a high-resolution lake census to estimate the number of lakes near the thresholds as an indicator of the likelihood that lakes will transition across DOC thresholds in the coming decades.

Methods

Study Area

Our study focuses on boreal and sub-arctic lakes in northern Sweden. Specifically, we examine lakes in six large watersheds within Västerbotten and Norrbotten counties (Fig. 1). We limit our analysis to this region because it is above the atmospheric N deposition zone, reducing

nutrient deposition as a potential confounding factor in nutrient – DOC relationships (Bergström et al. 2005). Primary production in this region's lakes is predominantly limited by light and nitrogen availability (Jansson et al. 2001; Bergström et al. 2005; Ask et al. 2009; Karlsson et al. 2009). Hence we focus on these factors in our analysis instead of other factors such as phosphorus availability. Portions of some watersheds fall within Finnish or Norwegian territory. Lakes from these countries are not included in our analysis.

Data

Our analysis is based on water chemistry data from a national water quality survey conducted in Sweden every five years. Lake surface water (0.5 m) was sampled from above the deepest part of the lake during early autumn when the water column is mixed. The lakes were randomly sampled with stratification by logarithmic size class. Water quality analyses were performed using standard limnological techniques (detailed methods available on the internet at: <http://www.slu.se/en/departments/aquatic-sciences-assessment/laboratories/geochemical-laboratory/water-chemical-analyses/>) by a certified water analysis laboratory at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. The data are freely available on the Internet at <http://www.slu.se/vatten-miljo>. In our analysis we consider total-nitrogen concentration (TN, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), absorbance at 420 nm (D) which is a metric of water color, and total organic carbon concentration (mg L^{-1}). We calculated absorption coefficients (a , m^{-1}) from the absorbance values D :

$$1) \quad a = (D \times 2.303)/L$$

where L is the optical path length in meters, 0.05 in the case of the monitoring data (Hu *et al.* 2002). Total organic carbon comprises almost exclusively (97%) dissolved carbon in boreal

lakes (Kortelainen et al. 2006; von Wachenfeldt et al. 2008). Hence, in our study we use total organic carbon (TOC, mg L^{-1}) measurements from the lake survey interchangeably with DOC in testing the unimodal and monotonic hypotheses described in the introduction. We used TN as an indicator of nutrient availability because previous analyses found that lakes in this region are predominantly nitrogen limited (Jansson et al. 2001, Bergström et al. 2005). If a lake was sampled more than once during the lake-monitoring program, we used the mean of the sample values in our analysis.

Light attenuation coefficients (k_d , m^{-1}) are measures of light availability in lakes, which is a key constraint to lake ecosystem processes in northern Sweden (e.g., Ask et al. 2009; Karlsson et al. 2009). Light attenuation coefficients are not measured as part of the Swedish lake-monitoring program, but these coefficients are tightly correlated with absorbance measurements in these low-nutrient boreal and sub-arctic lakes (Ask et al. 2009). To establish a k_d - a relationship for this region, we sampled 55 lakes in northern Sweden (27 near the town of Arvidsjaur 65.5833°N, 19.1667°E; and 28 near the town of Ekträsk 64.5°N, 19.48°E) during June 2014. We measured photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) in depth profiles over the deepest point of each lake using a spherical quantum sensor (LI-193, LI-COR Biosciences). We calculated k_d as the slope of a linear regression of the logarithm of PAR vs. depth (Ask et al. 2009). We took surface water samples from the same location and measured absorbance at 420 nm in filtered (0.45 μm) sub-samples using a Shimadzu UV-160A spectrophotometer with 1 cm cuvettes. We converted these values into absorption coefficients (a) using equation 1 prior to analysis (here, $L = 0.01$) and used the relationship between a and k_d to estimate k_d for all 703 lakes in the environmental monitoring dataset. The relationship between k_d and a for the 55 lakes was:

$$1) \quad k_d = 0.3121 + 0.1327a$$

where the parameters are the maximum likelihood fits (Supplemental Figure 1). We use this relationship ($r^2 = 0.91$) to convert absorbance values to light extinction coefficients for all 703 lakes from the monitoring data for use in our statistical analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Estimates of elasticity depend strongly on the shape of the relationship between two variables (Haque 2005). Common shapes (functional forms) in limnological analyses include linear, exponential, and power-law relationships. In our case, there was no *a priori* reason to assume any particular shape, and in preliminary analyses we found that common functional forms often appeared to fit equally as well. Consequently, we used Box-Cox regression models, which identify optimal power transformations when a theoretical transformation is unknown (Zarembka 1968; Heckman and Polachek 1974; Kim and Hill 1993; Haque 2005). This model includes all regressions commonly found in limnological studies (e.g., linear, power-law, exponential) as special cases, but is more general and also identifies other functional forms. The Box-Cox regression model is:

$$2) \quad \frac{Y^\theta - 1}{\theta} = c + \beta \frac{X^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}$$

