Canadian Journal of Forest Research
Revue canadienne de recherche forestière

Predicting White Spruce Cone Crops in the Boreal Forests of the Southern and Central Yukon

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Forest Research</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfr-2016-0180.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Sep-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Krebs, Charles; Department of Zoology ODonoghue, Mark; Department of the Environment Taylor, Shawn; Environment Yukon, Fish and Wildlife Branch Kenney, Alice; University of British Columbia, Department of Zoology Hofer, Elizabeth; University of British Columbia, Department of Zoology Boutin, Stan; University of Alberta, Department of Biological Sciences
Keyword:	white spruce cone production, Yukon, Picea glauca, delta-t model, mast seeding

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Predicting White Spruce Cone Crops in the Boreal Forests of the Southern and Central
Yukon**

C.J. Krebs¹, M. O'Donoghue², Shawn Taylor³, A.J. Kenney¹, E.J. Hofer¹, and S. Boutin⁴

¹Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1Z4

krebs@zoology.ubc.ca , kenney@zoology.ubc.ca , elizabethj.hofer@gmail.com

²Environment Yukon, Fish and Wildlife Branch, P.O. Box 310, Mayo, Yukon Y0B 1M0

Mark.ODonoghue@gov.yk.ca

³Environment Yukon Fish and Wildlife Branch, Box 5429, Haines Junction Y0B 1L0

Shawn.Taylor@gov.yk.ca

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2E9

sboutin@ualberta.ca

Corresponding author: Charles Krebs

Phone: 604-822-3957

Fax: (604) 822-2416

*Email: krebs@zoology.ubc.ca

Running Head: Predicting white spruce cone crops

Abstract

White spruce cone crops were measured at five regional centers in the southern and central Yukon for 30 years at one site from 1986 to 2015 and at four other sites from 9-11 years to select the best climatic model that use cues from growing season temperature and rainfall to predict the size of cone crops. We evaluated six climate models that use summer temperature and rainfall of years $t-1$, and $t-2$ to predict cone crops in year t . July temperatures provided the best predictors of white spruce cone crops and no rainfall variable was related to the size of cone crops. We explored three variants of July temperatures: mean temperature, degree-days $> 5^{\circ}\text{C}$, and maximum temperatures. For each of these we used the ΔT model that uses the difference in the July temperature measures of year $t-1$ and $t-2$. We compared the resulting 6 models with AIC_c to determine their relative predictive performance. The best model combined ΔT measures of degree-days $> 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the four highest daily maximum July temperatures with $R^2 = 0.65$. By comparison the ΔT model involving only mean July temperatures was less successful ($R^2 = 0.49$). There was good regional synchrony ($r_p = 0.7$ to 0.8) in high cone crops over the southern and central Yukon during 1986 to 2015.

Keywords: white spruce cone production, Yukon, climate, *Picea glauca*, delta-t model, mast seeding

Introduction

Cone crops of white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) in the boreal forest region vary dramatically from year to year. A combination of climatic events are usually put forward to explain these variations in plant production (Juday et al. 2003; Messaoud et al. 2007; Allen et al. 2014, Pearse et al. 2016). In 2012 we produced a quantitative model for the prediction of white spruce cone crops in the Yukon from climatic factors one and two years prior to the crop (Krebs et al. 2012). After our analysis, a new model, the ΔT model, for cone crops was proposed by Kelly et al. (2013). This model is based solely on temperature in the growing season of the previous 2 years, and is thus a conceptually simple model suggesting the cues for the response of masting trees to temperature variations.

The new ΔT model is particularly attractive as an alternative model because of its simplicity, involving only summer temperatures, and because as Kelly et al. (2013) show the ΔT model fits a variety of masting records from many plant species and thus achieves a level of generality often lacking in climatic models of biological events. Climatic models are particularly difficult to construct because of the plethora of variables possible, so that development of models can too often turn into a fishing expedition.

We began measuring white spruce cone production in the Kluane region in 1986 because of the ecological implications of highly variable seed crops for seed-eating mammals and birds. We have added data here from the Yukon Community Ecological Monitoring Program (CEMP) for sites at Faro (2007-2015), Mayo, Whitehorse, and Watson Lake (all 2005-2015). The utility of a model of masting is that natural resource managers and government seed collection agencies can use it to anticipate years of high and low seed production, particularly if the model predictions can be generalized over large spatial areas.

