Depositional timing of Neoarchean turbidites of the Slave craton - recommended nomenclature and type localities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>cjes-2016-0098.R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript Type:</td>
<td>Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>26-Jul-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete List of Authors:</td>
<td>Haugaard, Rasmus; University of Alberta, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences Ootes, Luke; Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, Heaman, Larry; Univ. Alberta, Earth and Atmosphere Hamilton, Michael; University of Toronto, Department of Earth Sciences Shaulis, Barry; University of Alberta, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences Konhauser, Kurt; Dept of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyword:</td>
<td>Slave craton, Neoarchean turbidites, Tuff, U-Pb geochronology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Depositional timing of Neoarchean turbidites of the Slave craton - recommended nomenclature and type localities

Rasmus Haugaard1*, Luke Ootes2,3,4*, Larry M. Heaman1, Mike Hamilton5, Barry J. Shaulis1, Kurt Konhauser1

1Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, T6G 2E3, Canada
2Northwest Territories Geological Survey, Box 1320, Yellowknife, NT, X1A 2R3, Canada
3Arctic Institute of North America, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada
4Current address: British Columbia Geological Survey, Box 9333, Stn Prov Govt, Victoria, BC, V8W 9N3, Canada
5Jack Satterly Geochronology Laboratory, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, M5S 3B1, Canada.
*Contact: rasmus@ualberta.ca; luke.ootes@gov.bc.ca

Abstract

Two temporally distinct Neoarchean turbidite packages are known to occur in the Slave craton. The older is a greywacke-mudstone succession that includes the renowned Burwash Formation (ca. 2661 Ma). In this study, a previously undated tuff bed is demonstrated to have crystallized at ca. 2650.5 ± 1.0 Ma refining the deposition age of these turbidites between ca. 2661 and 2650 Ma. The younger turbidites are locally distinctive as they contain interstratified banded iron formation (BIF). Previous work demonstrated that the younger turbidites were deposited between ca. 2640 to 2615 Ma, based entirely on maximum depositional ages from detrital zircons. A ~36-cm-thick felsic-to-intermediate tuff bed was discovered interbedded with these BIF-bearing turbidites. The tuff bed contains a single age population of zircon with a crystallization age of 2620 ± 6 Ma defining the depositional timing of these BIF-bearing turbidites.

New U-Pb detrital zircon dates from extensive turbidite sequences in the eastern and central part of the Slave craton are also presented. We use the new and previously published results to recommend nomenclature for these extensive sedimentary rocks in the Slave craton. The ca. 2661 to 2650 Ma turbidites remain part of the previously
ascribed Duncan Lake Group. The younger ca. 2620 Ma turbidites are assigned to the new Slemon Group. Where robust age-data exist, we recommend formation names and include type localities for each.
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Introduction

The nature of Archean tectonics is often surmised in part from the preserved igneous record, particularly from the geochemistry of basalts, komatiites, and tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) gneisses/plutons (Kröner and Layer 1992; Fan and Kerrich 1997; Arndt et al. 2001; Sharma and Pandit 2003; Condie 2004; Bédard et al. 2013), geophysical experiments (e.g., Chen et al. 2009), and mineral inclusions in diamonds from the subcontinental lithosphere (e.g., Shirey and Richardson 2011). The accumulation of Archean clastic sedimentary rocks reflects orogenic uplift, erosion and basin filling; however, due to post-depositional metamorphic and structural overprinting, they remain under studied. Further to this, and unlike strata in later Proterozoic and Phanerozoic orogens, Archean sedimentary rocks lack fossils, and thick siliciclastic accumulations generally lack any kind of unique stratigraphic marker horizon (e.g., Nisbet, 1987). As such, basin models and tectono-stratigraphic correlations within and between Archean cratons remain, for the most part, subjective.

The use of U-Pb zircon geochronology in tuff beds, or detrital zircons in clastic sedimentary rocks, has evolved as the most useful approach to help establish the timing
of deposition of Archean sedimentary rocks and construct more robust regional
correlations (e.g., Davis et al. 1990; Fedo et al. 2003; Ferguson et al. 2005; Kositcin et al.
2008; Ootes et al. 2009; Rasmussen and Fletcher 2010; Cawood et al. 2012). However,
this is wrought with challenges due to a number of reasons, namely: i) the vast majority
of Archean sedimentary rocks represent a mixture of polycyclic sedimentary products, ii)
synchronous felsic volcanic rocks may not exist (e.g., tuff beds are absent), iii) certain
sedimentary processes (e.g. turbidity currents) may have reworked the products of
volcanic ash-fall events, thus altering their original chronostratigraphic significance.
Detrital zircons also provide an imperfect record, as maximum depositional ages may not
reflect the youngest single zircon or population of zircons in a sample, which could be
millions to hundreds of million years older than the actual deposition age. By combining
the two approaches, however, some of the challenges with regional correlations and
depositional environments can be resolved.

Greywacke-mudstone sedimentary rocks, deposited by turbidity currents in a
below-wave-base environment are common in Archean supracrustal successions (e.g.,
Lowe 1980; Barrett and Fralick 1989; Eriksson et al. 1994; Haugaard et al. 2013). Within
the Slave craton, the Yellowknife Supergroup contains some of the largest and best-
preserved Archean turbidite deposits in the world (Henderson 1970, 1972; Padgham and
Fyson 1992; Ferguson et al. 2005). The overall supracrustal stratigraphy consists of
approximately 70% of these sedimentary rocks, which is unique amongst Archean
cratons (vs. Superior and Yilgarn for example), providing insight into diverse Archean
tectonic processes (Padgham and Fyson 1992). The Slave craton turbidites are often
monotonous, but Ootes et al. (2009) have divided them into an older and younger
package (I and II). The older includes the well-known Burwash Formation, which is spectacularly preserved in the south-central part of the craton (e.g. Henderson 1970, 1972; Ferguson et al. 2005) and contains a number of interbedded tuff beds that have been dated at ca. 2661 Ma (Bleeker and Villeneuve 1995; Ferguson 2002; Ferguson et al. 2005). The younger are locally distinctive as they contain interstratified banded iron formation (BIF); detrital zircon ages indicate these turbidites have maximum deposition ages younger than ca. 2640 Ma, and were deposited more than 20 million years after the Burwash Formation (Perhsson and Villeneuve 1999; Bennett et al. 2005; Ootes et al. 2009). The recognition that the younger turbidites are associated with BIF has fundamental importance for: 1) establishing a provenance record for the turbidites; 2) establishing regional correlations across the craton, which are critical in evaluating postulated tectonic evolution models (e.g., Davis et al. 2003; Helmstaedt 2009), and; 3) temporally constraining BIF deposition, allowing insight into the nature of early sea water and by analogy atmospheric and biospheric conditions at that time (e.g., Bekker et al. 2010; Haugaard et al. 2013, 2016).

To further evaluate the extent and absolute timing of the turbidite depositional events, we report a new, conventional (isotope dilution) U-Pb zircon crystallization age determination for a previously undated tuff bed in the type locality of the Burwash Formation, and the first U-Pb zircon eruption age from a tuff bed that is intercalated with the younger BIF-bearing turbidites (determined via laser ablation ICP-MS). Furthermore, we present new U-Pb detrital zircon maximum depositional ages from three turbidite sequences across the Slave craton; these results complement the tuff dates, augment
provenance information from previous Slave craton detrital zircon age studies, and establish new maximum age limits for deposition.

Turbidites in the Slave craton have previously been assigned to the Duncan Lake Group, with the Burwash Formation as the type locality (Henderson 1970, 1972). The results of this and previous studies now demonstrate enough evidence to clearly distinguish the younger BIF-bearing turbidites from the older Duncan Lake Group. As such, we recommend new nomenclature, referring to these younger turbidites as the Slemon Group and recommend the use of a number of formation names and their type localities across the craton.

Geological setting

The Archean Slave craton is well known for hosting the oldest bedrock exposure in the world, the Acasta gneiss (e.g., Reimink et al. 2014), ca. 2.7 Ga greenstone belts and orogenic gold (e.g., Bleeker and Hall, 2007; Ootes et al. 2011), and kimberlite-hosted diamond deposits (e.g., Heaman and Pearson 2010). However, the Slave craton is relatively unique as the supracrustal rock record is dominated by large and extensive Neoarchean turbidite successions deposited over a minimum area of about 32,000 km² (Henderson 1970, 1972; Ferguson et al. 2005; Bleeker and Hall 2007; Ootes et al. 2009). The turbidite sequences are part of the Duncan Lake Group of the Yellowknife Supergroup (Fig. 1; Henderson 1970) and were deposited on top of or adjacent to older volcanic-dominated supracrustal rocks, namely the ca. 2740-2700 Ma Kam Group and the ca. 2660 Ma Banting Group (e.g., Helmstaedt and Padgham 1986; Isachsen and
Bowring 1997). The turbidites include the archetypal, up to 5 km thick, Burwash Formation (Henderson 1970, 1972; Ferguson et al. 2005).