The parameters θ , λ , c , and β are solved for simultaneously by maximizing the likelihood function ($\log L$)

$$3) \quad \log L = \left(\frac{-N}{2}\right) \{\log(2\pi) + \log(\sigma^2)\} + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^N \log(y_i) - \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right) \text{SSR}$$

where SSR is the sum of squares due to the regression, N is the sample size, and σ^2 is the error variance. When θ or $\lambda = 0$, it is equivalent to taking the log transformation of Y or X ,

respectively, due to L'Hospital's Rule. We fit the Box-Cox regression model for each of the six watersheds in northern Sweden. During the analysis, we checked for and removed any outlying values that may have had a disproportionate influence on the model fitting procedure.

We used the Box-Cox regression parameters to calculate elasticity according to Haque (2005).

$$4) \quad \frac{d \log Y}{d \log X} = \beta \frac{X^\lambda}{Y^\theta}$$

Here, Y is the predicted value from the Box-Cox regression. In retransforming Y into the original scale, we account for the error term in the conditional expectation function using the smearing approach described by Duan (1983). We identified thresholds by plotting the elasticity (Equation 4) across a range of the range of TOC concentrations observed in each watershed. If one elasticity curve is higher than the other, it indicates that one dependent variable (i.e. TN or k_d) is more responsive to changes in TOC than the other. The pattern reverses if the lines intersect. We consider the intersection point to be a threshold point (Seekell et al. 2015). All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata/IC, version 13 (www.stata.com).

Comparison to Watershed Characteristics

We conducted correlation analyses between the calculated thresholds and previously reported watershed characteristics to explain variability in the thresholds. We specifically tested for a relationship between thresholds and water residence time (years), terrestrial C export ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$), and lake density (% catchment area) based on values for these watershed reported by Algesten et al. (2004).

Comparison to Whole-Lake Primary Production Estimates

We evaluated the thresholds identified in the elasticity analysis by testing for a curvilinear relationship between previously published measurements of whole-lake (benthic + pelagic) primary production and DOC concentration. Specifically, we first evaluated the relationship between primary production and mean depth for 20 lakes in boreal and sub-arctic Sweden reported by Seekell et al. (2015). This accounts for the influence of depth on productivity. We then fit a quadratic curve to the residuals of the depth-productivity relationship and DOC concentration. The hypothesis that DOC stimulates production over low ranges of DOC concentration and inhibits production across high ranges of DOC concentration suggests that this quadratic curve should be inverted and that the vertex should be equivalent to the threshold marking the transition from nutrient to light limitation (Solomon et al. 2015).

Upscaling Analysis

After identifying thresholds with the Box-Cox analysis, we used the high-resolution Swedish Virtual Watercourse Network (ViVaN) lake census dataset to estimate the total number of lakes and total surface area of lakes above and below thresholds in the study catchments (Nisell et al. 2007). To identify the number of lakes above and below the TOC thresholds, we calculated the percentage of lakes in each logarithmic size class in the environmental monitoring data with TOC above and below the threshold and multiplied this by the number of lakes in the same size class in the ViVaN dataset. We estimated the total area of lakes above and below the threshold by multiplying the total number of lakes above and below the threshold in each size class from the ViVaN dataset by the average surface area of lakes within the size class (cf. Downing et al. 2006).

Results

Mean TOC concentrations by watershed varied between 3.81 and 6.67 mg L⁻¹ (Table 1). These values are similar to the global median (5.71 mg L⁻¹) DOC concentration reported by Sobek et al. (2007). TOC concentrations were lowest in the mountainous west, and highest in the low-lying south and east (Fig. 1). Variation in TN concentration and k_d was lower than variation in TOC concentration. Overall there were no substantial differences between watersheds in terms of mean values or variability (Table 1).

Both TN and k_d increased with TOC concentration across all lakes in the study area (Fig. 2). However, the Box-Cox regression procedure did not identify any of the functional forms (e.g., linear, power-law, exponential) that are commonly used in limnological studies as the optimal regressions for k_d -TOC or TN-TOC relationships (Table 2). This emphasizes the uncertainty in selecting the functional form of limnological regressions. For instance, in Figure 3 we display linear (Fig. 3A) and exponential (Fig. 3B) fits for the k_d -DOC relationship in the Torneälven watershed. The r^2 values are very similar and the AIC values calculated for each regression using the typical approaches cannot be compared because exponential regression is based on a log-transformed k_d value while linear regression is not (Anderson and Burnham 2002). The regressions have similar fit, but patterns of elasticity are very different and this would impact the identification or location of thresholds (Fig. 3C). The Box-Cox procedure implemented here overcomes this limitation. In Figure 4A we show the Box-Cox transformed data for the Torneälven watershed, which fits substantially better than the linear and exponential functional forms. Additionally, the predictive power of the Box-Cox function remains high when the data are back transformed into their original units (Figure 4B).