This paper reports on the statistical associations between climatic measurements and white spruce cone production for all these CEMP regions in the southern and central Yukon. In a broader context we wish to determine if temperature, rainfall, or both are the best predictors of the size of future white spruce cone crops and to estimate which monthly variables are the best predictors. We focus on Δ -models measuring the difference in any particular climatic variable one year before and that measurement two years before the observed cone crop. We emphasize here that we view growing season temperature and rainfall as possible cues to subsequent cone production and we do not know for this system how temperature and rainfall might relate to the proximate physiological drivers that have a direct, mechanistic relationship with cone production.

Methods

The Study Areas

The study regions are all in the southern and central Yukon and all contain tree communities with abundant white spruce. Figure 1 shows the locations of the 5 regions and Table 1 gives the average summer temperature and rainfall for each region for the last 30 years. The climate in all regions is continental, and as a consequence tree growth and forest succession are slow. The effects of climate change in these regions in the last 50 years are significant but not large (Conway and Danby 2014). From 1980-2014 the change of July summer temperature at the Haines Junction weather station has been 0.021 °C increase per year, a slight but significant change ($p = 0.04$). Summer rainfall trends for the June and July time period are also non-significant ($p = 0.53$). The 5 regions are spread across about 390 km north-south and 550 km east-west, covering a boreal forest area of about 200,000 km².

< TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE >

Weather Data

Weather data were obtained from Environment Canada for weather stations at each of the 5 regions. The Kluane Lake study areas are located between the Haines Junction and Burwash Airport weather stations. For these 5 regional areas, Mayo is the warmest in summer along with Watson Lake, and Kluane the coldest (Burwash and Haines Junction area). Rainfall is highly variable with Watson Lake the wettest and Whitehorse the driest. We found that either Burwash Airport data or Haines Junction data could be used for analysis of white spruce cone production at Kluane Lake. We chose the Haines Junction weather data because they had less missing data and are slightly closer to most of our Kluane study sites.

Cone Crop Estimation

We measured white spruce cone crops of individual tagged trees at all locations with the same methods. Only trees > 10cm DBH were used. New cones were counted in the top 3 m from one side of each tree by the use of binoculars. If more than 100 cones were present, a photograph was taken and counted later on a computer. These index counts refer to only one side of the tree, and we converted these to whole tree cone counts with the equation developed by LaMontagne et al. (2005) who sampled 60 whole trees destructively to develop an equation to transform index counts to total tree counts of cones. Not all regions were counted in all years. Table 2 gives a summary of the number of trees counted at each region each year. When we discuss data on cone crops in this paper we are referring to the total number of cones per tree obtained from our index counts by means of the LaMontagne et al. (2005) transformation.

At Kluane Lake individual spruce trees to be counted were located systematically at 42 m intervals on checkerboard 36 ha snowshoe hare live trapping grids (Krebs et al. 2001) so that for most grid sites 86 trees were counted. For the other 4 regions we used 2 (or 4) parallel lines 100

m apart, with 50 (or 25) stations in each line, spaced at 15 m intervals, for a minimum sample size of about 100 trees per region (Table 2). All trees were tagged. Some trees (<1%) died in a given year or were broken off by wind and a new tree had to be located. Data were pooled at all sampling sites within each of the 5 regions. The mean cone count per tree for each year averaged over all sampling sites within each of the 5 regions was the dependent variable used in statistical analyses. Over all the years a total of 71 region-years of data were available with the longest time series being 30 years at Kluane Lake.

< TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE >

Spruce cones were counted in late July or early August while they were still green and before red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonius*) began to harvest them in late August (early boreal autumn, Fletcher et al. 2013).

Weather Variables

We used standard weather data from nearby meteorological stations (Table 1) to estimate for each potential cone crop year for each region the mean July temperature, and used that as the ΔT measure suggested by Kelly et al. (2013), which is one measure of the difference in warmth between the two previous growing seasons. We estimated ΔT from mean daily temperature from mid-June to mid-August and for July only. There was a very high correlation between these measures from June 16 to August 15 and the same measures in July ($r > 0.97$) so we used the simplest July-only measures of the temperature of the growing season. ΔT is defined here as:

$$\Delta T = \text{mean July temperature (year } t-1) - \text{mean July temperature (year } t-2) \quad (1)$$

