Canadian Journal of Forest Research
Revue canadienne de recherche forestière

Ground layer composition affects tree fine root biomass and soil nutrient availability in jack pine and black spruce forests under extreme drainage conditions

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Forest Research</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfr-2016-0352.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Pacé, Marine; Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue - Campus de Rouyn-Noranda, Institut de recherche sur les forêts; Centre de foresterie des Laurentides, Fenton, Nicole; Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Paré, David; Centre de foresterie des Laurentides Bergeron, Yves; Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue
Keyword:	lichen, moss, Sphagnum spp., fine root, forest regeneration

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Ground layer composition affects tree fine root biomass and soil nutrient availability in
jack pine and black spruce forests under extreme drainage conditions**

Marine Pacé ^{1,2}, Nicole J. Fenton ¹, David Paré ² and Yves Bergeron ¹.

¹ Forest Research Institute, Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue, 445 boul. de
l'Université, Rouyn-Noranda, QC J9X 5E4, Canada.

² Laurentian Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, 1055 du P.E.P.S., Québec, QC G1V 4C7,
Canada.

Corresponding author:

Marine Pacé

(email: marine.pace@uqat.ca)

Other authors:

Nicole J. Fenton: nicole.fenton@uqat.ca

David Paré: david.pare@canada.ca

Yves Bergeron: yves.bergeron@uqat.ca

Abstract: In the boreal forest, long-lasting canopy gaps are associated with lichens on dry sites and with *Sphagnum* spp. on wet sites. We hypothesize that ground layer composition plays a role in maintaining gaps through its effects on fine root biomass ($\leq 2\text{mm}$) and soil nutrient availability. Along gradients of canopy openness in both jack pine-lichen and black spruce-moss forests, the relationships between canopy closure, ground layer composition, tree fine root biomass and soil nutrients were analyzed and decomposed using path analysis. The effects of lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. removal on tree fine root biomass and soil nutrients were tested *in situ*. Although variations in pine fine root biomass were mainly explained by stand aboveground biomass, lichen removal locally increased fine root biomass by more than 50%, resin extractable soil potassium by 580% and base cations by 180%. While *Sphagnum* cover was identified as a key driver of stand aboveground biomass reduction in paludified forest sites, its removal had no short-term effects on spruce fine root biomass and soil nutrients. Our results suggest that lichens, unlike *Sphagnum* spp., affect tree growth *via* direct effects on soil nutrients. These two different patterns call for different silvicultural solutions to maintain productive stands.

Key words: lichen, moss, *Sphagnum* spp., fine root, forest regeneration.

Résumé : En forêt boréale, l'ouverture prolongée du couvert forestier favorise un couvert de lichens sur les sites xériques et à un couvert de sphaignes sur les sites hydriques à subhydriques. Nous faisons l'hypothèse que la composition de la strate des mousses et lichens joue un rôle dans le maintien de clairières ouvertes en affectant la biomasse racinaire fine ($\leq 2\text{mm}$) et la disponibilité en nutriments dans le sol. Le long de gradients d'ouverture du couvert en pinède à lichens et en pessière à mousses, les relations entre l'ouverture de la canopée, la composition de la strate des mousses et lichens, la biomasse de racines fines des arbres et les nutriments du sol ont été analysées et décomposées en suivant une analyse de pistes. Ces observations ont été complétées par une expérience *in situ* visant à mesurer les effets de la suppression du lichen et de la sphaigne sur la biomasse racinaire fine des arbres et sur le contenu en nutriments du sol. Bien que les variations de la biomasse de racines fines des pins soient principalement expliquées par les caractéristiques aériennes du peuplement, la suppression du lichen a localement augmenté la biomasse de racines fines de plus de 50 %, ainsi que la disponibilité en potassium et en cations basiques extraits de résine de 580 % et 180 % respectivement. Alors que le couvert en sphaignes est identifié comme un facteur clé de la réduction de biomasse aérienne des épinettes dans les sites paludifiés, la suppression de la sphaigne n'a pas directement affecté la biomasse des racines fines d'épinettes et le contenu en nutriments du sol. Ces résultats suggèrent que le lichen, à la différence de la sphaigne, affecte la croissance des arbres en modifiant les conditions nutritives du sol. Le maintien de peuplements forestiers productifs sur ces deux types de site nécessite des aménagements sylvicoles différents.

Mots-clés : lichen, mousse, sphaigne, racines fines, régénération forestière.

Introduction

The ground layer (i.e. bryophyte and lichen layer) is an important component of the boreal forest biome (Cornelissen et al. 2007), whose composition largely influences ecosystem processes, including the carbon cycle *via* differential rates of primary production (Turetsky 2003) and decomposition (Lang et al. 2009), and the nitrogen cycle *via* differential rates of atmospheric nitrogen fixation (DeLuca et al. 2002) or nitrogen immobilization (Augusto et al. 2015). It also influences soil processes through the modification of drivers such as pH, temperature, oxygenation and moisture regime (Fenton et al. 2006). Moss and lichen species affect microbial and fungal community composition (Ohtonen and Väre 1998; Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2003), either indirectly through their effects on soil conditions (Nilsson and Wardle 2005) or directly through allelochemical emission (Molnar and Farkas 2010; Chiapusio et al. 2013), although this second pathway remains highly controversial (Kytöviita et al. 2009).

The influence of the ground layer on ecosystem functions in the boreal forest may have visible consequences at the stand scale. Under some conditions, a forest can move from a productive state to an alternative state that is commercially unproductive (i.e., lichen woodland or forested peatland). These conditions of openness are maintained by deficits in tree regeneration and/or growth. They tend to occur on sites with extreme drainage conditions, i.e., either rapidly drained sites on coarse-grained deposits (Jasinski and Payette 2005) or poorly drained sites subject to paludification (Simard et al. 2007). In both cases, long-term forest stand opening is associated with a shift in the composition of the ground layer. Feather mosses are replaced by lichens on rapidly drained sites (Payette et al. 2000) and by *Sphagnum* spp. mosses on poorly drained sites (Bisbee et al. 2001) since the two are favoured by the increase in light availability. Since mosses and lichens have different effects on the physical, chemical and biological conditions of the forest

soil, ground layer compositional changes may have consequences on tree regeneration and/or growth. For example, it has been demonstrated that seedling growth is greater in feather mosses than in *Sphagnum* spp. (Lafleur et al. 2011), and that some secondary metabolites produced by lichens (e.g., usnic acid) may have allelopathic effects on microorganisms, fungi, and trees (Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2003; Molnar and Farkas 2010). As the ground layer may affect tree growth through its effects on roots, we used fine root biomass as an indicator of the impact of the ground layer on tree physiology.

While aboveground forest processes have been relatively well studied, belowground processes remain poorly understood (Augusto et al. 2015). Trees adapt to belowground conditions and resource availability by changes in biomass allocation between above- and belowground organs (Brassard et al. 2009; Noguchi et al. 2012), root branching pattern and longevity (Persson and Ahlström 2002) and mycorrhizae colonization (Kallioikoski et al. 2010). Tree fine root biomass constitutes an easily measurable indicator of tree adaptation in contrasted environments. Indeed, fine roots are particularly important for nutrient and water uptake (Brassard et al. 2009) as they offer a maximized exchange area (Taskinen et al. 2003), in part through their association with symbiotic mycorrhizae (Hinsinger et al. 2009). Moreover, fine roots have a relatively short lifespan and adapt quickly to changes in soil conditions or water supply (Persson and Ahlström 2002).

