Luminescent properties and electronic structure of conjugated polymer-dielectric nanocrystal composites

S. Musikhin, L. Bakueva, E. H. Sargent, and A. Shik

Citation: *Journal of Applied Physics* **91**, 6679 (2002); doi: 10.1063/1.1470239

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1470239>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/91/10?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Theory of exciton transfer and diffusion in conjugated polymers

J. Chem. Phys. **141**, 164103 (2014); 10.1063/1.4897986

Electronic structure disorder, vibronic coupling, and charge transfer excitons in poly(fluorene-alt-bithiophene):fullerene films

Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 113302 (2013); 10.1063/1.4796118

Optical properties of conjugated poly(3-hexylthiophene)/ [6 , 6] - phenyl C 61 -butyric acid methyl ester composites

J. Appl. Phys. **102**, 083104 (2007); 10.1063/1.2799049

Poly(2-methoxy-5-(2 ' -ethyl-hexyloxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene) conjugated polymer domains in a thermoplastic polyurethane matrix

J. Appl. Phys. **101**, 033133 (2007); 10.1063/1.2437158

Luminescence of bulk and nanocrystalline cubic yttria

J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 3516 (2001); 10.1063/1.1388022

The new SR865 2 MHz Lock-In Amplifier ... \$7950

SRS Stanford Research Systems
www.thinksrs.com • Tel: (408)744-9040

Chart recording

FFT displays

Trend analysis

Features

- Intuitive front-panel operation
- Touchscreen data display
- Save data & screen shots to USB flash drive
- Embedded web server and iOS app
- Synch multiple SR865s via 10 MHz timebase I/O
- View results on a TV or monitor (HDMI output)

Specs

- 1 mHz to 2 MHz
- 2.5 nV/√Hz input noise
- 1 μs to 30 ks time constants
- 1.25 MHz data streaming rate
- Sine out with DC offset
- GPIB, RS-232, Ethernet & USB

Luminescent properties and electronic structure of conjugated polymer-dielectric nanocrystal composites

S. Musikhin, L. Bakueva,^{a)} E. H. Sargent, and A. Shik

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, 10 King's College Road, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G4, Canada

(Received 4 December 2001; accepted for publication 25 February 2002)

We report results of experimental investigations of the luminescent properties of two different conjugated polymers in which we embedded nanocrystals of Al_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , ZnO , and SnSbO . The dielectric nanocrystals result in a blueshifting and broadening of luminescence spectra of poly(*p*-phenylene vinylene) with a simultaneous disappearance of its vibronic structure. The same nanocrystals in a poly[2-(6-cyano-6'-methylheptyloxy)-1,4-phenylene] matrix cause redshifting and spectral broadening. These observations are explained by referring to a model that accounts for the change in the polarization component of the carrier and exciton energy in the vicinity of inclusions. © 2002 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1470239]

I. INTRODUCTION

Embedding metallic,¹ semiconducting,² and dielectric³ nanocrystals into polymer matrices is an area of current interest in nanotechnology research. Inorganic nanoparticles can substantially influence the mechanical, electrical, and optical (including nonlinear⁴ optical as well as photoluminescent, electroluminescent, and photoconductive⁵⁻⁷) properties of the polymer in which they are included.

The influence of dielectric nanocrystals on the properties of conducting polymers has been investigated much less so far. There is work reported that is devoted to TiO_2 inclusions in conducting polymers.³ Their influence on the photoelectric properties of nanocomposites is explained with regard to the fact that TiO_2 particles usually form a type-II heterojunction with a polymer matrix, which essentially results in the separation of nonequilibrium electrons and holes.⁸ Embedding SiO_2 particles⁹ results in stabilization of the nanocomposite properties and an increase in the lifetime of polymer-based electroluminescent devices.

It is usually assumed that embedding semiconducting or dielectric nanocrystals creates additional potential wells and/or barriers for carriers and does not influence the energy spectrum of the polymer itself, except for a possible implicit influence through a change of the polymer conjugated length.¹⁰ However, it is known that, in a conducting polymer with a very low carrier mobility, the energy of carriers is determined to a considerable degree by the polarization of the material,¹¹ which influences the position of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) levels as well as the exciton energy. The influence can be considerable, and can result in energy shifts of the order of 1 eV for free (unbound) electrons and holes in a polymer. In a uniform polymer medium this component of energy is determined by the molecular structure of the polymer and the fabrication technology. In nonuniform media, such as polymer-nanocrystal mixtures,

the picture may change. In that case the polarization energy component may additionally depend on the relative position of carriers and inorganic inclusions.

