Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques

**Predicting harvest of non-native signal crayfish in lakes – a
role for changing climate?**

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2015-0241.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Aug-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Bohman, Patrik; Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources Edsman, Lennart; Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources Sandström, Alfred; Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources Nyström, Per; Ekoll AB, Stenberg, Marika; Ekoll AB, Hertonsson, Pia; Ekoll AB, Johansson, Jacob; Lund University, Department of Biology
Keyword:	CRAYFISH < Organisms, FISHERY MANAGEMENT < General, POPULATION DYNAMICS < General, LAKES < Environment/Habitat, INVASIVE SPECIES < Organisms

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Predicting harvest of non-native signal crayfish in lakes – a role
for changing climate?**

Patrik Bohman¹, Lennart Edsman¹, Alfred Sandström¹,
Per Nyström², Marika Stenberg², Pia Hertonsso²,
Jacob Johansson³

¹Swedish university of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of
Freshwater Research, Stångholmsvägen 2, SE-17893 Drottningholm, Sweden

²Ekoll AB, Majgatan 17b, 215 65, Malmö, Sweden

³Theoretical Population Ecology and Evolution Group, Department of Biology, Lund
University, SE-22362 Lund, Sweden

17 Abstract

18 The signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) was introduced to Sweden in 1960 and it has a
19 high commercial and recreational value, but it may also have negative effects on native
20 ecosystems. To better predict how climate warming will affect population dynamics of this
21 cool-water crayfish, we explored the role of temperature and density dependence as
22 explanatory factors of the subsequent years' catch rates of commercially sized signal crayfish
23 in four Swedish lakes. We found air-temperatures to be good proxies for water-temperatures
24 in all lakes, except during winter time. We could only obtain water temperature data for Lake
25 Vättern and winter temperature data were therefore only included in the analysis of CPUE
26 patterns in this lake.

27 Our results indicate that increasing mean air temperatures will potentially affect
28 the population dynamics of cool-water freshwater crayfish species such as the signal crayfish.
29 Based on data from four lakes it seems that the population dynamics of signal crayfish are
30 lake specific and could be affected by either recruitment during the juvenile stage, the survival
31 and growth of adults, or both. Increased fluctuations in water temperature during winter time
32 may potentially influence adult survival. In order to better predict the effects of global
33 warming on the dynamics of cool-water crayfish populations, we suggest that future studies
34 should investigate recruitment in crayfish along temperature gradients and the influence of
35 variations in water temperature on winter mortality.

36

37 Keywords: CRAYFISH, FISHERY MANAGEMENT, POPULATION DYNAMICS, LAKES, INVASIVE SPECIES

38 Introduction

39 Understanding fluctuations in population size is fundamental in ecology. In applied ecology
40 population fluctuation typically holds for species that are of high commercial value or that
41 pose a threat to native biodiversity, such as non-native species. Identifying the mechanisms
42 causing these fluctuations requires long-term data, which are typically very rare for non-
43 native species (Strayer et al. 2006). Furthermore, in many instances non-native populations
44 show an initial rapid increase followed by either a stable or more oscillating phase (Crooks
45 2005). These population patterns and the lack of long-term data challenge our abilities to
46 understand the population dynamics of non-native species (e.g. Dörr and Scalici 2013;
47 Sandström et al. 2014a).

48 Non-native freshwater crayfish species have received much attention in fisheries
49 and community ecology, and conservation biology. Fishing of non-native crayfish species can
50 be of great economical and recreational value (Holdich 1993; Ackefors 1999), but these
51 species simultaneously have negative impacts on native fauna and flora worldwide (e.g.
52 Nyström 1999; Lodge et al. 2000; Bohman et al. 2006; Holdich et al. 2009). To be able to
53 successfully manage non-native crayfish populations both at present but also in the future, we
54 urgently need to identify the factors affecting their population growth rates in different aquatic
55 habitats and during different climatic scenarios.

56 In this study we use time series analyses (16-37 years) to explore long-term
57 catch data of non-native North American signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) in four
58 Swedish lakes with different abiotic and biotic characteristics. We fitted the best model by
59 combining density dependence and seasonal variations in temperature during previous years
60 to predict subsequent years' catches of commercially sized crayfish in these lakes. The
61 potential mechanisms causing population fluctuations in non-native crayfish species is not yet
62 fully understood. There are some studies however where the dynamics have been studied in

63 more detail that suggests that temperature variation as well as predation rates could have
64 important roles (e.g Hein et al. 2006; Olsson et al. 2010; Hansen et al. 2013).