The mean threshold TOC concentration was 5.96 mg L⁻¹ but the values ranged from 4.0

to 7.1 mg L^{-1} (Fig. 5). There was no clear geographic pattern in these values, and we did not find any significant correlations between threshold DOC concentrations and watershed characteristics. This suggests that the variability we observed may be random variability around the mean threshold or that the watersheds in the study extent do not provide strong enough contrasts to identify correlates of DOC thresholds. Despite this variability, the overall results are consistent between watersheds in that TN increased proportionally more rapidly than light extinction at low TOC concentrations and that light extinction increased more rapidly than TN at medium and high TOC concentrations. This is visible in Figure 5 where the black TN lines are higher than the red k_d lines. Here the slope should not be interpreted in terms of ecological units. Higher slope rather means higher relative rate of change for variable Y per unit TOC around X TOC concentration. At medium and high TOC concentrations, the red k_d line is higher than the black TN line, indicating that the light attenuation coefficient increases proportionally more rapidly than TN. Figure 5 shows that the asymmetric relationship between TOC, TN and light extinction across the range of observed TOC values that had previously only been described for small numbers of lakes (Seekell et al. 2015), is a common characteristic of northern lakes.

There was an inverse relationship between mean depth and whole-lake primary production in the measurements reported by Seekell et al. (2015) for Swedish lakes. However there was considerable unexplained variability in this relationship (Figure 6A) where the residual variability in primary production could largely be explained by an inverse quadratic relationship with DOC (Figure 6B). This indicates a positive correlation between primary production and DOC over the range of DOC concentrations $0\text{--}6 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$, and a negative correlation over 6 mg l^{-1} , consistent with our previous analyses based on combined measurements from Alaskan and Swedish lakes (Seekell et al. 2015). The vertex of the curvilinear relationship is almost exactly

the same as the mean (of the six watershed) DOC threshold identified in the elasticity analysis (Figure 6B).

Upscaling the results to the whole catchments based on TOC concentration alone show that 61% of lakes comprising 71% of lake surface area fall below the TOC threshold. Accordingly, TOC concentrations are above the threshold in 39% of lakes comprising 29% of total lake surface (Table 3). The patterns in TOC, TN, and k_d found here may translate differently to patterns of primary production in small versus large lakes. For instance, benthic contributions to whole-lake primary production in small lakes are substantial ($> 50\%$ in many reports) and most likely to be influenced by changes in TOC concentration (e.g., Ask et al. 2009). In large lakes, benthic production may be lower than in small lakes because greater depths preclude high light availability in the benthic zone, regardless of DOC concentration (Vadeboncoeur and Steinman 2002; Seekell et al. 2015). Because of this, we repeated our upscaling analysis with small lakes only ($0.001 - 0.1 \text{ km}^2$). More than half (62%) of lakes in these small size classes would fall below the TOC threshold. Small lakes that are below the TOC threshold account for 57% of all lakes in the study extent, but due to the asymmetry in the lake abundance size relationship these lakes would only comprise 8.7% of the total lake surface area in the region (Seekell and Pace 2011; Seekell et al. 2013; Verpoorter et al. 2012). Small lakes that fall above the DOC threshold account for 36% of the total number of lakes and comprise 5% of the total lake area. Hence, a majority of lakes in this region likely have TOC concentrations below the average threshold identified in our study, but the percentage of total lake surface area impacted depends strongly on how lake depth mediates the light-nutrient trade-off.

What percentage of lakes are near the threshold, and thus susceptible to transition across the threshold? For small lakes ($0.001 - 0.1 \text{ km}^2$), 16% of lakes are within $\pm 1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ of the DOC

threshold and 34% and 50% of lakes are within $\pm 2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and 3 mg L^{-1} , respectively. The magnitude of trends in DOC concentration varies geographically and exceeds $+ 1.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ per decade in some regions, including parts of Scandinavia (Monteith et al. 2007; Skjelkvåle et al. 2007). Significant trends in DOC concentration have not been reported in northern Sweden (Skjelkvåle et al. 2007). However, concentrations are forecasted to increase due to increased terrestrial vegetation cover related to climate changes (Larsen et al. 2011). If these forecasts are realized at the rates of 1.5 mg L^{-1} per decade, 12% of small lakes below the DOC threshold would pass the threshold within 10 years, and 45% within 30 years. Whether or not past trends in DOC concentration will continue changing at the rate of past observation is unknown and likely depends on the factors driving DOC change (e.g., climate warming vs. recovery from acid rain) and the consistency of these patterns geographically. Regardless, it is clear that a large proportion of lakes have DOC concentrations near the threshold identified in our analysis.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate consistent patterns of increasing nutrient concentrations and light attenuation with DOC, and that thresholds in trade-offs between nutrient and light availability across DOC gradients are likely a general feature of boreal and sub-arctic lakes. Based on the interpretation developed in our previous analysis of Swedish and Alaskan lakes (Seekell et al. 2015), our results indicate that DOC may have a stimulating influence on primary production in more than half (57%) of lakes in northern Sweden and inhibiting influence in a large minority (36%) of the lakes. Hence, our results support the hypothesis that DOC has a unimodal relationship with lake primary production, at least when comparing lakes with in regions with contrasting baseline DOC concentrations (Solomon et al. 2015). Further, the intersections in the