In this study, we focus on the effects of ground layer composition on tree fine root biomass as an indicator of tree physiology adjustment, and the way these effects interact with the shading effect of forest cover. This approach is innovative for several reasons: firstly, we consider two types of sites that are very different *a priori*, but that are undergoing similar processes; secondly, we focus on the ground layer whose role in forest ecosystem processes is poorly appreciated; finally, we examine fine root biomass while previous research on long-term canopy opening has focused on

aboveground tree growth (Gower et al. 1996; Fauria et al. 2008). We hypothesize that lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. covers, which are favored by the absence of shading effect from the forest cover, contribute to maintaining stand openness by inhibiting tree fine root development and maintaining a low soil nutrient availability. Based on both observational and experimental approaches, the objectives of this study are: (i) to determine the relationships between ground cover composition, tree fine root biomass, canopy closure and soil nutrient availability in forests that include the two stable states, i.e., open- and closed-crown stands; and (ii) to determine the effects of lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. removal on tree fine root biomass and soil nutrient availability as well as the way these effects are modified by shade and fertilization in open-crown forests. The first approach allows us establishing general correlation patterns of tree fine root biomass at the stand scale, while the second provides experimental support and a better understanding of the drivers responsible for the correlation patterns we observe.

Material and methods

Study area

The study area is located in the spruce-moss forest of western Quebec (Table 1). Forest composition is dominated by black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] B.S.P.) with variable abundance of jack pines (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) depending on soil conditions. The natural regeneration of these two tree species particularly depends on the occurrence of fires, which constitute the main natural disturbance in the study area (Bergeron et al. 2004). Average annual temperature is $0 \pm 2.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ and average annual precipitation is 909.1 mm (Joutel, QC; Environment Canada 2010). The territory is relatively flat and covered by organic or well-sorted mineral deposits. Two forest types were selected for this study: pure jack pine-lichen stands located on fluvioglacial coarse-grained deposits and essentially pure black spruce-moss stands situated on

lacustrine clay deposited by the proglacial lake Ojibway (Blouin and Berger 2005). Common understory plant species are *Epigaea repens* L., *Kalmia angustifolia* L., *Linnaea borealis* L., and *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. in pine-lichen stands, and *Chamaedaphne calyculata* (L.) Moench, *Cornus canadensis* L., *Gaultheria hispidula* (L.) Muhl. ex Bigelow, *Rhododendron groenlandicum* (Oeder) Kron & Judd, and *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. in spruce-moss stands. *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *Dicranum polysetum* Swartz, *D. undulatum* Schrad. ex Brid., *Polytrichum strictum* Brid., *Sphagnum capillifolium* (Ehrh.) Edw., *S. angustifolium* (C. Jens. ex Russ.) C. Jens., and *S. fuscum* (Schimp.) Klinggr. were the most frequent bryophyte species. Terricolous lichens were mainly represented by *Cladonia stellaris* (Opiz) Pouzar & Vězda, *C. rangiferina* (L.) F.H. Wigg., and *C. mitis* Sandst.

Sampling design

In 2014, we sampled 25- to 38- year-old stands of each forest type with variable post-fire or post-lodging density (Table 1). Each forest type was replicated four times using four geographically separate sites (2 to 12 km apart for the pine-lichen stands, and 1.5 to 6 km apart for the spruce-moss stands), each containing four to six randomly distributed circular 100 m² plots (located at least 200 m apart) with different degrees of forest canopy closure, for a total of 20 plots per forest type. Within each forest type, variations in canopy closure among plots were not related to variations in soil conditions (Table 2).

Aboveground characteristics of the plots were sampled in August 2014. In each 100 m² plot, we surveyed species composition and cover of the ground layer in a central circular 5 m² subplot. Given the moderate speed of moss and lichen growth (Turetsky 2003; Kytöviita and Crittenden 2007), ground cover composition was supposed to be relatively constant through the growing season. Canopy closure was measured by means of fish-eye photos, taken at the centre of the subplot. The photos were analyzed in terms of percentage of pixels attributable to trees (including

trunk, branches and foliage) using Adobe Photoshop Elements software (Adobe Systems, San José, CA). For six plots per forest type, temperature and air humidity close to the ground layer were recorded from June to September 2014 (Table 1) using HOBO® data loggers (HOBO® U23 PRO V2, Onset Data Loggers, Bourne, MA). All living trees were recorded within the 100 m² circular plots surrounding each subplot. Trees measuring less than 1.5 m were classified into three different height classes (less than 0.5 m, between 0.5 and 1 m, between 1 and 1.5 m). Diameter at breast height (DBH) was recorded on trees > 1.5 m tall. Stand age was estimated based on the time since the last disturbance determined from local archives (Bergeron, personal communication), and verified for each site by selecting 12 to 18 dominant trees and counting tree rings based on non-destructive cores (Table 1). Aboveground tree biomass was calculated from DBH using species-specific biomass equations (Ung et al. 2008). Since tree fine roots are mainly located in the top 20 cm of soil (Kallioikoski et al. 2010), especially in jack pine and black spruce stands (Noguchi *et al.* 2012), tree fine root abundance was estimated by extracting three cores randomly located within the central circular 5 m² subplots of each plot. These cores, which were 5 cm in diameter and 20 cm deep from the bottom base of the living ground layer, were extracted using an auger in the beginning of September 2014. This date corresponds to the early end of the growing season, i.e. shortly before the seasonal peak of fine root decomposition (Brassard et al. 2009). We supposed that all the roots we found in the cores at this date have been produced under the influence of the ground layer sampled in august 2014. Soil cores were transported to the laboratory in a cooler and kept frozen at -20°C until analysis. Each core was examined to discriminate tree roots from roots of other species (mainly Ericaceae), and to separate fine roots (≤ 2 mm diameter) from the larger roots (> 2 mm diameter) that were not considered in this study. We harvested one to three root samples of the most common species from the study sites, i.e., jack pine, black spruce, *Kalmia* spp., *Vaccinium* spp.

and *Rhododendron* spp., and used them to develop recognition criteria based on morphological characteristics. Humidified pine fine roots were beige, slightly reddish and their root tips mostly presented a characteristic “Y” shape when mycorrhized. Spruce roots were reddish brown, darker in colour than pine. Ericaceae roots tended to be darker, thinner and less curved than the two tree species roots. Tree fine roots were rinsed with water, sorted (alive vs dead) following the criteria established (Brassard et al. 2011), dried at 65°C, and weighed. Fine root biomass was expressed in kilograms per unit area ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$).

Soil content in dissolved inorganic nitrogen (D.I.N.) was measured in each 5 m² subplot. The organic layer (O or FH) was sampled in both forest types. In spruce-moss stands, the organic layer was separated into surface and deep layers (1 m deep). Mineral soil was sampled only in the pine-lichen stands (top 20 cm). Mineral samples were air-dried and sieved at 2 mm. Organic samples were first sieved at 6 mm to remove large roots and debris, dried at 60°C, then grinded and sieved at 2 mm. $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ were extracted with a 2 M KCl solution and analyzed by spectrophotometry (QuikChem R8500 Series 2, Lachat Instruments, Milwaukee, WI).

