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Abstract: Understanding soil erosion processes under different land uses is important for predicting and controlling watershed soil losses, especially in karst areas. Five soil profiles and fifty-five soil samples were collected from soils that were under different land uses in a typical karst catchment, southwest China. The total contents of rare earth elements (REE) are significantly lower (< 100 mg/kg) than the average value of soils in China (181 mg/kg). The enrichment degree of REE in heavy REE (HREE) is much higher than that of light REE (LREE) in all soil samples. A positive Ce anomaly (~1.4) and a slightly negative Eu anomaly (~0.95) were found. The enrichment and release of REE are partly controlled by pH, distribution of REE in bedrocks, contents, and adsorption ability of organic matters in weathering profiles.
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Introduction

The rare earth elements (REE) are a group of elements that have similar geochemical properties and have been used extensively to trace geochemical processes in natural systems because they possess similar atomic radii and oxidation states (Åström, 2001; Welch et al., 2009). Because yttrium exhibits similar properties to the lanthanide family, it is also considered as one of this group of elements (Liu and Byrne, 1995; Tyler, 2004). Recently, the usage of REE has been increasing rapidly in industrial and agricultural applications (Wang and Liang, 2015; Zhang and Shan, 2001). Therefore, the biogeochemical cycles of REE and their ecological and environmental effects are greatly concerned by many researchers (Liu et al., 2016; Martin-Garcia et al., 2016). Due to chemical weathering of the primary minerals in rocks, the re-distribution of REE in weathering products has been the subject of attention for the past several decades (Hill et al., 2000; Patino et al., 2003). While REE may accumulate on particulates or in certain size fractions of nano-colloids (Moraetis et al., 2011; Neubauer, 2013), it may lead to fractionation of specific elements in particulate phases. REE fractionation has been observed within specific soil horizons (Germund, 2004; Stille et al., 2006) and in carbonate dominated areas (Johannesson et al., 1999). Under more alkaline conditions (pH > 6), naturally occurring nano-colloids have strong binding capacity for REE (Marsac, 2013; Quinn et al., 2006). Regarding REE migration, some studies have shown that REE are immobile during surface processes (Szczechanski and Ilnicki, 2014). However, some researchers have found that the mobility of REE was controlled by pH values (Han et al., 2009; Pazand and Javanshir, 2014) and REE was generally believed to be mobile at a lower pH value (Han and Liu, 2007; Migaszewski et al., 2014). However, there is
a paucity of study on the mobility of REE in soils influenced by different land uses strategies.

In karst regions of the Southwest (SW) China, the degradation of ecosystems has led to many ecological problems, such as Karst Rocky Desertification. Locally high permeability at shallow depths in mature karst regions has caused an ecosystem associated with a soilless and water-scarce surface environment (LeGrand, 1973). The different land uses and land cover of a given region can provide an environmental gradient to elucidate REE vertical transporting processes. However, only a few studies have investigated the changes of REE in modern soils at different depths and under different types of land use in karst areas (Han et al., 2015; Zhu and Liu, 2006)). The Maolan National Nature Reserve Park in the southeastern region of Guizhou Province is a typical forested area in SW China. Agricultural activity is one of the most important human inputs of potentially hazardous REE in this area. Since agricultural soil has both direct and indirect influences on public health via food production, it is of great importance to have a decent understanding of the accumulation and the spatial variability of REE in soils (Nakamaru et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang and Shan, 2001).

This study explored the distribution pattern of REE in soil profiles along different landscapes in a karst catchment in SW China. The nature of plant cover (forest, grass and crops) affects pedogenetic weathering and changes the soil organic matter and REE properties. Thus, in this work, the spatial variability (structure) of the soil variables and its controlling factors (soil parent material and agricultural practices) were investigated to explore the relationship between the REE characteristics in soils and soil properties (pH and organic matter (OM), Liu et al. 2003; Pardo et al. 2013).
This study aims to analyze the total REE contents in the typical karst ecosystem, to determine the potential effects of REE, and to assess REE ecological risk in soil using the enrichment factors.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study area is located in the southeastern Guizhou Province (25°09′20″–25°20′50″N and 107°52′10″–108°05′40″E), which is approximately 200 km² (Fig. 1). The region is controlled by a subtropical and monsoonal climate, with a mean annual temperature of 17 °C and a mean annual precipitation of 1750 mm. The rock exposures in the study area are sedimentary formations of limestone, dolomite, sandstone, and clay of the Early to Middle Carboniferous period (Han et al. 2015).

Samples collection and Analysis

The sampling sites were chosen under different land uses within a small karst catchment in 2007 (Han et al. 2015). Soil samples were collected at intervals of 10 cm from the surface to the boundary of soil and rock. A total of 55 soil samples were collected. Soil samples were collected from the park to represent the chronosequences of deforestation and land use changes. The sampling strategy was designed to collect representative data from various landforms, land use types, and areas with different conversion histories to cover a range of soil conditions. The sampling areas were selected based on field surveys and interviews with farmers and local experts. Five types of soil profiles were chosen for sampling during the summer of 2007. In addition to the virgin forest site, one sample site had been converted to intensive
maize cropping, one site had been converted to shrub land, and two sites had been converted to grassland in recent decades. The visible characteristics of the studied profiles are shown in Han et al. (2015). The grassland (GL), shrub land (SL), burnt grassland (BGL), and virgin forest land (VFL) species profiles mainly contained C₃ plants. Maize, an important food crop that uses C₄-photosynthesis, was grown in the farmland (FL) profile.