65 The signal crayfish is currently the most widely spread non-native crayfish in
66 Europe. The species is found in 27 countries, but it has also been introduced to Japan and
67 outside its native range in the USA (summarized in Capinha et al. 2013). It is a long-lived
68 species (up to eighteen years old) that in lakes typically reaches its commercially harvestable
69 size (≥ 10 cm total length; TL in Sweden) 5-8 years after hatching, depending on population
70 density, temperature, food supply, and sex (summarized in Lewis 2002). It was first
71 introduced to Sweden in 1960, where it has negative effects on the native noble crayfish
72 (*Astacus astacus*), mainly by transferring the oomycete causing crayfish plague
73 (*Aphanomyces astaci*; Bohman et al. 2006), but also on other flora and fauna through
74 predation and competition (summarized in Nyström 1999; Ruokonen et al. 2014). Today the
75 signal crayfish can be found in at least 4000 localities in Sweden and the commercial catch of
76 this species has been estimated to be worth more than 40 million USD yearly (Bohman and
77 Edsman 2011). It has however recently been shown that some populations of signal crayfish
78 in Sweden and Finland have collapsed and the value of the fisheries has dropped significantly
79 in those lakes (Sandström et al. 2014a; Jussila et al. 2014). Time series analysis of a vital
80 signal crayfish population in the Swedish L. Bunn, suggests that air temperatures and density
81 in previous years explain population fluctuations (Olsson et al. 2010). Temperature may affect
82 cool-water crayfish species in many ways and during their entire life-cycle (summarized in
83 Lodge and Hill 1994). At some stages, high temperatures may promote individual growth
84 rates and reproductive success in species such as the signal crayfish, but in other situations
85 variations in temperature may potentially cause stress and susceptibility to crayfish plague
86 and other diseases (Söderhäll and Cerenius 1999; Aydin et al. 2014; Edsman et al. 2015). In
87 addition to this, even though high temperatures may promote individual growth rates and

rapid embryonic development, increasing temperatures may also significantly increase mortality rate during moulting in freshwater crayfish (Brewis and Bowler 1983). In an era of global warming and a changing climate, more long-term studies are needed before it is possible to predict how further climate change will affect the population dynamics of cool-water crayfish species. To achieve this goal, it is crucial to correctly specify the most important temperature bottle-necks affecting different life-stages of crayfish, and to include these in a predictive analysis.

The overall aim of this study was to further explore the complex role of temperature and population densities as explanatory factors of the subsequent years' catch rates of commercially sized signal crayfish (≥ 10 cm TL) in four Swedish lakes. Based on the previous work on cool-water crayfish biology cited above, we identified the temperature bottle-neck periods and formulated the following assumptions; 1) direct negative density dependence is important in crayfish populations, 2) high yearly temperature but low amplitudes in temperature during winter in a given year, has positive effects on recruitment to commercially sized crayfish, with time lags of 5-8 years and 3) high yearly temperature, but low amplitudes in temperature during winter has positive effects on growth and survival of harvestable sized crayfish (≥ 7 cm – 9,9 cm TL), having positive effects on subsequent years' catches of commercially sized crayfish (time lags of 0-2 years).

Materials and Methods

Study lakes

Time series data on catch statistics of signal crayfish in Sweden can be found in a database administered by Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. From this database we selected four lakes encompassing a south-north gradient of signal crayfish occurrence in Swedish lakes (Figure 1). All lakes had reliable data on crayfish fisheries (consecutive years with data on

catch, efforts and landings) and a catch time series of at least 16 years. The lakes varied in several physicochemical and biological characteristics (Table 1), as well as in fisheries management regulations and fishing pressure. Lake Vättern is the second largest lake in Sweden with a commercial fishery of signal crayfish. This lake is ultra-oligotrophic with a maximum depth of 120 m. In the other range of the spectrum we included the comparatively small Lake Vittsjön with brown water and a maximum depth of nine meters.

Catch and temperature data

All four lakes had harvested populations of signal crayfish. The catch statistics from the lakes were based on reports of catch per unit of effort of commercially sized signal crayfish in baited traps (e.g. Edsman and Söderbäck 1999). In three of the lakes (L. Hövern, L. Erken, L. Vittsjön) CPUE was given in numbers, and in L. Vättern it was given in kg. According to subsamples from the commercial catch in L. Vättern (years 2009 – 2014; n=11 000 individuals), one kg of crayfish corresponds to 21.6 individuals. In all four lakes the lower size limit for commercially sized crayfish is 10 cm TL.

Lake Erken has a limnological research station, which has contributed to make it one of Sweden's most extensively studied freshwater environments. Both non-commercial and commercial fishing for signal crayfish occur in L. Erken. Our data is derived from the main commercial fishing company (Naturvatten i Roslagen AB), and we used fishing data from a specific site in mid-August – early September. In the much smaller Lake Hövern we used CPUE data from the main fishing rights owner. Fishing is conducted at the same sites during a short period of time (early August – early September). CPUE has been more or less stable in recent years and the catch is on average 1.5 tons per year. The fishing for signal crayfish in L. Vittsjön is not conducted by professional fishermen, but the entire shore of the lake is fished at least twice a year during August. During this event, all trapped crayfish ≥ 10

cm TL are removed for human consumption. In the years 2000-2013, the median numbers of crayfish removed yearly was about 6 800 individuals. In L. Vättern (Sweden's second largest lake) a significant commercial fishery on signal crayfish has developed with annual catches increasing from about 20 tonnes in the year 2000 to more than 140 tonnes in 2008 . We have used CPUE data from commercial fisheries in L. Vättern. All fishermen are obliged to report their catches and efforts. We only used data from July – August, which is the most intense fishing period. This dataset includes fishing on private as well as common waters. After 2008 the total catch (of crayfish > 10 cm) has dropped at several sites in the northern parts, probably due to high fishing pressure (authors' unpublished data). In other parts of the lake, CPUE is more stable.