Growing-degree-days might provide a more precise measure of summer warmth for spruce trees. For each region we calculated growing-degree days for each July from daily mean temperatures

by subtracting 5 from each day in which the mean temperature was above 5°C and then summing these for July. We then calculated Δ degree-days by the equation:

$$\text{growing-degree days for day } X = \text{mean daily temperature for day } X - 5 \quad (2)$$

(= 0 if mean daily temperature was below 5°C)

$$\Delta \text{ growing-degree days} = \text{total growing-degree days}(\text{July } t - 1) - \text{total growing-degree days}(\text{July } t - 2) \quad (3)$$

We used degree-days above 5°C but would get the exact same results with degree-days above 0°C since virtually all July temperatures are above 5°C.

There are many other measures of temperature that could be used, and we added one more, the mean of the 4 highest daily temperatures in July, to determine if cone crops were influenced by extreme values of summer temperature rather than mean values.

In addition to temperature data we looked for correlations with rainfall in each of the summer growing season months from May to August in year $t-1$ and $t-2$. We checked all these weather variables from year $t-3$ and found no significant correlations so we have gone back only to year $t-2$ for weather variables.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis is limited to data from the 30-year period 1986 to 2015. All statistical analyses were done in NCSS 10 (Number Crunching Statistical System, Kay, Utah, www.ncss.com). Multiple regressions for all the models were computed by the use of robust regression following Huber’s Method ($C = 1.345$) to reduce the impact of outliers in the data, as suggested by Kutner et al. (2005) and Huber and Ronchetti (2009). Confidence limits for all estimates were estimated by bootstrapping 10,000 samples. Synchrony among areas was quantified using methods suggested by Koenig et al. (2003). All cone count and temperature data are given in the Supplementary Material Table S1.

Results

Testing Temperature and Rainfall Variables

We carried out an extensive exploratory data analysis on all monthly summer (May to September) temperature and rainfall variables from the two years prior to cone production. We found no monthly rainfall variables that were correlated with spruce cone counts either as individual months or as the analogue to the delta-t method, using rainfall instead of temperature. The only significant correlations were obtained from midsummer temperatures of both of the two years before the cone crop. We calculated five possible measures of midsummer temperatures for July: mean temperature, total degree days > 5°C, total degree-days > 10°C, average of the 4 maximum temperatures, average of the 8 maximum temperatures. We deleted total degree-days > 10°C and the average of 8 maximum temperatures from the analysis because they were poorly correlated with cone crops. We explored the best measure for cone crops and found that the square root transformation was the preferred transformation for the assumption of normality in the cone data (Shapiro-Wilk $W = 0.976$, $p = 0.22$). Table 3 gives the correlations between the cone counts and the temperature variables used in our analysis.

< TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE >

We calculated robust multiple regressions for each combination of temperature variables possible with the results given in Table 4. The best model (eq. 4) for predicting cone crops included two July weather variables expressed as Δ year (t-1) – year (t-2): degree-days > 5°C and the average of 4 maximum July temperatures. This regression is given by:

$$\sqrt{\text{total cones per tree}} = 12.5798 + 0.0812 (\Delta \text{ degree-days July}) + 2.1408 (\Delta 4 \text{ max temperatures July}) \quad (4)$$

The second best model (eq. 5) included 3 July weather variables all in Δ format: degree days > 5°C, mean temperature, and the average of 4 highest temperatures in July. The second best

model showed only slightly poorer fit than the best model (Table 4) with an AIC_c 2.08 likelihood units below the best model, and an evidence ratio of 2.83.

$$\sqrt{\text{total cones per tree}} = 12.6095 + 0.06197 (\Delta \text{ degree-days July}) + 2.0800 (\Delta \text{ 4 max temperatures July}) + 0.7071 (\Delta T \text{ July}) \quad (5)$$

The third best model (eq. 6) was 2.46 likelihood units below the best model, with an evidence ratio of 3.42.

$$\sqrt{\text{total cones per tree}} = 12.5056 + 2.0648 (\Delta \text{ 4 max temperatures July}) + 2.4616 (\Delta T \text{ July}) \quad (6)$$

The fourth model with a low evidence ratio was a model using the mean July temperature of year (t-1), the mean July temperature of year (t-2) and the ΔT of the mean of the 4 highest temperatures in July for the previous 2 years.

