Environmental Reviews

Dossiers environnement

Fido, Fluffy, and wildlife conservation: The environmental consequences of domesticated animals

Journal:	<i>Environmental Reviews</i>
Manuscript ID	er-2016-0111.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-May-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Twardek, William; Carleton University Department of Biology, Biology Peiman, Kathryn; Carleton University Department of Biology, Biology Gallagher, Austin; Carleton University, Department of Biology and Institute of Environmental Science; Beneath the Waves, ; University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science Cooke, Steven; Carleton University Department of Biology, Biology
Keyword:	domesticated, animals, wildlife, pets, environment

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Fido, Fluffy, and wildlife conservation: The environmental consequences of domesticated animals

William M. Twardek^{1,*}, Kathryn S. Peiman¹, Austin J. Gallagher^{1,2,3}, and Steven J. Cooke¹

¹ 4440S Carleton Technology and Training Centre 1125 Colonel By Dr, Fish Ecology and Conservation Physiology Laboratory, Department of Biology and Institute of Environmental Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada

² Beneath the Waves, Inc., Miami, FL, USA

³ Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA

*Author for Correspondence

William Twardek

Email: william.twardek@gmail.com

Phone: +1 (613) 986-3786

WORD COUNT: 8255

Twardek, W.M., Peiman, K.S., Gallagher, A.J., and Cooke, S.J. 2016. Fido, Fluffy, and wildlife conservation: The environmental consequences of domesticated animals. *Environ. Rev.* 00:00-00.

Abstract

Humans have created a strong relationship with cats and dogs by domesticating them. Whether owned by a human, or feral, modern domestic cats and dogs interact extensively with people and the environment. The negative interactions between these domesticated animals and wildlife have been discussed in several reviews, but few reports have provided an overview of both the positive and negative impacts both these domesticated animals have on wildlife conservation. Here, we describe the diverse issues associated with domestic cats and dogs and wildlife including predation, competition, pathogen transmission, hybridization, behavioural modification, harvest of wild animals for pet food, and creation of human-wildlife conflict. We then discuss their role in supporting conservation efforts (e.g., use in species identification and tracking, biological control), and shaping our social values towards animals and appreciation for nature. Finally, we suggest necessary steps to harmonize our relationship with cats and dogs, and the conservation of wildlife. For owned animals, there is potential for pet owners to support conservation efforts through a ‘pet tax’ adopted by veterinary clinics and pet stores to be used for wildlife conservation. Moreover, information regarding the impacts of these animals on wildlife and potential solutions (e.g., voluntarily keeping cats and dogs inside or use of “pet curfews”, use of bells to alert wildlife to cats) should be made available to owners who are most likely to have an influence on the behaviour of their companion animal.

Keywords

domesticated; animals; environment; wildlife; conservation; pets

41 Introduction

42 Domestic relationships among humans and wild animals date back to the last Ice Age
43 (Nobis 1979), with *Canis lupus* and *Felis silvestris* among the earliest animals domesticated. Our
44 relationship with dogs and cats has permeated our culture for thousands of years, with current
45 estimates suggesting that the dog was domesticated over 15,000 years ago (Driscoll and
46 Macdonald 2010), and the cat 9,500 years ago (Vigne et al. 2004). Historically, these animals
47 were revered as hunters, companions, and religious figures (Morris 1996; Clutton-Brock 1995)
48 and have now taken on roles as diverse as aiding those with disorders (Berry et al. 2013) to
49 assisting in conservation (Kerley and Salkina 2007). Given their close association with humans,
50 it is not uncommon for companion animals to be treated like family members (Hart 1995).

51 The prevalence of these domestic animals worldwide is estimated to be between 500 to
52 987 million for dogs (Wandeler et al. 1993; Hughes and Macdonald 2013; Gompper 2013) and
53 448 to 752 million for cats (Dauphiné and Cooper 2009), suggesting there is approximately 1
54 domestic cat or dog for every 5-8 people on earth. The sheer abundance of these animals creates
55 the potential to have both a large influence on humans and also the environment with which they
56 interact. The domestic dog evolved from an efficient hunter, the gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), and
57 still engages in pack hunting behaviour (MacNulty et al. 2014). Domestic dogs often target larger
58 prey and scavenge more often than cats (Campos et al. 2007). The domestic cat functions as a
59 mesopredator, and has remained extremely efficient at hunting (Woods et al. 2003). Domestic
60 cats usually kill prey < 2 kg in size (reviewed in Bonnaud et al. 2010) but have been recorded
61 killing mammals up to 4 kg (Fancourt 2015), and may kill without a metabolic purpose (as per
62 Dickman and Newsome 2015). However, the impacts of cats and dogs go beyond predation. A
63 growing number studies are acknowledging the diverse and widespread impacts these animals

have on the environment (Hughes and MacDonald 2013; Gompper 2014; Marra and Santella 2016; Medina et al. 2014; Young et al. 2011; Takahashi 2004; Vanak and Gompper 2009). As a result, cats have been listed in the top 100 of the world's most invasive species (Lowe 2000) while dogs are the most numerous carnivore in the world (Vanak and Gompper 2009; Gompper 2014) and are considered a global threat to biodiversity (Silva-Rodriguez and Sieving 2012; Young et al. 2011).

The impact that cats and dogs have on the environment largely depends on the extent to which they are allowed outdoors. While virtually all owned dogs go outside in a yard or on a leash to relieve themselves, many cats are restricted entirely to the indoors. Both taxa experience varying degrees of time outdoors, from limited outdoor access (fenced-in yards/parks or on a leash), to being allowed to freely roam (free-ranging outdoors, which includes strays and barn cats), to fully feral (less human reliance). In the United States alone the number of feral cats has been estimated to be 60-100 million (Jessup 2004). Here, we provide a concise overview of the environmental issues associated with cats and dogs and point out the roles they play in wildlife conservation. We then provide suggestions for owners and managers to minimize the impacts these animals have on wildlife. In this review, 'domestic animals' include all animals within *Canis familiaris* and *Felis catus* (as per the naming convention suggested by Gentry et al. 2004), while 'companion animals' refer to a subset of all 'domestic animals' that have owners. We acknowledge that there are issues associated with other types of pets (some of which have been domesticated while others have not) but the literature base is too small to warrant a narrative review (see Table 1 for a summary).