Felsic tuff beds are preserved within the Burwash Formation turbidites and have been dated by the isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) U-Pb zircon method, providing a robust constraint on the time of turbidite deposition at 2661 ± 2 Ma (Bleeker and Villeneuve 1995; Ferguson 2002). While the greywacke-mudstone turbidites are commonly monotonous, they are locally distinctive as they contain interstratified oxide-silicate BIF and were historically considered correlative to the Burwash Formation (e.g., Bostock 1980, Padgham 1991; Henderson 1998; Jackson 2001). The advent of U-Pb detrital zircon studies that utilize micro-analytical techniques allowed for statistically robust maximum deposition ages to be determined from turbidites in the central and western parts of the craton. That work showed that some of the turbidites, particularly those with interbedded BIF, were deposited 30 million years after the "BIF-free" Burwash Formation (Pehrsson and Villeneuve 1999; Bennett et al. 2005; Ootes et al. 2009). The three most precise maximum deposition ages from the young turbidites include a concordant 2629 ± 2 Ma date, determined by U-Pb zircon (ID-TIMS) from a Damoti Lake sample (Pehrsson and Villeneuve 1999), a concordant 2620 ± 5 Ma date, determined by U-Pb zircon ID-TIMS from a Beechey Lake sample (Villeneuve et al. 2001), and a 2625 ± 6 Ma weighted mean age, determined from multiple analyses on single zircons analyzed using the sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) on a sample from Russell Lake (Ootes et al. 2009). Other maximum deposition ages have been determined from a greywacke in the central part of the craton at Point Lake (2615 ± 13 Ma), and from greywackes in the western part of the
craton near the Emile River (2637 ± 10 Ma; Ootes et al. 2009), and in the vicinity of Kwejinne Lake (2634 ± 8, 2636 ± 3 and 2637 ± 4 Ma; Bennett et al. 2005, 2012). An age of 2616 ± 3 Ma is reported for turbidites in the High Lake area in the northern Slave craton (Henderson et al. 1994) and a 2612 ± 1 Ma date is reported for a lithic tuff bed within turbidites at Wheeler Lake in the southwestern Slave craton (Isachsen and Bowring 1994); the supporting data for these latter two reported ages has not been published. Other previously reported, less statistically robust maximum deposition age data are summarized in Ootes et al. (2009).

Both the older and younger turbidites have been locally to extensively intruded by granitic plutons. The Defeat Suite plutons have been dated between ca. 2635 to 2620 Ma and they cross-cut isoclinal folds (F₁) in the Burwash Formation (Davis and Bleeker 1999). The Defeat Suite crystallization ages approximate the maximum deposition ages recorded in the younger BIF-bearing turbidites (e.g., Davis et al. 2003; Bleeker and Hall 2007; Ootes et al. 2009). This is a key constraint, indicating that the younger turbidites post-date the F₁ event recorded in the older turbidites. Younger plutonic suites, such as Concession Suite (ca. 2610-2600 Ma) and later granite bloom (ca. 2600 to 2580 Ma), intrude both the older and younger turbidite packages (e.g., van Breemen et al. 1992; Davis et al. 1994; Davis and Bleeker 1999; Bennett et al. 2005; Ootes et al. 2005). The granite bloom coincided with regional greenschist- to granulite facies metamorphism (e.g., Davis and Bleeker 1999; Bethune et al. 1999; Pehrsson et al. 2000; Bennett et al. 2005; Ootes et al. 2005). The depositional setting of the Burwash Formation is thought to be a rifted arc basin (Ferguson et al. 2005) whereas the depositional setting for the younger BIF-bearing turbidites is unclear. Previously proposed depositional models
include a passive margin to foreland basin (Pehrsson 2002), an accretionary wedge setting (Bennett et al. 2005), and a continental back-arc basin adjacent to an active Defeat suite magmatic arc (Ootes et al. 2009).

Methods

Approximately 1-1.2 kg of rock material was selected for each of the fine-grained turbidite samples. Each sample was cut down to small chips with a rock saw and divided into three batches that subsequently were crushed in a tungsten carbide puck mill. Each batch was crushed and sieved with a 70 mesh (210 micron) disposable nylon. The <210 micron sieved rock material was processed on a Wilfley Table to obtain a heavy mineral concentrate and then, multiple passes (up to 0.4 Amperes) on a Frantz magnetic barrier separator to remove moderately to strongly magnetic grains. The non-magnetic Frantz fraction was then added to a Methylene Iodide heavy liquid with specific gravity of 3.3 g/cm³ for further density separation.

The recovered zircon grains were handpicked, secured in an epoxy mount, and subsequently polished. Due to minimum material recovered from the tuff bed at Slemon Lake, the crushed fraction was wash panned over multiple steps ending in a head fraction, a second head fraction, and a tail fraction before hand picking. The majority of the zircons were found in the first head fraction with only minor in the second head fraction. No zircon grains were recovered in the tail fraction. Cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging of zircon grains was obtained using a scanning electron microscope (Zeiss EVO LS15 EP-SEM) equipped with cathodoluminescent and backscattered detectors.
U-Pb isotopic data for zircon from the turbidite samples and from the Slemon Lake tuff were obtained at the University of Alberta and were acquired using a Nu Plasma I multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) coupled to a New Wave laser ablation (LA) system with an operating wavelength of 213 nm and energy density of 2-3 J/cm². Spot diameter was set to 20 µm. Both the full machine parameters and measurement protocols are outlined in Simonetti et al. (2005, 2006). Two zircon standards were analyzed throughout each analytical session; the 1830 Ma LH94615 zircon (Ashton et al. 1999) was used to correct U/Pb fractionation and an in-house zircon (OG1) with U-Pb ID-TIMS age of 3465.4 ± 0.6 Ma (Stern et al. 2009) was analyzed as a blind standard. The weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages of the analyzed OG1 standard are presented in the supplementary data files (Appendix F). Almost all analytical sessions for OG1 overlap within analytical uncertainty of the accepted ID-TIMS age. However, an exception occurred for the session involving the Courageous Lake greywacke, and is dealt with below.

U-Pb dating of the Dettah tuff sample was carried out at the Jack Satterly Geochronology Laboratory (JSGL) at the University of Toronto. From a larger sample sawn out of the outcrop, the ca. 1.5 cm tuff horizon was carefully excised from the enclosing sediments using a thin trim saw (see sample description below). The resulting, clean, isolated slabs, weighing in total approximately 300 g, were reduced using a jaw crusher, followed by grinding briefly in a ring mill. Initial separation of heavy minerals was carried out by multiple passes on a Wilfley table to concentrate zircon. Subsequent work included density separation using methylene iodide, followed by paramagnetic separations with a Frantz isodynamic separator. Approximately 20 zircon grains were
recovered in the least magnetic fractions; best optical quality grains were subsequently
hand picked under ethanol using a binocular microscope, choosing the freshest, least
cracked grains of zircon. Most grains from the Dettah tuff, however, show a relatively
high degree of alteration.

Chemical abrasion methods (CA; Mattinson 2005) were used to pretreat the
selected Dettah tuff zircons before analysis by ID-TIMS. Zircons were annealed in a
quartz crucible at 1000°C for a period of 48 hours. These crystals were then leached
briefly in concentrated HF at 200°C in Teflon bombs (Krogh 1973).

Weights of Dettah tuff zircon grains were estimated from photomicrographs.
Estimated weights should be accurate to about ±30%. This affects only U and Pb
concentrations, not age information, which depends only on isotope ratio measurements.
Annealed and leached zircons were rinsed prior to loading into dissolution capsules, into
which a $^{205}$Pb-$^{235}$U spike was added during sample loading. Zircon was dissolved using
concentrated hydrofluoric acid (HF) in Teflon bombs at 200°C (Krogh 1973) and
subsequently redissolved in 3N HCl to promote equilibration with the spike. U and Pb
were separated from the solutions using 50 microliter anion exchange columns (Krogh
1973). Mixed purified U and Pb solutions were loaded directly onto Re filaments using
silica gel and analyzed with a VG354 mass spectrometer in single (Daly) collector, pulse-
counting mode. Dead time of the measuring system during this time was 20 nsec. The
mass discrimination correction for the Daly detector was constant at 0.07%/AMU. Daly
characteristics were monitored using the SRM982 Pb standard. Thermal mass
discrimination corrections were 0.10+/−0.03% /AMU. Laboratory blanks at the JSGL are
typically less than 1 pg for Pb and 0.1 pg for U.
Sample description and results

All U-Pb zircon data tables are presented in Appendix A-E, and the results of the LA-ICP-MS standards are presented in Appendix F (supplementary files).