$$\sqrt{\text{total cones per tree}} = 23.2543 + 1.9532 (\Delta \text{ 4 max temperatures July}) + 2.2732 (\text{mean July temp. (t-1)}) - 3.0210 (\text{mean July temp. (t-2)}) \quad (7)$$

The fifth model was the Krebs et al. (2012) model, and the sixth model (eq. 8) was the simple ΔT model on July mean temperatures had much less support.

$$\sqrt{\text{total cones per tree}} = 0.1024 + 5.1310 (\Delta T \text{ July}) \quad (8)$$

The weather variable with the highest standardized coefficient for both the first and second models was the ΔT of the mean of the 4 highest temperatures in July (0.53), compared with the ΔT of degree-days in July (0.33). One additional reason for not preferring the second (eq. 5) and third (eq. 6) models (in addition to the AIC_c analysis) is that they both fail the normality assumption of multiple regression as measured by the Shapiro-Wilk test.

Figure 2 shows the observed cone counts and the predicted counts from the best statistical model (eq. 4) listed in Table 4. A curious but unexplained aspect of this graph is that the predicted counts tend to be too large when small cone crops occur but too small when the major

masting years occur with very large cone crops. Simple one-variable scatter plots of the two most important variables in the multiple regression are shown as ΔT variables in Figure 3 (4 maximum July temperatures) and Figure 4 (degree days $> 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ in July). The most interesting aspect of Figure 3 is that there appears to be a threshold in Δ July maximum temperatures around -2°C below which there is virtually no cone production. The correlation shown here is not improved by fitting a threshold model and truncating the observed data at -2°C

Figure 2 suggests visually that all the data from the 5 regions fit a single line. We tested this hypothesis with an analysis of covariance (NCSS 10) and accepted a common slope assumption ($F_{4,47}=1.07$, $p=0.38$, $n = 66$) and no significant difference among adjusted means ($F_{4,60}=1.13$, $p=0.35$). The covariance test is weakened somewhat by sample sizes which are much higher for Kluane Lake ($n = 30$) than for the other 4 regions (n from 9 to 11). But from the present data we have no statistical indication that we can reject the assumption that one regression fits all 5 regions of the Yukon.

Discussion

Plants that seed irregularly store energy for one or more years and then use that energy to flower and fruit (Koenig and Knops 2005, Vander Kloet and Cabilio 1996, Pearse et al. 2014). For white spruce at these 5 Yukon regions summer temperature in the two years prior to the cone crop seems to be a cue that can be used to estimate the predicted size of the cone crop before it occurs. A similar result highlighting temperature rather than rainfall as the best predictor was reported by Norton and Kelly (1988). We do not know if temperature is the proximate driver for the cone crop because we do not know the mechanism by which temperature acts in this ecosystem (see discussion in Pearse et al. 2014). For Yukon white spruce we checked to see if there was any evidence of inherent cyclic rhythms in the cone crops (spectral analysis in NCSS

10), and there is no regular cycle visible in the data we have covering 30 years at Kluane Lake. There is also no inherent cyclic rhythm in July temperatures for the last 30 years at Haines Junction (spectral analysis).

There are many variables that could affect the success of a masting event, from failure of pollination, insect attacks, snowstorms, or other singular bad weather events. The ability of simple statistical models to capture the main factors quantitatively predicting successful masting events has been limited and this could be because the time series of data on masting is shorter than needed for a good statistical model or that the best model has not yet been articulated.

Two important points are shown by our analyses. The best weather model in our analysis was a robust predictor for all regions and achieved an accuracy of prediction similar to or better than that obtained from many other weather models from other masting plant species (Kelly et al. 2013). The cue to masting events is the difference in temperature of the growing seasons one and two years previously. The key weather parameters for white spruce in our region were all some measure of midsummer temperatures, and the resulting model (eq. 4) produced a good fit that was robust in applying to 5 different regions scattered across the southern and central Yukon. We could find no evidence that variation in summer rainfall was associated with variation in cone crops.