Previous studies involving signal crayfish and population fluctuations have successfully used air temperature from local weather stations as a proxy for water temperature in the lakes (Olsson et al. 2010). Since we wanted to link water temperature in the lakes to population fluctuations we collected additional measurements on water temperature in some of the lakes in 2009-2013 to further explore the strengths of relationship between water temperature and air temperature. In L. Erken we used water temperature data from a permanent weather station. In L. Hövern and L. Vittsjön we used "TidbiT v2 Water Temperature Data Loggers" (UTBI-001) to register water temperature with a four hour interval. In these lakes we used one logger for each lake (from a depth of ca 3.0 m where crayfish are caught). The data logger software HOBOWare Pro was used to manage the logged temperature data from these lakes. In L. Vättern water temperature data were obtained from Motala waterworks (where water temperature is registered on different depths several times each day). In L. Hövern for example, there was a good correspondence between mean daily air temperature and mean daily water temperatures in 2009-2012, except during the winter period (Figure 2). A closer examination of the data showed that the mean daily water

temperature (9.5 °C) is slightly higher than the mean daily air temperature (6.5 °C) in 2009-2012. This is mainly because the water temperature does not drop as much during the winter months in comparison to the air temperature. Furthermore, variations in the duration of the ice cover period will significantly influence the water temperature in lakes, and we therefore only included water winter temperatures in our analysis for L. Vättern (from a water depth of 5 m). This was the only lake for which we had water temperature data for the whole time series of crayfish catches. However, the small discrepancy between air and water temperatures during the rest of the year in the other three lakes should not cause confounded effects in our analyses of signal crayfish population dynamics. This is because we included temperature periods with warmer temperature (i.e. the majority of the growing season and the season for egg development). We therefore used the data for L. Vättern to test if the amplitudes in water temperature during winter time (called “Winter Stress”; see temperature bottle-necks below) could explain crayfish population dynamics.

Identifying temperature bottle-necks

Water temperature plays a crucial role by affecting the entire life cycle and growth of freshwater crayfish. In their study of signal crayfish population dynamics in the Swedish lake Bunn, Olsson et al. (2010) transformed air temperature data into more ecologically relevant parameters. In order to predict the subsequent years' catches of commercially sized signal crayfish we used a similar, yet modified approach. Firstly, we used only air-temperature data as a proxy for water temperatures outside the winter season (three lakes) and we included water temperatures from the entire year in one lake (L. Vättern). Secondly, we specifically analyzed the effects of temperature on A) recruitment of juveniles to harvestable sized crayfish (time lags of 5-8 years were expected, but we extended the analysis to the range of 2-9 years) and B) the effects on growth and survival of trappable but not yet commercially

harvestable adult crayfish (i.e. $\geq 7 - 9.9$ cm TL, time lags of 0-2 years). We identified three potential temperature bottle-necks. The first bottle-neck was named “Winter Stress” (*WS*). This included the variation in water temperature in L. Vättern during winter time (standard deviation; November-March), and high variation was expected to negatively affect adult crayfish (*WSa*) survival but also the ability of egg bearing females (*WSe*) to keep their eggs vital and free from fungus and oomycetes. Our long time experience in signal crayfish culture is that stress in winter often induces a high mortality, sometimes due to outbreaks of diseases such as crayfish plague. There are few literature studies on this topic, however Taugbøl and Skurdal (2008) and Brewis and Bowler (1983) mention this phenomena. “Winter Stress” could only be evaluated for L. Vättern, since this was the only lake with long time series with water temperature measurements. Temperature variation in the winter is potentially high in L. Vättern, since it is only occasionally covered by ice (11.8 days per year during our study period; Sandström et al. 2014b).

For all four study lakes we assumed that embryonic development of crustaceans is influenced by temperature (Styf et al. 2013). At low spring temperature the egg development and hatching of young of the year crayfish will be delayed and juvenile survival may be low that year. We named this bottle-neck “Egg Development” (*ED*) and it included the sum of the daily mean air temperature between April and June. Mass specific respiration and consumption rate in signal crayfish is highly temperature dependent (Karjalainen et al. 2015). The number of days with at least 8 °C (April-October) was the third bottle-neck, since that is the growth season for all crayfish in a lake. We named this period “Growth” (*G*). For clarification, *ED* was only included when testing scenario A) recruitment of juveniles.

Model selection

Our starting point was the modified Ricker model applied by Olsson et al. (2010) to explain observed fluctuations in catches of harvestable sized (≥ 10 cm TL) signal crayfish and noble crayfish (*Astacus astacus*) in L. Bunn. In our case we applied the modified temperature bottle-neck periods defined above for scenario A) juveniles:

$$N_{t+1} = N_t \times e^{(a+b \times N_t + c \times WSe_{t-k} + d \times ED_{t-k} + e \times G_{t-k})}$$

where N_t is the catch per unit of effort in the year t , a is the intrinsic growth rate, b is the density dependence, c is the influence of “Winter Stress” on egg bearing females (WSe) at lag k ($k=2-9$, note in this case only tested for L. Vättern), d is the influence of “Egg Development” (ED) at lag k ($k=2-9$) and e is the influence of “Growth” (G) at lag k ($k=2-9$). Furthermore, since experimental studies have shown that density of adult signal crayfish does not negatively affect survival and recruitment of juvenile signal crayfish (Olsson and Nyström 2009) we did not find any reason at this stage to test different combinations of time lags of density dependence besides the bottle-neck periods defined above.

