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**The myoinhibiting peptides (MIPs), also designated as allatostatin-Bs or prothoracicotatic peptides in some insects, are neuropeptides that are characterized by two tryptophan (W) residues at the C-terminal, denoted as the W(X₆)Wamide motif. They are believed to be the ancestral ligands for the *Drosophila* sex peptide (SP) receptor. Physiological functions of MIPs include the inhibition of contraction of insect visceral muscles, in addition to allatostatic and prothoracicotatic activities. The MIP precursor in *Rhodnius prolixus* encodes MIPs that have an unusual W(X₇)Wamide motif. In the present study, MIP-like immunoreactivity was detected within neurons in the central nervous system and within the innervation to the salivary glands, hindgut, and female and male reproductive systems of adult *R. prolixus*. The effects of peptides with the unusual W(X₇)Wamide motif (Rhopr-MIP-4) and with the typical W(X₆)Wamide motif (Rhopr-MIP-7) were tested for physiological activity on *R. prolixus* hindgut contractions. Both peptides reduce the frequency and amplitude of hindgut contractions in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, both peptides activate the *Drosophila* SP receptor. The MIP/SP receptors are therefore activated by peptides with the unusual W(X₇)Wamide motif.**
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INTRODUCTION

Various insect neuropeptide families are myoinhibitory when tested against contractions of visceral muscle, but might also have other biological functions (see Nässel and Winther, 2010). The first member of one such family was identified by Schoofs et al. (1991) and termed *Locusta* myoinhibiting peptide (Lom-MIP). This peptide (AWQLNAGWamide) was found to inhibit spontaneous contractions of locust hindgut and oviduct, and processes immunoreactive for Lom-MIP were subsequently found to be associated with both of these tissues (Schoofs et al., 1991, 1996). Additional members of this family with the C-terminal consensus sequence W(X₆)Wamide, and some resemblance to vertebrate galanin, have since been identified in a number of insect species, including *Manduca sexta, Bombyx mori, Periplaneta americana, Blattella germanica, Drosophila melanogaster, Gryllus bimaculatus, Cataglyphis bombycina, and Tribolium castaneum* (Blackburn et al., 1995, 2001; Lorenz et al., 1995, 2000; Hua et al., 1999; Predel et al., 2001; Williamson et al., 2001; Baggerman et al., 2002; Aguilar et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008), as well as in crustacean and molluscan species (Moroz et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2007). No members of this family have been reported in genome or peptidomic searches of *Apis mellifera, Nasonia vitripennis, or Acromyrmex echinatior* or other leaf-cutting ant species (see Hauser et al., 2010; Nygaard et al., 2011). Some MIPs have been designated as allatostatin-Bs (AST-Bs) because they inhibit juvenile hormone production in the corpora allata of *G. bimaculatus* (Lorenz et al., 1995) or as prothoracicotastic peptides (PTSPs) because they suppress ecdysteroidogenesis in the prothoracic glands of *B. mori* (Hua et al., 1999; Yamanaka et al., 2010). Centrally, MIPs appear to play a role in the abdominal circuits associated with ecdysis behavior in *M. sexta* and *D. melanogaster* (Davis et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2006a,b; Santos et al., 2007), and in *Leucophaea maderae* in multiple brain circuits, including the circadian system (Schulze et al., 2012).

Although originally characterized by the W(X₆)Wamide motif, these MIPs/AST-Bs/PTSPs, hereafter referred to as MIPs, are now known to also include peptides with a W(X₇)Wamide motif. Thus, the MIP transcripts in *Locusta castaneum* (*L. castaneum*) because they inhibit juvenile hormone production in the corpora allata of *G. bimaculatus* (Lorenz et al., 1995) or as prothoracicotastic peptides (PTSPs) because they suppress ecdysteroidogenesis in the prothoracic glands of *B. mori* (Hua et al., 1999; Yamanaka et al., 2010). Centrally, MIPs appear to play a role in the abdominal circuits associated with ecdysis behavior in *M. sexta* and *D. melanogaster* (Davis et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2006a,b; Santos et al., 2007), and in *Leucophaea maderae* in multiple brain circuits, including the circadian system (Schulze et al., 2012).