Tuff bed – Slemon Lake turbidites

A ca. 3 cm thick, fine- to very fine-grained, felsic-to-intermediate tuff bed occurs within the greywacke-mudstone turbidites at Slemon Lake in the southwest Slave craton (Figs. 1, 2A-C; Table 1). In this area, the turbidites show evidence of three generations of ductile deformation, preserved as folds and foliations and were metamorphosed to greenschist facies (Fyson and Jackson 1991; Jackson 2001). The turbidites are BIF-bearing and a well-exposed ~0.8 to 1.2 m thick BIF is preserved approximately 1 m below the tuff bed (Fig. 2D). The greywacke hosting the tuff bed is finely laminated and contains a high fraction of biotite and fine-grained quartz. The tuff bed is traceable for about 15 m along strike on relatively flat glacially polished outcrop, and is readily distinguished by having a bleached yellow weathered colour relative to the darker greywacke (Figs. 2A and 2B). The bed has a relatively sharp depositional base and a more disturbed upper contact with the greywacke (Fig. 2B). The upper contact represents well-developed load structures (flames) as a result of soft sediment deformation (white arrows Fig. 2B). The tuff is composed of randomly distributed fine-grained broken quartz fragments set in a very fine-grained quartz, biotite, chlorite, and K-feldspar matrix (Fig. 2C). A weak foliation is defined by biotite and chlorite. The texture and mineralogy is clearly different than the hosting greywacke and together with the similar zircon
population (see below), it shows that only minor reworking (e.g., erosion, soft sediment deformation) of the bed took place. This could be ascribed to the fact that the tuff bed was found within a fine-grained mud-rich greywacke, which indicate that the tuff bed represents a submarine ash-settling event during a period of only weak turbidite deposition.

A sample of the tuff bed was collected and separated from surrounding greywacke and mudstone prior to crushing. Zircons are relatively scarce in the tuff bed, compared to the zircon abundance in greywacke samples. The individual zircons are ~50 to 80 µm in size and are mostly subhedral with a stubby to weakly prismatic habit (Fig. 2E). The colour ranges from colourless to pale pink to brown. They are relatively free of inclusions and have only minor growth zonations, as indicated by electron backscatter imaging (Fig. 2E). The zircons were analyzed by LA-MC-ICP-MS and all of the zircon grains analyzed (n=17) are modestly discordant and a regression line through the data yields an upper intercept age of 2617.2 ± 4.7 Ma (MSWD=1.08) and a lower intercept of 6 ± 28 Ma (Fig. 3A). A weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age calculation yields an indistinguishable date of 2616.6 ± 3.5 Ma (Fig. 3B). When considering only the six most concordant grains in the data set (<7.3% discordant), a best-fit discordia line yields an upper intercept age of 2620.4 ± 5.7 Ma (lower intercept at 0 Ma); identical to the weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ date of 2620.4 ± 5.5 Ma (MSWD=1.03) for these same grains (Figs. 3C and 3D). We interpret that the tuff bed was deposited at 2620 ± 6 Ma, which is also the timing of deposition of the interleaved turbidites.
On the northeast side of Yellowknife Bay, between Yellowknife Bay and Hay Lake, outcrops of the Burwash Formation turbidites are exposed along the road between the Ingraham Trail and the town of Dettah (Fig. 1). In this area, the turbidites also preserve evidence of three generations of ductile deformation, preserved as folds and foliations and were metamorphosed to greenschist facies (Henderson 1970, 1972). One of these outcrops contains distinctive yellow-tan weathered tuff beds interstratified with the turbidites (Fig. 4; Table 1; also see Stop 33 in Bleeker et al. 2007). At this location, the turbidite beds are west-striking, steeply dipping, upright (younging to the north), and they progressively change from 1-m-thick sand-dominated beds to 5 cm thick mud-dominated beds over 30 m across strike. Within the thinner, mud-dominated beds at the north end of the outcrop occur four tuff beds that are traceable for ~7 m along strike (Fig. 4). The main tuff bed is 1.5-2 cm thick, and the other three are <5 mm thick (Figs. 4A and 4B). The tuff beds are all intercalated with the mudstone facies indicating primary settling of ash particles on the ocean floor. All the beds have a relatively sharp base and local evidence for load structures (flames) along the upper contact with the overlying mudstones (Figs. 4A-C). These flames have been slightly accentuated by a high-angle second generation foliation (Figs. 4A-C). The preservation of the tuff and mudstone must represent a period of quiescence and a return to turbidity-driven deposition as evident by the capping greywacke bed.

The tuff beds are comprised of euhedral quartz grains (~0.2 to 0.3 mm) set in a very fine-grained groundmass of quartz, chlorite, and mica (Fig. 4C). Recovered zircons (Fig. 4D and Appendix B) from the thickest bed define a homogeneous-looking population, predominantly occurring as variably clear to cloudy colourless to pale grey-
brown, elongate slender prisms (up to 5:1 length:breath). All zircon grains show some
degree of alteration, cracks, and the presence of apatite and opaque mineral inclusions.

Isotopic results from ID-TIMS analysis of single grain zircon fractions from the
Dettah tuff are provided in Appendix B. Data from three individual fractions show
relatively low to medium concentrations of U (~85-190 ppm), and moderate Th/U ratios
(~0.82-0.88), which are typical for magmatic, igneous grains crystallized from felsic
magma systems. Total measured common Pb is at the sub-picogram level. Analytical
results range from being 1.0-1.6% discordant to slightly (0.6%) reverse discordant.
Model $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ratios, however, range narrowly between 2650.1-2651.0 Ma. Free
regression of the three data points results in an upper intercept age of 2650.4 ± 1.0 Ma
with a lower intercept within error of the origin; we therefore choose to anchor the
regression at 0 Ma, equivalently accepting a calculated weighted average $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age
for all three analyses at 2650.5 ± 1.0 Ma ($2\sigma$; MSWD=0.3, 73% probability of fit, Fig.
4E). We interpret this to represent a robust estimate of the eruption and deposition age of
the ash.

Greywacke beds – Goose Lake and Courageous Lake turbidites

Greywacke samples from Goose Lake in the east and Courageous Lake in the
east-central part of the Slave craton (Fig. 1) share typical textural and mineralogical
features. They are fine- to medium-grained (~0.2-0.5 mm), and contain quartz, biotite,
chlorite, K-feldspar, and minor plagioclase. The existence of biotite and chlorite, but no
garnet in these samples indicates they were metamorphosed within the biotite zone of
greenschist facies.
A 0.8 m thick piece of drill core intersection of greywacke (RH18140) from the bottom part of a 100.4 m deep drill hole (#12GSE140) was collected at Goose Lake (Figs. 1A and 5A). The sample underlies the base of the lowest BIF horizon and is part of a ~4 m thick BIF bearing greywacke-mudstone unit (Fig. 5A). This is the lowest stratigraphy tested by drilling and therefore likely represents the oldest interval of the drilled part of Goose Lake turbidites. The detrital zircons from the greywacke are euhedral to subhedral with a rounded to subrounded-to-prismatic habit (Fig. 5B). They range between 30-180 µm in size and vary in colour from clear to pale pink to brown and dark brown, with few having a cloudy appearance. Growth (oscillatory) zoning and mineral inclusions are common (Fig. 5B). Selected zircons were analyzed by LA-MC-ICP-MS.

The Goose Lake U-Pb detrital zircon results reveal variable zircon populations, representing multiple sources (Fig. 5C). The analyzed zircons fall mostly in the age range of ca. 2760 to 2660 Ma, with probability peaks at ca. 2700 Ma and 2670 Ma (Fig. 5D), consistent with derivation from the underlying volcanic rocks (van Breemen et al. 1992; Isachsen and Bowring 1994, 1997; Villeneuve et al. 2001; Sherlock et al. 2012). Five older grains also occur within the sample (3074 ± 15 Ma, 2849 ± 16, 2820 ± 16 Ma, 2814 ± 16 Ma and 2803 ± 15 Ma; Figs. 5B and 5D). The youngest detrital zircon grain at Goose Lake yields a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2621 ± 18 Ma (1.3% discordance, Figs. 5B and 5D), which is interpreted as the maximum deposition age of the sample.

A greywacke sample (13AB2206A) was collected from outcrop south of Courageous Lake and east of Matthews Lake (Fig. 6A), where the turbidites were deposited on 2671 ±5/-4 Ma felsic volcanic rocks and are intruded by 2613 ±6/-5 Ma
granitic rocks (Moore 1956; Dillon-Leitch 1981; Villeneuve et al. 1993). These turbidites lack interbedded BIF. The sample was collected from the coarsest greywacke near the base of the turbidite succession, just above the contact with the underlying rhyolite and basalt (Fig. 6A). The zircons recovered are morphologically similar by description to those from the Goose Lake sample, and range from 30 to 120 \( \mu \)m in their longest dimension, with a fraction of the recovered zircons being highly fragmented (Fig. 6B). Selected zircons were analyzed by LA-MC-ICP-MS.