For scenario B) adults, the temperature variable “Egg Development” (ED) was excluded. The resulting model was as follows:

$$N_{t+1} = N_t \times e^{(a+b \times N_t + c \times WSa_{t+j} + e \times G_{t-k})}$$

with the same definitions as above except that here c is the influence of “Winter Stress” on adult crayfish (WSa) at lag j ($j=0-1$, note in this case it is only tested for L. Vättern) and e is the influence of “Growth” (G) at lag k ($k=0-1$).

We used the Akaike information criterion corrected for small sample size (AIC_c) (Burnham and Andersson 1998) to select the best model and determine which temperature

bottle-neck periods should be included in the model. A forward selection procedure was used starting with the growth rate parameter, a , and the density dependence parameter, b , and successively adding the other variables one at the time in all possible combinations. The procedure was repeated for the different years ($k=2-9$) to find the model with the lowest AIC_c . We also provide ΔAIC_c to interpret and compare model results. Models where $\Delta AIC_c < 2.0$ can be considered as of almost equivalent importance. All covariates were standardized (normalized value characterized by the mean and standard deviation) to be able to be directly compared. All calculations were conducted using the problem solver in Microsoft Excel for Windows.

Results

We found a strong direct negative influence of density dependence on subsequent years' catches of harvestable sized signal crayfish in all four lakes (Table 2). We also found a positive influence of intrinsic growth rate on catches in all four lakes. Our models tested the influence of three different temperature bottle-neck periods. We could not find any consistent and general pattern with respect to these temperature bottle-neck periods that could explain the population dynamics in the four lakes. For L. Erken for example, the two best models applied temperature periods potentially affecting the survival and growth of adult crayfish (scenario B; $k=1$; $k=3$), whereas the third best model applied to periods potentially affecting the survival and growth of juvenile crayfish (scenario A; $k=6$). In L. Vittsjön, the best model included temperature potentially co-occurring with the period for egg development (scenario B; $k=2$). The variation in water temperature during winter ("Winter Stress") was only tested in L. Vättern where it appeared to be a significant factor contributing to the performance of the best models (scenario B; $k=2$; Table 2). The only lake where the best model contained a longer time lag was L. Hövern (scenario A; $k=7$).

260

261 **Lake Erken**

262 The best model for L. Erken had a time lag of two years (t-1) and included density
263 dependence, intrinsic growth rate and the temperature variable “Growth”. The number of days
264 with temperatures above eight °C between April-October, had a positive influence on CPUE
265 (0.117) of trappable but not yet commercially harvestable adult crayfish (scenario B; t-1;
266 Table 2). For L. Erken the second best model included the same variables as the first one but
267 with a time lag of four years (scenario B; t-3, Table 2) and a negative (-0.108) instead of
268 positive influence on CPUE. The third best model had a time lag of seven years (scenario A;
269 t-6; Table 2) and a positive effect (0.103) of temperatures during “Egg Development” (*ED*) on
270 CPUE.

271

272 **Lake Hövern**

273 The best model for L. Hövern included density dependence, intrinsic growth rate and the
274 temperature variable “Growth” with a time lag of eight years (t-7; Table 2). There was a
275 positive influence (0.101) on CPUE from an increasing number of days with temperatures
276 above eight °C (April-October; scenario A; t-7; Table 2). The second best model for L.
277 Hövern, with a time lag of eight years, showed a positive effect (0.135) of the variable
278 “Growth”, with “Egg Development” (the sum of the daily mean air temperature between
279 April and June) having a negative influence (-0.057) on CPUE (Table 2). This model also
280 included density dependence and intrinsic growth rate.

281

282 **Lake Vittsjön**

283 The best model for L. Vittsjön had a time lag of three years (t-2) and included density
284 dependence, intrinsic growth rate and the temperature variable “Egg Development” (*ED*).

There was a negative influence (-0.231) of the sum of the mean daily temperatures during egg development between April and June on CPUE (scenario A; t-2; Table 2).

Lake Vättern

Lake Vättern was the only lake with data on water temperatures and where we tested all three temperature-bottle neck scenarios, including “Winter Stress”. The best model for L. Vättern had a time lag of three years (t-2) and included density dependence, intrinsic growth rate and the temperature variable “Winter Stress” (variation in winter temperature, standard deviation; November-March). There was a negative influence (-0.223) on CPUE from an increasing variation in winter temperatures (scenario B; t-2; Table 2). The second best model in addition to negative influence of “Winter Stress” (-0.204) also included an influence of the variable “Growth”. There was a negative influence (-0.104) of an increasing number of days with at least eight °C (April-October; scenario B; t-2; Table 2).