In R. prolixus, the prepropeptide contains 12 MIP copies, 9 of which are W(X₆)Wamide (Ons et al., 2011). This is an interesting discovery since MIPs appear to be the ancestral ligand for the *Drosophila* sex peptide (SP) receptor (Kim et al., 2010; Poels et al., 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2010) and SP has a W(X₇)W at its core. The two Trp residues of MIPs and SP are necessary for total activation of the receptor (Kim et al., 2010; Poels et al., 2010).

In light of the unusual W(X₇)Wamide motif in multiple copies of Rhopr-MIPs we have verified the Rhopr-MIP transcript, used immunohistochemistry to examine the distribution of Rhopr-MIPs in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous...
system of *R. prolixus*, and also tested the effects of selected Rhopr-MIPs [one W(X₆)Wamide and one W(X₇)Wamide] on contractions of hindgut. In addition, we have tested these Rhopr-MIPs on the *Drosophila* SP receptor using a heterologous receptor assay to verify whether both the W(X₆)Wamide and W(X₇)Wamide types of MIPs are capable of activating the same receptor. *Drosophila* SP receptor was chosen as a model in this assay since the Rhopr-MIP receptor is currently still elusive. It is currently the only MIP receptor type known in insects. We were also interested in gaining some insight into the co-evolution of receptor-ligands and into the degrees of freedom in the spacing of the key W residues for receptor activation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMALS**

*Rhodnius prolixus* used in this study were taken from a long-standing colony maintained at the University of Toronto Mississauga. These insects were fed on defibrinated rabbits' blood and maintained under high humidity in incubators at 25°C. Immuno-histochemistry and physiological assays were performed on tissues taken from female adult and male *R. prolixus* that were fed as fifth instars ~5–7 weeks prior to the experiments. Also, animals that contained material within their hindgut were selected for the hindgut contraction assays.

**CHEMICALS**

Rhopr-MIP-4 (AWSDLQSSGWamide) and Rhopr-MIP-7 (AWNSL HGGWamide) were custom synthesized by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA) and reconstituted with double distilled water into a stock solution of 10⁻³ M. The stock solution was stored as 10 µL aliquots at –20°C until working dilutions were made using *R. prolixus* saline (150 mM NaCl, 8.6 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 8.5 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM NaHCO₃, 5 mM HEPES, 34 mM glucose; pH 7.0).

**IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY**

Tissues were dissected under *R. prolixus* saline and fixed at 4°C paraformaldehyde in Millonig’s buffer pH 7.4 (0.13 M NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 0.1 M NaOH, 1.2% glucose, 0.3 mM CaCl₂). The tissues were processed for immunohistochemistry as previously described (Kwok et al., 2005; Te Brugge et al., 2005) using a 1:1000 mouse anti-MIP primary antibody (#7B3) made up in PBS, containing 0.4% Triton X-100, 2% BSA, and 2% NGS. This antibody is one of the monoclonal antibodies produced in the previous study (Yamanaka et al., 2010) and shows similar affinity to *M. sexta* MIP I, II, IV, and V and only 10× less affinity for Rhopr-MIP-4 and Rhopr-MIP-7 (Mizoguchi, unpublished result). The secondary antibody was a Cy3-labeled goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) used at a dilution of 1:600 with 10% NGS in PBS on a shaker for 48h at 4°C. Control experiments were performed in which the antiserum was preincubated for 18h with either 10⁻³ M Rhopr-MIP-4 or Rhopr-MIP-7 prior to use. The preparations were mounted in 100% glycerol following dehydration, and were viewed with a Nikon Optiphot 2 Epifluorescence Microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Pictures were taken with a Zeiss LSM 510 Confocal Laser Microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and a Qimaging Monochrome QICAM 10-bit digital camera (Qimaging, Burnaby, Canada).

**IN SILICO R. PROLIXUS PRECURSOR ANALYSIS**

Genious Pro 3.85 Software¹ and BLAST analysis² was used to analyze the Rhopr-MIP precursor in the *R. prolixus* genomic database. Genious Pro 3.85 Software was also used to determine the consensus sequence for the nine Rhopr-MIPs.