In this work we investigate the influence of dielectric inclusions on the energy spectrum of a conducting polymer and on its luminescence behavior.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENT

For the present work the following polymer matrix materials were chosen: poly(*p*-phenylene vinylene) (PPV) and poly[2-(6-cyano-6'-methylheptyloxy)-1,4-phenylene] (CN-PPP). Both materials were fabricated from prepolymer solutions manufactured by Lark Enterprises (PPV) and American Dye Source, Inc. (CN-PPP). While the properties of CN-PPP are much less well understood than those of PPV, CN-PPP is known to have a considerably larger energy gap and to have absorption and photoluminescence maxima lying at 3.70 and 3.08 eV,¹² respectively.

We used the following nanocrystals as antidots: dielectric oxides Y_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 , and ZnO and antimony-tin oxide $\text{SnO}_2/\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5$ (abbreviated throughout the present work as SnSbO). These were reported by the supplier (Nanophase Technologies Corporation) to be an average size of 25 nm (Y_2O_3), 38 nm (Al_2O_3), and 54 nm (ZnO). We present in Table I the available values of band gap E_g and electron affinity ϕ of these materials, as well as of the static ϵ_0 and high-frequency ϵ_∞ dielectric permittivities responsible for polaron effects. Comparison with E_g and ϕ for PPV (for CN-PPP no data on ϕ are available) suggests that a type-I heterojunction should exist between Al_2O_3 and Y_2O_3 and their host polymer—thus these dielectric nanocrystals are antidots for both electrons and holes—whereas between SnSbO and ZnO and the host polymers, type-II heterojunctions are formed (Fig. 1).

Composite samples were prepared by mixing the nanocrystal powder with a polymer precursor followed by sonication for 1.5 h and spin coating onto glass substrates. The

^{a)}Electronic email: luda.bakoueva@utoronto.ca

TABLE I. Energy parameters and dielectric permittivity of composite structure materials.

Material	E_g (eV)	ϕ (eV)	ϵ_∞	ϵ_0
Al ₂ O ₃	8.8 ^a	1 ^a	3.4 ^a	8.5 ^k
Y ₂ O ₃	6 ^a	2 ^a	4.4 ^a	14 ^l
ZnO	3.35 ^b	4.2 ^c	4.0 ^d	8.5 ^d
SnSbO	~2.9 ^e	4.49 ^f		
PPV	2.5 ^g	2.6 ^g	3–7.8 ^h	> 11.6 ^j
CN-PPP	3.7 ⁱ			

^aReference 13.
^bReference 14.
^cReference 15.
^dReference 16.
^eReference 17.
^fReference 18.
^gReference 19.
^hReference 20.
ⁱReference 12.
^jReference 21.
^kReference 22.
^lReference 23.

samples were annealed for 2 h in an atmosphere of 95% Ar and 5% H₂.

Photoluminescence measurements were made using the Photon Technology International spectrofluorometer C-60. Emission spectra were acquired in the wavelength region from 200 to 900 nm for optical excitation ranging from 200 to 400 nm.

III. RESULTS

Photoluminescence spectra of pure PPV, as well as of PPV-based nanocomposites with Y₂O₃, Al₂O₃, ZnO, and SnSbO dielectric nanoparticles, are presented in Fig. 2. It is seen that embedding wide-gap nanoparticles noticeably changes the spectra. The luminescence maximum moves from the 2 to the 2.5 eV spectral region corresponding to pure PPV to the region from 2.5 to 3.5 eV and broadens considerably. The luminescence band in pure PPV is ~0.5 eV wide, whereas in nanocomposites it is about 2 eV wide. The spectra of the nanocomposites no longer exhibit the distinct vibronic structure typical of pure PPV. The exact position of the spectral maximum depends on the nanopar-

FIG. 2. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of pure PPV and nanocomposites Al₂O₃/PPV, Y₂O₃/PPV, SnSbO/PPV, and ZnO/PPV with volume fractions of ~10%.

ticle material. The maximum position in SnSbO/PPV nanocomposites is seen to be shifted towards higher energies relative to in other composites and it is equal to 3.0 eV, compared with 2.7–2.8 eV in Y₂O₃, Al₂O₃, and ZnO.