Experimental design

One site per forest type-including a pine-lichen stand and a spruce-*Sphagnum* spp. stand-was selected in each study area for the experiment (Table 1). For each forest type, 38 1 m² circular plots were randomly distributed within the 2 to 4 ha sites so that they contained a homogeneous cover of lichen or *Sphagnum* spp. The aboveground portion of the Ericaceous plants was clipped off at the soil surface. Ericaceae roots were not removed to avoid ground cover disturbance. Initial tree fine root biomass (expressed in $\text{kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$) was estimated by extracting two cores (5 cm diameter and 20 cm deep from the bottom base of the living ground layer) per plot at the beginning of the experiment (June 2014) using an auger. Lichens or *Sphagnum* spp. were then removed on 19 1 m² plots while the other 19 were used as controls. Among the 19 plots of each

modality, seven were covered with a 50 % shade cloth (perforated net positioned 20 cm above the ground surface and covering the whole 1 m² plot) and 5 were fertilized with 5 g of controlled-release fertilizer (20% nitrogen, 7% phosphorus and 10% potassium, Plant-Prod Smartcote®, Master Plant-Prod Inc., Brampton, ON). Since trees can produce fine roots within a radius of 5 m around the trunk (Taskinen et al. 2003), all trees located within a radius of 5 m around the 1 m² plots were counted and their DBH was measured. Two other cores per plot were extracted at the end of the experiment (September 2014) to estimate final tree fine root biomass per unit area. June and September cores were stored and analyzed as previously described. For each plot, soil nutrient availability was measured for the duration of the experiment using ion exchange resins buried 10 cm deep in the soil from June to September 2014. Ion-exchange resin bags (see McCavour et al. 2014) were made using 20 g of mixed-bed ion-exchange resin (J.T. Baker®, Avantor Performance Materials, Central Valley, PA) contained in beige nylon bags (made of standard stockings) and regenerated with 1M HCl. Resins were delicately removed from the soil, kept separately in sealed plastic bags, transported to the laboratory in a cooler, and stored at 4°C until analysis. NO₃-N and NH₄-N were extracted using a 2M KCl solution and analyzed by spectrophotometry (QuickChem R8500 Series 2, Lachat instruments) to estimate soil D.I.N. Phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium and sodium were extracted using a 2M HCl solution and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) using an optical emission spectrometer (OES) (Optima 7300 DV, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Soil base cations were estimated by summing the concentrations of the major base cations contained in the resins (K, Ca, Mg and Na).

Statistical analyses

We considered each forest type separately for statistical analyses. We first used a correlation analysis to examine the relationships between ground cover composition (lichen/*Sphagnum* spp. cover expressed in %), tree fine root biomass (kg.ha⁻¹), canopy closure (%), tree aboveground

biomass (t.ha^{-1}) and D.I.N. (mg.kg^{-1}). A path analysis was then used to discriminate partial correlations between stand aboveground biomass, canopy closure, lichen/*Sphagnum* spp. cover and tree fine root biomass (Shipley 2002). The use of four variables in the path analysis allowed us to respect the recommendation by Hoagland and Boomstra (1998) advising a limit of eight free parameters for 20 observations for an acceptable performance of the general least squares χ^2 statistic (Shipley 2002). Path coefficients between these variables made possible the discrimination of direct, non-direct and non-causal correlations. Since our sample was small and may slightly deviate from normality, we used a Yuan Bentler scaled test statistic (Bentler and Yuan 1999) for the d-sep test (Shipley 2002) to determine the likelihood that an *a priori* structure was correct. Kurtoses were verified for the different variables used in the path analyses (Shipley 2002).

The relationships between initial tree fine root biomass and stand aboveground biomass in the two experimental sites were first tested to verify if this parameter should be included in the models. The effects of ground cover removal (lichen or *Sphagnum* spp., according to the forest type) and secondary treatments (shade and fertilization) were then tested on final tree fine root biomass (kg.ha^{-1}) and soil nutrient availability (measured from the ion exchange resins). Linear models were used to decompose the effects of the second factor (secondary treatments) i.e. to analyze the effects of fertilization *versus* control in a first phase and shade *versus* control in a second phase. When necessary, the dependent variables of the linear models were transformed to respect normality (*log*-transformations). When errors were heteroscedastic for one factor (especially for the secondary treatments since variance was higher in fertilized plots than in control and shaded plots), degrees of freedom were sacrificed to estimate the variance associated with each level of factor. All analyses were performed on R-3 software (R Core Team 2014).

Results

Relationships between stand aboveground biomass, canopy closure, ground cover composition, tree fine root biomass and soil nutrient availability in the sampled area

Pine-lichen stands

In the pine-lichen stands, variation in stand aboveground biomass was partly related to stand age (Fig. 1.a). Feather mosses and lichens varied with canopy closure as expected, with greater lichen cover under open canopies (Fig. 1a; Table 3). Pine fine root biomass, which was $1380 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ on average (range: 660 to $2060 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$, respectively), was positively associated with stand aboveground biomass and poorly related to lichen cover (Fig. 1a; Table 3). Interestingly, pine roots tended to be thinner under lichen than under feather moss (personal observation). Lichen cover tended to be slightly associated with low soil D.I.N. although the trend was not significant ($R = -0.40$, $p = 0.0808$). The structure determined by path analysis for the pine-lichen stands (Fig. 2a) was not rejected by d-sep analysis ($\chi = 2.89$, $df = 2$, $p\text{-value} = 0.23$), showing that the data were consistent with the proposed causal structure (Shipley 2002). It indicated that the direct effect of stand aboveground biomass on fine root biomass in the study area was much more important than its indirect effect *via* canopy closure and lichen cover, and that the slight correlation between lichens and fine root biomass fell more under a non-causal relationship between the two rather than under a direct effect (Fig. 2a; Table 4).

Spruce-moss stands

The proportion of *Sphagnum* spp. in the ground layer significantly decreased with the degree of canopy closure in spruce-moss stands (Fig. 1b; Table 3). Tree fine root biomass was higher in spruce-moss stands than in pine-lichen stands with an average of 2810 kg of spruce fine roots per hectare (range: 310 to $4440 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$). Spruce fine root biomass was negatively associated with

Sphagnum spp. cover and poorly related to stand aboveground biomass (Fig. 1b; Table 3). Moreover, ground cover composition was closely related to stand aboveground biomass, which decreased significantly with *Sphagnum* spp. cover (Table 3). *Sphagnum* spp. cover was not linked to soil D.I.N. Considering the close relationship between *Sphagnum* spp. cover and stand aboveground biomass, we proposed two causal structures for the path analysis in spruce-moss stands. The first (Fig. 2.b), which is the same as the one proposed for the pine-lichen stands, considers that the *Sphagnum* spp. cover results from stand aboveground biomass effect on canopy closure. The second considers that *Sphagnum* spp. cover does not depend on canopy closure, but directly influences stand aboveground biomass, which in turn affects canopy closure. Contrary to the first structure determined by path analysis (Fig. 2b) that was rejected by d-sep analysis ($\chi^2 = 11.48$, $df = 2$, $p\text{-value} < 0.01$), the second structure (Fig. 2c) was plausible considering the data ($\chi^2 = 2.77$, $df = 2$, $p\text{-value} = 0.11$). Hence, it suggests that the second causal structure we proposed was a better fit than the first, showing that *Sphagnum* spp. cover was less a consequence of canopy opening than the main factor explaining low stand aboveground biomass in the sampled area. The two path analyses indicate that tree fine root biomass was more closely related to *Sphagnum* spp. cover than to stand aboveground biomass (Table 4).

Effects of ground cover removal, fertilization and shade on tree fine root biomass and soil properties

Pine-lichen forest

Initially, there was on average 1070 kg of pine fine roots per hectare in the pine-lichen plots used for the experimental study (Table 1). Initial pine fine root biomass was poorly associated with stand aboveground biomass in the experimental site (Pearson's $R = 0.14$, $t\text{-test statistic} = 0.87$, $p\text{-value} > 0.1$); thus, we did not consider this covariable in the ensuing models. Three months after treatment application, lichen removal on the 1 m² plots locally increased pine fine root biomass

by more than 50% (Table 5), rising from 1099 kg.ha⁻¹ on average for control plots to 1902 kg.ha⁻¹ for plots where ground cover had been removed (Fig. 3). Fertilization and shade did not significantly affect pine fine root biomass (Fig. 3; Table 5).

