Canadian Journal of Physics
Revue canadienne de physique

**Relativistic Dirac Analyses of Polarized Proton Scatterings
to the 2+ Gamma Vibrational
Band in 24Mg and 26Mg.**

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Physics</i>
Manuscript ID	cjp-2016-0634.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Shim, Sugie; Kongju Natl Univ, physics
Keyword:	Dirac phenomenology, optical potential model, collective model, inelastic proton scattering, intermediate energy scattering

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

Relativistic Dirac Analyses of Polarized Proton Scatterings to the 2^+ Gamma Vibrational Band in ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg

Sugie Shim*

Department of Physics, Kongju National University, Gongju 32588, Republic of Korea

(Received 2016)

Abstract

Relativistic Dirac analyses are performed phenomenologically for the high-lying excited states that belong to the 2^+ gamma vibrational band at the 800-MeV polarized proton inelastic scatterings from the s-d shell nuclei, ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg . Optical potential model is used and scalar and time-like vector potentials are considered as direct potentials. First-order vibrational collective models are used to obtain the transition optical potentials in order to accommodate the high-lying excited vibrational collective states. The complicated Dirac coupled channel equations are solved phenomenologically to reproduce the differential cross section and analyzing power data by varying the optical potential and deformation parameters. It is found that the relativistic Dirac coupled channel calculation could describe the high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band at the 800-MeV polarized proton inelastic scatterings from s-d shell nuclei ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg reasonably well, showing mostly better agreements with the experimental data compared to the results obtained from the nonrelativistic calculations. Calculated deformation parameters for the excited states are analyzed and compared with those of nonrelativistic calculations.

PACS numbers: 25.40.Ep, 24.10.Jv, 24.10.Ht, 24.10.Eq, 21.60.Ev

Keywords: Dirac phenomenology, optical potential model, collective model, inelastic proton scattering

*Electronic address: shim@kongju.ac.kr; Fax: +82-41-850-8489

I. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic Dirac approaches based on the Dirac equation have been very successful in treating nuclear reactions [1–3]. Because the Dirac analyses have proven to be very successful in describing the intermediate-energy proton elastic scatterings from the spherically symmetric nuclei and a few deformed nuclei [3–6], the relativistic approaches based on the Dirac equation are expanded to inelastic scatterings and have shown considerable improvements compared to the conventional nonrelativistic calculations based on the Schrödinger equation [7–10]. The relativistic effect is taken into account at the level of the kinematics in the nonrelativistic calculations based on the Schrödinger equation, because it is no longer negligible for the intermediate-energy proton scattering. But the relativistic kinematics correction seems not to be enough, so the fully relativistic treatment based on the use of the Dirac equation seems to be required for the description of intermediate-energy proton scattering. It should be noted that one of the merit of the relativistic approach based on the Dirac equation instead of using the nonrelativistic approach based on the Schrödinger equation is that the spin-orbit potential appears naturally in the Dirac approach when the Dirac equation is reduced to a Schrödinger-like second-order differential equation, while the spin-orbit potential should be inserted by hand in the nonrelativistic Schrödinger approach in order to describe the intermediate energy nucleon scattering from the nucleus.

In this work we performed the relativistic Dirac analyses for the high-lying excited states of s-d shell nuclei ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg that belong to the $K^\pi = 2^+$ gamma vibrational band at polarized 800-MeV proton inelastic scattering. These magnesium isotopes are interesting in aspects of nuclear structure, because they show large deformation change with increasing neutron number [11]. Dirac phenomenological optical potentials are used, employing the S-V optical potential model [1] where only scalar and time-like vector potentials are considered. The Woods-Saxon shape is used for the geometry of the direct optical potentials, assuming the shape of the potential follows the shape of the nuclear density. In order to accommodate the collective motion of the excited deformed nucleus considering the high-lying excited states of 2^+ gamma vibrational band, the first-order vibrational collective model is used to obtain the transition optical potentials [3, 4]. The complicated Dirac coupled-channel equations are solved phenomenologically to reproduce the experimental data by varying the optical potential and deformation parameters, using a computer program called ECIS [12].