The luminescence excitation spectrum in nanocomposites is also modified significantly, as shown in Fig. 3. Instead of a maximum at 2.5–4.0 eV, wide absorption regions appear at higher energies. In the spectra of Al₂O₃/PPV and Y₂O₃/PPV the local maximum lies at 2.8–4.0 eV whereas absorption for ZnO/PPV and SnSbO/PPV composites drops monotonically with a decrease in photon energy.

Photoluminescence spectra of pure CN-PPP- and CN-PPP-based nanocomposites with Y₂O₃, Al₂O₃, ZnO, and SnSbO dielectric nanoparticles are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. As is seen the luminescence spectra of CN-PPP are modified by nanoparticles less noticeably than those of the PPV-based nanocomposites. The luminescence maximum acquires

FIG. 1. Characteristic energy levels in nanocomposite components. The electron affinity in CN-PPP is an estimated value.

FIG. 3. Normalized excitation spectra of pure PPV and nanocomposites Al₂O₃/PPV, Y₂O₃/PPV, SnSbO/PPV, and ZnO/PPV with volume fractions ~10%. The emission wavelength is 490 nm for Al₂O₃/PPV, 533 nm for Y₂O₃/PPV, and 510 nm for SnSbO/PPV and ZnO/PPV.

FIG. 4. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of pure CN-PPP and nanocomposites $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$, and $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ with volume fractions of $\sim 10\%$.

a small redshift from 3.085 eV in pure CN-PPP to the values 3.05 eV (SnSbO), 3.06 eV (ZnO), 2.98 eV (Y_2O_3), and 2.96 eV (Al_2O_3) in the composites containing $\sim 10\%$ (by volume) of nanoparticles. The increase of nanoparticle volume up to 60% causes almost no change in the position of the maximum for $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ and $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$, changes the maximum to 2.93 eV for $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, and to 2.84 eV for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, and noticeably broadens the spectrum and increases the intensity of luminescence at lower photon energies (Fig. 5). The spectrum of $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ shows an additional shoulder at 2.88 eV, suggesting an added luminescence mechanism may play a role inside the composite compared to in the pure matrix.

The luminescence excitation spectra for composites with a high percentage of nanocrystals always contain a maximum at photon energies 3.43 eV ($\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$), 3.37 eV ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$), 3.45 eV ($\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$), and 3.67 eV ($\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$) (Fig. 6). This maximum for the $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ nanocomposite coincides with that for pure CN-PPP.

FIG. 5. Normalized photoluminescence spectra of pure CN-PPP and nanocomposites $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$, and $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ with the volume fractions of $\sim 60\%$.

FIG. 6. Normalized excitation spectra of pure CN-PPP and nanocomposites $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$, and $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ with volume fractions of $\sim 60\%$.

IV. DISCUSSION

We now analyze how the observed influence of nanocrystals on the composite spectra may be accounted for by considering the difference in polarization properties between the dielectric nanocrystals and the polymer matrix. In conducting polymers, polaron effects shift the energy levels,²⁴ decreasing the HOMO–LUMO gap and reducing therefore the luminescence energy. The polaron shift W arises due to interactions between an electron and not only its nearest neighbors, but also atoms from higher coordination spheres. In the composite molecules considered herein, some polymer molecules are in the close vicinity of an antidot which has a lower polarizability $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} = (1/\epsilon_\infty - 1/\epsilon_0)^{-1}$ than the polymer. This decreases the polaron shift W and increases the energy of the luminescence peak.