Environmental Issues

Direct consequences on biodiversity (predation/competition)

One of the most widely documented impacts of domestic cats and dogs on wildlife is predation, which is caused by both free-ranging and feral individuals (Dauphiné and Cooper 2009; Bonnaud et al. 2011). Estimates of total numbers of wild animals preyed by cats has numerous known issues, and while no numbers exist for dog predation, cat predation on birds has been estimated in several countries. Direct predation of birds by free-ranging and feral cats is estimated at 1.3-4 billion annually in the United States (Loss et al. 2013), 100-350 million annually in Canada (Blancher 2013), 5.4 million per month during the spring and summer in Great Britain (Woods et al. 2003), 0.1-0.3 million per month during spring in Switzerland (Tschanz et al. 2011), and 144,000 per month in Finland (Kauhala et al. 2015). This suggests that in some areas cats are the largest source of anthropogenic mortality for birds. Cat predation on mammals is estimated at 6.3-22.3 billion annually in the United States (Loss et al. 2013) while for all native animals in Australia the estimate is 2.8-4.9 million per year (Fougere 2000) and in Finland the estimate is 1 million per month (Kauhala et al. 2015). The taxa most impacted vary by location, season and habitat: most studies report the largest effects on birds and mammals/marsupials (Risbey et al. 2000; Catling 1988; Dickman 2009; Coman and Brunner 1972; Tschanz et al. 2011; Brickner-Braun et al. 2007; Yip et al. 2014; Barratt 1997), but others report more consumption of reptiles (Lloyd et al. 2013; Paltridge 2002) or invertebrates (Campos et al. 2007). Worldwide, cats on islands have been recorded to consume 248 animal species (Bonnaud et al. 2011), and they have directly caused the drastic reduction (e.g., the kakapo; *Strigops habroptilus*) and ultimately extinction of 33 species on islands, comprising 14% of modern global mammal, bird and reptile extinctions, and have contributed to the decline of an

additional 38 threatened island species (Medina et al. 2011; Nogales et al. 2013). In Australia cats have preyed on 400 vertebrate species, of which 28 are red-listed (Doherty et al. 2015). Though less studied, free-ranging dogs can have similar effects: they were strongly implicated in the extirpation of rock iguanas (*Cyclura carinata*) on the Caicos Islands (Iverson 1978), the Conga hutia in Cuba (Borroto-Paez 2009), and marine iguanas (*Amblyrhynchus cristatus*) in the Galapagos (Kruuk and Snell 1981).

Most studies report intraspecific variation in prey preference and predation rates, with some individuals not capturing any prey and a few individuals with high consumption rates of uncommon prey (Dickman 2009; Tschanz et al. 2011; Yip et al. 2014; Kauhala et al. 2015). This suggests that the behavioural composition of the local domestic animal assemblage will affect the abundance and diversity of prey taxa consumed and that this should be considered in management programs (Moseby et al. 2015; Dickman and Newsome 2015). In fact, even though cat densities were low, targeted hunting by individual cats was likely responsible for the reintroduction failure of hare-wallabies (*Lagostrophus fasciatus fasciatus* and *L. hirsutus*) (Hardman et al. 2016) and the western barred bandicoot (*Perameles bougainville*; Short 2016), while a single dog was estimated to have killed 500 individuals (over 50%) of a kiwi (*Apteryx australis mantell*) population (Taborsky 1988) in Australia.

Most studies of predation only account for actual deaths, and not for partial or missed predation attempts. Studies using recording devices on cats found that 43% to 68% of predation attempts were unsuccessful (Loyd et al. 2013; McGregor et al. 2015), while behavioural observations on cats noted a kill rate of only 13% (Kays and DeWan 2004). Cats are especially notorious for playing with prey, and dogs can harass more than they kill (Silva-Rodriguez and Sieving 2011; Holderness-Roddam and McQuillan 2014). Injured prey may escape or be left

behind and die later, and likely few are brought in to veterinarians or rehabilitation centers. A single rehabilitation facility in California received 1,015 animals with cat-caused injuries (30% of their total intake) of 36 species over 9 months (Jessup 2004), while cats accounted for 28.7% (98/341) of adult bats admitted to four rehabilitation centers in Italy over 3 years (Ancilloto et al. 2013). Bluetongue lizards (*Tiliqua scincoides*) brought in to rescue groups in Sydney, Australia were less likely to survive dog-related than cat-related injuries (25 vs 50%; Koenig et al. 2002), while dogs were responsible for more injured wildlife in Tasmania than were cats (Holderness-Roddam and McQuillan 2014). The sub-lethal effects of being chased by predators even when the prey escape direct injury are well known and include prey behavioural changes and physiological stress (Lima 1998; Clinchy et al. 2013), however, these effects are rarely quantified or considered.

The different effects on abundance and diversity between cats and dogs likely reflects their evolutionary diet preferences. Dogs are social and typically take a few, large meals, while cats are solitary and take many small meals (Bradshaw 2006). Thus, cats are more likely to impact both abundance and diversity: they take more prey, and small-bodied prey are comprised of more taxa. While most studies focus on the numbers of prey species consumed (Hawkins et al. 2004, Grayson and Calver 2004), cats have also been shown to reduce diversity (Dickman 2009). Several studies mention that rare or endangered taxa were consumed, but because they were consumed at lower rates than numerically dominant species, these effects often become buried in the data. Cats consume rare birds within state and globally important bird areas (Winter and Wallace 2006), and can cause the extirpation of local prey populations (Frank et al. 2014; Bamford and Calver 2012). When high predator density is maintained through human-supplemented food or abundant exotic prey (Courchamp et al. 2000; Bonnaud et al. 2011),

predators can have disproportionately strong effects on low-abundance prey (Spiller and Schoener 1998; Spencer et al. 2014) especially if prey suffer from Allee effects (Courchamp et al. 2008). Conservation efforts will be improved when the effects on prey with large populations are separated from the effects on sensitive prey (Loss et al. 2013).