Lichen removal had no effect on D.I.N. and phosphorus, but it positively affected potassium and soil base cations (x 6.8 and x 2.8, respectively), including magnesium (x 2.3) and sodium (x 3.6). Phosphorus, potassium and D.I.N. tended to be higher after fertilization (x 3.1, x 8.8, and x 190, respectively; Fig. 4), although the trend was not significant for phosphorus (Table 5). Soil base cations were also significantly increased by fertilization (more than 3 times higher in fertilized plots compared with control plots). With the exception of phosphorus, the positive effects of fertilization on nutrient availability and base cations were lower in the case of lichen removal (significant negative effect of the interaction between the two treatments; Table 5). Shade reduced the positive effects of lichen removal on potassium and base cations, although it did tend to increase the positive effect of lichen removal on D.I.N. (marginal positive effect of the interaction).

Spruce-moss forest

Average initial tree fine root biomass in the plots of the spruce-moss experimental site was 1010 kg of spruce fine roots per hectare (Table 1). As for the pine-lichen site, stand aboveground biomass was poorly associated with the initial spruce fine root biomass in the experimental site (Pearson R = 0.12, t-test statistic = 0.71, *p*-value > 0.1) and was not considered in the ensuing models. Spruce fine root biomass was not affected by *Sphagnum* spp. removal and shade after 3 months. However, it was marginally increased by fertilization (+ 42% on average) (Fig. 3; Table 5).

Sphagnum spp. removal and shade did not affect any of the measured soil nutrient concentrations (Fig. 5; Table 5). However, fertilization strongly affected soil D.I.N., phosphorus and potassium

availability ($\times 58$, $\times 9.4$ and $\times 3.8$, respectively), although it had no effect on base cations. *Sphagnum* spp. removal increased the positive effect of fertilization on soil D.I.N., but not on phosphorus and potassium.

Discussion

Our results, along with those of previous studies (Fenton and Bergeron 2006; Boudreault et al. 2013; Haughian and Burton 2015), indicate that lichens and *Sphagnum* spp. are more abundant under open canopies than closed ones. The correlation and path analyses indicate that the patterns of variations in tree fine root biomass differ between the two forest types, suggesting that these two ground cover types differ in their influence on soil and tree growth conditions. Since lichens and *Sphagnum* spp. mosses are mainly associated with open canopies, the close relationship between tree aboveground and fine root biomasses observed in the pine-lichen stands makes the assessment of the direct effect of ground cover composition on fine roots difficult based only on observational data. The experimental manipulation of ground cover in the second part of this study alleviates this problem by neutralizing the confounding effect of tree aboveground characteristics on tree fine root biomass through randomisation of experimental plot location. Given the contrasting patterns observed in the two forest types, lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. effects on fine roots and soil properties are discussed separately.

Lichen effect on pine fine roots and soil properties

Our estimation of pine fine root biomass was lower than the average values reported by Finér et al. (2007) for Scots pine in the European boreal forest ($2290 \pm 1020 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1}$) and by Yuan and Chen (2010) for pine in the North American and Eurasian boreal forests ($2520 \pm 130 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1}$). By comparing plots with various degrees of canopy closure, we showed that pine fine root biomass per hectare was more closely linked to stand aboveground biomass than it was to lichen cover

(Figs. 1 and 2; Tables 3 and 4). The positive relationship between fine root biomass and stand aboveground biomass in pine-lichen stands of the sampled area suggests that more abundant and/or bigger pines produce more fine roots in the surface soil. This result seems logical as higher aboveground productivity means greater resource needs and, consequently, a larger fine root network to maximize tree resource acquisition. The absence of correlation between initial tree fine root biomass and stand aboveground biomass in the experimental even-aged stand probably results from the higher homogeneity of age and aboveground biomass of the 2 ha experimental site compared with the much larger sampled area.

Although the path analysis suggests that there was no direct relationship between lichen cover and pine fine root biomass in the sampled area, this link may have been concealed by the close relationship between tree fine root biomass and stand aboveground characteristics. If we refer to the experimental part of this study, we showed that lichen removal locally increases pine fine root biomass as observed by Fauria et al. (2008) who asserted that lichen grazing positively affects Scots pine growth. This result suggests a negative effect of lichens on tree fine root development as it indicates that pine fine root production may have been stimulated in the short-term by a reduced influence of lichens. We also observed that jack pine roots tend to be thinner under lichens, thus indicating that either pines adapt to the local environment by modifying their fine root structure (Zadworny et al. 2016) or that lichens reduce the quantity of enlarged pine root tips through their negative effects on mycorrhization (Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2003).

It has been proposed that lichens modify soil hydric conditions (Bonan and Shugart 1989), as their hydrophobic properties (Shirtcliffe et al. 2006) might contribute to favour dry soils, surface run-off, and heterogeneous horizontal infiltration. Fine root growth can be largely affected by soil moisture (Yuan and Chen 2010) and dry conditions may favour denser tree root networks that optimize prospection and water absorption. Water deficit may also affect soil nutrient transport

and diffusion from the soil to root absorbing surfaces and in this way affect tree access to nutritional resources (Barber et al. 1963). Because it was limited to 1 m² in our experiment, ground layer removal might have favoured water infiltration and locally stimulated root densification. Therefore, the higher nutrient absorption by the resins when lichens were removed may have been favoured by a greater water flow, while shade cover may have partially mimicked the effects of a lichen mat that limits rain water supply at the surface and thus reduces nutrient transport to the resins.

Lichens have been shown to be associated with lower soil nitrogen availability than feather mosses (Ohtonen and Väre 1998). Haughian and Burton (2015) also found a strong negative correlation between lichen cover and phosphorus availability in the forest soil. Lichen removal did not affect D.I.N. and phosphorus concentration in our experimental plots. One possible explanation is that lichen effects on soil nitrogen and phosphorus content are long-lasting and persisted for 3 months after ground layer removal. However, we can point out that lichen removal significantly increased soil potassium and base cations (including calcium, sodium and magnesium), which confirms that lichens also had short-term effects on soil chemical properties. Nutrient availability may be influenced by lichens not only by their low rate of litter accumulation (Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2005), but also through their impact on soil temperature and decomposer activity as they are highly reflective and have low thermal conductivity (Bonan and Shugart 1989). Lichens might also produce antimicrobial and antifungal substances that have negative effects on the activity of soil microbial communities (Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2005) and fungi, including mycorrhizae (Sedia and Ehrenfeld 2003; Molnar and Farkas 2010). Nitrogen mineralization, which should have been stimulated by the positive effect of lichen removal, probably was limited in our experiment by the low availability of decomposable litter in the bare soil plots.

The direction of the correlation between fine root biomass and nutrient availability has been shown to be species-specific (Finér et al. 2007), and relationships in both directions have been found (Persson and Ahlström 2002; Kalliokoski et al. 2010). In the present study, although pine fine root biomass response to fertilization was highly variable and not significant, lichen removal stimulated pine fine root biomass at the same time as it increased soil potassium and base cations. These observations suggest that pines locally produce more fine roots in nutrient-rich spots in a globally low-nutrient forest site. Hence, pines are able to adapt quickly to heterogeneous environments *via* local stimulation of fine root production in places that are more favourable.