We now quantify this effect. The main contribution²⁵ to the polaron shift W comes from the interaction of localized charge with dipoles induced at surrounding molecules. This varies with the intermolecular distance r_i as r_i^{-4} so that W is proportional to $\sum_i r_i^{-4}$. Since the number of particles that noticeably contribute to W is in the thousands,²⁵ we replace summation by integration, beginning at the nearest-neighbor distance r_0 . This gives $W_0 \approx A \int_{r_0}^{\infty} d^3\mathbf{r}/r^4 = 4\pi A/r_0$, where A accounts for the interaction constant and also the concentration of molecules. The subscript 0 in W_0 denotes the value in the unperturbed matrix. If the molecule we consider lies at a distance a from a dielectric that does not contribute to the polarization, then the region with $r > a \cos \theta$ belonging to the dielectric must be excluded from the integration. This gives

$$W = W_0 \begin{cases} 1/2 + a/4r_0, & a < r_0; \\ 1 - r_0/4a, & a > r_0; \end{cases} \equiv W_0 f(a/r_0). \quad (1)$$

To obtain the luminescence spectrum, we must average over all possible a . Let us assume that the spectrum of a pure polymer represents a line centered at photon energy $\hbar\omega_0 = E_{g0} - W_0$ (where E_{g0} is a HOMO–LUMO gap in the absence of polaron effects) and is described by some spectral shape $s(\hbar\omega - E_{g0} + W_0)$. If antidots are spheres of radius R

FIG. 7. Model calculations of influence of inclusions on polymer photoluminescence spectra. Right shift of maximum (2, 3, 4 curves) is associated with the smaller polarizability of inclusions vs the polymer matrix polarizability and left shift (2', 3', 4' curves) is associated with the larger polarizability of inclusions. The concentration of inclusions C is 0 for curve 1, 0.1 for curves 2 and 2', 0.3 for curves 3 and 3', and 0.5 for curves 4 and 4'. $\delta E = \hbar(\omega - \omega_0)$ is measured in units of $|W_0^i - W_0^m|$.

and volume concentration C , then a in Eq. (1) can vary from 0 to $R(C^{-1/3} - 1)$. The resulting luminescence spectrum is

$$S(\hbar\omega) = \frac{3C}{R^3} \int_0^{R(C^{-1/3}-1)} (R+a)^2 s[\hbar\omega - E_{g0} + W_0 f(a/r_0)] da. \quad (2)$$

Figure 7 shows the results of calculations with Eq. (2) assuming that $s(\hbar\omega - E_{g0} + W_0)$ has a Gaussian shape. The presence of antidots should cause a blueshift of the luminescent line which increases with the concentration of the inclusions. The shift does not exceed $0.1W$ but for the $W \sim 1$ eV typical of organic crystals²⁵ it is enough to explain the experimental data. At large C the line shape becomes asymmetric by acquiring a shoulder from the blue side. For a given C , the shift becomes smaller with an increase in R due to a decrease of the total matrix-inclusion interface area.

If inclusions have larger polarizability than the matrix, the effect will be the opposite, resulting in an increase in the polaron shift (decrease in the energy of the luminescent line). In this case, the above calculation may be repeated for the different matrix and inclusion polaron shifts, and Fig. 7 will instead show a negative shift in the luminescence line $W_0^i - W_0^m$, with m and i subscripts characterizing the matrix and inclusion, respectively.

The simple calculations presented above demonstrate that if dipoles induced in dielectric inclusions are weaker than those in a polymer, the polarization component of energy diminishes, which, in turn, shifts LUMO upwards (to higher electron energies) and HOMO downwards (to higher hole energies). This results in a greater effective band gap and increased excitonic energy in the vicinity of the inclusions [Fig. 8(a)]. The amplitude of the shift in energy depends on the distance from the inclusions and on their size. In this case both the luminescence and the excitation spectra shift to-

FIG. 8. Band diagrams of nanocomposites $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{PPV}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{PPV}$, SnSbO/PPV , and ZnO/PPV (a) and of nanocomposites $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CN-PPP}$, $\text{SnSbO}/\text{CN-PPP}$, and $\text{ZnO}/\text{CN-PPP}$ (b) and changes in the band diagram of type-II structures at the optical excitation caused by carrier charge redistribution (c).

wards higher photon energies. This situation is presumably realized in PPV-based nanocomposites (Figs. 2 and 3).

An additional mechanism of the blueshift of the luminescence maximum in PPV may be related to changes in the conjugated length. At the sites where the bonds between a polymer chain and nanocrystal are formed, the electronic configuration in the polymer chain changes, s - p hybridization occurs²⁶ and the overlapping of π orbitals diminishes. The conjugation length therefore decreases, causing the corresponding increase in E_g .