The U-Pb detrital zircon results from the Courageous Lake sample reveals variable age populations (although less scattered than for Goose Lake) representing multiple sources (Fig. 7A). All of the analyzed grains are <10\% discordant reflecting minimum Pb loss in these sediments. The results indicate that 61 out of 69 grains have ages between ca. 2735 and 2660 Ma (Fig. 7B). There are three probability peaks within this interval at ca. 2720, 2685, and 2665 Ma (Fig. 7B). The youngest grain at Courageous Lake is 2626 ± 14 Ma (9.7\% discordance) and the oldest is 2813 ± 13 (5\% discordance) (Figs. 6B and 7B). The weighted average of the four youngest grains yields a \( ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} \) age of 2635 ± 7 Ma (MSWD=1.12; Fig. 7C). This age may be on the young side since the measurements on the OG1 standard during this analytical session yield a weighted average of 3455.5 ± 4.3 Ma, which falls outside the analytical uncertainty of the 3465.4 ± 0.6 Ma (TIMS) age of OG1 (see Appendix B supplementary file).

Ferruginous bed - Point Lake turbidites

Within the turbidites on the northeast side of Point Lake, 1 to 5 cm thick beds of mafic-to-intermediate clastic sediments are found intercalated with BIF up to 0.5 m thick.
(Figs. 8A and 8B). A more thorough petrographic and geochemical study of these beds can be found in Haugaard et al. (in review). The sedimentary beds differ from the greywackes in that they have about 25-30% modal content of coarse-grained ferro-hornblende throughout. Still, they contain randomly dispersed biotite flakes and fragmented quartz and, occasionally, feldspar laths. These beds are concordant and folded and deformed together with the BIF units (Fig. 8A). They contain approximately the same concentration of zircon as the more typical greywackes. The zircons range from ~80 to 150 µm in size (Fig. 8C) and from clear and colorless to pale and medium brown in colour. The pale brown zircons often show a cloudy appearance. A major population of zircons shows well-developed oscillatory zonation patterns with an elongated prismatic to stubby habits (Fig. 8C). Fragmentation and intense corroded edges of some of the zircons can also be viewed in Fig. 8C.

The U-Pb detrital zircon results are plotted in Figs. 9A and 9B and reveal a zircon population that is generally younger than those in the Goose Lake and Courageous Lake greywacke samples. Most of the zircons have dates that fall into two major age populations that correlate well with the timing of Kam and Banting group volcanism. In addition, there is a younger age peak at ~2610 Ma (Fig. 9B). The five youngest (<10% discordant) grains all overlap within uncertainties (Fig. 9C) and have a weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2608 ± 6 Ma (MSWD=0.97; Fig. 9D).

Discussion
Recommended nomenclature for Neoarchean turbidites of the Slave craton

There is now enough age control to clearly separate the older turbidites from the younger BIF-bearing turbidites in the Slave craton. The Burwash Formation was deposited between ca. 2661 Ma and 2650 Ma, as determined from crystallization ages of tuff beds intercalated with the greywacke-mudstone turbidites (Figs. 3 and 4; Bleeker and Villeneuve 1995; Ferguson et al. 2005). The F$_1$ structures identified in the Burwash Formation turbidites are cross-cut by Defeat Suite plutons between 2635 and 2620 Ma (Fig. 10; Davis and Bleeker, 1999). The new 2620 ± 6 Ma tuff bed crystallization age in the younger BIF-bearing turbidites (Figs. 2 and 3) indicate these sedimentary rocks either post-date, or were deposited synchronously with the intrusion of the Defeat Suite plutons; these sedimentary rocks were deposited after uplift and crustal shortening of the older Burwash Formation turbidites. These two chronologically distinct turbidite sequences, therefore, represent separate depositional basins (Ootes et al. 2009).

We recommend a new nomenclature for these two distinct turbidite sequences and their type localities. In Phanerozoic, or flat lying Precambrian stratigraphy, the nomenclature of a formation or group generally requires a base, top, and type-section. However, such constraints are rarely preserved in Archean stratigraphy; the voluminous nature of the turbidites in the Slave craton and the multiple generations of structural overprinting generally make such criteria impossible to identify. In addition, without a base or top, a measured section is only a minimal assumption of the overall thickness of a unit. Instead, for the turbidites in the Slave craton, we champion that sample locations that have been dated should be considered the type locality for the turbidites in question,
and the formation name assigned should be tied to the dated location and any turbidites traceable from that location. If the location has not been dated it should not be formally considered.

We recognize formations that can be correlated with the Burwash Formation and assign these to the Duncan Lake Group (Henderson, 1972; Helmstaedt and Padgham, 1986). Utilizing new and previously published tuff crystallization ages and detrital zircon maximum deposition ages we recommend the young BIF-bearing turbidites be referred to as the Slemon Group and upgrade type localities with recommended formation names (Figs. 1A and 10; Table 1).

Duncan Lake Group

The Duncan Lake Group terminology first appeared in Henderson (1975) and was used to refer to all of the sedimentary rocks that overlay the Kam Group volcanic rocks at Yellowknife. This included the Burwash Formation and Jackson Lake Formation (Henderson, 1970). Although Henderson considered these sedimentary rocks to be older than the Banting Group volcanic rocks, however, Helmstaedt and Padgham (1986) recognized that these sedimentary rocks are in fact younger than, or laterally equivalent to the Banting Group. Furthermore, they established that the Jackson Lake Formation conglomerates and sandstones are considerably younger and therefore should not be included as part of the Duncan Lake Group. Subsequent U-Pb zircon dating has further corroborated this latter interpretation (e.g., Isachsen et al. 1991). Therefore, the Duncan Lake Group and the Burwash Formation specifically refer to the thick accumulation of
turbidites east of Yellowknife Bay of Great Slave Lake (e.g., Henderson 1970, 1975; Ferguson et al. 2005).

**Burwash Formation**

The archetype of the Duncan Lake Group is the renowned Burwash Formation, which has been investigated in detail on the eastern side of Yellowknife Bay of Great Slave Lake (Henderson 1970, 1972) and in the Hearne Lake area (Ferguson et al. 2005; Fig. 1A). The Burwash Formation, which is estimated to be about 4.5-5 km in thickness (Henderson, 1970), forms a large synclinorium between Yellowknife and the Sleepy Dragon Complex to the east, and continues south and potentially east of this basement culmination (e.g., Stubley, 2005). The type section is south of Burwash Point in Yellowknife Bay where each turbidite cycle (greywacke-mudstone) is on average ~0.3-0.4 m thick (Henderson 1970).

Although the date of ca. 2650.5 Ma from the Dettah tuff bed in this study (Fig. 4E) is younger than the 2661 Ma presented by Bleecker and Villeneuve (1995), it is still comparable. Noteable is that our 2650.5 Ma age is very close to the detrital zircon maximum deposition age obtained from the turbidites at Mosher Lake (Ootes et al. 2009), and collectively these dates show that the turbidites are relatively close temporally to the upper part of Duncan Lake Group (Fig. 10; Table 1).

**Clover Lake Formation**

A succession of turbiditic sedimentary rocks that occur just north of Clover Lake in the Hope Bay greenstone belt has been described by Sherlock et al. (2012; Fig. 1A).
The sedimentary sequence there contains well-bedded greywacke and mudstone facies occasionally with conglomerates containing locally derived clasts. The sedimentary succession has been intruded and is interbedded with the Clover Lake felsic volcanic suite, primarily calc-alkaline flow-banded rhyolites. These rhyolites show distinct contact textures that suggest emplacement of the volcanics into un lithified wet sediment. A U-Pb ID-TIMS age for one of the rhyolite flows in this section is 2662.7 +3.4/-2.8 Ma, which is viewed as the minimum age of the turbidites in the Hope Bay greenstone belt (Sherlock et al. 2012). On this basis, we recommend the use of Clover Lake Formation and assign this formation to the Duncan Lake Group (Fig. 1A; Table 1).

Itchen Lake Formation

The Itchen Formation was named by Bostock (1980) to refer to greywacke- mudstone turbidites in the central part of the Slave craton that do not contain BIF (Bostock, 1980; Henderson, 1998; Fig. 1A). Bostock (1980) interpreted that the Itchen Formation was younger than the Contwoyto Formation, because the latter is more proximal to the underlying volcanic belts. Ootes et al. (2009) analyzed 58 detrital zircons by the U-Pb SHRIMP method and determined a maximum deposition age of 2658 ± 8 Ma for the Itchen Formation, indicating that the Itchen Formation is likely older than the BIF-bearing Contwoyto Formation. We recommend the Itchen Formation be, from here forward, recognized as the Itchen Lake Formation, and the type locality be the location of the greywacke sampled for detrital zircon analyses (Ootes et al. 2008, 2009). Based on the maximum deposition age, we tentatively retain the Itchen Lake Formation within the
Duncan Lake Group, although it should be recognized that the nature of detrital zircon results allows this formation to potentially be younger.