**R. PROLIXUS HINDGUT CONTRACTION ASSAY**

Hindguts from female adult *R. prolixus* were dissected under *R. prolixus* saline, leaving a small portion of the ventral cuticle attached to the posterior end. The preparation was placed in a shallow trough molded into a Sylgard-coated petri dish. The preparation was secured to the dish by pinning the cuticle using minute pins. The anterior end of the hindgut was attached to an AE875 miniature force transducer (Aksjeselskapet Mikro-Elektronikk, Norway) using a strand of silk. Muscle activity was monitored on a linear flat-bed chart recorder connected to a force transducer through an amplifier. Isolated hindguts were maintained in 200 µL of saline at room temperature. Working concentrations of Rhopr-MIP-4 or Rhopr-MIP-7 were applied by removing 100 µL of saline and replacing it with the same volume of peptide that yielded final concentrations of 10⁻¹¹ to 10⁻⁶ M. The peptides were washed from the preparation using saline between trials. The effects of the peptides on hindgut contractions were monitored over a 5 min time period.

Responses to Rhopr-MIP-4 and Rhopr-MIP-7 were quantified by dividing the frequency of contractions during the second minute after application, by the frequency of contractions during the 1 min interval prior to peptide application.

**DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER SEX PEPTIDE RECEPTOR ASSAY**

Drome-SPR expression vector (described by Poels et al., 2010) transfected and mock transfected (negative control) CHO-WTA11 cells (expressing apoaequorin and G₄₁₆) were grown until 90% confluency, detached using PBS with 100 µM EDTA, spun (6 min, 150 g) and resuspended at 5 × 10⁶ cells/mL in D-MEM/F-12 without phenol red supplemented with 0.1% BSA. “Coelenterazine h” (Invitrogen) was added to a concentration of 5 µM and the cells were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 3–4 h. After coelenterazine loading, cells were diluted 10-fold and incubated for 30 min. Test compounds were dissolved in 50 µL D-MEM/F-12 supplemented with 0.1% BSA and dispersed in triplicate into the wells of a white 96-well plate. Light emission was recorded (Mithras LB940, Berthold) for 30 s immediately after injection of 50 µL cell suspension into each well. Cells were then lysed by a second injection of 50 µL 0.3% Triton X-100 and monitored during 8 s. Data were collected using MikroWin2000 (Mikrotek Laborsysteme GmbH).

**RESULTS**

**IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY**

Like all antibodies used in immunohistochemistry, the MIP-antibody could potentially cross-react with antigens other than the specific one it was generated against (including other members of the same family), and hence the term MIP-like immunoreactivity.

¹http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi

²http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi
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However, the MIP-antibodies generated have been used to visualize MIPs across diverse insect species, and in these species the staining matches the expression of the MIP transcript (see Kim et al., 2010). Using ELISA we have found the #7B3 antibody to have similar affinity for both Rhopr-MIP-4 and Rhopr-MIP-7, although \( \sim 10 \times \) less affinity than for Manse-MIP-1. Furthermore, pre-incubation of the anti-MIP primary antibody in this study with either \( 10^{-5} \) M Rhopr-MIP-4 or Rhopr-MIP-7 eliminated all immunoreactive staining suggesting that the observed staining reported below was a result of MIP-like peptide.

**IMMUNOREACTIVITY IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED NEUROHEMAL AREAS**

A large number of MIP-like immunoreactive neurons are distributed throughout all ganglia of the CNS of *R. prolixus*. These typically occur as bilaterally symmetrical cell bodies that produce brightly stained immunoreactive neuropil processes in many regions of the CNS (Figure 1). The number of MIP-like immunoreactive neurons was highest in the brain (several hundred) and mesothoracic ganglion mass (MTGM, \( \sim 300 \)), relative to the sub-esophageal ganglion (SOG) and prothoracic ganglion (PRO). Although a detailed analysis of the distribution of neurons within the CNS was not performed on these whole mounts (and our interest lies mainly in the periphery), it was clear that within the brain there were extensive fine, beaded neurilep processes associated with the optic lobe, antennal lobe, and medial lobes, with axons traversing the protocerebral bridge. Cell bodies were present throughout most of the brain regions, including the optic lobe/brain junction, the protocerebrum, deutocerebrum, and tritocerebrum. MIP-like immunoreactive axons were observed in the connectives between ganglia and in peripheral nerves, where they terminated in neurohemal areas (Figures 1B–D). Staining was observed in processes and varicosities of the corpus cardiacum (CC) which also extended along the anterior regions of the dorsal vessel (Figure 1A, arrow). An extensive neurohemal site displaying MIP-like immunoreactive staining was observed.