There were several constraints to this study. The measurement of spruce cone crops was robust, and we pooled all the data from each of the 5 regional locations to produce an average cone crop estimate for each year because there was general synchrony within regions – good cone crop years are generally good across large areas of the boreal forest areas in the Yukon (average r_p among all areas = 0.66, omitting Watson Lake, average r_p = 0.81). But we have climate data only for the nearest Environment Canada meteorological station to each region. For

all regions except those at Kluane Lake the meteorological stations are quite close to the field sites. But for Kluane Lake sites (situated about 40 km from the Burwash Station and Haines Junction Station) it would be more useful to have site-specific weather data to see if predictions could be improved with on-site temperature records. The test of the current model will have to come from better temperature data and further cone counts to determine the model's predictive precision.

Our data show that, on average, with the current model one can explain statistically about 65% of the observed variation in the size of the spruce cone crop in a given year. The general belief that large cone crops will reduce ring widths in trees like white spruce has been validated for our Kluane Lake site ($r = -0.48$, $n = 25$ years, $p = 0.01$) but not for our other sites. We do not know what factors might operate to explain the other 35% of the variation in cone crops in this region. Energy reserves could interact with weather conditions (Pearse et al. 2016), such that hot, dry summers could give rise to mast conditions, but only if the current and preceding cone crops have been poor (Nienstaedt and Zasada, 1990). For white spruce we suggest that part of the 35% variation in cone crops left to be explained is caused by variation in the effectiveness of wind pollination due to heavy rain or strong winds. It is also possible that short-term, one-off events like a severe wind or frost could affect cone crops and these are at not easy to quantify. In particular if short episodes of frost or heavy rain in spring affect cone crops, it will be almost impossible to recognize this type of effect with current weather data. We assume that when large cone crops are regional in extent, small local storms or frosts are unlikely to explain the variations we have observed.

Our objective has been to test the simplest explicit quantitative weather model for the prediction of white spruce cone crops. We did not use the 14 parameter complex model

suggested by Roland et al. (2014) since it violates the principles of overfitting models outlined in Ginzburg and Jensen (2004). It is possible that the exact quantitative relationships given here may not be general across the boreal forests of northern Canada and Alaska and this requires testing. Cone production in white spruce occurs in nearly absolute synchrony in the Kluane region of the Yukon, reflecting general patterns seen in *Picea* (Koenig and Knops 1998). High cone crops in a good year like 2014 occur over thousands of square kilometres. There is some variation in cone counts among individual trees in all years (CV among individual trees within sites averages 2.48), but trees that deviate from the general trend in cone numbers are few (2-3%).

Being able to predict mast years is useful in a wider ecosystem context than simply recording spruce tree dynamics. Red squirrels, red-backed voles (*Myodes rutilus*), and a variety of seed-eating birds like crossbills respond dramatically to an abundance of spruce seeds (Krebs et al. 2001). Changing climate may or may not affect mast frequency and result in changes in food chain dynamics. A graphic example of ecosystem impacts of mast can be seen in New Zealand forests (Holland et al. 2015).

We suggest that future efforts focus on testing the relationships shown in Figure 2 with further studies in climatically variable areas of northwestern Canada. Our experience is that at least 10 years of data will be required to specify quantitative relationships for other regions and consequently the accumulation of data for testing models can proceed only slowly. Given the pace of climate change in northern Canada (Gauthier et al. 2014), more information on the climatic controls of spruce cone production would provide advance warning of expected changes. High cone crops affect the breeding success of a variety of birds and small mammals

and advance knowledge of their timing would give managers insights into potential effects of climate change on tree regeneration and animal populations.

Acknowledgements

We thank David Henry, Todd Heakes, many students who counted cones, and Parks Canada staff for assistance in field work. We thank Andrea Byrom for a critical review of the manuscript. Research funding was provided by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (RB, CK), and Environment Yukon. The facilities of the Kluane Lake Research Station of the Arctic Institute of North America were essential to this long-term research program, and we thank Andy and Carole Williams and Lance Goodwin and Sian Williams for their assistance.