The effect of the multistep process is investigated by including the channel coupling between two adjacent excited states in addition to the couplings between the ground state and the excited states, because the multistep channel-coupling effect is found to be important for the excitations of the low-lying excited states in the ground state rotational band in our previous works [13–15]. The calculated results are analyzed and compared with the experimental data and the results obtained from the nonrelativistic approaches.

II. THEORY AND RESULTS

Relativistic Dirac analyses are performed phenomenologically for the high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band at ^{24}Mg (p, p') and ^{26}Mg (p, p') by using an optical potential model and the first-order vibrational collective model. Because ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg are the spin-0 nuclei, only scalar, time-like vector and tensor optical potentials survive [12, 16], as in spherically symmetric nuclei [17], hence the relevant Dirac equation for the elastic scattering from the nucleus is given as

$$[\alpha \cdot p + \beta(m + U_S) - (E - U_0 - V_c) + i\alpha \cdot \hat{r}\beta U_T]\Psi(r) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Here, U_S is a scalar potential, U_0 is a time-like vector potential, U_T is a tensor potential, and V_c is the Coulomb potential. However, depending on the model assumed, pseudo-scalar and axial-vector potentials may also be present in the equation when we consider inelastic scattering. We assume, in the collective model approach used in this work, that the appropriate transition potentials can be obtained by deforming the direct potentials that describe the elastic channel reasonably well [13, 18]. The shapes of the deformed potentials are assumed to follow the shape of the deformed nuclear densities and the transition potentials are obtained by assuming that they are proportional to the first-order derivatives of the diagonal potentials [3, 4]. As direct potentials, the scalar and the time-like vector potentials are used in the calculation. Tensor potentials are always present due to the interaction of the anomalous magnetic moment of the projectile with the charge distribution of the target. However, the tensor potentials are neglected in this calculation because they have been found to be always very small compared to scalar or vector potentials [3]. The evidence that the large scalar and vector fields of Dirac phenomenology may be related to quark degrees of freedom in the nucleon can be found in the work of Cohen *et al.* [19]. In

the vibrational model of ECIS, the deformation of the nuclear surface is written using the Legendre polynomial expansion method as

$$R(\theta, \phi) = R_0(1 + \sum_{\lambda\mu} \beta_\lambda Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi)), \quad (2)$$

with R_0 being the radius at equilibrium, β a deformation parameter and λ the multipolarity. The transition potentials for the channel coupling are given by

$$U_i^\lambda = \frac{\beta_\lambda^i R_i}{(2\lambda + 1)^{1/2}} \frac{dU_i(r)}{dr} Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\Omega) \quad (3)$$

where the subscript i refers to the real and the imaginary scalar or vector potential, R is the radius parameter of the Woods-Saxon shape. By assuming that the real and the imaginary deformation parameter β_λ^i are equal for the given potential type, two deformation parameters, β_S and β_V , are determined for each excited state.

In order to obtain the Dirac coupled channel equations, we expand the Dirac wavefunction using upper and lower component and substitute them into the Dirac equation. After some calculation[3], we can obtain the coupled equations for the radial upper component, g_j , and lower component f_j of the Dirac spinors as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\chi_j}{r} \right] g_j - [E - \epsilon_j + m + U_S^0 - U_0^0] f_j + (U_T^0 - \frac{\nu}{2m} \frac{\partial V_c}{\partial r}) g_j \\ = \sum_{\lambda j'} P_{jj'}^\lambda [(U_S^\lambda - U_0^\lambda) (\text{sgn} \chi_j) (\text{sgn} \chi_{j'}) f_{j'} - U_T^\lambda g_{j'}] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\chi_j}{r} \right] f_j + [E - \epsilon_j - m - U_S^0 - U_0^0] g_j - (U_T^0 - \frac{\nu}{2m} \frac{\partial V_c}{\partial r}) f_j \\ = \sum_{\lambda j'} P_{jj'}^\lambda [(U_S^\lambda + U_0^\lambda) g_{j'} + U_T^\lambda f_{j'}] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here, we separate the elastic optical potentials, U_i^0 , the scalar and time-like vector potentials from transition potentials, U_i^λ , and the subscript λ refers to the multipole order of the particular transition potential. χ is the quantum number related to the projectile, which is introduced by combining the total angular momentum of the projectile J and the orbital angular momentum of the projectile L as $J = |\chi| - 1/2$, and $L = J + (1/2) \text{sgn} \chi$ [3]. E is the total energy of the system, ϵ_j is the nuclear energy eigenvalue, ν is the anomalous magnetic moment of the projectile, and $P_{jj'}^\lambda$ is the geometric coefficient related to j and j' channel of multipole order [3]. These complicated Dirac coupled channel equations are solved numerically to calculate the scattering observables such as differential cross section