elasticity curves for nutrients and light provide a general explanation for the origin of this relationship (Seekell et al. 2015). This extends our previous findings (Seekell et al. 2015) for a small number of lakes ($n = 28$) by showing that similar patterns in thresholds for light-nutrient tradeoffs exist over wide geographic areas when examining large datasets. The main implications of our present results are that substantial numbers of lakes could respond oppositely (i.e. primary production may increase with increasing DOC concentration) to changes in DOC concentration relative to predictions based on the hypothesis of monotonic decreases in primary production with increasing DOC concentration. Our results thus emphasize a complex response of boreal and sub-arctic lakes to changes in DOC, with potential implications for food webs and biogeochemical processes.

Are the threshold values identified here universally applicable? The average threshold 5.96 mg L^{-1} (range $4.0\text{--}7.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) identified in the elasticity analysis in this study is similar to the one previously identified in a study for boreal and arctic lakes in Sweden and Alaska (4.8 mg L^{-1} , Seekell et al. 2015) and this suggests consistency between two datasets. However, it is possible that differences in the light absorbing properties of DOC between regions are not visible at the geographic scale of our analysis and hence there could be geographic patterning in threshold values at spatial scales broader than the boundaries of the current study. Specifically, the color of DOC is strongly influenced by photobleaching, a process that is closely related to the acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of water (Reche et al. 1999). ANC varies both between lakes and between regions and this suggests that there should be detectable differences in color between regions, which would in turn influence the relationship between light availability and DOC (Landers et al. 1988). Additionally, phosphorus is considered the primary limiting nutrient in most lakes south of our study area and thresholds in these regions would have to be evaluated

based on TP-DOC relationships instead of the TN-DOC relationships presented here (e.g., Carpenter et al. 1998; Bergström et al 2005). It is possible that thresholds in these systems are substantially different than the ones identified here. For example, pelagic primary production in phosphorus limited temperate lakes is positively correlated with DOC concentration below approximately 12 mg L^{-1} , but negatively correlated with DOC above this value (Solomon et al. 2015). This suggests a higher threshold than the ones identified in our study and this difference may be due in part to the between-region differences in identity of limiting nutrients.

The mechanism we propose for creating thresholds is general, relying only on the existence of nonlinear relationships and regional-scale variation. In principle, between-region heterogeneity in relationships could be found for any lake characteristics that exhibit these patterns. Relative to DOC specifically, most of Earth's lakes are located in northern latitudes where DOC is a master variable influencing many biological, chemical, and physical processes (Prairie 2008; Verpoorter et al. 2014; Seekell et al. 2014; Lapierre et al. 2015). We thus speculate that the concept of a threshold DOC concentration may be a common feature of lakes, which are typically unproductive and are characterized by strong relationships between DOC and nutrient concentration. For lakes outside these types of pristine northern environments, DOC thresholds for primary production may not exist if there is not a strong relationship between DOC and nutrients and light attenuation. Such lakes may be especially prevalent in heavily agricultural regions where human influence on nutrient concentrations overrides natural processes (Dodds et al. 2006). However, this does not rule out the potential existence of thresholds in other key lake characteristics (e.g., Lapierre and del Giorgio 2012). Future evaluations in other biomes would be especially useful in testing for these differences at broader scales and the methodology applied in our analysis would be suitable for application to the measurements commonly taken in

lake surveys (e.g., water color, nutrient concentrations, DOC concentration) in different biomes (Landers et al. 1988; Pace and Cole 2002; Rantakari and Kortelainen 2008; Lapierre et al. 2015).

Our interpretation of the light-nutrient availability tradeoffs are based on relationships between whole-lake primary production and DOC that have been previously described for small boreal and arctic lakes (Seekell et al. 2015). These relationships could be different in large lakes where greater depth can reduce light availability, but we are unable to evaluate this because our empirical analysis of the production-depth relationship (i.e. Fig. 6) only extends to small lakes. Hence in our upscaling analysis we specifically limited our results to small lakes where the whole-lake primary production – DOC relationship has been previously verified (Seekell et al. 2015). Orthogonal gradients of color and depth would be useful for better understanding how depth may moderate primary production – DOC relationships, but are not possible based on environmental monitoring data, which often do not collect data on lake morphometry (Sobek et al. 2011; Heathcote et al. 2015). Future studies could focus on collecting data in this manner.