Discussion

Our time series analyses indicate that climate factors (ambient air/water-temperature) can be used to predict the subsequent years' catches of harvestable sized signal crayfish in four different Swedish lakes. In accordance with previous studies on freshwater crayfish the results also show that a large catch in one year has a negative influence on the catch in the following year, i.e. negative density dependence (Olsson et al. 2010; Zimmerman et al. 2012). We included three different bottle-neck periods in our analyses, and the relative importance of these periods seemed to vary between lakes, but also within the same lake. Nevertheless, the best models pointed out that two different scenarios could be important. Either, scenario A) temperature conditions for juveniles (L. Hövern) and egg development (L. Vittsjön) were important or scenario B), temperature effect on growth and survival of the adult stages was of

importance (L. Erken and L. Vättern). Even though the direction of the influence of the different temperature-bottlenecks (Growth, Egg Development or Winter Stress) on CPUE varied between lakes, we found that for the only lake where we had data on water-temperature (L. Vättern) the best models both included negative effects of “Winter Stress” on CPUE. A high variation in water temperature during winter time had negative effects on CPUE with a time lag of three years. Thus, overall our results indicate that mortality associated both with the growth period and the winter period can be important when explaining the dynamics of naturally occurring freshwater crayfish populations. Similar conclusions were made by Brewis and Bowler (1983). They found that both overwinter mortality and moult-associated mortality affected population survival in the cool-water crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes*.

In accordance with our results, previous work suggests that understanding population dynamics of harvested crayfish populations can be complicated because of counteracting factors and time lags (Sadykova et al. 2009; Olsson et al. 2010; Zimmerman and Palo 2012). Many freshwater crayfish species are long-lived with slow growth rates, and have a low reproductive output (Holdich 1993). Responses to perturbations may therefore take time (Momot 1991). For example, harvest may reduce the number of large crayfish in a population, leading to positive density dependent effects on growth rate and survival of smaller sized crayfish (Moorhouse and Macdonald 2011). We found significant negative effects of density dependence in all four studied lakes but the influence of harvest was hard to interpret. We did not find any specific patterns related to fishing pressure, since the magnitude of the effect of density dependence did not appear to be related to fishing pressure. In parallel it has been argued that changes in growth and fecundity in response to exploitation are limited for slow growing cool-water crayfish species (Momot 1991). In some models there was a positive effect on catch from higher summer temperatures in previous years, potentially caused by faster individual growth rates. Increased temperature has been shown to

significantly increase individual growth rates, the proportion of sexually mature females, and recruitment of freshwater crayfish (Scalici et al. 2008; Sadykova et al. 2009; Skurdal et al. 2011). In some of the lake models we had negative influence of increased temperatures on CPUE (e.g. the second best models of L. Erken and L. Vättern; Table 2). Elevated temperatures may also cause physiological stress and reduced resistance to disease (Söderhäll and Cerenius 1999; Shields 2012) as well as increased mortality associated with growth and moulting (Brewis and Bowler 1983). We found that temperatures during the years when juveniles hatch and grow could potentially be important in the two-three of our four study-lakes. At low temperatures the development and subsequent survival of young-of-the-year crayfish is expected to be impaired (Abrahamsson and Goldman 1970; Abrahamsson 1973).

Abrahamsson and Goldman (1970) found that the eggs of signal crayfish in L. Tahoe (in North America) could not develop at all when the water temperature was below seven °C. Thus, if lake temperature increases during our identified bottle-necks of “Egg Development” and “Growth”, we would expect climate change to have positive effects on recruitment of the signal crayfish in lakes with colder temperatures. In the case of L. Erken this was the scenarios supported by the best model. But it should also be stressed that the scenarios with elevated temperatures may have negative effects (see below and the second best model in L. Erken; Table 2). Lake Vättern resembles the large, deep and ultra-oligotrophic L. Tahoe. In L. Vättern we found water temperature time lags of up to three years to be important when predicting subsequent years’ catches of signal crayfish. Here, “Winter Stress” and the number of days with temperatures above eight °C when crayfish grow (April-October) were important. The best catches of signal crayfish in L. Vättern are typically from water depths between 8-15 m. In L. Vättern, L. Erken and L. Hövern the monthly water temperatures (at three metres depths for the smaller lakes) is often much below 7-8° C (Figure

4). It is possible that increases in temperature during egg development and growth period may potentially negatively affect recruitment of signal crayfish in some lakes.

Some of the collapsing signal crayfish populations in Sweden have been linked to lower altitudes and warmer average yearly temperatures than the more stable populations in other lakes (Sandström et al. 2014a). With a time lag of three years, our analyses indicate that a greater magnitude of temperature fluctuations during winter (“Winter Stress”) could help explain the subsequent years’ catches of commercially sized signal crayfish. This pattern could be a result of increased stress and mortality of adult, but not yet harvestable sized, crayfish. Most populations of signal crayfish in Sweden (including the ones studied here) have been shown to be carriers of crayfish plague, potentially affecting the growth, overall performance and survival of different life-stages in changing environments (discussed in Sandström et al. 2014a). We speculate that crayfish plague could be an important component and a complicating factor affecting North American species of crayfish, species that are otherwise rather tolerant to this disease, a situation that may shift with changing climate.