The spatial habitat use and dietary preferences of domestic and wild species often overlap, resulting in the potential for competitive interactions between them (Vanak et al. 2013). However, the interaction between cats, dogs, and other canids and felids is complex, and current diet and habitat use may be a cause or consequence of interactions that include exploitative competition, interference competition, and predation. Dogs are believed to have the stronger direct effect (interference and predation) due to their active nature, pack formation, loud barks, and conspicuous scent marks (reviewed in Krauze-Gryz et al. 2012). For example, free-ranging dogs kill foxes, and foxes avoid dogs at small and large spatial scales (Vanak and Gompper 2010; Vanak et al. 2009), even though dogs show little diet overlap with foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*; Vanak and Gompper 2009). Dogs show higher dietary overlap with wolves, but wolves kill dogs and few studies exist on their spatial competition (Lescureux and Linnell 2014). Feral dogs and cats overlap spatially and reduce the presence of several small-bodied native carnivores in Madagascar, possibly because both feral species prey on the native carnivores, and the sheer abundance of these animals relative to wild individuals (Farris et al. 2015; Farris et al. 2014). Feral dogs are so similar to dingoes in Australia that the two are often combined as ‘wild dogs’ in studies of dietary and spatial overlap (Glen and Dickman 2008; Mitchell and Banks 2005), reducing our ability to partition species-specific effects. These ‘wild dogs’ and feral cats show high dietary overlap with each other and non-native foxes (spotted-tailed quolls, *Dasyurus maculatus*, a marsupial carnivore), but also show various types of resource and spatial

partitioning; additionally, dogs predate quolls and feral cats, while feral cats predate quolls (Glen and Dickman 2008). Free-ranging dogs and cats showed spatial and temporal differences in habitat use between themselves and native red foxes, presumably driven by avoidance of dogs by the other two species (Krauze-Gryz et al. 2012). Similarly, coyotes (*Canis latrans*) kill cats and therefore cause spatial habitat partitioning (Gehrt et al. 2013).

There is less evidence that dogs and cats are significant exploitative competitors with wild animals, and interference behaviors may reduce exploitation when they result in habitat partitioning. A study placing experimental carcasses on the periphery of an African wildlife reserve found that dogs were better scavengers than leopards (*Panthera pardus*), lions (*Panthera leo*), and spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) but were outcompeted during direct interactions (Butler and du Toit 2002). In fact, dogs may have a larger effect on other scavengers, as dogs chased vultures away from carcasses (Butler and du Toit 2002). Free-ranging dogs had low diet overlap with the endangered Ethiopian wolf (*Canis simensis*), but dogs harassed wolves (Atickem et al. 2010). Feral cats have high diet overlap with European wild cats but showed spatial and size-based resource partitioning (Biró et al. 2004), reducing exploitative competition. Cats have high diet overlap with dingoes (Paltridge 2002) but there is little evidence that the presence of dingoes reduces the abundance of cats, suggesting competition is not strong (reviewed in Allen et al. 2015). Given that domestic cats exist at hyper-abundant levels (Dauphiné and Cooper 2009) and that rodents are often a common prey item (Woods et al. 2003), it is conceivable that cats engage in exploitative competition for prey with the plethora of species that also consume rodents, such as birds of prey (George 1974), weasels, foxes (Catling 1998), raccoons, and snakes. However, population-level effects on these other predators are rarely documented. Thus, most evidence suggests domestic and wild felids and canids interact

more through predation than competition, and predation is theorized to have the stronger effect on community structure (Davis 2003; Ritchie et al. 2014). However, these studies rarely compare habitat use and diet before and after cat/dog invasion, or compare locations with and without cats/dogs, making it hard to tease apart local environmental effects from actual preferred habitat use, innate behaviours from learned avoidance, and plasticity from evolutionary responses. Competition and predation may also occur simultaneously, resulting in complex feedback mechanisms that make identifying relationships among taxa difficult.

Pathogen transmission

Due to their wide prevalence, domestic dogs and cats may act as a reservoir for numerous pathogens (Fitzwater 1994; Stone et al. 2016). Pathogens of domesticated cats and dogs are primarily (90%) multi-host (Cleaveland et al. 2001) and therefore have the potential to spill over to wild animals when outbreaks occur in domestic pets (Bryan et al. 2011; Woodroffe and Donnelly, 2012; Viana et al. 2015; Acosta-Jamett et al. 2015; Prager et al. 2012; Orozco et al. 2014). Transmission may occur directly during predation attempts and interbreeding as well as indirectly through feces and body fluids spread through the air or on non-living objects. Pathogens may also be transmitted through vector borne modes (e.g. parasites; Rizzo et al. 2015; del Amo et al. 1999; Otranto et al. 2015; Woodroffe et al. 2012). For example, pet travel appears to be introducing exotic species of ticks from the United States and Africa to the United Kingdom (Jameson et al. 2010), which could potentially result in pathogen outbreaks amongst humans and wildlife (Millán et al. 2016). There can be many complexities surrounding successful pathogen transmission, including social structure (Carver et al. 2016; Ghil et al. 2009; Conrad et al. 2005; Millán et al. 2016) and overall health of the host populations (Fung et al.