***Sphagnum* spp. effect on spruce fine roots and soil properties**

Spruce fine root biomass was lower in our study sites than the average values reported by Finér et al. (2007) for Norway spruce in the European boreal forest ($3300 \pm 1570 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1}$) and very close to the average value reported by Yuan and Chen (2010) for spruce in the North American and Eurasian boreal forest ($2780 \pm 130 \text{ kg.ha}^{-1}$).

The key biological drivers of forest ecosystem processes can vary with time (forest succession) and space (disturbance history; Nilsson and Wardle 2005). The first structure we proposed for the spruce-moss stands corresponds to a middle-aged forest in which canopy closure is the main biological ecosystem driver. In this theoretical model, stand aboveground biomass affects understorey vegetation through variation in canopy closure. The second considers *Sphagnum* spp. as the cause instead of the consequence of the variation in stand aboveground biomass. This pattern is more suited to paludified forests where *Sphagnum* spp. cover and ground layer thickness constitute the most influent ecosystem drivers, more so than forest cover and stand aboveground biomass. Since this second structure best fitted our data, we can deduce that our sites were already quite advanced in the paludification process. The spruce-moss stands we selected for the first part of this study were relatively young and originated from the same fire

(1976). Hence, the paludification we observe today on these sites has probably been favoured by a surface fire that burnt aboveground tree parts without completely removing the organic layer. Spruce fine root growth was not stimulated by *Sphagnum* spp. removal, but it was stimulated by local fertilization. Hence, we can deduce that the absence of a *Sphagnum* spp. removal effect on spruce fine root biomass did not result from the lack of spruce reactivity to local environmental changes. Instead, it seems more likely that *Sphagnum* spp. removal did not sufficiently improve local root growth conditions to have visible consequences on spruce fine root biomass: either *Sphagnum* spp. effect on soil is long-lasting and continues long after removal, or *Sphagnum* spp. cover has limited effect on soil properties, at least in the case of a moderately thick moss layer. Another possibility is that the treated surfaces were too small to have significant effect on root growing conditions, given that *Sphagnum* spp. cover disruption at the stand level has been shown to positively influence soil properties and tree growth (Lafleur et al. 2010). *Sphagnum* spp. cover was not related to soil D.I.N. and its removal had no effect on soil nutrients. However, *Sphagnum* spp. removal seemed to increase the positive effect of fertilization on spruce fine root biomass, and significantly increased the fertilization effect on the accumulation of D.I.N. in the forest soil. This suggests that *Sphagnum* spp. may have immobilized part of the D.I.N. released from fertilizers or that the presence of a ground cover limited nutrient liberation from fertilizer pellets.

Management implications

Open pine-lichen and spruce-*Sphagnum* spp. woodlands occur naturally in the boreal forest. Hence, the restoration of forest productivity should not to be systematic and should only be encouraged in managed forests, especially on sites that have been modified by human interventions such as partial or total harvest. Three months of ground layer shading were not sufficient to significantly modify tree fine root biomass and soil properties in both lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. covers, which confirms that the shading effect of forest cover mainly consists in

an indirect long-term influence on soil through change in ground layer composition. The contrasting effects of lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. cover on soil properties and tree fine root development indicate that the restoration of forest productivity in pine-lichen and spruce-moss woodlands may require different silvicultural approaches.

Lichen cover affects both pine fine root growth and soil nutrients, suggesting that nutrient management may be critical to restore forest productivity in excessively drained sites. Even if lichen removal locally stimulated pine fine root production in our experiment, the effect of lichen disturbance on tree regeneration at a larger scale may differ according to site conditions. Indeed, while Hébert et al. (2006) showed a positive effect of lichen disruption on jack pine growth on sites with good to moderate drainage, other studies suggested that lichen cover favours moisture retention in the surface soil in dry open woodlands and offers more appropriate conditions for jack pine germination and growth than bare soil or feather mosses (Bonan and Shugart 1989; Steijlen et al. 1995). Hence, favouring rapid reforestation that promotes rapid colonization of the understory by feather mosses would be more adapted than ground cover disruption in open dry forests to restore forest productivity in excessively drained sites.

Under poor drainage conditions, partial or total harvesting, similarly to low-intensity wildfires and contrary to severe fire disturbances, opens the forest canopy without seriously disturbing the ground layer. In this way, harvesting may favour *Sphagnum* spp. at the expense of feather mosses. According to our results, *Sphagnum* spp. removal did not modify fine root development and soil properties. However, path analysis showed that *Sphagnum* spp. can be the main driver of stand aboveground biomass reduction in paludified forest, indicating that *Sphagnum* spp. cover becomes very influential late in the paludification process. Indeed, low temperature, low oxygenation and excessive moisture, which are associated with *Sphagnum* spp. litter accumulation and may not induce particular root adaptations but rather a proportional reduction

in both above- and belowground biomass, may be the most important factors limiting forest productivity (Gower et al. 1996; Fenton et al. 2006). Hence, controlling *Sphagnum* spp. moss development may be the only key to a successful management of these ecosystems (Thiffault et al. 2013).

Conclusion

In this study, we showed that lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. covers, which are favoured by conditions of canopy openness, contribute to maintaining stand openness by affecting tree growth conditions in different ways. Lichens affect pine growth conditions by reducing fine root biomass and modifying soil nutrients and major base cations. *Sphagnum* spp. cover, which was found to be a key driver of spruce biomass reduction on paludified sites, affects black spruce growth through the long-term impact of the accumulation of a thick organic layer on soil physical conditions. The application of a shading cover, as a simulation of forest cover recovery, had no short-term influence on the effects of lichen and *Sphagnum* spp. on tree fine root growth. In both cases, it appears that managing forest regeneration to accelerate canopy closure and favour feather mosses instead of lichens or *Sphagnum* spp. mosses may be crucial to restore forest productivity in sites characterized by extreme conditions of drainage.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, by the Fonds de recherche - Nature et technologie du Québec, and by the Chair in Sustainable Forest Management (NSERC-UQAT-UQAM). We are also grateful to Hugues Massicotte and Sylvie Gauthier for their advice and support, Benjamin Gadet, Florence Auger, Samuel Laflèche, Pauline Suffice, Lili Perreault and Raynald Julien for their help and advice in

the field, Marion Barbé for her support in bryophyte identification, Serge Rousseau for soil analysis, Marie-Hélène Longpré and Danielle Charron for their administrative support, and Isabelle Lamarre for her linguistic corrections.

References

- Augusto, L., De Schrijver, A., Vesterdal, L., Smolander, A., Prescott, C., and Ranger, J. 2015. Influences of evergreen gymnosperm and deciduous angiosperm tree species on the functioning of temperate and boreal forests. *Biol. Rev.* **90**: 444-466.
- Barber, S., Walker, J., and Vasey, E. 1963. Mechanisms for movement of plant nutrients from soil and fertilizer to plant root. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **11**: 204-207.
- Bentler, P.M., and Yuan, K.H. 1999. Structural equation modeling with small samples: Test statistics. *Multivariate Behavioral Research.* **34**: 181-197.
- Bergeron, Y., Gauthier, S., Flannigan, M., and Kafka, V. 2004. Fire regime at the transition between mixedwood and coniferous boreal forest in northwestern Québec. *Ecology* **85**(7): 1916-1932.
- Bisbee, K.E., Gower, S.T., Norman J.M., and Nordheim, E.V. 2001. Environmental controls on ground cover species composition and productivity in a boreal black spruce forest. *Oecologia* **129**: 261-270.
- Blouin J., and Berger, J.P. 2005. Guide de reconnaissance des types écologiques de la région écologique 6a - Plaine du lac Matagami et 6b - Plaine de la baie de Rupert. Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune du Québec, Direction des inventaires forestiers, Division de la classification écologique et productivité des stations. Québec, QC.
- Bonan, G.B., and Shugart H.H. 1989. Environmental factors and ecological processes in boreal forests. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **20**:1-28.