References

- Allen, R.B., Hurst, J.M., Portier, J. and Richardson, S.J. 2014. Elevation-dependent responses of tree mast seeding to climate change over 45 years. *Ecol. Evol.* **4**(18): 3525-3537. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1210.
- Conway, A.J. and Danby, R.K. 2014. Recent advance of forest–grassland ecotones in southwestern Yukon. *Can. J. For. Res.* **44**(5): 509-520. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-2013-0429.
- Fletcher, Q.E. , Landry-Cuerrier, M., Boutin, S., McAdam, A.G., Speakman, J.R., and Humphries, M.M. 2013. Reproductive timing and reliance on hoarded capital resources by lactating red squirrels. *Oecologia* **173**(4): 1203–1215. doi: 10.1007/s00442-013-2699-3.
- Gauthier, S., Bernier, P., Burton, P.J., Edwards, J., Isaac, K., Isabel, N., Jayen, K., Le Goff, H. and Nelson, E.A. 2014. Climate change vulnerability and adaptation in the managed Canadian boreal forest. *Environ. Rev.* **22**(3): 256-285. doi: 10.1139/er-2013-0064.
- Ginzburg, L.R., and Jensen, C.X.J. 2004. Rules of thumb for judging ecological theories. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**(3): 121-126. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2003.11.004.
- Holland, E.P., James, A., Ruscoe, W.A., Pech, R.P. and Byrom, A.E. 2015. Climate-based models for pulsed resources improve predictability of consumer population dynamics: Outbreaks of house mice in forest ecosystems. *PLoS ONE*. **10**(3): e0119139.
- Huber, P.J., and Ronchetti, E.M. 2009. *Robust Statistics*, 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, Holboken, New Jersey. 354 pp.
- Juday, G.P., Barber, V., Rupp, S., Zasada, J.C., and Wilmking, M. 2003. A 200-year perspective of climate variability and the response of white spruce in interior Alaska. *In* *Climate*

- 317 Variability and Ecosystem Response at Long-term Ecological Research Sites. *Edited by*
318 D. Greenland, D.G. Goodin, and R.C. Smith. Oxford University Press, Oxford. pp. 226-
319 250.
- 320 Kelly, D., Geldenhuis, A., James, A., Penelope Holland, E., Plank, M.J., Brockie, R.E., Cowan,
321 P.E., Harper, G.A., Lee, W.G., Maitland, M.J., Mark, A.F., Mills, J.A., Wilson, P.R., and
322 Byrom, A.E. 2013. Of mast and mean: differential-temperature cue makes mast seeding
323 insensitive to climate change. *Ecol Lett* **16**(1): 90-98. doi:10.1111/ele.12020.
- 324 Koenig, W.D. and Knops, J.M.H. 1998. Scale of mast-seeding and tree-ring growth. *Nature* **396**
325 (6708): 225-226. doi: 10.1038/24293.
- 326 Koenig, W.D., Kelly, D., Sork, V.L., Duncan, R.P., Elkinton, J.S., Peltonen, M.S., and Westfall,
327 R.D. 2003. Dissecting components of population-level variation in seed production and
328 the evolution of masting behavior. *Oikos* **102**(3): 581-591. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-
329 0706.2003.12272.x.
- 330 Koenig, W.D., and Knops, J.M.H. 2005. The mystery of masting in trees. *Am. Sci.* **93**(4): 340-
331 347.
- 332 Krebs, C.J., Boutin, S. and Boonstra, R. 2001. *Ecosystem Dynamics of the Boreal Forest: the*
333 *Kluane Project*. Oxford University Press, New York. 511 pp.
- 334 Krebs, C.J., LaMontagne, J.M., Kenney, A.J., and Boutin, S. 2012. Climatic determinants of
335 white spruce cone crops in the boreal forest of the southwestern Yukon. *Botany* **90**(2):
336 113-119. doi:10.1139/B11-088.
- 337 Kutner, M.H., Nachtsheim, C.J., Neter, J. and Li, W. 2005. *Applied Linear Statistical Models*,
338 5th edition. McGraw-Hill/Irwin, Boston. 1396 pp.