2014). Higher densities of domestic dogs within an area also facilitate the maintenance of pathogens (e.g. rabies virus) compared to low density dog groups (Cleaveland and Dye 1995). In lower density groups, the virus can be maintained depending on the state of the virus in the infected individuals (e.g. infectious carriers vs. slow-incubators; Cleaveland and Dye 1995). Transmission is also dependent on the life-histories of the pathogen (Galvani 2003). In Africa, rabies from domestic dogs has a relatively low basic reproductive rate, supporting the notion of eliminating rabies via mass vaccination efforts (Hampson et al. 2009).

The supposition that transmission occurs between domestic and wild animals is typically based off the identification of a pathogen in both domestic and wild individuals in an area where they co-exist, as it is often difficult to definitively identify the source of pathogens in wildlife. Interactions between domestic and wild carnivores can be frequent (e.g. villages surrounding the Serengeti National Park) creating the possibility for pathogen transmission to wildlife (Craft et al. 2017). Multi-host pathogens such as canine distemper virus (CDV), rabies virus (RV), canine parvovirus (CPV), and canine adenoviruses (CAV) can be transmitted from domestic dogs to wild species. CDV is a lethal and highly contagious virus transmitted by domestic dogs through bodily fluids either directly, indirectly in food or waste products in the environment, or through aerosol droplets (Shen and Gorham, 1980). Domestic dogs have been implicated in the spread of CDV to foxes, badgers (*Meles meles*), lesser grisons (*Galictis cuja*), and Iberian wolves (*Canis lupus signatus*; Acosta-Jamett, 2015; Di Sabatino et al. 2016; Megid et al. 2013; Mueller 2011). Additionally, long term research on lions (*Panthera leo*) in the Serengeti suggests that major CDV outbreaks in domestic dogs were initially responsible for infections in lions, but that other wildlife species became hosts which then maintained the pathogen's prevalence in the long-term (Viana et al. 2015). Domestic dogs and cats may also be a source of rabies to wildlife. Rabies is

spread through the saliva of an infected dog or cat when it bites another individual. Dogs, for example, are suggested to have transmitted rabies to at least 10 species of wild carnivores including the endangered African wild dog (*Lycaon pictus*; reviewed in Hughes and Macdonald 2013; Prager et al. 2012; Woodroffe et al. 2012). Rabies transmission to wildlife via cats has not been documented to the same extent as domestic dogs, although the frequency of rabies virus in stray cats can be moderate (14%) in some regions (Roseveare et al. 2009). Wild species are particularly susceptible to pathogens with high environmental stability such as CPV. Contact with domestic dogs is associated with greater CPV exposure in endangered species such as the African Wild Dog (Woodroffe et al. 2012), and can be fatal in gray wolf pups (Johnson et al. 1994). CPV can maintain prevalence greater than 50% in domestic dogs and cats with similarly high levels in wild species that co-exist spatially (Minakshi et al. 2016; Furtado et al. 2016; Suzán and Ceballos, 2005). In addition to CPV, the adenovirus (CAV) is a highly stable virus that is spread both direct and indirectly via bodily substances leading to canine hepatitis and potential death (Greene, 2006). CAV from domestic dogs has been documented in pampas foxes (*Lycalopex gymnocercus*) and crab-eating foxes (*Cerdocyon thous*) in wildlife reserves of Brazil (Monteiro et al. 2015).

The transmission of pathogens to wildlife is not restricted to dogs; it is also an issue for domestic cats. Outdoor fecal excretion is estimated at 10.7 kg per free-roaming owned cat per year (Dabritz et al. 2006), creating a high potential for pathogen exposure to wild animals. Indeed domestic cat feces facilitates the transmission of the multi-host parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* (Innes, 2010). *T. gondii* has a number of impacts on infected species from causing mortality in endangered Hawaiian geese (*Branta sandvicensis*; Work et al. 2015), reducing fertility in alpine red deer (*Cervus elaphus*; Formenti et al. 2015; Millán et al. 2016) and

271 facilitating behavioural changes in rats (the intermediate host) that increases their susceptibility
272 to predation by cats (Webster, 2007). *T. gondii* also has the potential to transmit to both aquatic
273 and terrestrial animals. For example, the pathogen was found in 52% of dead southern sea otters
274 (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) with the highest levels found in urban centres with freshwater runoff
275 (Conrad et al. 2005). Moreover, cats were deemed responsible for the transmission of *T. gondii*
276 to elk in the central Appalachians (*Cervus Canadensis*; Cox et al. 2016). The transmission of *T.*
277 *gondii* by domestic animals has impacted a number of species and has even hindered
278 reintroduction attempts of endangered species (Work et al. 2002). Despite the importance of *T.*
279 *gondii*, many other pathogens of domestic cats exist that are also being transmitted to wild
280 animals such as feline parvovirus (FPV). FPV-Infected cats have been found on the periphery of
281 protected areas creating a reservoir for the pathogen (Fiorello et al. 2004). FPV has caused
282 mortality in both Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) and wildcats (*Felis silvestris*) with the source
283 suggested to be domestic cats (Wasieri et al. 2009). The opportunity for spillover of FPV is high
284 given that it is transmitted indirectly through bodily fluids and is stable in the environment. Thus,
285 all domestic cats and dogs can potentially transmit parasites and pathogens to wild animals, as
286 even the waste material from indoor-only pets can be a source of infection, and any pet with
287 access outside can also be involved in direct or indirect transmission (Suzán and Ceballos, 2005).

288 Due to the social connection between humans and domesticated animals, we administer
289 antibiotics to our pets when illnesses occur (Pleydell et al. 2012). These antibiotics are increasing
290 the disease resistance of pathogens (Guardabassi et al. 2004) raising concerns over their spread
291 into the environment (Soulsby 2007; Geunther et al. 2011). It is suggested that the use of
292 antibiotics in pets may already be spreading resistant bacteria (*Escherichia coli* and other
293 *Enterococci* spp.) to small wild mammals (Geunther et al. 2010).