**FIGURE 1 | Myoinhibiting peptides-like immunoreactivity is associated with cells and processes within the central nervous system of *R. prolixus*. (A) MIP-like immunoreactivity in cells and processes of the brain (BR) and sub-esophageal ganglion (SOG). Immunoreactive processes are also seen on the corpus cardiacum and corpus allatum (arrow). (B) MIP-like immunoreactive cells within the prothoracic ganglion as well as processes within the connectives. A prominent neurohemal area (arrow) is evident on the first thoracic nerve extending from the mesothoracic ganglion mass (MTGM). (C,D) MIP-like immunoreactivity in cells and processes within the MTGM with axons present in the abdominal nerves and trunk nerves. Note the neurohemal areas present on abdominal nerves 1 and 2 [(C,D), closed arrows] and axons within the trunk nerves [(D), open arrows]. Scale bars: (A,C), 200 \( \mu \)m; (B,D), 100 \( \mu \)m.
on branches of the first peripheral nerve leaving the mesothoracic neuromere (Figure 1B, arrow). MIP-like immunoreactive processes were present in each of the five abdominal nerves, resulting in particularly extensive neurohemal sites on abdominal nerves 1 and 2 (Figures 1C, D). Immunoreactive axons were also observed in the paired trunk nerves leaving the posterior MTGM (Figure 1D), with three strongly immunoreactive and five faintly immunoreactive processes present in each.

**MIP-LIKE IMMUNOREACTIVITY ASSOCIATED WITH PERIPHERAL TISSUES**

With regard to the digestive system, MIP-like immunoreactive processes were observed over the surface of the esophagus, posterior midgut, and anterior hindgut (Figures 2C, D), with processes petering out as they projected to the posterior hindgut. No immunoreactive processes were observed on the foregut, or the anterior midgut. MIP-like immunoreactivity was present within axons in the salivary gland nerve and immunoreactive processes were seen over the principal gland, accessory gland, and principal duct of this organ (Figures 2A, B).

Within the female reproductive system, brightly stained MIP-like immunoreactive processes were present on the lateral and common oviduct (Figure 3A). Intensely stained varicosities were distributed over the surface of these structures. Also, three MIP-like immunoreactive axons were present in the branches from the trunk nerves which innervate the oviducts (Figure 1D, arrow in Figure 3A). There was no staining on the ovaries, spermathecae, cement gland, or bursa.

In the male reproductive tissue, a fine network of MIP-like immunoreactive processes were observed on the accessory glands, but not on other regions of the male reproductive system (Figure 3B, arrows).

In examining the dorsal vessel, heart, and alary muscles, MIP-like immunoreactivity was observed along the anterior dorsal vessel near the CC (Figures 1A and 2C), but was not present within the innervation to the posterior heart or alary muscles.

**IN SILICO RESULTS**

An in silico approach was used to verify the Rhopr-MIP precursor identified previously in R. prolixus by Ons et al. (2011;
**Myoinhibiting peptides in *Rhodnius prolixus***

**Table 1 | *Rhodnius prolixus* MIPs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rhopr-MIP</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>W(X7)W/W(X6)W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWKDLQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-1</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWSKDMQTVGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-2</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWTDLQSGGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-3</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWSDLQSGGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-4</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWSKDMQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-5</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWSDLQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-6</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWKDMQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-7</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWSDLQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-8</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWSDLQSSGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-9</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TADWGSFTGWamide</td>
<td>Rhopr-MIP-10</td>
<td>W(X7)W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3 | Myoinhibiting peptides-like immunoreactivity is associated with the female and male reproductive tissues of *R. prolixus*.** (A) MIP-like axons are present in the oviductal nerve (arrow) and immunoreactive processes are associated with both the lateral oviducts (LO) and common oviducts (CO). (B) A fine network of MIP-like immunoreactive processes (arrowed) is evident around the male accessory glands (AG). Scale bars: (A), 200 µm; (B), 50 µm.