Our elasticity analysis is meant to emphasize patterns of nutrient and light availability based on measurements commonly taken during broad-scale lake surveys. However more mechanistic models (e.g., Jäger and Diehl. 2014) of algal primary production not based on elasticity analyses may produce similar broad-scale patterns if they form a unimodal relationship between primary production and DOC, such that DOC concentrations in different regions fall on different sides of the vertex of the relationship (cf. Seekell et al. 2014; Seekell et al. 2015). Such models would be a welcome advancement in connecting individual ecosystem processes to broad-scale patterns like those we have focused on in this manuscript. In the end, whole-ecosystem experiments are needed to understand how these spatial patterns translate into temporal changes in individual lakes in response to changing environmental conditions

(Solomon et al. 2015). Such analyses could provide strong contrasts while maintaining constant lake morphometry and food web structure. Dual experiments in contrasting geographic settings (i.e. arctic and boreal) would be ideal as the environmental context is key in this analysis (cf. Rustad et al. 2001).

A key feature of our analysis is application of the Box-Cox regression model that does not assume a particular relationship (functional form) *a priori*, beyond that the shape can be formed based on power transformations (Heckman and Polachek 1974; Kim and Hill 1993). This approach is particularly useful in cases like ours where there is no theoretically derived functional form and it is difficult to determine the optimal fit because common functional forms appear to fit equally as well (cf. Heckman and Polachek 1974). Other approaches are possible. For instance, chlorophyll-phosphorus relationships are well studied by limnologists and potential functional forms described based on decades of research (Wagner et al. 2011; Filstrup et al. 2014). In this case Bayesian methods can be used to identify likely functional forms based on prior descriptions of the relationship (Filstrup et al. 2014). Lack of a large number of previously published relationships precluded us from adopting this approach in the present study. Additionally, theoretical functional forms could be derived, but this is currently difficult because theoretical analyses of broad-scale limnological processes are rare (cf. Seekell et al. 2013). Regardless of the approach, quantifying and minimizing this uncertainty for critical scaling relationships (e.g. light extinction – DOC) is a major challenge for limnologists that must be addressed to ensure reliable predictions of lake response to broad-scale environmental change.

We previously reported that Sweden comprises two distinct biogeochemical regions where DOC concentrations and landscape correlates were similar within region and dissimilar between regions (Seekell et al. 2014). These two DOC regions roughly correspond to the

mountainous sub-arctic and boreal lowlands, and cut across the watersheds used as the geographic boundaries in the present analysis. We did not apply these previously delineated regions here because the identification of thresholds requires the comparison of systems across a range of conditions and these previously identified regions emphasize similarity. In other words, applying regions with similar DOC concentrations does not allow for the contrasting concentrations necessary to identify thresholds. Because each watershed comprises a portion of each of the two DOC regions, the major watersheds allowed for the necessary contrasts. This observation emphasizes that DOC thresholds are emergent characteristics that are observed when comparing multiple regions (Seekell et al. 2015). In this sense, our analysis contributes to the emerging view that the regional-scale is key to understanding patterns and dynamics in lakes (e.g., Lapierre and del Giorgio 2012; Cheruvilil et al. 2013; Filstrup et al. 2014; Seekell et al. 2014; Seekell et al. 2015). Further development of understanding of mechanisms creating variability in relationships between regions, such as the nutrient-light availability trade-off examine here, will be critical in making accurate predictions about lake ecosystem response to global environmental change.

Acknowledgements

This paper is based off of research supported by the Carl Tryggers Foundation for Scientific Research. We thank to Joel Avenius for technical assistance and two anonymous reviewers for providing thoughtful comments on the paper.

References

Algesten, G., Sobek, S., Bergström, A.-K., Ågren, A., Tranvik, L.J., and Jansson, J. 2004 Role of lakes for organic carbon cycling in the boreal zone. *Global Change Biol.* **10**: 141-147.