- 502 Boudreault, C., Zouaoui, S., Drapeau, P., Bergeron, Y., and Stevenson, S. 2013. Canopy
503 openings created by partial cutting increase growth rates and maintain the cover of three
504 *Cladonia* species in the Canadian boreal forest. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **304**: 473-481.
- 505 Brassard, B., Han, W., Chen, Y.H., and Bergeron, Y. 2009. Influence of environmental
506 variability on root dynamics in northern forests. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **28**(3): 179-197.
- 507 Brassard, B., Han, W., Chen, Y.H., Bergeron, Y., and Paré, D. 2011. Differences in fine root
508 productivity between mixed-and single-species stands. *Funct. Ecol.* **25**(1): 238-246.
- 509 Chiapusio, G., Jassey, V.E., Hussain, M.I., and Binet, P. 2013. Evidences of bryophyte
510 allelochemical interactions: The case of *Sphagnum*. *In Allelopathy*. Edited by Z.A. Cheema,
511 M. Farooq, and A. Wahid. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 39-54.
- 512 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Lang, S.I., Soudzilovskaia, N.A., and During, H.J. 2007. Comparative
513 cryptogam ecology: A review of bryophyte and lichen traits that drive biogeochemistry. *Ann.*
514 *Bot.* **99**(5): 987-1001.
- 515 Coxson, D.S., and Marsh, J. 2001. Lichen chronosequences (postfire and postharvest) in
516 lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) forests of northern interior British Columbia. *Can. J. Bot.* **79**:
517 1449-1464.
- 518 DeLuca, T.H., Zackrisson, O., Nilsson, M.C., and Sellstedt, A. 2002. Quantifying nitrogen-
519 fixation in feather moss carpets of boreal forests. *Nature* **419**(6910): 917-920.
- 520 Fauria, M.M., Helle, T., Niva, A., Posio, H., and Timonen, M. 2008. Removal of the lichen mat
521 by reindeer enhances tree growth in a northern Scots pine forest. *Can. J. For. Res.* **38**(12):
522 2981-2993.
- 523 Fenton, N.J., and Bergeron, Y. 2006. Facilitative succession in a boreal bryophyte community
524 driven by changes in available moisture and light. *J. Veg. Sci.* **17**(1): 65-76.

- 525 Fenton, N.J., Légaré, S., Bergeron, Y., and Paré, D. 2006. Soil oxygen within boreal forests
526 across an age gradient. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* **56**: 1-9.
- 527 Finér, L., Helmisaari, H.S., Lohmus, K., Majdi, H., Brunner, I., Børja, I., Eldhuset, T., Godbold,
528 D., Grebenc, T., Konôpka, B., Kraigher, H., Möttönen, M.-R., Ohashi, M., Oleksyn, J.,
529 Ostonen, I., Uri, V., and Vanguelova, E. 2007. Variation in fine root biomass of three
530 European tree species: beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.Karst.), and
531 Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). *Plant Biosyst.* **141**(3): 394-405.
- 532 Gower, S.T., McMurtrie R.E., and Murty, D. 1996. Aboveground net primary production decline
533 with stand age: potential causes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **11**(9): 378-382.
- 534 Haughian, S.R., and Burton P.J. 2015. Microhabitat associations of lichens, feathermosses, and
535 vascular plants in a caribou winter range, and their implications for understory development.
536 *Botany* **93**(4): 221-231.
- 537 Hébert, F., Boucher, J-F., Bernier, P.Y., and Lord, D. 2006. Growth response and water relations
538 of 3-year-old planted black spruce and jack pine seedlings in site prepared lichen woodlands.
539 *For. Ecol. Manag.* **223**: 226-236.
- 540 Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A.G., Vetterlein, D., and Young, I.M. 2009. Rhizosphere: biophysics,
541 biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. *Plant Soil* **321**(1-2): 117-152.
- 542 Jasinski, J.P.P., and Payette, S. 2005. The creation of alternative stable states in the southern
543 boreal forest, Quebec, Canada. *Ecol. Monogr.* **75**(4): 561-583.
- 544 Kalliokoski, T., Pennanen, T., Nygren, P., Sievänen, R., and Helmisaari, H.S. 2010.
545 Belowground interspecific competition in mixed boreal forests: fine root and ectomycorrhiza
546 characteristics along stand developmental stage and soil fertility gradients. *Plant Soil* **330**: 73-
547 89.

- 548 Kytöviita, M.M., and Crittenden, P.D. 2007. Growth and nitrogen relations in the mat-forming
549 lichens *Stereocaulon paschale* and *Cladonia stellaris*. *Annals of Botany*. **100**: 1537-1545.
- 550 Kytöviita, M.M., and Stark, S. 2009. No allelopathic effect of the dominant forest-floor lichen
551 *Cladonia stellaris* on pine seedlings. *Funct. Ecol.* **23**(2): 435-441.
- 552 Lafleur, B., Paré, D., Fenton, N., and Bergeron, Y. 2010. Do harvest methods and soil type
553 impact the regeneration and growth of black spruce stands in Northwestern Quebec? *Can. J.*
554 *For. Res.* **40**: 1843-1851.
- 555 Lafleur, B., Paré, D., Fenton, N.J., and Bergeron, Y. 2011. Growth and nutrition of black spruce
556 seedlings in response to disruption of *Pleurozium* and *Sphagnum* moss carpets in boreal
557 forested peatlands. *Plant Soil* **345**: 141-153.
- 558 Lang, S.I., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Klahn, T., Van Logtestijn, R.S.P., Broekman, R., Schweikert, W.,
559 and Aerts, R. 2009. An experimental comparison of chemical traits and litter decomposition
560 rates in a diverse range of subarctic bryophyte, lichen and vascular plant species. *J. Ecol.*
561 **97**(5): 886-900.
- 562 McCavour, M.J., Paré, D., Messier, C., Thiffault, N., and Thiffault, E. 2014. The role of forest
563 aggregated forest harvest residue in soil fertility, plant growth, and pollination services. *Soil*
564 *Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **78**: S196-S207.
- 565 Molnar, K., and Farkas, E. 2010. Current results on biological activities of lichen secondary
566 metabolites: a review. *Z. Naturforsch.* **65c**: 157-173.
- 567 Nilsson, M.C., and Wardle, D.A. 2005. Understory vegetation as a forest ecosystem driver:
568 Evidence from the northern Swedish boreal forest. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **3**(8): 421-428.
- 569 Noguchi, K., Dannoura, M., Jomura, M., Noguchi, M.A., and Matsuura, Y. 2012. High
570 belowground biomass allocation in an upland black spruce (*Picea mariana*) stand in interior
571 Alaska. *Polar Sci.* **6**: 133-141.