Mosher Lake Formation

Greywacke-mudstone turbidites are well preserved at Mosher Lake in the southwestern Slave craton (Ootes and Pierce 2005; Ootes et al. 2006, 2008, 2009; Fig. 1A). A total of 58 detrital zircons from a greywacke sample were dated by the U-Pb SHRIMP method and the youngest yielded a maximum deposition age of 2651 ± 6 Ma (Ootes et al. 2006, 2009). These turbidites do not contain interbedded BIF. We recommend referring to these turbidites as the Mosher Lake Formation and the type locality should be considered the location for the detrital zircon sample (Ootes et al. 2006, 2008, 2009). Based on the maximum deposition age, we tentatively assign these turbidites to the Duncan Lake Group (Fig. 10; Table 1). Although the nature of detrital zircon results allow that these turbidites could be younger (Ootes et al. 2009), structural data presented in Fyson and Jackson (1991) establish that these turbidites contain a deformation fabric that pre-dates fabrics preserved in the Slemon Lake Formation turbidites (see below) that occur to the west at Russell and Slemon lakes.

The Slemon Group

Slemon Lake Formation

The Slemon Lake tuff, with its single-age zircon population, record coeval volcanic input into the chemical and clastic sediment dominated basin at 2620 ± 6 Ma (Figs. 2 and 3). This date is the best estimate of the age of this tuff bed and constrains the
depositional timing of the turbidites and the interbedded BIF. This is the only tuff bed
discovered and dated in the younger BIF-bearing turbidites in the craton. We recommend
referring to these as the Slemon Lake Formation, and this locality should also be
considered as the type locality for the young BIF-bearing turbidite sequences in the
suggested Slemon Group (Figs. 1A and 10; Table 1). The age is synchronous with or
slightly late, relative to the ages of the Defeat Suite plutons (Davis and Bleeker 1999) and
likely the precursor sediment was pyroclastic ash derived from Defeat-related volcanism
in the hinterland of the basin.

These BIF-bearing turbidites continue eastward to Russell Lake (Jackson 2001;
Fig. 1A) where detrital zircon from a greywacke sample has yielded a maximum
deposition age of 2625 ± 6 Ma (Ootes et al. 2006, 2008, 2009). This further demonstrates
that these laterally extensive deposits were all formed after uplift and deformation of the
turbidite formations in the Duncan Lake Group.

Goose Lake Formation (Goose Lake)

The youngest detrital zircon age of 2621 ± 18 Ma (1.3% discordance, Figs. 5B
and 5D) for the Goose Lake greywacke is the only grain of that age that has been
recovered from the sample. This date is, however, consistent with another concordant
detrital zircon date of 2620 ± 5 Ma (ID-TIMS) reported by Villeneuve et al. (2001) from
a greywacke in the Beechey Lake turbidites on the western flank of the Back River
volcanic complex (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, euhedral-to-subhedral zircons from a fine-
grained felsic sill that intruded the Beechey Lake turbidites yielded an upper intercept at
2637 +8/-6 Ma placing a minimum age on the deposition of these turbidites (Villeneuve
et al. 2001). However, this best fit regression was determined on four zircon fractions, none of which were concordant or had overlapping 95% confidence error ellipses (Fig. 4, Villeneuve et al. 2001).

Nevertheless, the U-Pb zircon dates from Beechey Lake together with the 2621 ± 18 Ma age from Goose Lake confirm the belonging of these BIF-turbidites to the suggested Slemmon Group and furthermore correlate the eastern turbidite-BIF sequences with the ones from the central and western Slave craton (Fig. 1A).

We recommend that the BIF-bearing turbidites in the Goose Lake area be referred to as the Goose Lake Formation (Fig. 10; Table 1). While the Mesoarchean Central Slave Cover Group and the Mesoarchean to Hadean Central Slave Basement Complex rocks are not known to be preserved in the eastern part of the craton (Bleeker et al. 1999), the five zircon grains from Goose Lake dated between 3074 ± 15 Ma and 2803 ± 15 Ma (Fig. 5D) indicate that older basement was exposed in the source region.

Salmita Formation (Courageous-MacKay lakes)

In the central part of the Slave craton, greywacke-mudstone turbidites were deposited stratigraphically on top of the Courageous-MacKay lakes greenstone belt (Fig. 1A). These turbidites continue well to the east where they may be correlative to the Beechey Lake turbidites that overy the Hackett River greenstone belt (Villeneuve et al. 2001; Stubley 2005; Fig. 1A). The detrital zircon age populations for the Courageous Lake sample represent multiple sources with a dominant input of detritus at ca. 2720, 2685, and 2665 Ma (Fig. 7B). These ages are consistent with the timing of volcanism in
the underlying volcanic belt and volcanic rocks preserved elsewhere in the craton (van Breemen et al. 1992; Isachsen and Bowring 1997; Sherlock et al. 2012).

The youngest zircon grain identified in the sample is 2626 ±14 Ma, although it is modestly discordant (9.7% discordance, Figs. 6B and 7B). The weighted average of the four youngest grains yields a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2635 ± 7 Ma (Fig. 7C). This age may be on the young side since the measurements on the OG1 standard during this data acquisition yield a weighted average of 3455.5 ± 4.3 Ma, which fall outside the analytical uncertainty of the 3465.4 ± 0.6 Ma (TIMS) age of OG1 (see Appendix F supplementary file). However, we tentatively assign these turbidites as part of the younger Slemon Group. As many of the local geographic names are generally assigned to other stratigraphic units in the area, we recommend the turbidites at this locality be referred to as the Salmita Formation, in recognition of the past-producing Salmita gold mine that is located just to the southwest of the sampled location.

Contwoyto Lake Formation (Point Lake)

These BIF-bearing turbidites are exposed on the northern and eastern side of Point Lake (Henderson, 1998; Fig. 1A). The use of the name Contwoyto Formation was used by Bostock (1980) who pointed out the occurrence of interbedded BIF, which distinguished these turbidites from the higher metamorphic-grade turbidites that were assigned to the Itchen formation further east (see Henderson 1998). However, Bostock (1980) believed the Contwoyto formation to be older than Itchen formation due to its more proximal nature to volcanic belts. Using detrital zircons, Ootes et al. (2009) suggested the BIF-bearing Contwoyto formation is actually younger than the BIF-absent
Itchen formation. We recommend the name Contwoyto Lake Formation for BIF-bearing turbidites in this area, which is defined by the detrital zircon maximum deposition age of 2608 ± 6 Ma (Fig. 9D), and the previously reported youngest identified detrital zircon grain at 2615 ± 13 Ma (Ootes et al. 2009; Fig. 10 and Table 1). As such, we recommend the name Contowyto Lake Formation for these BIF-bearing turbidites at Point Lake.

The ferruginous clastic sediment sample in this study contains a dominant zircon population (ca. 2720-2660 Ma), likely of Banting or Kam Group affinity (Fig. 9B). There is a probability trough at ca. 2640-2620 Ma, indicating only minimum input from the Defeat Suite (Fig. 9B). The youngest population, at ca. 2610 Ma, indicates a minor proportion of the zircons (n=5) may have been derived from the monzodioritic to granodioritic Concession Suite plutons (Davis et al., 1994; Figs. 9B, 9C, 9D and 10). The BIF-bearing turbidites of the Contwoyto Lake Formation either represent the upper part of Slemmon Group turbidites, or may be a separate package of even younger turbidites.

**Damoti Lake Formation**

At Damoti Lake (Fig. 1A), greywacke-mudstone turbidites with interbedded BIF was informally named the Damoti formation by Pehrsson and Villeneuve (1999). They dated 15 detrital zircons by the ID-TIMS method and discovered a single concordant grain at 2629 ± 2 Ma, and interpreted this as the maximum deposition age of the sample (Fig. 10; Table 1). This was the first demonstration of turbidites that were clearly younger than the 2661 Ma Burwash Formation of the Duncan Lake Group. We therefore recommend the BIF-bearing turbidites in the Damoti Lake area to be referred to as the
Damoti Lake Formation, with the type locality being the sample location of Pehrsson and Villeneuve (1999; Table 1).

Kwejinne Lake Formation

North of Slemon Lake and along the Snare and Emile rivers in the western Slave craton, greywacke-mudstone turbidites are extensively preserved (Fig. 1A). At Kwejinne Lake, Bennett et al. (2005) collected a greywacke sample and dated 100 detrital zircons by the LA-ICP-MS method, the youngest concordant grain of which yielded a maximum deposition age of 2633 ± 9 Ma. The five youngest grains yielded a weighted average $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2634 ± 8 Ma. These greywackes do not contain interbedded BIF, but they do continue to the east where they are preserved at higher metamorphic grades. There they are preserved as migmatites and contain abundant BIF enclaves. Detrital zircon cores were dated by Bennett et al. (2012) and the youngest of 68 analyses yielded a maximum deposition age of 2636 ± 3 Ma. Northwest of Kwejinne Lake, along the Emile River at Mattberry Lake, Archean greywacke-mudstone turbidites underlie Proterozoic quartzite and quartz pebble conglomerate and both were deformed together in the Proterozoic (Fyson and Jackson 2008; Jackson 2008; Bennett et al. 2012). Detrital zircons from the Archean greywacke were dated by the LA-ICP-MS method by Bennett et al. (2012) and the youngest grains of 79 analyses indicate a maximum deposition age of 2637 ± 4 Ma.