- Anderson, D.R., and Burnham, K.P. 2002. Avoiding pitfalls when using information-theoretic methods. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **66**: 912-918.
- Ask, J., Karlsson, J., Persson, L., Ask, P., Byström, P., and Jansson, M. 2009. Terrestrial organic matter and light penetration: Effects on bacterial and primary production in lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**: 2034-2040.
- Bergström, A.-K., Blomqvist, P., and Jansson, M. 2005. Effects of atmospheric nitrogen deposition on nutrient limitation and phytoplankton biomass in unproductive Swedish lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **50**: 978-994.
- Carpenter, S.R., and Pace, M.L. 1997. Dystrophy and eutrophy in lake ecosystems: Implications of fluctuating inputs. *Oikos* **78**: 2-14.
- Carpenter, S.R., Cole, J.J., Kitchell, J.F., and M.L. Pace. 1998. Impact of dissolved organic carbon, phosphorus, and grazing on phytoplankton biomass and production in experimental lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **43**: 73-80.
- Cheruvilil, K.S., Soranno, P.A., Webster, K.E., and Bremigan, M.T. 2013. Multi-scaled drivers of ecosystem state: Quantifying the importance of the regional spatial scale. *Ecol. Appl.* **23**: 1603-1618.
- Dodds, W. K., Carney, E., and Angelo, R.T. 2006. Determining ecoregional reference conditions for nutrients, Secchi depth and chlorophyll a in Kansas lakes and reservoirs. *Lake and Reserv. Manage.* **22**: 151-159.
- Downing, J.A., Prairie, Y.T., Cole, J.J., Duarte, C.M., Tranvik, L.J., Striegl, R.G., et al. 2006. The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **51**: 2388-2397.
- Duan, N. 1983. Smearing estimate: A nonparametric retransformation method. *J. Am. Stat.*

- Assoc. **78**: 605-610.
- Filstrup, C.T., Wagner, T., Soranno, P.A., Stanley, E.H., Stow, C.A., Webster, K.E., et al. 2014. Regional variability among nonlinear chlorophyll-phosphorus relationships in lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**: 1691-1703.
- Godwin, S.C., Jones, S.E., Weidel, B.C., and Solomon, C.T. 2014. Dissolved organic carbon concentration controls benthic primary production: Results from in situ chambers in north-temperate lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**: 2112-2120.
- Haque, M.O. 2005. Income elasticity and economic development: Methods and applications. Springer, The Netherlands.
- Hansson, L.-A. 1992. Factors regulating periphytic algal biomass. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **37**: 322-328.
- Heathcote, A.J., del Giorgio, P.A., and Prairie, Y.T. 2015. Predicting bathymetric features of lakes from the topography of their surrounding landscape. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* doi:10.1139/cjfas-2014-0392.
- Heckman, J., and Polachek, S. 1974. Empirical evidence on the functional form of the earnings-schooling relationship. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **69**: 350-354.
- Hu, C., Muller-Karger, F.E., Zepp, R.G. 2002. Absorbance, absorption coefficient, and apparent quantum yield: A comment on common ambiguity in the use of these optical concepts. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **47**: 1261-1267.
- Jansson, M., Bergström, A.-K., Drakare, S., and Blomqvist, P. 2001. Nutrient limitation of bacterioplankton and phytoplankton in humic lakes in northern Sweden. *Freshwater Biol.* **46**: 653-666.
- Jäger, C. G., and S. Diehl. 2014. Resource competition across habitat boundaries: asymmetric

- interactions between benthic and pelagic producers. *Ecol. Monogr.* **84**: 287-302.
- Karlsson, J., Byström, P., Ask, J., Ask, P., Persson, L., and Jansson, M. 2009. Light limitation of nutrient-poor lake ecosystems. *Nature* **460**: 506-509.
- Kim, M., and Hill, R.C. 1993. The Box-Cox transformation-of-variables in regression. *Empir. Econ.* **18**: 307-319.
- Kissman, C.E.H., Williamson, C.E., Rose, K.C., and Saros, J.E. 2013. Response of phytoplankton in an alpine lake to inputs of dissolved organic matter through nutrient enrichment and trophic forcing. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **58**: 867-880.
- Klug, J.L. 2002. Positive and negative effects of allochthonous dissolved organic matter and inorganic nutrients on phytoplankton growth. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **59**: 85-95.
- Kortelainen, P., Mattsson, T., Finér, L., Ahtiainen, M., Saukkonen, S., and Sallantausta, T. 2006. Controls on the export of C, N, P and Fe from undisturbed boreal catchments, Finland. *Aquat. Sci.* **68**: 453-468.
- Landers, D.H., Overston, W.S., Linthurst, R.A., and Brakke, D.F. 1988. Eastern Lake Survey: Regional estimates of lake chemistry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **22**: 128-135.
- Lapierre, J.-F., and del Giorgio, P.A. 2012. Geographical and environmental drivers of regional differences in the lake pCO₂ versus DOC relationship across northern landscapes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**: G03015.
- Lapierre, J.-F., Guillemette, F., Berggren, M., and del Giorgio, P.A. 2013. Increases in terrestrially derived carbon stimulate organic carbon processing and CO₂ emissions in boreal aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Commun.* **4**: 2972.
- Lapierre, J.-F., Seekell, D.A., and del Giorgio, P.A. 2015. Climate and landscape influence on indicators of lake carbon cycling through spatial patterns in dissolved organic carbon.