Pathogen transmission between domestic and wild animals is an important consideration and its reduction should be a top priority when endangered species become at risk of infection. Many of the previously mentioned pathogens have been detected in at-risk species including the American badger (*Taxidea taxus jacksoni*), Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*), African wild dogs, and Ethiopian wolf (Ethier et al. 2017; Millán et al. 2007; Laurenson et al. 1998; Woodroffe et al. 2012). Research aimed at understanding and reducing the spillover of these pathogens will be important to protect the health of humans, wild animals, and domestic pets (Child, 2007).

Feeding Fido and Fluffy

Millions of tonnes of pet food are imported and exported globally each year (FAO 2007). In developed countries, there is a growing demand for high quality pet food (Combelles 2004) that often includes a protein source in the form of raw or processed fish (Tacon et al. 2009), creating pressure on targeted fish stocks. High-end pet food options are now becoming increasingly popular in US-based markets, offering human-grade quality meats and organic ingredients at a premium price (Authors, direct observation). Pet food products that contain raw fish or fishmeal are typically made up of small pelagic forage fish including anchovies, herring, mackerel, and sardines (Tacon et al. 2009). It is estimated that 13.7 kg of forage fish are consumed per cat per year, equating to 2.48 million tonnes per year globally (Euromonitor 2007; Gooley et al. 2006; De Silva and Turchini 2008). This does not include the estimated 2.9 million tonnes of fishmeal used in dog, fur animal, and ornamental fish feed worldwide (De Silva and Turchini 2008; RSBO 2004). Pet food may also contain various products from highly threatened large marine fishes such as tuna (Armani et al. 2015) and sharks (Sakai 2007), and many pet food websites claim to use Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) as an ingredient even though

some of these species are threatened (COSEWIC 2011). Aside from these direct effects on wildlife, the fossil fuel emissions, habitat loss and fragmentation, and noise associated with pet food production and distribution also indirectly impact wildlife, although their quantification remains nebulous.

Hybridization

Mating between distinct species has occurred across numerous taxa within the animal kingdom (Bullini, 1994). Although interspecific mating is relatively uncommon, the likelihood of an interspecific mating event occurring is greater when stable mating pairs are disrupted (e.g. hunting mortality) and for individuals with minimal breeding experience (Bohling and Waits, 2015). Interspecific mating can reduce the reproductive potential and thus fitness of the hybridizing individuals, interfere with genetic integrity of the populations, and facilitate disease transfer (reviewed in Leonard et al. 2013). The loss of intraspecific mating opportunities with genetically pure individuals is particularly important in small populations including those that are threatened such as the Ethiopian wolf (Gottelli et al. 2013).

Interspecific mating can lead to viable hybrids, as chromosome number is shared between wild and domestic species of cats and dogs. In most cases, strong selection against these hybrids prevents their introgression into the population (Randi, 2011). However, successful cases of introgression exist. For example, following a severe bottleneck in a Bulgarian wolf population, nearly 11% of individuals showed evidence of introgression with feral dogs (Moura et al. 2014). Introgression also threatens endangered wildcats in Scotland, as they mate with both feral cats and their hybrid offspring (Kilshaw et al. 2016). In these small populations, maladaptive genes

introduced through hybridization with domestic animals can be maintained due to genetic drift and infrequent mating opportunities (Crow and Morton, 1955).

Hybridization between dogs and both gray wolves and coyotes (*Canis latrans*) has persisted throughout the dog's domestication, particularly in North America (Tsuda et al. 1997, Gompper 2002, Way et al. 2010). Dogs have also hybridized with the Iranian wolf (*Canis lupus pallipes*; Khosravi et al. 2013), Iberian wolf (*Canis lupus signatus*; Torres et al. 2016), dingo (*Canis lupus dingo*; Newsome and Corbett 1985) and the golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) (Galov et al. 2015). Hybridization has occurred in all dingo populations across Australia (Newsome and Corbett 1985) and in some areas only 17% of the population can be classified as a true dingo based on skull morphology (Jones 1990). Domestic cat hybridization has affected fewer wild species than domestic dogs, though hybridization with wildcats has threatened populations around the world (Nowell and Jackson 1996) and has made genetic tools necessary to determine the lineage of wild individuals (Daniels et al. 1998). Domestic cats also have low levels of hybridization with African wildcats (*Felis silvestris lybica*; Le Roux et al. 2015).

Hybridization with domestic animals has complicated the management of wild felids and canids. In Scotland, difficulty distinguishing pure wildcats from domestic cat hybrids has affected the captive breeding program and conservation of wildcats (Fredriksen 2016). In Australia, introgression with domestic dogs has made the designation of a wild dingo difficult, rendering protective legislation ineffective (Daniels and Corbett, 2003; Glen and Dickman 2008). Hybridization also raises ecological and ethical questions over the conservation of hybrids (Jackiw et al. 2015).

Agents of human-wildlife conflict

Human-wildlife conflict occurs when the presence of wildlife disrupts human wellbeing/livelihoods. Small pets are suitable prey for their larger, wild counterparts (e.g., cougars; *Puma concolor*, bobcats; *Lynx rufus*, wolves, coyotes) as well as other apex predators, and even pet food can attract wildlife to human civilizations (Rowley 1974; Timm et al. 2004). For example, pumas (*Puma concolor*) consume more domestic cats in areas of greater housing density, and they make up 19% of puma diet in suburban areas (Smith et al. 2016). High frequencies of attacks on pets have also been reported for American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) in the southern United States (Harding et al. 2006), with other common companion animal predators including coyotes (Poessel et al. 2013; Grubbs and Krausman 2009), red foxes (Plumer et al. 2014), and to a lesser extent crowned eagles (*Stephanoaetus coronatus*; McPherson et al. 2016). In some cases, predators may not need to be attracted to human areas to attack domesticated animals. In Wisconsin, wolf attacks on hunting dogs are the second most common type of wolf damage in the state (Treves et al. 2009). Free-ranging domestic animals have also been the source of conflict as they have been reported killing substantial numbers of game species. Domestic dogs on Polish hunting grounds were responsible for the death of 33,000 wild animals annually (Wierzbowska et al. 2016). In some extreme cases of human-wildlife conflict, persecution may be organized and encouraged such as mass annual wolf and fox hunts (Baker and Harris 2006; Sjölander-Lindqvist 2015) although threats to domesticated animals may not serve as the basis for these activities.