All three of the above samples share similar maximum deposition ages and are proximal to Kwejinne Lake, and we therefore recommend they be referred to as the Kwejinne Lake Formation (Fig. 10; Table 1). The type locality should be considered the
location at Kwejinne Lake dated by Bennett et al. (2005). While the maximum deposition ages are younger than the ca. 2661 Ma Burwash Formation of the Duncan Lake Group, the maximum deposition ages are comparable to the oldest Defeat Suite plutons (Davis and Bleeker 1999). These turbidites locally contain interbedded BIF and occur along strike of the Slemon Lake Formation, and because they are younger than the youngest detrital zircons dated, we assign these to the Slemon Group.

Emile River Formation

In the western Slave craton, north of the Damoti Lake Formation, turbidites with interbedded BIF occur within the core of a Central Slave Basement Complex-bounded synclinorium (Ootes et al. 2008; Fig. 1A). Ootes et al. (2009) dated 66 detrital zircons from a greywacke sample by the SHRIMP method, and determined a maximum deposition age of 2637 ± 10 Ma (Fig. 10). We recommend these turbidites be referred to as the Emile River Formation (Table 1) with the type locality being the detrital zircon sample location in Ootes et al. (2008, 2009). As these turbidites contain interbedded BIF and have a maximum deposition age distinctly younger than the 2661 Ma deposition age of Burwash Formation of the Duncan Lake Group, we tentatively recommend these be assigned to the Slemon Group (Table 1).

Wheeler Lake Formation

Isachsen and Bowring (1994) report an age from a tuff, interbedded with BIF-bearing turbidites east of Wheeler Lake, of 2612 ± 1 Ma (Fig. 1A). Ootes et al. (2009) dismissed this and interpreted that it was likely an intrusive sill that was dated in that study as the
sample location could not be confirmed in the field and many porphyry sills occur in the area (Ootes and Pierce 2005). However, since that time it has been confirmed that it was in fact a tuff bed that was sampled near Wheeler Lake and dated in that study (W. Fyson, personal communication, 2010). Due to the new results from the Contowyto Lake Formation (above), the ca. 2612 Ma deposition age for the BIF-bearing Wheeler Lake turbidites is considered as permissible. We recommend referring to the turbidites in the Wheeler Lake area (Brophy 1995; Ootes and Pierce 2005) as the Wheeler Lake Formation (Table 1). The type locality for these should be considered as the location dated by Isachsen and Bowring (1994), on the southeast side of Wheeler Lake (Figs. 1A and 10).

James River Formation (High Lake)

In the northern Slave craton, in the vicinity of High Lake, slates, siltstones and greywackes overly older volcanic belts (Jackson 1985; Henderson et al. 1995, 2000; Fig. 1A). The mudstones and siltstones have long been considered to be younger than the greywackes and Henderson et al. (2000) indicate a deposition age (their unit Asl) of ca. 2616 to 2612 Ma. However, numerous plutons of similar age occur throughout the area and it is not clear from that work what was dated, felsic dykes or concordant sills, or interbedded felsic volcanic layers (Henderson et al. 2000). In addition, no interbedded BIF have been reported from these sedimentary rocks. Therefore, we cautiously assign these sedimentary rocks to the Slemon Group. Regardless of their age, we recommend they be referred to as the James River Formation (Table 1). The type locality should be
considered the location with reported date from a concordant dacitic porphyry body at 2616 ± 3 Ma (Henderson et al. 1995).

**Composite stratigraphy**

Depositional ages and field relations among the turbidites in the Slave craton show that these can be separated into at least two separate packages; an older (>2640 Ma) Duncan Lake Group and a younger (<2640 Ma) Slemon Group (Fig. 10; Table 1). Since the older turbidites are uplifted, folded and later cut by the ca. 2628 Ma Defeat pluton, we arbitrary put the border between the two groups at 2640 Ma, as illustrated in Fig. 10. This age may be slightly older or younger. The backbone of this new stratigraphy is the depositional ages of the tuff beds interbedded with the greywacke-mudstone turbidites.

The Burwash Formation of the Duncan Lake Group was deposited between ca. 2661 Ma and 2650 Ma whereas the newly discovered 2620 ± 6 Ma tuff bed defines the age of a younger BIF-bearing turbidite package at Slemon Lake, referred to as the Slemon Group here. This age indicates that these turbidites either post-date, or were deposited synchronously with the intrusion of the ca. 2635-2620 Ma Defeat Suite plutons (Fig. 10).

These younger turbidites of the Slemon Group were deposited after uplift and crustal shortening of the older Burwash Formation turbidites. The is furthermore supported in the detrital zircon record of the Slemon Group (Figs. 5D, 7B and 9B and Figs. 5 and 8 in Ootes et al. 2009) that shows only a minor input of synchronous zircons derived from juvenile (~2620 Ma) sources. Rather, the greywackes of the Slemon Group contain detrital zircons that are similar in age to zircons in the older volcanic belts, indicating
further uplift and erosion of those belts, or recycling of the Duncan Lake Group sediment into the Slemon Group turbidite-BIF basin.

**Future considerations**

It is evident from the above that the Point Lake date, along with the Wheeler Lake and High Lake dates (Isachsen and Bowring, 1994; Henderson et al., 1995), may represent an even younger event of turbidite-BIF deposition and therefore we cannot exclude the potential existence of a third package of turbidites within the craton. Collectively, these dates may reflect minor contribution from the younger (2610-2600 Ma) Concession Suite. Unfortunately, however, the data from High Lake and Wheeler Lake is reported without supporting analytical data. Consequently, the young dates from these locations need further confirmation as these could also represent the upper components of the Slemon Group depositional basin; therefore, without further evidence, they are currently included within the Slemon Group.

Locating tuff beds within greywacke-mudstone turbidites is challenging as these beds are volumetrically minor and often only are a few cm thick. However, their importance in constraining the Neoarchean stratigraphy of the Slave craton, and other Archean cratons, is crucial for resolving regional stratigraphic relationships. Finding additional tuff beds and younger clastic sedimentary units in these sections will help to better-constrain the deposition ages and duration of sedimentation in many turbidite sequences in the craton. For example, the 2620 ± 6 Ma crystallization age of the tuff bed
at Slemon Lake presented in this study provides a critical date and horizon in further
establishing previously unknown younger stratigraphic relationships in these turbidite
basins and evaluating tectonic processes across the Slave craton.

The results of this study imply that an unconformity should exist somewhere
between the Duncan Lake Group and the Slemon Group. Although these extensive
turbidite deposits often are monotonous and indistinct, future fieldwork and bedrock
mapping should emphasize the importance of finding this internal Slave craton boundary
that likely exists as an angular unconformity.

The Burwash Formation of the Duncan Lake Group has been well-studied
(Henderson 1970, 1972; Yamashita and Creaser 1999; Ferguson et al., 2005), but the
petrology of the Slemon Group has not been investigated to the same extent. Thorough
sedimentologically-focussed petrological work comparing the Duncan Lake and Slemon
groups is recommended. In addition to the now-identified BIF association with the
younger Slemon Group, such study should further reveal any petrological differences
between these temporally distinct turbidite sequences. This would not only have profound
importance on the crustal composition and tectonic development of the craton but would
also help to characterize the depositional basins that accumulated these extensive
turbidite deposits during the Neoarchean.

Conclusions

The U-Pb zircon results for tuff and greywacke samples investigated in this study
refine the depositional ages for Neoarchean turbidite packages in the Slave craton. A tuff
bed occurs within the BIF-bearing turbidites at Slemon Lake, and yields a U-Pb zircon
depositional age of 2620 ± 6 Ma. For the first time, this date constrains the depositional age for the younger BIF-bearing turbidites in the southwest of the Slave craton. A previously undated tuff bed in the Burwash Formation turbidites yields a U-Pb zircon age of 2650.5 ± 1.0 Ma. This new age is ca. 10 million years younger than previously reported tuff ages from the Burwash Formation, indicating a longer depositional time-frame than previously considered.

At Goose Lake in the eastern Slave craton, the youngest zircon grain yields an age of 2621 ± 18 Ma (1.3% discordant). These are BIF-bearing turbidites and for the first time the depositional timing of turbidites can be linked from west to east across the craton. At Courageous Lake, turbidites yield a maximum deposition age of 2635 ± 7 Ma. At Point Lake, coarse-grained mafic-to-intermediate sediment beds are intercalated with the BIF unit. The detrital zircons obtained from these beds define a maximum depositional age of 2608 ± 6 Ma, supporting that these either are distinctly younger than the ca. 2620 Ma BIF-bearing turbidites, or they are higher in the stratigraphic sequence.