- 572 Ohtonen, R., and Väre, H. 1998. Vegetation composition determines microbial activities in a
573 boreal forest soil. *Microb. Ecol.* **36**: 328-335.
- 574 Payette, S., Bhiry, N., Delwaide, A., and Simard, M. 2000. Origin of the lichen woodland at its
575 southern range limit in eastern Canada: the catastrophic impact of insect defoliators and fire on
576 the spruce-moss forest. *Can. J. For. Res.* **30**(2): 288-305.
- 577 Persson, H., and Ahlström, K. 2002. Fine-root response to nitrogen supply in nitrogen
578 manipulated Norway spruce catchment areas. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **168**: 29-41.
- 579 R core team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for
580 statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 581 Sedia, E.G., and Ehrenfeld, J.G. 2003. Lichens and mosses promote alternate stable plant
582 communities in the New Jersey Pinelands. *Oikos* **100**: 447-458.
- 583 Sedia, E.G., and Ehrenfeld, J.G. 2005. Differential effects of lichens, mosses and grasses on
584 respiration and nitrogen mineralization in soils of the New Jersey Pinelands. *Oecologia* **144**:
585 137-147.
- 586 Shipley, B. 2002. Cause and correlation in biology: a user's guide to path analysis, structural
587 equations and causal inference. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 588 Shirtcliffe, N.J., Pyatt, B.F., Newton, M.I., and McHale, G. 2006. A lichen protected by a super-
589 hydrophobic and breathable structure. *J. Plant Physiol.* **163**: 1193-1197.
- 590 Simard, M., Lecomte, N., Bergeron, Y., Bernier, P.Y., and Paré, D. 2007. Forest productivity
591 decline caused by successional paludification of boreal soils. *Ecol. Appl.* **17**(6): 1619-1637.
- 592 Steijlen, I., Nilsson, M-C, and Zackrisson, O. 1995. Seed regeneration of Scots pine in boreal
593 forest stands dominated by lichen and feather moss. *Can. J. For. Res.* **25**: 713-723.
- 594 Taskinen, O., Ilvesniemi, H., Kuuluvainen, T., and Leinonen, K. 2003. Response of fine roots to
595 an experimental gap in a boreal *Picea abies* forest. *Plant Soil* **255**(2): 503-512.

- 596 Thiffault, N., Fenton, N.J., Munson, A.D., Hébert, F., Fournier, R.A., Valeria, O., Bradley, R.L.,
597 Bergeron, Y., Grondin, P., Paré D., and Joanisse, G. 2013. Managing understory vegetation for
598 maintaining productivity in black spruce forests: A synthesis within a multi-scale research
599 model. *Forests* **4**: 613-631.
- 600 Turetsky, M.R. 2003. The role of bryophytes in carbon and nitrogen cycling. *The Bryologist*
601 **106**(3): 395-409.
- 602 Ung, C.H., Bernier, P., and Guo, X.J. 2008. Canadian natural biomass equations: new parameter
603 estimates that include British Columbia data. *Can. J. For. Res.* **38**: 1123-1132.
- 604 Yuan, Z.Y., and Chen, H.Y.H. 2010. Fine root biomass, production, turnover rates, and nutrient
605 contents in boreal forest ecosystems in relation to species, climate, fertility, and stand age:
606 literature review and meta-analyses. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **29**(4): 204-221.
- 607 Zadworny, M., McCormack, M.L., Mucha, J., Reich, P.B., and Oleksyn, J. 2016. Scots pine fine
608 roots adjust along a 2000-km latitudinal climatic gradient. *New Phytol.* **212**(2): 389-399.

Figures and tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the two sampled areas and experimental sites (mean and range).

Forest type	Pine-lichen	Spruce-moss
Sampled areas (20 plots nested in 4 sites for each forest type)		
Longitude		
Northern limit	49°25' N	49°45' N
Southern limit	49°19' N	49°42' N
Latitude		
Western limit	79°15' W	79°18' W
Eastern limit	79°11' W	79°16' W
Drainage	Rapid	Poor
Area covered by the study	2400 ha	1200 ha
Dominant tree species	<i>Pinus banksiana</i> Lamb.	<i>Picea mariana</i> [Mill.] B.S.P
Percentage of dominant species' stems (%)	97 (77-100)	99 (92-100)
Last disturbance type	Clearcut	Fire
Year of the last disturbance	1980 and 1989	1976
Regeneration	Sowing or plantation	Natural
Dominant tree age estimated from tree rings (years)	26.8 (17-37)	22.3 (18-35)
Stand density (trees.ha ⁻¹ , all sizes)	3310 (1200-5500)	8800 (1600-17000)
Stand aboveground biomass (t.ha ⁻¹)*	66.0 (27.2-104.0)	15.4 (1.2-45.9)
Stand canopy closure (%)	61.0 (37.0-72.7)	51.5 (11.0-86.2)
Micro-environmental conditions of the understory from June to September 2014		
Temperature (°C)	16.1 (-3.2-44.5)	16.0 (-3.2-43.6)
Air humidity (%)	87.1 (12.1-100)	86.8 (12.3-100.0)
Ericaceae aboveground biomass (t.ha ⁻¹)	1.65 (0.56-3.55)	2.75 (0.44-6.72)
Ground cover composition (%)		
Feather mosses	45 (1-90)	45 (10-90)
Lichens	45 (1-90)	15 (0-25)
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.	-	40 (0-90)
Ground living biomass (t.ha ⁻¹)**	11.0 (4.4-18.1)	8.1 (0.5-28.4)
Tree fine root biomass (kg.ha ⁻¹)	1380 (660-2060)	2810 (310-4440)
Experimental sites		
Longitude	49° 23' N	49° 43' N

Latitude	79° 14' W	79° 17' W
Drainage	Rapid	Poor
Area of the experimental site	2 ha	4 ha
Dominant tree species	<i>Pinus banksiana</i> Lamb.	<i>Picea mariana</i> [Mill.] B.S.P
Percentage of dominant species' stems	99%	92%
Last disturbance type	Clearcut	Fire
Year of the last disturbance	1980	1976
Regeneration	Plantation	Natural
Dominant tree age estimated from tree rings (years)	26 (24-28)	27 (23-30)
Stand density (trees.ha ⁻¹ , all sizes)	2570	17000
Stand aboveground biomass (t.ha ⁻¹)*	69.0	45.9
Stand canopy closure (% , one measure per plot)	60.2 (53.4-66.0)	80.54 (78.6-82.0)
Micro-environmental conditions of the understory from June to September 2014		
Temperature (°C)	16.3 (-1.0-40.6)	14.3 (-1.0-45.4)
Air humidity (%)	83.3 (11.0-100)	96.9 (34.4-100.0)
Initial tree fine root biomass (kg.ha ⁻¹)	1070 (520-2110)	1010 (300-2760)

*Stand aboveground biomass was estimated from the sum of individual tree biomasses.

Individual tree biomasses were estimated based on species-specific biomass equations developed for tree species of Canada (Ung et al. 2008). Model calibration is based on trees ranging from 1.6 to 38.4 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH) for black spruce, and from 2.5 to 48.9 cm in DBH for pine.

** Ground living biomass corresponds to the living biomass of the moss and/or lichen layer. The whole cryptogam part that did not present leaf/stem blackening or traces of decomposition was considered as living. In the case of *Sphagnum* spp., which can accumulate a thick layer of undecomposed fibric material, the white parts (unpigmented stems) that were more than 30 cm deep were not considered.

Table 2. Soil characteristics (mean and standard error) of the two sampled areas and links between these characteristics and the degree of canopy closure (Pearson correlation coefficient).