Behavioural changes

The behaviour of wild animals is largely dependent on the risk of predation (Dwyer 2004; Støen et al. 2015; Møller and Ibanez-Alamo 2012). For wildlife, the mere presence of predatory

domestic animals may result in behavioural changes, resulting in lowered reproductive success (Vitousek et al. 2014; Travers et al. 2010). For example, alarm calling in birds in response to the presence of cats can reduce their fecundity by attracting other nest predators such as corvids (Bonnington et al. 2013). Models reflecting the density of cats in the U.K. suggest even small reductions in fecundity caused by ‘fear’ can lead to substantial population declines in birds (Beckerman et al. 2007). The sound of dogs barking – which occurs even when dogs are leashed – lead to an increase in the time devoted to nest vigilance in coots (*Fulica atra*; Randler 2006). Harassment by dogs changed the spatial distribution of pudu (*Pudu puda*), a threatened species of deer (Silva-Rodriguez and Sieving 2012). Cats have increased the refuge-seeking behaviour and wariness of lava lizards (*Tropidarus* spp.) in the Galapagos (Stone et al. 1994) and wall lizards (*Podaris erhardii*) in Greece (Li et al. 2014), and have even been the source of selection for smaller body sizes which may affect fecundity (Stone et al. 1994).

Wildlife may also respond to the presence of domestic animal excretion. In response to cat urine and feces, both mountain beavers (*Aplodontia rufa*) and house finches (*Haemorrhous mexicanus*) decreased feeding behaviour (Epple et al. 1993; Roth et al. 2008) while rodents exhibited signs of anxiety (Brachetta et al. 2015), yet stoats (*Mustela erminea*) were attracted to the scent (Garvey et al. 2016). Two native small mammals did not change behaviour in response to dog feces (Banks et al. 2002), but bandicoots (*Perameles nasuta*) avoided backyards of houses with dogs even when dogs were inside (Carthey and Banks 2012). Although the consequences of these sub-lethal behavioral effects may seem comparatively minor relative to the immense predation by pets on wildlife (Dauphiné and Cooper 2009), perceived predation risk among vertebrate prey can have pronounced impacts at the population level (Boonstra et al. 1998; Beckerman et al. 2007). Furthermore, a woodland community experienced a 35% decrease in

bird diversity and 41% decrease in bird abundance when exposed to dogs being walked (Banks and Bryant 2007), though three mammal species avoided dogs temporally but not spatially, suggesting little impact on larger species (Parsons et al. 2016).

Social Dimensions

It is well known that the relationship between an owner and their companion animal can take on a deep emotional connection, to the extent that the animal is treated like family (Hart 1995). This strong human-animal relationship influences people's attitudes and beliefs towards wildlife, as the ownership of a pet is positively associated with a person's appreciation, understanding, and connectedness towards nature (Nisbet et al. 2009). Further, children with pets show greater knowledge of and positive attitudes towards both 'popular' and 'unpopular' animals than children without pets (Prokop and Tunnicliffe 2010). Ownership of a pet can increase the time spent in nature, which is related to a decreased fear of commonly feared animals (Prokop et al. 2011). Overall, positive attitudes towards nature increases the likelihood that pet owners will engage in environmentally respectful behaviours and be concerned about environmental issues (Schultz 2000). However, the attitudes of pet owners towards domestic animal-induced environmental issues often differ from those of non-pet owners. For example, predation of wildlife by cats is a well-documented issue, however, cat owners are less likely to agree that this is a problem (Hall et al. 2016a). Pet owners also tend to downplay the role of free-roaming cat feces on water quality compared to non-owners (Dabritz et al. 2006). In addition, Hall et al. (2016a) showed that owners were less likely to support cat legislation such as roaming and limits to ownership, although this was suggested as necessary to protect wildlife. Nonetheless, 80% of cat owners in Australia contain their cats at night with wildlife protection as one of their primary

concerns (Toukhsati et al. 2015). The impacts of pets on the environment can be intensified if owners believe their domesticated animal has a strong physical or emotional need to be outside (McLeod et al. 2015) because outside access increases the likelihood of depredation, disease transmission, and behavioural changes on wildlife. Additionally, many aspects of owning pets (i.e. purchasing high quality pet food, toys, clothing) may result in an increased carbon footprint.

In many cases the negative impacts on wildlife may be the purpose for having the domestic animal (Sepúlveda et al. 2014). For example, farmers protecting their chickens with guard dogs reported the highest levels of dog-wildlife interactions in rural Chile (Sepúlveda et al. 2014) and rodents foraged less when cats and dogs were used to protect agricultural fields (Mahlaba et al. 2017). Owned domestic dogs may be trained to protect livestock but free-roaming and feral dogs can do the opposite and predate upon farm animals. For example, feral dogs are efficient predators of various deer species and exhibit hunting efficiencies similar to that of wolves (Duarte et al. 2016). In areas where dogs and wild carnivores coexist, there is potential for the depredation of valued wild animals (livestock and game species) to be wrongfully attributed to the wild carnivore, resulting in unnecessary efforts at increased wildlife control. Humans have often taken advantage of dogs' proficient hunting behaviour. In Canada, long-term records indicate higher deer harvest when hunting with dogs (Godwin et al. 2013). In developing countries such as Nicaragua hunting dogs are an important asset to subsistence hunters (Koster, 2008). Though both livestock protection and hunting are detrimental to wild animals, these activities may improve the attitude of dog owners towards wildlife and the environment. A survey of farmers in South Africa indicated that tolerance towards cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*) increased when livestock was protected by a guard dog (Rust et al. 2013). In addition, wildlife recreationists (e.g. hunters) are 4-5 times more likely to support local conservation efforts,

enhance wildlife habitat, and participate in local environmental groups than non-recreationists (Cooper et al. 2015), although the extent that hunting dogs contribute to hunting and this conservation ethic is unknown.