The two new tuff ages, in concert with previously published data, allow the breakout of the younger BIF-bearing turbidite sequence from the Duncan Lake Group. The ca. 2661 to 2650 Ma Burwash Formation remains the archetype of the Duncan Lake Group and we propose the Itchen Lake Formation, Mosher Lake Formation, and Clover Lake Formation should also be included within this group. The type localities for the formations are the dated sample locations. We propose that the demonstrably younger, BIF-bearing turbidites, be referred to as the Slemon Group. The archetypal locality for the Slemon Group is the tuff sample site in the proposed Slemon Lake Formation. Using maximum deposition ages that are comparable and younger than the crystallization age of
the tuff, we recommend a number of other formation names, their type localities being
the sections with dated sample locations. Further petrological work on these two groups
is recommended to help resolve depositional environments, and to further help the
understanding of Archean tectonic processes.
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**Captions**

**Figure 1A.** Geological map of the Slave craton showing the distribution of greywacke-mudstone turbidites and older volcanic belts. Coloured location names refer to the recommend nomenclature in this study. Note the extent of exposed basement, which is from bedrock mapping and Nd isotopes in ca. 2.63-2.58 Ga granitic rocks. The U-Pb age
dating of each location is shown in Table 1. Map modified from Bleeker et al. (1999); Davis and Hegner (1992); Bennett (2006); Buse (2006); Yamashita et al. (1999).

**Figure 1B.** The Neoarchean stratigraphy of the Slave craton. CSCG = Central Slave Cover Group; BIF = banded iron formation. Map and stratigraphy modified after Bleeker (2002) and Ootes et al. (2009).

**Figure 2.** Slemon Lake tuff bed. (A, B) Field photos of the tuff bed interbedded in a greywacke. The contact between tuff and greywacke is characterized by a sharp base and a more uneven upper contact with load structures developed as a result of soft sediment deformation (white arrows in B). (C) Crossed polarized micro photo of the tuff showing randomly dispersed fragmented quartz crystals set in a more fine-grained biotite (Bi) + chlorite (Chl) + quartz (Qtz) groundmass. Inset shows a well-crystallized prismatic zircon (Zrn). (D) Field photo of the BIF at Slemon Lake immediately 1 m underneath the tuff bed. (E) Backscatter image of relatively unzoned zircons from the tuff bed at Slemon Lake.

**Figure 3.** LA-MC-ICP-MS U-Pb zircon results for the Slemon Lake tuff bed. (A) U-Pb concordia diagram showing a straight line through all the zircons (n=17) plotted. (B) weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2616.6 ± 3.5 Ma of the zircons plotted in (A). (C) U-Pb concordia diagram of the six most concordant grains from the sample. (D) A weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2620.4 ± 5.5 Ma of the six concordant grains. This date defines
the crystallisation age of the tuff bed and constrains the depositional timing of the
turbidites and the interbedded BIF. See text for further explanations.

**Figure 4.** The Dettah tuff bed in the Burwash Formation, northeast of Yellowknife Bay
(see also Bleeker et al. 2007, p. 163), exposed along the road between the Ingraham Trail
and the town of Dettah. (A) Field photo of the tuff bed. (B) Close-up image of the tuff
bed in (A). Note the ultra-fine lamina of ash within the mudstone facies. The zircons
extracted for this study are from the thickest tuff bed shown. (C) Photomicrograph
(crossed polarized light) of the tuff bed showing bimodal distribution of quartz (qtz)
grains in a fine-grained chlorite (chl), biotite (bi), and muscovite (mu) groundmass. (D)
Photomicrograph of selected zircon grains from the tuff bed. (E) A U-Pb concordia plot
showing ID-TIMS data for three single-grain zircon fractions (ZA, ZB, ZC) from the
Dettah tuff. Error ellipses and age calculation are shown at the 2σ level of uncertainty.
The weighted average \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) age (and anchored upper intercept age) for these three
fractions from the tuff is 2650.5 ± 1.0 Ma.

**Figure 5.** Goose Lake greywacke. (A) Illustration of the Goose Lake core sample
showing greywacke-mudstone turbidites associated with BIF. The sample in this study
was obtained from the lower part of the lower greywacke. (B) Cathodoluminescence
(CL) images of the selected zircon grains. (C) LA-MC-ICP-MS U-Pb detrital zircon
results showing U-Pb concordia diagram of all the zircons analyzed. (D) Histogram with
probability curve for the detrital zircons (<10% discordance). Note the youngest grain at
2621 ± 18 Ma (1.3% discordance) and the >2800 Ma grains which suggest the existence
of older basement lithologies. A large part of the zircons correlate in age with Kam- and
Banting-Group detritus.

Figure 6. Courageous Lake greywacke. (A) Field photos of the greywacke sample
collected from the Courageous Lake turbidites. (B) Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of
the selected zircon grains.

Figure 7. Courageous Lake greywacke showing the LA-MC-ICP-MS U-Pb detrital
zircon results. (A) U-Pb concordia diagram showing all the zircons analyzed. (B)
Histogram with probability curve for the detrital zircons (<10% discordance). Note the
high frequencies of Banting Group detritus. (C) The weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of the
four youngest grains is 2635 ± 6.7 Ma, which we define as the maximum deposition age
and likely these zircons are source from the Defeat magmatic arc.

Figure 8. Point Lake ferruginous sediment. (A, B) Field photo of the Point Lake coarse-
grained ferruginous sediment beds within the turbidite-BIF sequence. (C) Backscatter
image of representative zircons from the ferruginous sediment beds. The grains are well-
zoned but occasionally intensive fragmented and corroded.

Figure 9. LA-MC-ICP-MS U-Pb detrital zircon results from the Point Lake ferruginous
sediment. (A) U-Pb concordia diagram showing all the zircons analyzed. (B) Histogram
with probability curve for the detrital zircons (<10% discordance). In addition to the high
input of Banting Group detritus, note the probability peak at 2608 Ma, which indicate
detrital input from the differentiated Concession Suite magmatic rocks. (C) U-Pb concordia diagram of the youngest five grains. (D) The weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age for the five grains are 2607.5 ± 6.4 Ma, which could suggest the existence of an even younger turbidite-BIF sequence in the craton.

Figure 10. Composite U-Pb ages for turbidites in the Slave craton with the recommend revised nomenclature for the Duncan Lake Group (Henderson 1970, 1972; Helmstaedt and Padgham 1986; Fergusson 2002; Fergusson et al. 2005) and the proposed Slemon Group (this study). See text for further explanations.
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### Key magmatic events

- **Granite cuts turbidite**

#### Tuff bed

- **Burwash Formation** (2661 ± 2.4 Ma, Bleeker and Villeneuve 1995)
- **Burwash Formation** (2650.5 ± 1 Ma, this study)
- **Tuff bed Slemmon Lake** (2620 ± 6 Ma, this study)

#### Defeat magmatic suite

- **Defeat pluton cuts folded Burwash Fm.**

#### Bimodal volcanism

- **Bimodal volcanism**

#### Age (Ma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Key events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Age of magmatic events

- **Approximately 2640 Ma**
- **Approximately 2660 Ma**

### Age of youngest rhyolites of Banting Group volcanic rocks

- **2661 ± 3.3 Ma, 2661 ± 1 Ma, 2658 ± 1 Ma**

### References

- Beechy Lake greywacke (Villeneuv et al. 2001)
- Courageous Lake greywacke (this study)
- Clover Lake greywacke (Sherlock et al. 2012)
- Damoti Lake greywacke (Pehrsson and Villeneuve 1999)
- Emile River greywacke (Ootes et al. 2009)
- Goose Lake greywacke (this study)
- High Lake dacite (Henderson et al. 1995, 2000)
- Itchen Lake greywacke (Ootes et al. 2009)
- Kwejinne Lake greywacke (Bennett et al. 2005)
- Mosher Lake greywacke (Ootes et al. 2006, 2009)
- Point Lake ferruginous clastic sediment (this study)
- Point Lake greywacke (Ootes et al. 2009)
- Russell Lake greywacke (Ootes et al. 2009)
- Wheeler Lake greywacke (Isachsen and Bowring, 1994)
- Tuff bed Burwash Formation (2661 ± 2.4 Ma, Bleeker and Villeneuve 1995)
- Tuff bed Burwash Formation (2650.5 ± 1 Ma, this study)
- Tuff bed Slemmon Lake (2620 ± 6 Ma, this study)