**Table 1.** Three modifications were determined. Firstly, one amino acid within the precursor and located in one of the predicted MIPs was determined to be a threonine (T) residue and not an arginine (R) residue (here labeled Rhopr-MIP-8). Secondly, an encoded peptide listed in the de novo sequenced table of Ons et al. (2011) is more likely to be EPANQNLKLGWamide rather than the listed EPANQNLKLGWamide since the G is the amidation signal (here labeled Rhopr-MIP-9). Thirdly, one of the predicted peptides was missed from the table in Ons et al. (2011), namely, GWKDMQSSGWamide (here termed Rhopr-MIP-5).

**Figure 4**

**Effects of Rhopr-MIP-4 and Rhopr-MIP-7 on hindgut muscle contractions**

*Rhodnius prolixus* hindgut contractions are composed of spontaneous longitudinal and circular muscle contractions of variable strength and frequency. Rhopr-MIP-4 decreases the amplitude and frequency of spontaneous hindgut contractions in a dose-dependent manner, with a threshold concentration of about 0.1 nM, and an IC\textsubscript{50} value of \( \sim 10 \text{ nM} \) for amplitude and 20 nM for frequency (Figure 4). Rhopr-MIP-4 was also capable of completely inhibiting contractions at 1 µM. The effects of Rhopr-MIP-4 were reversible, and spontaneous contractions resumed a few minutes after washing with saline. Rhopr-MIP-7 also decreases spontaneous hindgut contractions in a reversible and dose-dependent manner with a threshold concentration of 0.1 nM, and an IC\textsubscript{50} of \( \sim 100 \text{ nM} \) for amplitude and 20 nM for frequency (Figure 4). Spontaneous contractions were not completely inhibited at 1 µM.

**Effects of Rhopr-MIPS on the Drosophila sex peptide receptor**

The activity profiles of Rhopr-MIP-4 and -7 were tested in the functional aequorin-based Drome-SPR assays (Figure 5). Both of the selected Rhopr-MIPS are potent agonists for the Drome-SPR, with a subnanomolar EC\textsubscript{50} for Rhopr-MIP-7 (0.59 nM) and a 100-fold higher EC\textsubscript{50} for Rhopr-MIP-4 (53 nM). In the same assay, Drome-SP had an EC\textsubscript{50} of about 15 nM (Poels et al., 2010).

**DISCUSSION**

Myoinhibiting peptides-like immunoreactivity is present in a vast number of cell bodies (>1,000) and processes in the brain, SOG, PRO, and MTGM of adult *R. prolixus*. In comparison with *L. migratoria, M. sexta*, or *B. mori*, the CNS of *R. prolixus* has a much larger number of MIP-like immunoreactive neurons, indicating that MIPs might play important central roles as neurotransmitters/neuromodulators in *R. prolixus*. Such has also been proposed for the cockroach *L. maderae*, where \( \sim 2,000 \) cells have been described (Schulze et al., 2012). Indeed, in this cockroach, there is a very wide distribution of MIP-like immunoreactive neurons in the brain, including co-localization with circadian pacemaker cells containing pigment-dispersing factor, leading the authors to suggest that MIPs may be involved in the circadian system, including co-localization with circadian pacemaker cells containing pigment-dispersing factor, leading the authors to suggest that MIPs may be involved in the circadian system, in the processing of chemosensory information and also in the coordination of feeding and locomotory centers (Schulze et al., 2012). Similarly, in *R. prolixus* one might speculate that the wide distribution of MIP-like immunoreactive neurons in the various regions of the brain suggests an involvement of MIPs in at least visual processing, and also the olfactory system. In *D. melanogaster*, MIPs (and many other peptides) are present in the antennal lobe, again suggesting an involvement in sensory processing (Carlsson et al., 2010), and in the lamina of the visual system, indicating an involvement with the circadian clock (Kolodziejczyk and Nässel, 2011a,b), and MIPs have also been shown to be involved in activating the central ecysis motor program in *M. sexta* and *B. mori* (Davis et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2006a,b). The involvement of MIPs in the visual system and putative clock neurons has also been compared between *Calliphora vomitoria* and *D. melanogaster* (Kolodziejczyk and Nässel, 2011a).
In *R. prolixus*, MIP-like immunoreactive processes leave the CNS along many peripheral nerves, and also terminate in neurohemal sites on the CC, anterior part of the dorsal vessel and thoracic and abdominal nerves, suggesting that MIPs are also neurohormones. This is also suggested for some other insects. For example, the glandular lobe of the CC of *L. migratoria* also contains MIP-like immunoreactive processes, as does the CC of *L. maderae*, although none were observed in the corpora cardiaca/corpora allata (CC/CA) or neurohemal perisymphathetic organs of *M. sexta* (Davis et al., 2003); however, *M. sexta* does have MIP-like immunoreactive epiprocteal glands on the proctodeal nerve, and the authors suggest that MIPs are released from these endocrine glands to inhibit the prothoracic glands during the molting cycle and facilitate the decline in ecdysteroid titer (Davis et al., 2003).