and analyzing power by using a computer code, ECIS [12] written by J. Raynal, which employs the sequential iteration method.

The experimental data for the differential cross sections and analyzing powers are obtained from Ref. 20 for the 800-MeV polarized proton inelastic scatterings from the ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg nuclei. The high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band, the second 2^+ (4.24 MeV) and the second 4^+ (6.01 MeV) states for the ^{24}Mg (p,p'), the second 2^+ (2.94 MeV) and the second 4^+ (4.90 MeV) states for the ^{26}Mg (p,p') are considered and assumed to be collective vibrational states in the calculation. One can assign the 3^+ state, which is an unnatural parity state, to the gamma band for both nuclei, but they are neglected in the calculation because they have large error bars and pretty irregular shape [20]. First, the 12 parameters of the diagonal scalar and vector potentials in the Woods-Saxon shapes are determined by fitting the experimental data for the elastic scattering from ^{24}Mg . The Dirac equations are solved numerically to obtain the best fitting parameters to the experimental data by using the minimum χ^2 method. Calculated results for the 800-MeV p + ^{24}Mg elastic scattering are shown as dash-dot-dot lines in Figure 1 and it is found that the observable elastic experimental data are reproduced quite well.

TABLE I: Calculated phenomenological optical potential parameters of the Woods-Saxon shape for 800-MeV proton elastic scattering from ^{24}Mg .

Potential	Strength (MeV)	Radius (fm)	Diffusiveness (fm)
Scalar	-319.8	2.657	0.8002
real			
Scalar	21.30	2.115	0.8738
imaginary			
Vector	122.9	2.815	0.8160
real			
Vector	-72.46	2.630	0.6362
imaginary			

Calculated optical potential parameters of the Woods-Saxon shape for the 800-MeV proton elastic scatterings from ^{24}Mg are shown in Table 1. It is confirmed that the real scalar potentials and the imaginary vector potentials turn out to be large and negative, and that the

FIG. 1: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the ground state for 800-MeV $p + {}^{24}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dash-dot-dot line, dash-dot, dashed and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac phenomenological calculation where only the ground state is considered, where the ground and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ states are coupled, and where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, respectively.

imaginary scalar potentials and the real vector potentials turn out to be large and positive, showing the same pattern as for spherically-symmetric nuclei [3].

Next, six-parameter searches are performed including the second 2^+ (2_2^+) state which is the lowest excited state of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in addition to the ground state, starting from the obtained 12 parameters for the direct optical potentials. Here, six parameter determine the two deformation parameters, β_S and β_V , of the excited state and the four potential strengths; the scalar real and imaginary potential strengths and the vector real and imaginary potential strengths, keeping the potential geometry unchanged. Here, we varied the optical potential strengths obtained by fitting the elastic scattering data in the elastic scattering calculation because the channel coupling of the excited states to the ground state should be included in the inelastic scattering calculation. As a next step, another six-parameter searches are performed by including the second 4^+ (4_2^+) excited states in addition to the ground state. Finally, an eight-parameter search is performed by considering all three states, the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states, together in the calculation, and the results are compared with those of the calculation where only the ground and one excited states are

FIG. 2: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the 2_2^+ state for 800-MeV $p + ^{24}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dashed, and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac coupled channel calculation where the ground and the 2_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are coupled, respectively.