Some recent studies point in the direction that temperature, and global warming may have significant effects on crayfish distribution. In areas with high introduction efforts (e.g. Sweden) the climate suitability is the most important factor behind invasion success of signal crayfish (Capinha et al. 2013).

Our results indicate that the positive influence from summer temperature (number of days with temperature above eight °C during April-October) on CPUE can probably be used to predict subsequent years’ catches of harvestable sized signal crayfish in some temperate lakes. The time lags may differ between lakes, in our case between 2-8 years. The length of the time series varied considerably between our study lakes, as did the predictions of the best fitted models. For example, the time series for L. Vittsjön was only sixteen years and the confidence interval of the best fitted model was relatively high. In such

situations the risk of spurious correlations, i.e. falsely identified dependences, could be high. The length of time series for L. Vättern was similar (eighteen years) and the confidence interval for the best model was also comparatively high. Here we only modelled how population densities are affected by temperatures in one single previous year. However, the catch in a given year most likely consists of individuals of different ages and whose egg development (or other critical stages) consequently have occurred in different years. To deal with this, future investigations could develop and analyse age-structured models, e.g. matrix population models (Caswell 2001) that can accommodate temperature effects distributed over several years.

To conclude, our study implicate that it is possible to predict future catches of signal crayfish quite well, using temperature data and assumptions on density dependence. This could provide a valuable tool for the management of the fisheries of signal crayfish and potentially also other crayfish species. We included lakes with different characteristics, considering abiotic and biotic factors as well as exploitation. In three of the lakes, models including temperature effects on adults were selected. This finding could potentially be relevant for other species of crayfish as well. But in order to predict the effects of global warming on the dynamics of cool-water crayfish populations, we suggest that future studies should investigate recruitment in crayfish along temperature gradients. Furthermore, because increased temperature and higher amplitudes in water temperature may affect adult survival and their individual growth rates, this may also be an important topic for future mechanistic studies.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the fishing right owners and fishermen that provided data on crayfish catches as well as the water conservation society of L. Vättern and the limnological field

station of L. Erken for providing data on water temperature. This project was financed by the European Union's Fisheries Fund, the Swedish university of Agricultural Sciences and SWAM, the Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Management.

References

- Abrahamsson, S.A.A. 1973. The crayfish, *Astacus astacus* in Sweden and the introduction of the American crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus*. *Freshw. Cray.* **1**: 28-40.
- Abrahamsson, S.A.A., and Goldman, C.R. 1970. Distribution, density and production of the crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus* Dana in Lake Tahoe, California – Nevada. *Oikos* **21**(1): 83-91.
- Ackefors, H. 1999. The positive effects of established crayfish introductions in Europe. *In* Crustacean Issues 11, Crayfish in Europe as alien species. *Edited by* F. Gherardi and D.M. Holdich. Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, Brookfield, pp. 49-61.
- Aydin, H., Kokko, H., Makkonen, J., Kortet, R., Kukkonen, H., and Jussila, J. 2014. The signal crayfish is vulnerable to both the As and the PsI-isolates of the crayfish plague. *Knowl. Managt. Aquatic Ecosyst.* Number 413.
- Bohman, P., Nordwall, F., and Edsman, L. 2006. The effect of the large-scale introduction of signal crayfish on the spread of crayfish plague in Sweden. *Bulletin Français de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture* 380-381:1291–1302.
- Bohman, P., and Edsman, L. 2011. Status, Management and Conservation of Crayfish in Sweden: Results and the Way Forward. *Freshwater Crayfish* **18**(1):19–26
- Brewis, J.M., and Bowler, K. 1983. A study of the dynamics of a natural population of the freshwater crayfish, *Austropotamobius pallipes*. *Freshwater Biology* **13**, 443-452
- Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R. 1998. Model selection: a practical information-theoretic approach. Springer, New York.

- 434 Capinha, C., Brotons, L., and Anastácio, P. 2013. Geographical variability in propagule
435 pressure and climatic suitability explain the European distribution of two highly invasive
436 species. *Journal of Biogeography* **40**: 548-558.
- 437 Caswell, H. 2001. Matrix population models. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 438 Crooks, J.A. 2005. Lag times and exotic species: The ecology and management of biological
439 invasions in slow motion. *Ecoscience* **12**(3): 316-329.
- 440 Dörr, A.J.M., and Scalici, M. 2013. Revisiting reproduction and population structure and
441 dynamics of *Procambarus clarkii* eight years after its introduction into Lake Trasimeno
442 (Central Italy). *Knowl. Managt. Aquatic Ecosyst.* **408**(10): 1-16.
- 443 Edsman, L., and Söderbäck, B. 1999. Standardised sampling methodology for crayfish – The
444 Swedish protocol. *Freshw. Crayf.* **12**: 705-713.
- 445 Edsman, L., Nyström, P., Sandström, A., Stenberg, M., Kokko, H., Tiitinen, V., Makkonen,
446 J., and Jussila, J. 2015. Eroded swimmeret syndrome in female crayfish *Pacifastacus*
447 *leniusculus* associated with *Aphanomyces astaci* and *Fusarium* spp. infections. *Diseases of*
448 *Aquatic Organisms.* **112**(3):219-228.
- 449 Hansen, G.J.A., Ives, A.R., Vander Zanden, M.J., and Carpenter, S. 2013. Are rapid
450 transitions between invasive and native species caused by alternative stable states, and
451 does it matter? *Ecology* **94**(10): 2207-2219.
- 452 Hein, C.L., Roth, B.M., Ives, A.R., and Vander Zanden, J. 2006. Fish predation and trapping
453 for rusty crayfish (*Orconectes rusticus*) control: a whole-lake experiment. *Can. J. Fish.*
454 *Aquat. Sci.* **63**: 383-393.
- 455 Holdich, D.M. 1993. A review of astaciculture: freshwater crayfish farming. *Aquat. Living*
456 *Resour.* **6**: 307-317.