Management of feral cats has been the source of ongoing debate with diverging preferences amongst conservationists, animal activists, and the public. The public's response to proposed management strategies appears to vary with their socioeconomic background. For example, respondents in Georgia, USA had almost equal support for cat sanctuaries (shelters), Trap-Neuter-Release (TNR), and euthanizing feral cats (Lloyd and Hernandez, 2015). Animal rights groups such as Alley Cat Allies and Best Friends Animal Society support the continued existence of feral cats through TNR programs and object to management of feral cat populations by euthanasia (Alley Cat Allies, 2017). The cat colony caretakers believe feral cats should be treated as protected wildlife and disagree that cats harm wild birds or carry diseases (Peterson et al. 2012). Cat owners have similar attitudes and generally disagree that cats are harmful to wildlife and so tend to only support TNR as a mitigation strategy (McDonald et al. 2015). TNR is even preferred by the Audubon Society, a group that is motivated to conserve bird populations (Wald et al. 2013), though other bird conservation professionals support euthanasia rather than TNR (Peterson et al. 2012). In Hawaii where the impacts of feral cats are severe, 78% of stakeholders and public respondents support the permanent removal of feral cats from the environment (Lohr and Lepczyk, 2014). TNR is often considered a more humane management approach which contributes to its popularity, despite returning cats back to the dangerous and unsanitary conditions they lived in prior to capture (Jessup, 2004). Euthanasia is considered a more humane alternative when feral cats are viewed as suffering and struggling to survive (Jessup, 2004), and is typically the most effective means of reducing feral cat population sizes (Lohr et al. 2013).

Alternative management strategies include the use of poisonous baits, which have been successful at controlling dingo/dog hybrids, although continuous baiting was needed to be effective (Allen, 2015). Feral cats are less likely to consume poison baits and it is suggested releasing typical cat prey with poisonous collars and injections may be better suited for their management (Read et al. 2015). Management strategies using poison baits are controversial as poison may also be ingested directly by many non-target wildlife species such as the threatened Tasmanian devil (*Sarcophilus harrisii*; Buckmaster et al. 2015) or indirectly when predators feed on poisoned prey (Vyas et al. 2017).

Any disagreement amongst stakeholder groups complicates management decisions, leading to ineffective control of feral cats and hindering conservation efforts for the wild species that they impact. Using decision analysis that incorporates the preferences of multiple stakeholder groups, it was suggested that TNR be implemented in small populations of cats (<50 cats) while euthanasia would be optimal in larger populations (Loyd and DeVore, 2010). Feral dogs are less of an issue in the United States, but are of growing concern in Australia. However their management is well established and has not been subjected to the same debate as management of feral cats in the USA (Department of Primary Industries, 2012).

Domestic Animals and Conservation

The potential role of domestic animals in conservation should not be overlooked despite the many negative impacts their populations pose to wildlife. Indeed, domestic animals are used by humans in a variety of ways that contribute to conservation initiatives. Trained dogs can detect wildlife more effectively than humans can (Woollett et al. 2013; Long et al. 20). Detection typically involves the identification of scat or feces (Oliviera et al. 2012), and has been used on a

variety of species including threatened mammals, reptiles, birds, and even insects (Smith et al. 2003; Cablk and Heaton 2006; Wasser et al. 2012; Zahid et al. 2012). These techniques are particularly valuable for the detection of large carnivores that have vast home ranges and low population numbers (Long et al. 2007). The identification of scat or feces and subsequent genetic analyses has been used to identify the presence of endangered (Arandjelovis et al. 2015) and invasive species (Savidge et al. 2011; Suma et al. 2014), ultimately contributing value and efficiency to conservation and management strategies.

Historically, domestic animals were used as a means of biological control for invasive or pest species (Flux 1993; Wodzicki 1973). Cats and dogs have effectively removed small rodents and rabbits from islands (Wodzicki 1973; Parkes et al. 2014; Flux 1993) and cats can increase nest success of some birds by consuming invasive rats which are egg predators (the ‘mesopredator release effect’; Dickman 2009; Courchamp et al. 1999). The release of cats and dogs has associated ecological risks, and there may be a subsequent need for the eradication of the introduced domestic animals (Veitch 2000). To minimize long lasting consequences, domestic animals used for biological control could be sterilized prior to release (Hood et al. 2000). Somewhat ironically, detection dogs are being used to detect feral cats as part of cat management strategies (Glen et al. 2016).

Seeking Harmony

Though it is challenging to weigh the indirect benefits of improved environmental values stemming from pet ownership (see Table 2) against the direct impacts of domestic animals on the environment, it is clear that the environment would be better off without free-ranging or feral domestic animals. As the species responsible, we need to understand and manage this impact.

The largest impact of domestic animals occurs when they are outdoors, and it will vary depending on where and for how long this is allowed. Populations of free-roaming domestic animals have most easily expanded in areas where native species evolved without strong competitors and predators, and where domestic animals lack a natural predator (e.g. islands, human communities; Courchamp et al. 2003; Woods et al. 2003). Free-roaming domestic animals have the added advantage of access to human-provisioned food that can allow them to increase in abundance compared to native wildlife (Newsome et al. 2014; Morders et al. 2014). Additionally, free-ranging unowned cats engage in predation at a rate three times higher than owned cats (Loss et al. 2013). Roaming behaviour is at least partially affected by habitat, as free-roaming dogs tend to stay within 200m of human households and move further in pasture versus forest habitat (Sepúlveda et al. 2015), and domestic cats roam less in areas of high housing density and roam further when they are younger (Hall et al. 2016b). Increased abundance, time spent outdoors, and use of natural habitats will result in more direct and indirect interactions with wildlife and the greater potential for predation, competition, behavioural modification, pathogen transmission, and hybridization between domestic and wild animals. Many of these interactions can occur simultaneously, such as domestic cats spreading disease and hybridizing with wildcats (Sarmiento et al. 2009), likely limiting the ability of wildcats to re-establish. Other factors such as the life-histories of pathogens and wild species affected will also influence the degree of damage imposed by domesticated animals (Galvani, 2003; McKinney, 1997). We as humans must understand the drivers of domestic animal impacts so we can minimize their damage to wildlife.