FIG. 3: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the 4_2^+ state for 800-MeV $p + ^{24}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dashed, and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac coupled channel calculation where the ground and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are coupled, respectively.

coupled, in order to investigate the effect of the channel coupling between the excited states.

The results of the Dirac coupled channel calculations for the ground state for 800 MeV $p + {}^{24}\text{Mg}$ scattering are given in Figure 1. Most of them are shown to reproduce the elastic experimental data pretty well even though the improvement due to the channel coupling effect at large angles of the differential cross section [3, 8] is not clearly shown in this case, because the data exist only up to angles of less than 30 degrees. The calculated observables for the 2_2^+ state excitation are given in Figure 2. The dashed and the solid line represent the results of the calculation where the ground and the 2_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ are coupled, respectively. The agreements with the experimental data for the 2_2^+ state are shown to be pretty good for both cases, even though the theoretical lines are slightly out of phase with the data at the position of the second minimum of the diffraction pattern. It is shown that the agreements with the 2_2^+ experimental data are not changed significantly by adding the 4_2^+ state excitation in the calculation. Figure 3 shows the calculated results for the excitation of the 4_2^+ state. The dashed and the solid line represent the results of the calculation where the ground and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ are coupled, respectively. The agreements with the experimental data for the 4_2^+ state in both cases are reasonably good, showing almost the same fit to the experimental data. Hence it seems that the multistep coupling effects for the excitation of the high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are insignificant for these cases. Overall agreements with the experimental data for the excited states are observed to be mostly better than those in the nonrelativistic calculations [20–22], even though the agreements with the 4_2^+ analyzing power data are turned out to be worse.

The results of the Dirac coupled channel calculations for the ground state for 800-MeV $p + {}^{26}\text{Mg}$ scattering are given in Figure 4. Calculated optical potential parameters of the Woods-Saxon shape for the 800-MeV proton elastic scatterings from ${}^{26}\text{Mg}$ are shown in Table 1 of Ref. 23. Calculated observables of the 2_2^+ state excitation are given in Figure 5. The dashed and the solid lines represent the results of the calculation where the ground and the 2_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, respectively. Again, the agreements with the experimental data for the 2_2^+ state are shown to be pretty good for both cases, even though the theoretical lines are slightly out of phase with the data at the position of the second minimum of the diffraction pattern, showing the same pattern as seen in the case of ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$. It is shown that the agreements with the 2_2^+ experimental data in the case where only the 2_2^+ states are coupled are about the same

FIG. 4: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the ground state for 800-MeV $p + {}^{26}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dash-dot-dot line, dash-dot, dashed and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac phenomenological calculation where only the ground state is considered, where the ground and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ states are coupled, and where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, respectively.

with those in the case where the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states are coupled. Figure 6 shows the calculated results for the excitation of the 4_2^+ state. It is observed that the theoretical lines almost overlap, indicating that the multistep channel-coupling effects for the 2_2^+ and 4_2^+ excitations in the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are negligible. Hence the direct excitation from the ground state seems dominant for the 4_2^+ state, as previously suggested in Ref. 18. The agreement with the experimental data for the 4_2^+ state is reasonably good, showing a little better agreement with the experimental data compared to the results obtained from the nonrelativistic calculations [20, 21]. The discrepancy between the calculated results and 4_2^+ state data could be explained by the coupling effects with other excited states nearby those are not included in this calculation.

In Table 2, we show the deformation parameters for the excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ and ${}^{26}\text{Mg}$ and compare them with those obtained by using the non-relativistic calculations. Even though the theoretical bases are quite different, the deformation parameters obtained by using the Dirac phenomenological coupled-channel calculation for the excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ and ${}^{26}\text{Mg}$ are shown to agree

FIG. 5: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the 2_2^+ state for 800-MeV $p + {}^{26}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dashed, and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac coupled channel calculation where the ground and the 2_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are coupled, respectively.