Measured spectra also reveal the disappearance of vibronic spectra in nanocomposites. This may be attributed to the formation of bonds between polymer chains and nanocrystals, which must be taken into account in the electron-phonon Hamiltonian. Since the mass of the nanocrystal exceeds that of a single monomer, the influence of nanocrystals can be treated as a boundary condition that pins two points on the polymer chain so that only vibrations between these points are allowed. In addition, different nanocrystals may have different sizes and masses. These factors together smear out the initially discrete phonon structure and the related vibronic spectra.

If the dipoles formed in inclusions exceed those in a polymer, the polarization energy increases, LUMO levels move downward, and HOMO levels upward. As a result, the band gap and the energies of absorption and luminescence peaks decrease [Fig. 8(b)]. The spatial variations of the polarization energy cause simultaneous broadening of the spec-

trum. This situation is expected in CN-PPP-based nanocomposites (Figs. 4–6). The shift in the luminescence maximum depends on the effective dielectric permittivity of the nanocrystal material. It is seen in Fig. 5 that the shift is where the maximum is larger for Al_2O_3 ($\epsilon_{\text{eff}}=5.68$) than for Y_2O_3 ($\epsilon_{\text{eff}}=6.41$). Figure 5 shows that ZnO and SnSbO represent a group of materials in which the shift is negligible and independent of the volume fraction of inorganic inclusions. It is worth noting that these materials alone form type-II heterostructures in the polymers under investigation. In type-II heterostructures, the electrons can be captured into the nanocrystals or stored therein in the case of direct optical excitation inside the nanocrystals. The establishment of a net charge creates an electric field outside the nanocrystals, perturbing thereby the band diagram, as depicted in Fig. 8(c). This provides a route towards separation of the electron–hole pair that make up an exciton. This explains the much lower luminescence intensity in SnSbO/CN-PPP compared to in the other nanocomposites which employ the same polymer matrix. For example, luminescence of nanocomposites SnSbO, Al_2O_3 , ZnO, and Y_2O_3 with equal ($\sim 60\%$) volume concentration of nanocrystals has relative intensity of, respectively, 1, 3.8, 12.5, and 17.5. ZnO/CN-PPP, which also forms a type-II heterostructure with CN-PPP, has a higher luminescence intensity, and it is attributable to direct luminescence from ZnO, whose band gap is close to that of CN-PPP.

The difference in dipole amplitude between PPV and CN-PPP is related to their molecular structure. Since the main contribution to the polarization energy is from the electron component, the dipolar amplitudes are determined by the extent of electron redistribution along the chains. For a single polymer chain the electron response to the electric field of an external charge will be anisotropic and have a much larger electronic shift along than normal to the chains. This shift must also depend on the electron energy in different parts of the chain. Since the electron energy near the benzene ring is higher than that near the vinylene group,²⁷ large electron shifts along the PPV chain are suppressed. In CN-PPP the benzene rings are directly interconnected, the conditions for electrons are more uniform, and their shift can be larger. Furthermore, CN-PPP allows a larger transverse electron shift since the CN group is attached to the ring with a long bond.

Thus, dielectric inclusions influence the energy structure of a polymer by increasing (or decreasing) its band gap in the vicinity of inclusions. Similar variations can be expected for excitonic energy, which also depends on the distance between the exciton and the inclusion.

The band diagram in Fig. 8 shows that both excitons and unbound carriers will either be repelled from or attracted to the dielectric nanocrystals, depending on the relative polarization characteristics of the polymer and the dielectric. In the case of semiconductor quantum dots, rather than dielectric antidots, this will influence carrier capture by nanocrystals and, ultimately, the recombination of nonequilibrium carriers.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we investigated the photoluminescence spectra of nanocomposites based on PPV and CN-PPP polymers with embedded nanoparticles of Y_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 , ZnO, and SnSbO. Dielectric nanoparticles noticeably change the photoluminescence spectra of PPV-based nanocomposites: the spectrum broadens, loses its vibronic structure, and shifts towards higher photon energies. The spectra of CN-PPP-based nanocomposites undergo much weaker changes in red-shifting and broadening. The phenomena observed are explained by modification of the polarization component of energy for free carriers and excitons. As a result, in the vicinity of dielectric inclusions the positions of LUMO and HOMO levels and, hence, the band gap of polymers, vary. Depending on the relationship between the polymer and nanocrystal polarizability, the LUMO–HOMO gap can either increase or decrease. Hence, in constructing the band diagram of nanocomposites with embedded dielectric or semiconductor nanocrystals, variations of this gap in the vicinity of nanocrystals should be taken into account.