- 339 LaMontagne, J.M., Peters, S., and Boutin, S. 2005. A visual index for estimating cone production
340 for individual white spruce trees. *Can. J. Forest Res.* **35**(12): 3020-3026.
341 doi:10.1139/X05-210
- 342 Messaoud, Y., Bergeron, Y., and Asselin, H. 2007. Reproductive potential of balsam fir (*Abies*
343 *balsamea*), white spruce (*Picea glauca*), and black spruce (*P. mariana*) at the ecotone
344 between mixedwood and coniferous forests in the boreal zone of western Quebec. *Amer.*
345 *J. Bot.* **94**(5): 746-754. doi:10.3732/ajb.94.5.746.
- 346 Nienstaedt, H. and Zasada, J.C. 1990. *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss White Spruce. *In* *Silvics of*
347 *North America, Volume 1, Conifers. Edited by R.M. Burns and B.H. Honkala.*
348 *Agricultural Handbook 654. United States Department of Agriculture and Forest Service,*
349 *Washington, DC), pp; 204-226.*
- 350 Norton, D.A., and Kelly, D. 1988. Mast seeding over 33 years by *Dacrydium cupressinum* Lamb.
351 (rimu) (Podocarpaceae) in New Zealand: The importance of economies of scale.
352 *Functional Ecology* **2**(3): 399-408. doi: 10.2307/2389413.
- 353 Pearse, I.S., Koenig, W.D., and Knops, J.M.H. 2014. Cues versus proximate drivers: testing the
354 mechanism behind masting behavior. *Oikos* **123**(2): 179-184. doi:10.1111/j.1600-
355 0706.2013.00608.x.
- 356 Pearse, I.S., Koenig, W.D., and Kelly, D. 2016. Mechanisms of mast seeding: resources,
357 weather, cues, and selection. *New Phytologist*. (in press). doi: 10.1111/nph.14114.
- 358 Roland, C.A., Schmidt, J.H., and Johnstone, J.F. 2014. Climate sensitivity of reproduction in a
359 mast-seeding boreal conifer across its distributional range from lowland to treeline
360 forests. *Oecologia* **174**(3): 665-677. doi:10.1007/s00442-013-2821-6.

- 361 Vander Kloet, S.P., and Cabilio, P. 1996. Ten year study of the annual variation in berry and
362 seed production in a population of *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. . Am. Midl. Nat. **135**(2):
363 349-356. doi: 10.2307/2426718
364

Draft

Table 1. Location of the 5 main Yukon regions at which white spruce cone crops have been measured. Weather data from Environment Canada weather stations in each location. Kluane Lake data are gathered in the area between the Haines Junction weather station and the Burwash Airport weather station. Summer is June through August. Data are averages from 1981 to 2010.

Site	Location of Meteorological Station	Mean summer temperature (°C)	Total summer precipitation (mm)	Mean annual temperature (°C)	Mean Total Annual precipitation (mm)
Haines Junction	60.7528° N, 137.5067° W	11.83	111.4	-2.1	340.2
Burwash Airport	61.3706° N, 139.0400° W	11.70	158.9	-3.2	274.7
Mayo	63.5931° N, 135.8956° W	14.57	133.8	-2.4	313.5
Faro	62.2331° N, 133.3331° W	13.53	141.0	-2.0	319.7
Whitehorse	60.7167° N, 135.0500° W	13.07	106.3	-0.1	262.3
Watson Lake	60.1167° N, 128.8000° W	13.83	162.0	-2.4	416.4

Table 2. Sample sizes for each year for white spruce cone counts for each of the 5 Yukon regions.

	Number of spruce trees counted for cones				
Year	Kluane Lake	Mayo	Faro	Whitehorse	Watson Lake
1986	172	-	-	-	-
1987	916	-	-	-	-
1988	700	-	-	-	-
1989	697	-	-	-	-
1990	834	-	-	-	-
1991	553	-	-	-	-
1992	539	-	-	-	-
1993	553	-	-	-	-
1994	560	-	-	-	-
1995	559	-	-	-	-
1996	377	-	-	-	-
1997	333	-	-	-	-
1998	257	-	-	-	-
1999	336	-	-	-	-
2000	818	-	-	-	-
2001	1097	-	-	-	-
2002	1139	-	-	-	-
2003	1149	-	-	-	-
2004	1073	-	-	-	-
2005	1089	125	0	65	85
2006	1087	125	0	0	84
2007	955	125	93	93	85
2008	460	125	96	100	80
2009	564	125	96	100	80
2010	649	125	96	99	84
2011	553	125	96	99	84
2012	520	125	96	100	85
2013	496	125	95	100	83
2014	489	125	96	100	85
2015	495	125	96	100	85

374 Table 3. Pearson correlations between climate variables related to spruce cone counts. All Δ variables are the difference of year (t-1)
375 minus year (t-2) for that variable. Sample size is 71 region-years.