- Global Change Biol. doi:10.1111/gcb.13031.
- Larsen, S., Andersen, T., and Hessen, D.O. 2011. Climate change predicted to cause severe increase of organic carbon in lakes. *Global Change Biol.* **17**: 1186-1192.
- Lewis, W.M. 2011. Global primary production of lakes: 19th Baldi Memorial Lecture. *Inland Waters* **1**: 1-28.
- Molot, L. A., and P. J. Dillon. 1997. Photolytic regulation of dissolved organic carbon in northern lakes. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **11**: 357-365.
- Monteith, D.T., Stoddard, J.L., Evans, C.D., de Wit, H.A., Forsius, M., Høgåsen, T., et al. 2007. Dissolved organic carbon trends resulting from changes in atmospheric deposition chemistry. *Nature* **450**: 537-540.
- Moran, M. A., and R. G. Zepp. 1997. Role of photoreactions in the formation of biologically labile compounds from dissolved organic matter. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **42**: 1307-1316.
- Nisell, J., Lindsjö, A., and Temnerud, J. 2007. Rikstäckande virtuellt vattendrags nätverk för flödebaserad modellering ViVaN (in Swedish with an English summary). Sveriges Lantbrukuniversitet report 17. Institutionen Vatten och Miljö: Uppsala, Sweden.
- Pace, M.L., and Cole, J.J. 2002. Synchronous variation of dissolved organic carbon and color in lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **47**: 333-342.
- Prairie, Y. 2008. Carbocentric limnology: looking back, looking forward. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **65**: 543-548.
- Rantakari, M., and Kortelainen, P. 2008. Controls of organic and inorganic carbon in randomly selected Boreal lakes in varied catchments. *Biogeochemistry* **91**: 151-162.
- Reche, I., Pace, M.L., Cole, J.J. 1999. Relationship of trophic and chemical conditions to photobleaching of dissolved organic matter in lake ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry* **44**:

- 259-280.
- Rustad, L., Campbell, J.L., Marion, G.M., Norby, R.J., Mitchell, M.J., Hartley, A.E., et al. 2001. A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming. *Oecologia* **126**: 543-562.
- Seekell, D.A., and Pace, M.L. 2011. Does the Pareto distribution adequately describe the size-distribution of lakes? *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **56**: 350-356.
- Seekell, D.A., Pace, M.L., Tranvik, L.J., and Verpoorter, C. 2013. A fractal-based approach to lake size distributions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**: 517-521.
- Seekell, D.A., Lapierre, J.-F., Pace, M.L., Gudas, C., Sobek, S., and Tranvik, L.J. 2014. Regional-scale variation of dissolved organic carbon concentrations in Swedish lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**: 1612-1620.
- Seekell, D.A., Lapierre, J.-F., Ask, J., Bergström, A.-K., Deininger, A., Rodríguez, P., et al. 2015. The influence of dissolved organic carbon on lake primary production. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* doi:10.1002/lno.10096.
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Borg, H., Hindar, A., Wilander, A. 2007. Large scale patterns of chemical recovery in lakes in Norway and Sweden: Importance of seasalt episodes and changes in dissolved organic carbon. *Appl. Geol.* **22**: 1174-1180.
- Sobek, S., Tranvik, L.J., Prairie, Y.T., Kortelainen, P., and Cole, J.J. 2007. Patterns and regulation of dissolved organic carbon: An analysis of 7,500 widely distributed lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**: 1208-1219.
- Sobek, S., Nisell, J., and Fölster, J. 2011. Predicting the depth and volume of lakes from map-derived parameters. *Inland Waters* **1**: 177-184.
- Solomon, C.T., Jones, S.E., Weidel, B.C., Buffam, I., Fork, M.L., Karlsson, J., et al. 2015.

- Ecosystem consequences of changing inputs of terrestrial dissolved organic matter to lakes: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Ecosystems* **18**: 376-389.
- Thrane, J.-E., Hessen, D.O., and Andersen, T. 2014. The absorption of light in lakes: Negative impact of dissolved organic carbon on primary production. *Ecosystems* **17**: 1040-1052.
- Vadeboncoeur, Y., and Steinman, A.D. 2002. Periphyton function in lake ecosystems. *Sci. World J.* **2**: 1449-1468.
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., and Tranvik, L.J. 2012. Automated mapping of water bodies using Landsat multispectral data. *Limnol. Oceanogr.:Methods* **10**: 1037-1050.
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D.A., Tranvik, L.J. 2014. A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite imagery. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**:6396-6401.
- von Wachenfeldt, E., Sobek, S., Bastviken, D., and Tranvik, L.J. 2008. Linking allochthonous dissolved organic matter and boreal lake sediment carbon sequestration: The role of light-mediated flocculation. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **53**: 2416-2426.
- Wagner, T., Soranno, P.A., Webster, K.E., and Cheruvilil, K.S. 2011. Landscape drivers of regional variation in the relationship between total phosphorus and chlorophyll in lakes. *Freshwater Biol.* **56**: 1811-1824.
- Wilkinson, G.M., Pace, M.L., and Cole, J.J. 2013. Terrestrial dominance of organic matter in north temperate lakes. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **27**: 43-51.
- Williamson, C.E., Morris, D.P., Pace, M.L., and Olson, O.G. 1999. Dissolved organic carbon and nutrients as regulators of lake ecosystems: Resurrection of a more integrated paradigm. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **44**: 795-803.
- Zarembka, P. 1968. Functional form in the demand for money. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **63**: 502-511.