- ¹A. D. Pomogailo, A. S. Rozenberg, and I. E. Uflyand, *Nanoscale Metallic Particles in Polymers* (Himiya, Moscow, 2000) (in Russian).
- ²M. C. Schlamp, X. Peng, and A. P. Alivisatos, *J. Appl. Phys.* **82**, 5837 (1997).
- ³S. A. Carter, J. C. Scott, and P. J. Brock, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **71**, 1145 (1997).
- ⁴G. P. Banfi, V. Degiorgio, and D. Ricard, *Adv. Phys.* **47**, 447 (1998).
- ⁵H. Mattoussi, B. O. Dabbousi, E. L. Thomas, M. G. Bawendi, and M. F. Rubner, *J. Appl. Phys.* **83**, 7965 (1998).
- ⁶T. J. Savenije, M. J. W. Vermeulen, M. P. de Haas, and J. M. Warman, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **61**, 9 (2000).
- ⁷L. Bakueva, S. Musikhin, and E. H. Sargent, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **1**, 457 (2001).
- ⁸A. Shik, H. Ruda, and E. H. Sargent, *J. Appl. Phys.* **88**, 3448 (2000).
- ⁹W.-P. Chang and W.-T. Whang, *Polymer* **37**, 4229 (1996).
- ¹⁰S. Heun, R. F. Mahrt, A. Greiner, U. Lemmer, H. Bassler, D. A. Halliday, D. D. C. Bradley, P. L. Burn, and A. B. Holmes, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **5**, 247 (1993).
- ¹¹M. Pope and C. E. Swenberg, *Electronic Processes in Organic Crystals and Polymers* (Oxford Science, New York, 1999).
- ¹²Y. Yang, Q. Pei, and A. J. Heeger, *J. Appl. Phys.* **79**, 934 (1995).
- ¹³J. Robertson, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* **18**, 1785 (2000).
- ¹⁴S. Liang, H. Sheng, Y. Liu, Y. Lu, and H. Shen, *J. Cryst. Growth* **225**, 110 (2001).
- ¹⁵P. S. Nayar, *J. Appl. Phys.* **53**, 1069 (1982).
- ¹⁶R. F. Dietz, J. J. Hopfield, and D. G. Thomas, *J. Appl. Phys.* **32**, 2282 (1961).
- ¹⁷K. S. Mishra, K. H. Johnson, and P. C. Schmidt, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 13 972 (1995).
- ¹⁸G. Mangamma, V. Jayaramman, T. Gnanasekaran, and G. Periaswami, *Sens. Actuators B* **54**, 133 (1999).
- ¹⁹*Organic Electroluminescent Materials and Devices*, edited by S. Miyata and H. S. Nalwa (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1997).
- ²⁰P. K. H. Ho, J.-S. Kim, N. Tessler, and R. H. Friend, *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 2709 (2001).
- ²¹S. Courric and V. H. Tran, *Polymer* **39**, 2399 (1998).
- ²²A. James and M. Lord, *Index of Chemical and Physical Data* (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1992).
- ²³*The Oxide Handbook*, edited by G. V. Samsonov (IFI/Plenum Data, New York, 1982).
- ²⁴*Organic Electron Materials; Conjugated Polymers and Low Molecular Weight Organic Solids*, edited by R. Farchioni and G. Grosso (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- ²⁵E. A. Silinsh, *Organic Molecular Crystals* (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
- ²⁶*Handbook of Conjugating Polymers*, edited by T. A. Skotheim, R. L. Elsenbaumer, and J. R. Reynolds (Dekker, New York, 1998).
- ²⁷M. Chandross, S. Mazumdar, S. Jeglinski, X. Wei, Z. V. Vardeny, E. W. Kwock, and T. M. Miller, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 14702 (1994).