Myoinhibiting peptides-like immunoreactive processes are present in the salivary gland nerve, and in both of the trunk nerves leaving the posterior MTGM. These trunk nerves branch to become nerves projecting to the posterior abdominal segments, to the hindgut through the proctodeal nerve, and to reproductive organs (Te Brugge et al., 2005). The presence of MIP-like
immunoreactivity in the salivary gland nerve and the trunk nerves is consistent with the presence of MIP-like immunoreactivity associated with the salivary glands, posterior midgut and hindgut, and reproductive organs.

As shown in L. migratoria, M. sexta, B. germanica, and P. americana (Schoofs et al., 1996; Predel et al., 2001; Davis et al., 2003; Aguilar et al., 2006), MIP-like immunoreactivity is detected in processes over the R. prolixus hindgut suggesting an involvement in intestinal physiological processes. In addition, MIP-like immunoreactivity is observed over the salivary glands in R. prolixus. MIPs have previously been identified within the innervation of the salivary glands of L. migratoria and the black-legged tick, Ixodes scapularis (Schoofs et al., 1996; Simo et al., 2009). The R. prolixus salivary glands facilitate feeding by secreting saliva that counteracts components of the host’s hemostasis (Orchard and Te Brugge, 2002). Contractions of muscles surrounding the salivary glands may contribute to mixing salivary gland contents, as well as with secreting saliva from the principal gland (Orchard, 2006). The presence of MIP-like immunoreactivity in the salivary glands suggests that MIPs may have a potential role in modulating these activities of the salivary glands.

Myoinhibiting peptides-like immunoreactive axons are present in the nerves that projects to the oviducts, as well as in processes that extend over the lateral oviducts and the common oviduct. Similarly, Lom-MIP-like immunoreactive processes were observed in nerves innervating the L. migratoria oviduct (Schoofs et al., 1996). Thus MIPs may have a potential involvement in reproductive success. Contractions of the oviduct may be important during oviposition in order to propel eggs down into the bursa (Lange, 1990, 2009). The presence of MIP-like immunoreactivity within the R. prolixus male reproductive system, specifically in the accessory glands, demonstrates that MIPs may play a role in R. prolixus male reproductive processes. Insect male accessory glands guarantee the production of the spermatophore and seminal fluid, which enables the successful transfer of semen to the female. The duct of the accessory gland along with the vas deferens opens into the ampullary part of the ejaculatory duct (Chen, 1984). Also, during copulation, sperm which are stored in the seminal vesicle enter the ejaculatory duct where they are mixed with the glandular secretion and then transferred to the female genital canal (Chen, 1984). Therefore, MIPs may be involved in the regulation of secretion and transport of secretory contents to the ejaculatory duct in R. prolixus. Interestingly, no MIP-like immunoreactivity was found associated with male reproductive organs of D. melanogaster, D. mojavensis, B. mori, or T. castaneum (Kim et al., 2010).