Figures

Figure 1. The study region in northern Sweden comprises six large watersheds. Heavy black lines denote the watershed boundaries. Circles denote study lakes. Portions of some watersheds fall in Norway or Finland, but lakes in these countries are not included in our analysis. From north to south, the watersheds are: Torneälven, Kalixälven, Luleälven, Piteälven, Skellefteälven, and Umeälven. Each watershed drains from alpine and sub-arctic landscapes in the northwest, through boreal lowlands, into the Baltic Sea.

Figure 2. The light attenuation coefficient (k_d) and total nitrogen concentration (TN) in lakes increase consistently, but nonlinearly, with TOC concentration. Measurements are from 703 lakes in northern Sweden's six major watersheds.

Figure 3. Linear (Panel A) and exponential (Panel B) regression fits for the k_d -DOC relationship in the Torneälven watershed. The fits are almost identical but have different implications for relative rates of change (Panel C).

Figure 4. A) the Box-Cox regression fits for the k_d -TOC relationships for lakes in the Torneälven watershed. Panel B) The observed and predicted values of k_d after back transformation from the Box-Cox fit.

Figure 5. Elasticity curves for k_d (red) and TN (black) based on Box-Cox regression. The higher curve responds proportionally more strongly to than the lower curve to changes in TOC concentration. Elasticity curves were only plotted to $\text{TOC} < 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ to emphasize the location of the thresholds.

Figure 6. A) There is an inverse relationship between whole-lake primary production (PP) and mean depth in Swedish lakes. B) There is a curvilinear relationship between the residuals of the depth-production relationship and DOC concentration. The vertex of the curve is almost exactly the average threshold identified in the elasticity analysis. For both panels, the solid line is the fit relationship and the dashed lines are the upper and lower 95% prediction intervals.

Tables

Table 1. Mean values and variation of TOC, TN, and k_d for lakes in the study watersheds. N is the sample size.

Watershed	N	Mean			Coefficient of Variation		
		TOC (mg L ⁻¹)	TN (ug L ⁻¹)	k_d (m ⁻¹)	TOC	TN	k_d
Torneälven	151	5.54	311.0	0.53	0.63	0.60	0.37
Kalixälven	68 ^a	6.07	251.1	0.57	0.52	0.39	0.4
Luleälven	138 ^b	3.81	218.2	0.46	0.84	0.68	0.36
Piteälven	83 ^c	4.81	218.5	0.48	0.67	0.49	0.35
Skellefteälven	102 ^c	6.67	260.0	0.56	0.59	0.46	0.37
Umeälven	161 ^d	6.06	221.2	0.55	0.72	0.44	0.42

^a Total sample size, four outliers were excluded during the statistical analyses

^b Total sample size, one outlier was excluded during the statistical analyses

^c Total sample size, two outliers were excluded during the statistical analyses

^d Total sample size, three outliers were excluded during the statistical analyses

Table 2. Maximum likelihood parameter fits for the Box-Cox models.

Watershed	TN-TOC				k_d -TOC			
	c	β	λ	θ	c	β	λ	θ
Torneälven	4.710	0.386	0.211	-0.011	-2.107	0.355	0.588	-1.077
Kalixälven	2.725	0.306	-0.322	-0.229	-1.671	0.223	0.769	-0.617
Luleälven	5.483	0.700	0.137	0.069	-4.219	1.058	0.364	-2.100
Piteälven	6.010	1.024	0.053	0.122	-2.037	0.267	0.744	-0.980
Skellefteälven	5.205	0.160	0.679	0.021	-1.707	0.216	0.708	-0.718
Umeälven	8.81	1.777	-0.042	0.256	-1.754	0.258	0.615	-0.798

Draft

Table 3. Number and area of lakes in the study region by logarithmic size class, and number and area of lakes with TOC concentrations $< 5.96 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

Area Class	Overall			Below Threshold		
	Abundance	Mean Area (km^2)	Total Area	Percent of lakes	Abundance	Total Area
0.001-0.01	50186	0.004	214.6	60%	30,112	128.76
0.01-0.1	24175	0.030	728.4	65%	15714	473.46
0.1 – 1	4919	0.285	1401.2	53%	2607	742.62
1 – 10	834	2.627	2190.8	60%	500	1314.5
10 - 100	95	24.61	2338	95%	90	2221.1

Draft