Soil samples were air dried and then sufficiently disaggregated by passing through a 2 mm sieve. Then, soil samples were entirely ground into powder in an agate mortar and sieved (mesh size, 75 µm). The powdered samples were then dried in an oven at 105°C for 3 h before digestion. The methods used to digest the sample powders are as follows (Roy and Smykatz-Kloss, 2007; Tang et al., 2015):

1) 100 mg sample powder was digested with 1 ml HF and 2 ml HNO₃ in a PFA sample jar (Savillex, US) at 140 °C for 7 d.
2) Samples were re-dissolved in 1 ml HF and 2 ml HNO₃ using the same treatment as in step 1. This process was repeated until the solution became clear.
3) After the samples were completely digested, 2 ml HNO₃ (1:1) was added two times to break up fluorine compounds. Then, samples were dried and vaporized on a hot plate.
4) Finally, the digested remainder was dissolved in a 100 ml volumetric flask, using 2% HNO₃.

The REE concentrations of the digested solutions were analyzed using an Agilent 7500a ICP-MS at the State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, China. Quality control was performed using the national standard reference material of China (GBW07427). The testing error was
±3%. Analytical precision was estimated to be < 5% for the heavy metals, based on
duplicate analyses of samples and standards.

**Data treatment**

Since REE concentrations are naturally variable, the variation in REE compositions between samples was assessed using the convention of concentrations normalized to post-Archaean Australian shale (Mclennan, 1989). Although normalizing data against a reference specific to the study system is preferred, such information is often unavailable. For the absence of a system specific reference, PAAS is widely used for normalizing REE concentrations (Laveuf and Cornu, 2009).

We selected and calculated six REE variables, including ΣREE, light REE (LREE), middle REE (MREE), heavy REE (HREE), Ce anomaly, and Eu anomaly. ΣREE is the sum of the 15 REE. LREE includes La, Ce, Pr, Pm, Sm; MREE includes Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy; and HREE includes Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er (Germund, 2004). The deviation of Ce and Eu relative to the neighboring elements is usually defined as the Ce and Eu anomalies. Ce and Eu anomalies (Sholkovitz et al. 1994; Han and Liu 2009) are defined as follows:

\[
\text{Ce anomaly} = 2 \times \frac{(\text{Ce}_{\text{N}})/(\text{La}_{\text{N}} + \text{Pr}_{\text{N}}))}{\text{Eu anomaly} = 2 \times \frac{(\text{Eu}_{\text{N}})/(\text{Sm}_{\text{N}} + \text{Gd}_{\text{N}}))}{\text{La}_{\text{N}}, \text{Pr}_{\text{N}}, \text{Eu}_{\text{N}}, \text{Sm}_{\text{N}}, \text{Gd}_{\text{N}}}
\]

where \(\text{Ce}_{\text{N}}, \text{La}_{\text{N}}, \text{Pr}_{\text{N}}, \text{Eu}_{\text{N}}, \text{Sm}_{\text{N}}, \text{Gd}_{\text{N}}\) represent the concentrations of the respective REE in the PAAS. The Ce anomaly represents the deviation of the Ce concentrations from those expected by linear interpolation between the concentrations of La and Pr in the PAAS-normalized REE pattern of the samples. The Eu anomaly represents the deviation of the Eu concentrations from those expected by linear interpolation between the concentrations of Sm and Gd in the PAAS-normalized REE pattern of the
samples. It is easy to distinguish the deviation (fractionation) of Ce and Eu from the expected (trivalent) REE. The negative Ce (Eu) anomalies or positive Ce (Eu) anomalies are defined as values of less or greater than 1, respectively.

Results and discussion

REE contents in soil

REE concentrations of soils and bedrock are listed in Table S1. The statistical parameters (six REE variables) are also shown. We can see that the REE contents of these soils samples are relatively low. The REE concentrations of most soil samples are lower than 100 mg/kg, while the REE contents of most samples from the surface soil are higher than those from the soil and rock boundary, such as the sample from the surface soil of the SL soil profiles (102.63 mg/kg). The ΣREE in this area is much lower than the average value in China (181 mg/kg, Hu et al., 2006), slightly higher than the REE in Japan (98 mg/kg; Yoshida et al., 1998), and similar to that in Australia (105 mg/kg, Diatloff et al., 1996).

There is no significant difference of the REE contents among the five soil profiles, except the VFL, which were characterized by relatively low total REE concentrations, particularly at the surface (11.52 mg/kg, Table S1). The changes in the total REE concentration with depth varied in a narrow range in each of the five soil profiles. The total REE concentrations decreased or increased in general with depth (Fig. 2). The REE content of surface soil in SL is the highest value.