As shown in many insects (and as indicated by their name) MIPs are inhibitory of visceral muscle contraction. Thus, R. prolixus, MIPs inhibit contractions of the hindgut in a dose-dependent manner. This is true for Rhopr-MIP-4 and for Rhopr-MIP-7. This is an interesting observation, since Rhopr-MIP-4 possesses an unusual W(X7)Wamide motif, but still retains inhibitory activity. The thresholds for these Rhopr-MIPs were approximately the same. These threshold concentrations are lower than the threshold concentrations determined for the suppression of hindgut muscle contractions in L. migratoria (1.8 nM Lom-MIP), M. sexta (10 nM Mas-MIP III-VI), and P. americana (100 nM Pea-MIP; Schoofs et al., 1991; Blackburn et al., 2001; Predel et al., 2001). In addition, although Rhopr-MIP-4 has similar thresholds to Rhopr-MIP-7, Rhopr-MIP-4 appears to be more active with regard to IC50 and its ability to completely abolish contractions at 1 μM. The presence of MIP-like immunoreactivity in the R. prolixus posterior midgut and hindgut, and the inhibitory effects of Rhopr-MIP-4 and Rhopr-MIP-7 on hindgut contractions suggest that MIPs have a function in regulating various digestive processes such as the processing and movement of blood meals. The hindgut of R. prolixus has an important role during rapid post-feeding diuresis because hindgut contractions are required to expel hindgut contents (Te Brugge et al., 2008).

Interestingly, both Rhopr-MIPs are capable of activating the Drome-SPR. SP consists of 36 amino acids with a core W(X8)W but a different C-terminal to MIPs. Poels et al. (2010) and Kim et al. (2010) demonstrated that the two W residues of MIPs and SP are necessary for total receptor activation, and so SP and MIPs share similar structural requirements for SPR activation. Whilst the two families of peptides are structurally quite different except for the two W residues, SP contains a C-terminal disulfide bridge that is required for receptor activation and that might conserve the position of the two W residues at the secondary structure level. In addition, modeling predicts that both peptide families have a β-turn conformation that might be stabilized by the two W residues (Kim et al., 2010). Thus, MIPs are believed to be the ancestral ligands of the SPR in Drosophila (Kim et al., 2010; Poels et al., 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2010). The SPR is considered quite promising, and we now show that it is also activated by MIPs with a W(X7)Wamide motif. The EC50 on the SPR is 100-fold lower,
though, for the Rhopr-MIP-7 which has the W(X7)Wamide motif. Since there are no MIPs in Drosophila with the W(X7)Wamide motif, the activation of the SPR by Rhopr-MIP-4 is an indication that the receptor allows for some degree of freedom in the spacing of the key W residues. Taking into account the possibility of receptor-ligand co-evolution in D. melanogaster, it appears logical that the W(X6)Wamide-containing peptide, Rhopr-MIP-7, displays a lower EC50 for Drosophila-SPR than the W(X7)Wamide MIP-variant, Rhopr-MIP-4. Interestingly, the latter peptide has an EC50 that is only slightly higher than the EC50 of Drosophila-SP, which contains a W(X6)/W signature in addition to a disulfide bridge in its C-terminal part.

Although a cognate MIP receptor has not yet been identified in R. prolixus, one can hypothesize that there may have been co-evolution of the MIP and its receptor, such that the receptor has equal or higher sensitivity to the W(X7)Wamide motif, which is the dominant form of the peptide (9 of 12 copies on the precursor). This latter situation would be comparable to the situation for the human gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) where there are two GnRHs but only one receptor, and this has a higher affinity for GnRH I than for GnRH II (Lu et al., 2007). Alternatively, it cannot be excluded that in R. prolixus (and possibly also in other species) multiple MIP receptors are present which may each have a higher affinity toward the W(X7)Wamide motif or the more common W(X6)Wamide motif. In the case of glycoprotein hormone receptors in vertebrates, three receptors have evolved from a common ancestor, each of which is highly specific in its ligand recognition. In these cases, regions determining the ligand specificity have been identified and have been correlated with differential motifs in the hormones (Caltabiano et al., 2008). The genome data available for R. prolixus have not yet revealed a clear SPR homolog. Likely, future releases of the genome data and their annotations will allow the identification of this receptor. A detailed characterization of MIP-SPR signaling in this organism will only be possible when the SPR (or possibly, multiple receptors) has been identified.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the presence and distribution of MIP-like immunoreactivity throughout neurons in the CNS, in neurohemal sites, and in the innervation to the hindgut, posterior midgut, salivary glands, and female and male reproductive systems, of adult R. prolixus. Physiological assays demonstrate that the native R. prolixus MIPs, Rhopr-MIP-4, and Rhopr-MIP-7, inhibit the frequency of R. prolixus hindgut muscle contractions, despite Rhopr-MIP-4 having an unusual W(X7)Wamide motif. In addition, both MIPs are active on the Drosophila SPR.
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