- 457 Holdich, D.M., Reynolds, J.D., Souty-Grosset, C., and Sibley, P.J. 2009. A review of the ever
458 increasing threat to European crayfish from non-indigenous crayfish species Knowl.
459 Managt. Aquatic Ecosyst. **11**: 394-395
- 460 Jussila J., Makkonen J., Kokko H., and Mäkinen P. 2014. Numerous Population Crashes of
461 Wild Signal Crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) in Southern Finland. Freshw crayfish
462 **20**(1): 73-79.
- 463 Karjalainen, J., Ruokonen, T. J., Marjomäki, T. J., Martikainen, A., Pursiainen, M., Sarvala,
464 J., Tarvainen, M., and Ventelä, A.-M. (2015), Predation by signal crayfish *Pacifastacus*
465 *leniusculus* on fish eggs and its consequences for coregonid recruitment. Journal of Fish
466 Biology, 86: 651–667
- 467 Lewis, S.D. 2002. *Pacifastacus* In Biology of freshwater crayfish. Edited by D.M. Holdich.
468 Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, pp. 511-540.
- 469 Lodge, D.M., and Hill, A.M. 1994. Factors governing species composition, population size,
470 and productivity of cool-water crayfishes. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research* **69**:111-
471 136.
- 472 Lodge, D.M., Taylor, C.A., Holdich, D.M., and Skurdal, J. 2000. Non indigenous crayfishes
473 threaten North American freshwater biodiversity: lessons from Europe. Fisheries **25**(8): 7-
474 20.
- 475 Momot, W.T. 1991. Potential for exploitation of freshwater crayfish in coolwater systems:
476 management guidelines and issues. Fisheries **16**(5): 14-21.
- 477 Moorhouse, T.P., and Macdonald, D.W. 2011. The effect of removal by trapping on body
478 condition in populations of signal crayfish. Biol. Conserv. **144**: 1826-1831.
- 479 Nyström, P. 1999. Ecological impact of introduced and native crayfish on freshwater
480 communities: European perspectives. In Crustacean Issues 11, Crayfish in Europe as alien

- species. *Edited by* F. Gherardi and D.M. Holdich. Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, Brookfield, pp. 63-86.
- Olsson, K., and Nyström, P. 2009. Non-interactive effects of habitat complexity and adult crayfish on survival and growth of juvenile crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*). *Freshw. Biol.* **54**: 35-46.
- Olsson, K., Granéli, W., Ripa, J., and Nyström, P. 2010. Fluctuations in harvest of native and introduced crayfish are driven by temperature and population density in previous years. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **67**: 157-164.
- Ruokonen, T., Karjalainen, J., and Hämäläinen, M. 2014. Effects of an invasive crayfish on the littoral macroinvertebrates of large boreal lakes are habitat specific. *Freshw Biol.* **59**(1): 12-25.
- Sadykova, D., Skurdal, J., Sadykov, A., Taugbol, T., and Hessen, D.O. 2009. Modelling crayfish population dynamics using catch data: A size-structured model. *Ecol. Model.* **220**: 2727-2733.
- Sandström, A., Andersson, M.A, Asp, A., Bohman, P., Edsman, L., Engdahl, F., Nyström, P., Stenberg, M., Hertonsso, P., Vrålstad, T., and Granéli, W. 2014a. Population collapses in introduced non-indigenous crayfish. *Biol. Inv.* **16**(9): 1961-1977.
- Sandström, A., Ragnarsson Stabo H., Axenrot, T., and E. Bergstrand. 2014b. Are trends and dynamics in recruitment of pelagic fish species in Lake Vänern and Lake Vättern driven by climate variability? *AEHM* **17**(4): 349-356.
- Scalici, M., Belluscio, A., and Gibertini, G. 2008. Understanding population structure and dynamics in threatened crayfish. *J. Zool.* **275**: 160-171.
- Shields, J.D. 2012. The impact of pathogens on exploited populations of decapods crustaceans. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **110**: 211-224.