The soil pH value is an important factor influencing the adsorption of REE. The adsorption of REE generally increases with increasing soil pH values, because the surface of the soil particles is charged with more OH⁻ ions and dissolved REE ions
can easily form complexes. It can be seen that pH is positively correlated to the REE contents (Fig. 3).

**REE fractionation**

Although most soil samples show differences in the absolute concentration of REE, they have similar PAAS-normalized patterns. The PAAS-normalized pattern allows one to identify, within the typical sedimentary REE patterns, an enrichment or deficiency of a single element or group of elements (Henderson, 1984). PAAS-normalized REE fractionation patterns are shown in Fig. 4 for the total soil samples. It is clear that these soil samples do not show a flat PAAS-normalized REE pattern. The PASS-normalized ratio of La/Yb is a common indicator to demonstrate the depletion of LREE over HREE. From Table S1 and Fig. 4, it can be seen that the (La/Yb)N < 1 for all soil samples in all soil profiles, indicating that HREE enrichment is a dominant feature of the fractionation patterns in all soil profiles. In addition, the variations of REE fractionation patterns along the vertical profiles do not increase with depth, which demonstrated that there exists a pronounced inheritance between the bedrock and residual soil.

**Ce and Eu Anomalies**

Due to their special electron configuration in the outer shell, Ce and Eu occur as Ce (IV) and Eu (II). Most of the calculated Ce anomalies of our samples are positive (Ce* = 1.4, Table S1). Slight negative Eu anomalies are also found in soil samples from all profiles (Eu = 0.94, Table S1).

Table S1 and Fig. 5 show a consistent positive Ce anomaly (Ce anomalies > 1.4) in most of the soil samples from the soil profiles. This might be caused by Ce form Ce
oxide hydrate retention in the surface profile in a hot and humid environment such as
the Maolan catchment, migrate to the lower soil profile during the weathering
processes, and enrich Ce in the soil samples. Usually, Eu depletion is inherited from
bedrock rather than a result of surficial processes (Perri et al. 2013). The Eu does not
show a significant positive anomaly (most Eu anomalies are about 1) because Eu$^{2+}$
released during weathering is oxidized to Eu$^{3+}$ and it hence behaves like other
trivalent REE (Taylor and McLennan 1985).

Organic matter is abundant in the shrubbery (SL) and grassland (GL) soils. Numerous
studies investigated the influence of natural organic matter on REE retention and
fractionation (Pourret et al., 2008). Pourret et al. (2008) demonstrated that REE were
strongly complexed by humic substances at a near-neutral pH. As such, REE sorption
to solid-phase humic substances is likely to have influence on REE behavior in the
organic-rich soils examined in this study.

Y/Ho

In the process of weathering, the complex ability of Ho to coordinate with
organic material or $\text{HCO}_3^-$ is greater than that of Y, and Y shows a stronger tendency
to be adsorbed onto solid particles in the process of water/rock interaction (Kawabe et
al., 1991). Ho is more easily lost due to leaching, resulting in an increase in the Y/Ho
ratios of weathering profiles. The non-chondritic Y/Ho molar ratios in soil samples
ranged from 26 to 81, with an average value of 42. Most of them are lower than the
value of the continental rocks (Y/Ho = 52; (Nozaki et al., 1997), and slightly higher
than those of the source rock (Y/Ho = 39; (Li et al., 1998). This may suggest the
fractionation between these two elements due to weathering. Figure 6 shows that
Y/Ho ratios for most samples are higher than those of the source rocks and continental
rocks, and Y/Ho is positively related to La/Yb, suggesting that Ho is more rapidly removed from the water relative to Y. It is likely that Y distinctly deviated from Ho due to the competitive reactions during weathering processes (Byrne and Lee, 1993).

Conclusions

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the geochemical behavior of REE in a typical karst alkaline environment. Compared with the research results of other regions, the patterns of REE in soils have a distinct regional characteristic in karst area. The contents of REE remain low (< 100 mg/kg). The results of this study reveal a strong positive correlation between the concentrations of the REE enrichment and pH. The PAAS-REE normalized patterns showed that the HREE are enriched relative to the LREE. Y/Ho is positively related to the La/Yb, suggesting that Y distinctly deviates from Ho due to the competitive reactions during weathering processes. This suggests that a common soil fraction influences the enrichment of HREE relative to LREE. In alkaline systems, the stability constant for REE – organic complexes is greater for HREE than LREE. Compared with the research results of other regions, the patterns of REE in soils have a distinct regional characteristic in karst areas.
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Figure captions:

Fig. 1 The distribution of various land use types with sampling sites in Guizhou Province, Southwest China

Fig. 2 Distribution of rare earth elements contents in the profile

Fig. 3 Relationships between rare earth elements contents and pH

Fig. 4 Fractionation patterns of rare earth elements (post-Archaean Australian shale normalized) in soil profiles from the five land uses studied in Guizhou Province, SW China

Fig. 5 Ce anomaly and Eu anomaly versus soil organic carbon (SOC)
SOC data from Han et al., 2015

Fig. 6 Figure showing the covariation of Y/Ho versus La/Yb
Fig. 4