*Age of youngest rhyolites of Banting Group volcanic rocks (Mortensen et al. 1992)
**Age of Defeat pluton cross-cutting F1 folds of Burwash Formation (Davis and Bleeker 1999)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and location</th>
<th>Latitude, Longitude</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Deposition Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dettah - southwest Slave craton</td>
<td>N62°29'25&quot; W114°17'13&quot;</td>
<td>Tuff</td>
<td>2650.5 ± 1</td>
<td>ID-TIMS</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchen Lake - east of Point Lake, central Slave craton</td>
<td>N65°25'8&quot; W112°15'7&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2658 ± 8 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>SHRIMP (n=60)</td>
<td>Ootes et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosher Lake - southwest Slave craton</td>
<td>N63°5'55&quot; W115°25'43&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2651 ± 6 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>SHRIMP (n=58)</td>
<td>Ootes et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clover Lake - Hope Bay belt, northeast Slave craton</td>
<td>N67°40'07&quot; W106°27'33&quot;</td>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>2662.7 +3.4/-2.8 (min. deposition age)</td>
<td>TIMS (n=5)</td>
<td>Sherlock et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slemon Lake - southwestern Slave craton</td>
<td>N63°23'95&quot; W116°04'55&quot;</td>
<td>Tuff</td>
<td>2620 ± 6</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (n=17)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Lake - east Slave craton</td>
<td>N65°33'59&quot; W112°32'48&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2621 ± 18 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (n=61)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beechey Lake - east Slave craton</td>
<td>N65°05'27&quot; W108°14'25&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2620 ± 5 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>TIMS (n=6)</td>
<td>Villeneuve et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courageous Lake - central Slave craton</td>
<td>N64°05'45&quot; W111°14'30&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2635 ± 7 Ma (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (n=69)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Lake - central Slave craton</td>
<td>N65°15'49&quot; W112°58'4&quot;</td>
<td>Ferruginous clastic sediment (turbidite)</td>
<td>2608 ± 6 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (n=43)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Coordinates</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damoti Lake - west-southwest Slave craton</td>
<td>N64°9'60&quot; W115°5'59&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2629 ± 2 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>TIMS (n=15)</td>
<td>Pehrsson and Villeneuve (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwejinne Lake area - southeast Slave craton</td>
<td>~N63°46'00&quot; W115°47'55&quot;</td>
<td>Greywackes (turbidites)</td>
<td>2634 ± 8 2636 ± 3 2638 ± 4 (DZ, max. deposition ages)</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (n=100, 79, 68)</td>
<td>Bennett et al. (2005, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emile River - west Slave craton (Acasta area)</td>
<td>N65°4'45&quot; W115°5'43&quot;</td>
<td>Greywacke (turbidite)</td>
<td>2637 ± 10 (DZ, max. deposition age)</td>
<td>SHRIMP (n=66)</td>
<td>Ootes et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Lake - southwest Slave craton</td>
<td>N63°18'29&quot; W114°54'20&quot;</td>
<td>Presumably tuff (analytical data not published)</td>
<td>2612 ± 2</td>
<td>TIMS (n=?)</td>
<td>Isachsen and Bowring (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Lake - north Slave craton</td>
<td>N67°2'00&quot; W110°54'20&quot;</td>
<td>Porphyritic dacite body between greywacke and mudstone facies</td>
<td>2616 ± 3 Ma</td>
<td>TIMS (n=4)</td>
<td>Henderson et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Tentatively assigned to the Slemon Group. See text for further explanations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Suggested Formation name</th>
<th>Suggested Group name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization age of tuff</td>
<td>Burwash Formation (type locality)</td>
<td>Duncan lake Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization age of tuff</td>
<td>Burwash Formation (type locality)</td>
<td>Duncan lake Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean age of youngest grain</td>
<td>Itchen lake Formation</td>
<td>Duncan lake Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean age of youngest grain</td>
<td>Mosher Lake Formation</td>
<td>Duncan lake Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization age of rhyolite body intruding wet turbidite sediment</td>
<td>Clover Lake Formation</td>
<td>Duncan lake Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization age of tuff, weighted mean of 6 concordant grains</td>
<td>Slemon Lake Formation (type locality)</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngest zircon</td>
<td>Goose Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngest zircon</td>
<td>Goose Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean age of youngest 4 grains</td>
<td>Salmita Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean age of youngest 5 grains</td>
<td>Contwoyto Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngest concordant grain</td>
<td>Damoti Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age defined by regression of selected discordant grains</td>
<td>Kwejinne Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mean age of youngest grains</td>
<td>Emile River Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age reported without supporting analytical data</td>
<td>Wheeler Lake Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age reported without supporting analytical data</td>
<td>James River Formation</td>
<td>Slemon Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F. Zircon U-Pb data on the OG1 standard with known (TIMS) age of 3465.4 ± 0.6 Ma (Stern et al., 2009). The boxes underneath the Table are the weighted mean ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain #</th>
<th>206Pb (cps)</th>
<th>204Pb (cps)</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb 2σ</th>
<th>207Pb/235U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Point Lake ferruginous clastic sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-1</td>
<td>304115</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.29630</td>
<td>0.00257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Lake greywacke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-2</td>
<td>244822</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.29792</td>
<td>0.00262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courageous Lake greywacke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-3</td>
<td>331914</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.29865</td>
<td>0.00257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slemon Lake tuff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-4</td>
<td>342977</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.29875</td>
<td>0.00258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-5</td>
<td>386672</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.30001</td>
<td>0.00260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-6</td>
<td>867873</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.29658</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-7</td>
<td>922056</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.29592</td>
<td>0.00275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-8</td>
<td>891604</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.29578</td>
<td>0.00277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-9</td>
<td>1081667</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.29602</td>
<td>0.00280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-10</td>
<td>1118434</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0.29731</td>
<td>0.00287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-11</td>
<td>1022593</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.29662</td>
<td>0.00276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-12</td>
<td>661052</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>0.29762</td>
<td>0.00278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-13</td>
<td>474805</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.30016</td>
<td>0.00288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-14</td>
<td>339229</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.30094</td>
<td>0.00279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-15</td>
<td>591339</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.30074</td>
<td>0.00279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-16</td>
<td>523645</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.29824</td>
<td>0.00242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-17</td>
<td>528247</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.29791</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-18</td>
<td>393879</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.29690</td>
<td>0.00242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-19</td>
<td>475893</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.29641</td>
<td>0.00240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-20</td>
<td>279000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.29613</td>
<td>0.00242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-21</td>
<td>380704</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.29619</td>
<td>0.00239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-22</td>
<td>347427</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.29787</td>
<td>0.00240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-23</td>
<td>569657</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.29765</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-24</td>
<td>456495</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.29893</td>
<td>0.00245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1-25</td>
<td>308694</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.29906</td>
<td>0.00240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 3465.0 \pm 8.7$ [0.25%] 95% conf.
Wtd by data-pt errs only, 0 of 2 rej.
MSWD = 0.0058, probability = 0.94

Mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 3465.0 \pm 8.7$ [0.13%] 95% conf.
Wtd by data-pt errs only
MSWD = 0.51, probability = 0.94
65.4 ± 0.6 Ma (Stern et al., 2009). The boxes underneath the Table are the weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ages (Ma)</th>
<th>2 σ</th>
<th>206Pb/238U 2 σ</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb 2 σ</th>
<th>207Pb/235U 2 σ</th>
<th>206Pb/238U 2 σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.64821</td>
<td>0.72253</td>
<td>0.03985</td>
<td>3451</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70442</td>
<td>0.71311</td>
<td>0.04101</td>
<td>3459</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.67617</td>
<td>0.72032</td>
<td>0.04023</td>
<td>3463</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27529</td>
<td>0.70737</td>
<td>0.03035</td>
<td>3464</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.38504</td>
<td>0.72083</td>
<td>0.03289</td>
<td>3470</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23987</td>
<td>0.71434</td>
<td>0.02953</td>
<td>3452</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05854</td>
<td>0.73241</td>
<td>0.02503</td>
<td>3449</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.14417</td>
<td>0.73024</td>
<td>0.02721</td>
<td>3448</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15081</td>
<td>0.71400</td>
<td>0.02737</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.22305</td>
<td>0.70900</td>
<td>0.02904</td>
<td>3456</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23773</td>
<td>0.72564</td>
<td>0.02950</td>
<td>3453</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24496</td>
<td>0.71499</td>
<td>0.02959</td>
<td>3458</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.21925</td>
<td>0.69999</td>
<td>0.02869</td>
<td>3471</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29977</td>
<td>0.70931</td>
<td>0.03063</td>
<td>3475</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.38782</td>
<td>0.72436</td>
<td>0.03279</td>
<td>3474</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04696</td>
<td>0.65814</td>
<td>0.02489</td>
<td>3461</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50753</td>
<td>0.70405</td>
<td>0.03624</td>
<td>3459</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.31150</td>
<td>0.72884</td>
<td>0.03148</td>
<td>3454</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.38638</td>
<td>0.70225</td>
<td>0.03344</td>
<td>3452</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15105</td>
<td>0.71127</td>
<td>0.02759</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13494</td>
<td>0.69125</td>
<td>0.02722</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09751</td>
<td>0.71019</td>
<td>0.02610</td>
<td>3459</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12810</td>
<td>0.69642</td>
<td>0.02689</td>
<td>3458</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 3458.7\pm7.7$ [0.22%] 95% conf. Wtd by data-pt errs only, 0 of 10 rej. MSWD = 2.2, probability = 0.020

Mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 3461.6\pm5.9$ 95% conf. Wtd by data-pt errs only, 0 of 5 rej. MSWD = 1.12, probability = 0.3
ed mean $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% discord.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0.17%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rej. 3/35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>