Soil characteristics	Mean ($\pm$ SE)	r^*
Pine-lichen stands		
Mineral soil texture (20 cm deep)		
- Proportion of sand (%)	87 ($\pm$ 5)	0.37
- Proportion of silt (%)	8 ($\pm$ 6)	0.24
- Proportion of clay (%)	5 ($\pm$ 2)	0.36
Organic layer depth (m)	0.12 ($\pm$ 0.03)	0.14
Mineral soil		
- Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (mg.kg ⁻¹)	0.86 ($\pm$ 0.20)	0.17
- Phosphorus (mg.kg ⁻¹)	0.67 ($\pm$ 0.29)	0.20
Spruce-moss stands		
Organic layer depth (m)	0.72 ($\pm$ 0.30)	0.10
Water table depth (m)	0.21 ($\pm$ 0.06)	0.00
Deep organic matter (1 m deep)		
- Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (mg.kg ⁻¹)	11.66 ($\pm$ 16.23)	0.00
- Phosphorus (mg.kg ⁻¹)	1.90 ($\pm$ 1.91)	0.20

* None of the relationships were significant (p -value $>$ 0.1).

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients between canopy closure, lichen/*Sphagnum* spp. cover, fine root biomass, stand aboveground biomass and D.I.N. for the two forest types.

	Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. cover	Fine root biomass	Stand above- ground biomass	D.I.N.
Pine-lichen stands				
Canopy closure	- 0.66*	0.47	0.55	0.49
Lichen cover	-	- 0.33	- 0.56	- 0.40
Fine root biomass	-	-	0.69*	0.15
Stand aboveground biomass	-	-	-	0.01
Spruce-moss stands				
Canopy closure	- 0.68*	0.39	0.62 *	0.00
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp. cover	-	- 0.63*	- 0.70**	0.32
Fine root biomass	-	-	0.32	- 0.04
Stand aboveground biomass	-	-	-	0.32

Significant relationships (after Bonferroni correction) are given in bold. * p -value < 0.005; ** p -value < 0.001

Table 4. Direct effect, indirect effect, non-causal variation and total correlation for path analysis of tree fine root biomass per unit area based on the different hypothesized causal structures. Significant relationships are given in bold.

Variable	Direct Value (Error)	Indirect	Non causal	Total ¹
Pine-lichen forest				
<i>Tree fine root biomass (log-transformed)</i>				
Stand aboveground biomass	0.736 (0.173) ***	-0.031	0	0.688
Lichen cover	0.085 (0.173)	0	-0.267	-0.327
Canopy closure	0	-0.056	0.407	0.469
Spruce-moss forest				
<i>Tree fine root biomass (model 1)</i>				
Stand aboveground biomass	- 0.253 (0.189)	0.307	0	0.319
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp. moss cover	- 0.786 (0.189) ***	0	0.099	-0.602
Canopy closure	0	0.494	-0.157	0.390
<i>Tree fine root biomass (model 2)</i>				
Stand aboveground biomass	-0.226 (0.254)	0	0.546	0.319
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp. moss cover	- 0.750 (0.253) **	0.165	0	-0.633
Canopy closure	0	0	0.258	0.390

** p -value < 0.01; *** p -value < 0.001.

¹ Total value represents the Pearson correlation coefficient (r).

Table 5. Treatment effect on tree fine root biomass and soil characteristics for the two forest types. Significant *p*-values are given in bold.

	Pine-lichen			Spruce-moss		
	t	<i>p</i> -value	R ²	t	<i>p</i> -value	R ²
Final tree fine root biomass (kg.ha⁻¹)						
Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. removal (1)	2.05	0.0483	0.04	0.15	0.8816	0.06
Fertilization (2)	1.29	0.2049		1.99	0.0550	
Shade (3)	0.35	0.7315		-0.21	0.8356	
Interaction (1) * (2)	-1.53	0.1368		-	-	
Interaction (1) * (3)	-0.41	0.6829		-	-	
Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (mg.kg⁻¹)						
Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. removal (1)	0.12	0.9065	0.58	-0.85	0.4044	0.66
Fertilization (2)	4.47	0.0001		3.57	0.0011	
Shade (3)	-1.26	0.2165		-0.77	0.4496	
Interaction (1) * (2)	-2.16	0.0383		2.49	0.0183	
Interaction (1) * (3)	1.75	0.0898		1.91	0.0651	
Phosphorus (mg.kg⁻¹)						
Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. removal	-1.09	0.2830	0.04	0.72	0.4746	0.30
Fertilization	1.26	0.2170		4.22	0.0002	
Shade	-0.50	0.6230		1.32	0.1972	
Potassium (cmol.kg⁻¹)						
Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. removal (1)	2.51	0.0178	0.26	-0.18	0.8560	0.19
Fertilization (2)	2.50	0.0180		2.87	0.0071	
Shade (3)	1.81	0.0803		-0.32	0.7486	
Interaction (1) * (2)	-3.65	0.0010		-	-	
Interaction (1) * (3)	-3.12	0.0040		-	-	
Sum of major base cations (cmol.kg⁻¹)						
Lichen/ <i>Sphagnum</i> spp. removal (1)	2.45	0.0204	0.24	-0.34	0.7335	0.13
Fertilization (2)	2.47	0.0193		-0.10	0.9204	
Shade (3)	1.07	0.2914		-0.77	0.4498	
Interaction (1) * (2)	-3.47	0.0016		1.41	0.1690	
Interaction (1) * (3)	-2.29	0.0290		2.03	0.0511	

639

Draft

640

641

642 **Fig. 1.** Scores of the 20 plots along axes 1 and 2 of the Principal Component Analysis based on
643 six target variables. **a)** Pine-lichen stands; **b)** Spruce-moss stands. Each plot (20 per forest type) is
644 represented by the letter of the matching site (A, B, C or D) in the colour corresponding to stand
645 age (see figure for legend). For each variable, the direction of variation is indicated by an arrow.

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of three different hypothesized causal structures including stand aboveground biomass, canopy closure, ground cover composition and tree fine root biomass. **a)** Pine-lichen stands ($\chi^2 = 2.91$; $df = 2$; p -value = 0.23; scaling correction factor for the Yuan-Bentler correction = 0.801); **b)** Spruce-moss stands, model 1 ($\chi^2 = 11.48$; $df = 2$; p -value < 0.01; scaling correction factor for the Yuan-Bentler correction = 0.65); **c)** Spruce-moss stands, model 2

669 ($\chi = 2.59$; $df = 1$; p -value = 0.11; scaling correction factor for the Yuan-Bentler correction =
670 0.87).

671 Significant correlations are indicated in bold (** p -value < 0.01; *** p -value < 0.001). As
672 recommended by Shipley (2002) for small size samples, possible edges characterized by a
673 significant level lower than 0.2 ($\dagger$) are also represented as solid lines.

Draft

Fig. 3. Treatment effect on tree fine root biomass for the two forest types. **a)** Pine-lichen stands; **b)** Spruce-moss stands. CC: Control x Control; CF: Control x Fertilization; CS: Control x Shade; RC: Removal x Control; RF: Removal x Fertilization; RS: Removal x Shade. Significant differences between ground cover treatments are represented by different letters. Vertical bars represent standard deviations.

Fig. 4. Treatment effect on soil characteristics in the pine-lichen forest. **a)** Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (D.I.N.); **b)** Phosphorus (P); **c)** Potassium (K); **d)** Base cations. CC: Control x Control; CF: Control x Fertilization; CS: Control x Shade; RC: Removal x Control; RF: Removal x Fertilization; RS: Removal x Shade. Significant differences between ground cover treatments are represented by different letters. Vertical bars represent standard deviations.

Fig. 5. Treatment effect on soil characteristics in the spruce-moss forest. **a)** Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (D.I.N.); **b)** Phosphorus (P); **c)** Potassium (K); **d)** Base cations. CC: Control x Control; CF: Control x Fertilization; CS: Control x Shade; RC: Removal x Control; RF: Removal x Fertilization; RS: Removal x Shade. Significant differences between ground cover treatments are represented by different letters. Vertical bars represent standard deviations.