FIG. 6: Differential cross section and analyzing power of the 4_2^+ state for 800-MeV $p + {}^{26}\text{Mg}$ scattering. The dashed, and solid lines represent the results of the Dirac coupled channel calculation where the ground and the 4_2^+ states are coupled, where the ground, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band are coupled, respectively.

TABLE II: Comparison of the deformation parameters for the 2_2^+ and 4_2^+ states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band for the 800-MeV proton scatterings from ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg with those obtained from the nonrelativistic calculations.

	Target nuclei	Energy (MeV)	β_S	β_V	β_{NR}
2_2^+ state	^{24}Mg	4.24	.209	.204	.163 ²⁰ , .187 ²² , .355 ²⁴ (α)
	^{26}Mg	2.94	.149	.166	.142 ²⁰ , .141 ²¹
4_2^+ state	^{24}Mg	6.01	.339	.262	.334 ²⁰ , .333 ²² , .135 ²⁴ (α)
	^{26}Mg	4.90	.091	.155	.165 ²⁰ , .206 ²¹

pretty well with those obtained by using the nonrelativistic calculations [20–22]. However, the deformation parameters for the excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg obtained from proton scatterings are turned out to be quite different from those obtained from alpha(α) scatterings [24]. The deformation parameters for the 2_2^+ and 4_2^+ states of ^{24}Mg are found to be larger than those of ^{26}Mg , indicating that the couplings of the excited states to the ground state are stronger at ^{24}Mg (p,p') than at ^{26}Mg (p,p'), even when the excitation energies for those states are higher at ^{24}Mg (p,p') than those at ^{26}Mg (p,p'). The potential strengths are changed to -334.0, -0.819, 124.6 and -71.87 MeV for scalar real and imaginary and vector real and imaginary potentials, respectively, in the 2_2^+ state and the 4_2^+ states coupled case for ^{24}Mg (p,p'), -329.3, -50.32, 199.6 and -77.73 MeV in the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states coupled case for ^{26}Mg (p,p'). For both nuclei, it is observed that the imaginary parts of the scalar potentials are changed to have negative values, and the absolute values of real potential strengths increase and those of imaginary potential strengths decrease in the coupled channel calculations. It is true that all the optical potential parameters including the geometry parameters can vary in the inelastic scattering calculations, but in the present phenomenological calculation the geometry parameters are fixed in order to reduce the number of free parameters. Actually we tried the geometry parameter search starting from the parameters obtained in the calculation where all the excited states are coupled and found only less than 5 % decrease in total chi-sq, insignificant changes in the graphes. The geometry parameters are not changed much mostly, even though the radius of imaginary scalar potential is changed noticeably. Instead of using the optical potential parameters

obtained in the elastic scattering calculation and varying only the potential strengths in the calculations to reproduce the inelastic scattering data as done in our calculations, it is also feasible to use the optical potential parameters obtained in the calculation where all the excited states, the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states, are coupled for the case where only one excited state is coupled and compare the calculated results for the excited states in order to see the channel-coupling effect between the excited states. However, it seems not adequate to use the optical potential parameters obtained in the calculation where all the excited states are coupled in this phenomenological calculation because the experimental data for the 4_2^+ state excitation at both ^{24}Mg (p,p') and ^{26}Mg (p,p') are not reproduced very well.

III. CONCLUSIONS

A relativistic Dirac coupled channel calculation using the optical potential model could describe the high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band for the 800-MeV polarized proton inelastic scatterings from the s-d shell nuclei ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg reasonably well, showing mostly better agreement with the experimental data compared to the results obtained from the nonrelativistic calculation. The first-order vibrational collective models are used to describe the high-lying excited collective states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in the nuclei. The deformation parameters obtained by using the Dirac phenomenological coupled-channel calculation for the high-lying excited states of the 2^+ gamma vibrational band in ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg are found to agree pretty well with the those of the nonrelativistic calculations using the same Woods-Saxon potential shape. The deformation parameters for the 2_2^+ and the 4_2^+ states of ^{24}Mg are turned out to be larger than those of ^{26}Mg , indicating that the couplings of the excited states to the ground state are stronger at the ^{24}Mg (p,p') than at the ^{26}Mg (p,p'). It is found that the multistep channel-coupling effect seems not important for the excitations of the high-lying excited states in the 2^+ gamma vibrational band at the proton inelastic scatterings from ^{24}Mg and ^{26}Mg .