	Total Cones	Square- root total cones	Log-10 total cones	July mean temperature of t-1 year	July mean temperature of t-2 year	ΔT July	ΔT 4 highest July temperatures	Δ June degree days > 5°C	Δ July degree days > 5°C
Total cones	1.000	0.945	0.639	0.223	-0.455	0.585	0.653	0.433	0.651
Square root total cones		1.000	0.828	0.247	-0.493	0.635	0.728	0.496	0.696
Log-10 total cones			1.000	0.217	-0.504	0.620	0.694	0.503	0.645
July mean temperature of t-1 year				1.000	0.314	0.557	0.321	0.551	0.557
July mean temperature of t-2 year					1.000	-0.613	-0.545	-0.300	-0.575
ΔT July						1.000	0.752	0.705	0.949
ΔT 4 highest July temperatures							1.000	0.392	0.714
Δ June degree days > 5°C								1.000	0.725
Δ July degree days > 5°C									1.000

376
377

Table 4. AIC_c evaluation of 6 possible regressions of weather variables as predictors of white spruce cone crops.

Model	Error sum of squares	No. observations	No. variables	Log likelihood	AIC _c	Δ AIC _c	Evidence ratio*	R ²
Sqrt (cones) predicted by Δ degree-days July and Δ 4 maximum temperatures in July (eq. 4)	3644.113	67	4	-133.872	276.39	0.00	1.00	0.65
Sqrt (cones) predicted by Δ degree-days July, Δ T July, and Δ 4 maximum temperatures in July (eq. 5)	3630.225	67	5	-133.744	278.47	2.08	2.83	0.65
Sqrt (cones) predicted by Δ T July and Δ 4 maximum temperatures in July (eq. 6)	3616.283	68	4	-135.106	278.85	2.46	3.42	0.64
Sqrt (cones) predicted by Δ 4 maximum July temp., July mean temp. in year (t-1) and July mean temp. in year (t-2) (eq. 7)	3621.906	68	5	-135.1584	281.28	4.90	11.56	0.64
Sqrt (cones) predicted by mean temperature in July (t-1), mean temperature in July (t-2), and May rainfall (t-2) from Krebs et al. (2012)	5131.033	72	5	-153.590	318.09	41.70	> 1000	0.53
Sqrt (cones) predicted by Δ T July (eq.	5679.689	72	3	-157.247	320.85	44.46	> 2000	0.49

8)								
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

380 * The evidence ratio gives the likelihood that the current statistical model is as good as the best of the existing models. For example,
381 an evidence ratio of 2.83 means that the best model is more than twice as likely to be better than the current model, given the current
382 data.

383

Draft

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Location of the 5 major sites at which spruce cones were counted. Table 1 provides the average climatic variables for each site.

Figure 2. Observed white spruce cone crops for 5 Yukon regions in relation to the predicted cone crop estimated from equation (4), the best multiple regression. ($R^2 = 0.65$, $n = 67$). All data plotted on a square root scale.

Figure 3. Relationship between observed square-root transformed white spruce cone counts per tree and observed ΔT measured as the mean of the 4 maximum temperatures in July. All data from all regions, $n = 66$, Spearman's $r = 0.78$. This is the best single variable correlation in our data set.

Figure 4. Relationship between observed square-root transformed white spruce cone counts per tree and Δ degree-days $>5^\circ\text{C}$ in July, one of the two key variables specified in equation (2). All data from all regions, $n = 68$, Spearman's $r = 0.67$. This is the second best single variable in our data set.

Figure 1. Location of the 5 major sites in the Yukon at which spruce cones were counted. Table 1 provides the average climatic variables for each site.

Draft

Figure 2. Observed white spruce cone crop (cones per tree) for 5 Yukon regions in relation to the predicted cone crop estimated from equation (4), the best multiple regression. ($R^2 = 0.65$, $n = 67$). All data plotted on a square root scale. Line indicates data expected when observed $X =$ predicted Y .

Draft

Figure 3. Relationship between observed square-root transformed white spruce cone counts per tree and observed ΔT measured as the mean of the 4 maximum temperatures in July. All data from all regions, $n = 66$, Spearman's $r = 0.78$. This is the best single variable

correlation in our data set. The correlation shown here is not improved by truncating the data below the -2°C threshold. Cone counts plotted on a square root scale.

Draft

Figure 4. Relationship between observed square-root transformed white spruce cone counts per tree and Δ degree-days $>5^{\circ}\text{C}$ in July, one of the two key variables specified in equation (2). All data from all regions, $n = 68$, Spearman's $r = 0.67$. This is the second best single variable in our data set.