- 505 Skurdal, J. H., Hesson, D.O., Garnås, E., and Vollestad, L.A. 2011. Fluctuating fecundity
506 parameters and reproductive investment in crayfish: driven by climate or chaos? *Freshw.*
507 *Biol.* **56**: 335-341.
- 508 Strayer, D.L., Eviner, V.T., Jeschke, J.M., and Pace, M.L. 2006. Understanding the long-term
509 effects of species invasions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **21**:645-651.
- 510 Styf, H.K., Nilsson Sköld, H., and Eriksson, S.P. 2013. Embryonic response to long-term
511 exposure of the marine crustacean *Nephrops norvegicus* to ocean acidification and elevated
512 temperature. *Ecology and Evolution.* **3**(15):5055-5065.
- 513 Söderhäll, K., and Cerenius, L. 1999. The crayfish plague fungus: History and recent
514 advances. *Freshw. Cray.* **12**:11-25.
- 515 Taugbøl, T., and Skurdal, J. 2008. Growth, mortality and moulting rate of noble crayfish,
516 *Astacus astacus* L., juveniles in aquaculture experiments. *Aquaculture research.* **23** (4):
517 411-420.
- 518 Zimmerman, J.K.M., and Palo, R.T. 2012. Time series analysis of climate-related factors and
519 their impact on a red-listed noble crayfish population in northern Sweden. *Freshw. Biol.*
520 **57**:1031-1041.
- 521

522 **Tables**

523 Table 1. Selected physicochemical and biological characteristics of the study lakes. Data were
524 compiled from different Swedish data bases and monitoring surveys, and with respect to
525 water chemistry presented as mean values from various measurements conducted in 2000-
526 2008. Data on stocking year of signal crayfish and height above mean sea level (MSL) is also
527 given.

	Year	Area (km ²)	Mean depth (m)	MSL (m)	Alkalinity (mekv/l)	Tot-P (µg/l)	Color (mg Pt/l)	No. fish species
L. Erken	1966	24	9.1	11	1.70	34	32	8
L. Hövern	1970	6	6,2	65	0.67	31	63	8
L. Vittsjön	1991	2	2,9	100	0.16	27	345	10
L. Vättern	1969	1835	35,0	89	0.52	7	7	26

528

529

530

Draft

Table 2. Calculated values from the best models ($\Delta AICc < 2$) for the four different study-lakes. Included are length of time series (n), corrected Akaike information criterion ($AICc$), $\Delta AICc$, mean CPUE of signal crayfish, confidence interval (CI), modelled scenarios affecting juveniles (A) or adults (B), values for the included variables ($a-e$) and time lag (k). $\Delta AICc$ values are given for the second, third and fourth best models (if applicable). Models with $\Delta AICc < 2$ were considered as equally good as the best model for Lake Erken, Lake Hövern, Lake Vittsjön and Lake Vättern. Note that “Winter Stress” only was tested in the model of L. Vättern (where data was based on water temperature data in contrast to the other lakes where air temperature data were used). CPUE is given in numbers, but for L. Vättern (*) it is given in kg.

Lake	n	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	CPUE (mean)	CI	Scenario	Growth rate <i>a</i>	Density dependence <i>b</i>	Egg develop <i>d</i>	Growth <i>e</i>	Winter stress <i>c</i>	Time lag <i>k</i>
L. Erken	29	-10.13		3.477	1.559	B	0.082	-0.161	-	0.117	NA	1
L. Erken	29	-9.906	0.224	3.477	1.565	B	0.081	-0.162	-	-0.108	NA	3
L. Erken	29	-8.757	1.373	3.477	1.598	A	0.112	-0.222	0.103	-	NA	6
L. Hövern	37	-35.958		2.408	1.154	A	0.139	-0.362	-	0.101	NA	7
L. Hövern	37	-35.022	0.940	2.408	1.146	A	0.133	-0.371	-0.057	0.135	NA	7
L. Vittsjön	16	18.839		8.808	3.190	B	0.242	-0.318	-0.231	-	NA	2
L Vättern	18	-106.451		0.147*	0.076	B	0.055	-0.103	-	-	-0.223	2
L Vättern	18	-106.436	0.015	0.147*	0.072	B	0.036	-0.097	-	-0.104	-0.204	2

NA=Not available; - = not included in model

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Map of Sweden with the location and names of the four study lakes. The four largest lakes in Sweden are also shown in the map (Lake Vänern, Lake Vättern, Lake Mälaren and Lake Hjälmaren).

Figure 2. Correspondence between mean daily air temperature and mean daily water temperature (at three meter water depth) in Lake Hövern 2009-2011. Air temperatures were provided by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) and water temperatures from our own temperature logger in the lake.

Figure 3 a-d. Observed and predicted (best fitted model) of catches per unit effort (CPUE) of harvestable sized (≥ 10 cm TL) signal crayfish for four Swedish lakes; a) Lake Erken b) Lake Hövern c) Lake Vittsjön and d) Lake Vättern. Note that in L. Vättern CPUE is measured in kg (numbers were not available for this fishery) and the model is based on predictions from water temperature data and CPUE.

Figure 4. Two years of mean monthly water temperatures from three out of our four study lakes. The dashed line indicates lower temperature limit for egg development and growth of signal crayfish. Temperature was measured on a daily basis at water depths where commercially sized crayfish are caught in the lakes.

a) L. Erken

b) L. Hövern

c) L. Vittsjön

d) L. Vättern