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the research grant of the Kongju National University in 2016 and by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of

Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(2016R1D1A1B01014355).

-
- [1] L. G. Arnold, B. C. Clark, R. L. Mercer, and P. Swandt, Phys. Rev. C **23**, 1949 (1981).
 - [2] J. A. McNeil, J. Shepard, and S. J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. Lett **50**, 1439 (1983); **50**, 1443 (1983).
 - [3] S. Shim, Ph. D. Thesis, The Ohio State University 1989: L. Kurth, B. C. Clark, E. D. Cooper, S. Hama, S. Shim, R. L. Mercer, L. Ray, and G. W. Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 2086 (1994).
 - [4] S. Shim, B.C. Clark, E.D. Cooper, S. Hama, R.L. Mercer, L. Ray, J. Raynal, and H.S. Sherif, Phys. Rev. C **42**, 1592 (1990).
 - [5] D. L. Pham and R. de Swiniarski, Nuovo Cimento A **107**, (1994) 1405.
 - [6] R. de Swiniarski, D. L. Pham, and J. Raynal, Z. Phys. A-Hadrons and Nuclei **343**, 179 (1992).
 - [7] S. Shim *et al.*, Int. Jou. of Mod. Phys. E **21**, 1250098 (2012).
 - [8] S. Shim, M. W. Kim, B. C. Clark, and L. Kurth Kerr, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 317 (1999).
 - [9] S. Shim *et al.*, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. **51**, 271 (2007): S. Shim *et al.*, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. **53**, 1146 (2008).
 - [10] J. J. Kelly, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 064610 (2005).
 - [11] P. Moller, J. R. Nix, W. D. Myers, W. J. Swiatecki, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables **59**, 185 (1995).
 - [12] J. Raynal, *Computing as a Language of Physics*, ICTP International Seminar Course, 281(IAEA, Italy, 1972): J. Raynal, *Notes on ECIS94*, Note CEA-N-2772, 1994.
 - [13] S. Shim and M. W. Kim, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. **64**, 483 (2014).
 - [14] M. W. Kim and S. Shim, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. **66**, 850 (2015).
 - [15] S. Shim, J. Korean. Phys. Soc. **67**, 1889 (2015).
 - [16] C. J. Horowitz and B. D. Serot, Nucl. Phys. A **368**, 503 (1981).
 - [17] R. J. Furnstahl, C. E. Price, and G. E. Walker, Phys. Rev. C **36**, 2590 (1987).
 - [18] L. Ray and G. W. Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. C **31**, 538 (1986).
 - [19] T. D. Cohen, R. J. Furnstahl, and D. K. Griegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 961 (1991).
 - [20] G. S. Blanpied, B. G. Ritchie, M. L. Barlett, G. W. Hoffmann, J. A. McGill, M. C. Milner, K. W. Jones, S. K. Nanda, R. de Swiniarski, Phys. Rev. C **37**, 1987 (1988).
 - [21] G. S. Blanpied, N. M. Hintz, G. S. Kyle, M. A. Franey, S. J. Seestrom-Morris, R. K. Owen, J. W. Palm, D. Dehnhard, M. L. Barlett, C. J. Harvey, G. W. Hoffmann, J. A. McGill, R. P.

- Riljestrand and L. Ray, Phys. Rev. C **25**, 422 (1982).
- [22] G. S. Blanpied, N. M. Hintz, G. S. Kyle, J. W. Palm, R. Riljestrand, M. Barlett, C. J. Harvey, G. W. Hoffmann, L. Ray and D. G. Madland, Phys. Rev. C **20**, 1490 (1979).
- [23] S. Shim and M. W. Kim, Sae Mulli, **40**, 545 (2000).
- [24] K. Van Der Borg, M. N. Harakeh and B. S. Nilsson, Nucl. Phys. A **325**, 31 (1979).

For Review Only