There are two main areas where the impacts of domestic animals can be improved by human management. The first lies with pet owners, who can choose to engage in several

strategies that will reduce associated environmental consequences. The most obvious is to keep cats and dogs strictly indoors. This is unlikely to ever happen for dogs, and so keeping control of the dog on a leash, allowing off-leash time only in designated areas, and being mindful of wildlife will all reduce the direct impact of dogs. Dogs and cats that have access to the outdoors should be kept well fed so they will be less likely to consume prey (Silva-Rodriguez et al. 2011), though this strategy may not be as effective for cats as hunting can continue even when food is provided (Adamec, 1976). Pet owners may also consider clipping their animal's nails to reduce their hunting ability. If cats are allowed outside, bells or sonar devices on their collar can reduce predation rates on birds and mammals, though these are less effective in deterring herpetofauna and invertebrate predation (Ruxton et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2005; Gordon et al. 2010). Newer devices, such as CatBibs, are more effective at reducing free-ranging predation by cats on most vertebrate groups (birds 81%, mammals 45%, herpetofauna 33%) (Calver et al. 2007) while other devices, such as Birdsbesafe, reduced predation of prey with colour vision (birds and herpetofauna) by 47% (Hall et al. 2015). Pets should be contained indoors over night to reduce the time they can interact with wildlife, and although this reduces the number of mammals caught it increases the number of herpetofauna caught (Woods et al. 2003). Sterilization will also help reduce the sheer number of pets (Algar et al. 2011). Finally, ensuring timely vaccination and removal of outdoor pet feces will reduce the chance of disease transmission to wild animals. Proper adherence to these recommendations (Figure 1) would provide owners with the social benefits of having a pet while minimizing the social costs and environmental issues.

The local government and wildlife protection agencies can also assist in reducing the impacts of pet ownership. Devices can be used to restrict the access of cats to ecologically sensitive areas, such as ultrasonic deterrents (Nelson et al. 2006). The location of off-leash areas

should be chosen to protect sensitive wildlife from the visual, chemical and auditory stress of domestic animal presence, and dogs can be restricted in areas with sensitive animals (Miller et al. 2001; Langston et al. 2007) which can be very effective (Parsons et al. 2016). Legislation may also be necessary, such as a mandatory “pet curfew” overnight (Grayson and Calver 2004), limits on the number of pets owned, or bans on ownership near ecologically sensitive areas (Thomas et al. 2012). Owners could be provided information on pet-environment interactions and be recommended ways to minimize impacts, distributed through vet clinics and pet stores. Pet food could be accompanied by printed material outlining recommendations to reduce their pet’s harm on the environment, or a small pet tax could be applied each time you register or adopt a pet, visit the veterinarian, or buy pet food, to support conservation initiatives. Adherence to and support for these recommendations will depend on many factors (Lilith et al. 2006). For example, the majority of cat owners in Victoria, Australia contained their cats to a property at night, but not during the day (Toukhsati et al. 2015). Containment of cats was motivated by both protection of wildlife and cats from injury, suggesting that further education highlighting the advantages of containment on cat welfare could increase adherence. Australian cat owners also recognize the need for compulsory sterilization, cat registration, containment, and a maximum number of cats per property (Grayson et al. 2002). Even in areas where free-ranging cats are kept to control pests (e.g. farms), owners agreed they would support population control if they perceived threats to native wildlife (Coleman and Temple, 1993).

The second area where the impacts of domestic animals can be improved by human management is the control of feral populations. This is undoubtedly necessary in some locations, and criteria exist for determining the most at-risk areas (Dickman et al. 2010). Island eradications have been attempted at least 87 times and are largely successful, but sometimes

have unintended consequences (Campbell et al. 2011). Sound science will aid in the management of feral populations (Doherty et al. 2015; Doherty et al. 2016; Doherty and Ritchie 2016; Sotskopf and Nutter 2004), as sometimes well-intentioned efforts to help wildlife in the presence of domestic animal interactions have unforeseen results (e.g. Alterio et al. 1998). This is complicated when highly abundant non-native prey is present, as removal of cats may increase those populations causing more intense competition or predation on the native fauna (Medina and Nogales 2009). TNR or euthanize programs (Lohr et al. 2013) and poisonous prey or baits (Fleming 1996; Read et al. 2016) may be necessary to prevent growth of feral populations.

Though domestic pets have many negative effects on individuals of other species as outlined here, it is less clear whether these translate into population-level declines (Thomas et al. 2012; Risbey et al. 2000). However, a view that only population-level impacts are important means that cats are valued as individuals, while wildlife is only valued as a population (Longcore et al. 2009). In either case, it may be wise to adopt the precautionary principle (Calver et al. 2011) due to the large effects already documented and the numerous other effects that are beginning to emerge. It is interesting that many species of wildlife, while protected from illegal harvest from humans, receive no such protection when human's pets are responsible (Jessup 2004).

Many wild felids and canids are at considerable risk across the world (Macdonald and Sillero-Zubiri 2005; Loveridge et al. 2010). In contrast, domestic dogs and cats are numerous and widespread due to their association with humans. Pet owners have a deep compassion towards *Canis familiaris* and *Felis catus* (Hart 1995), which could be directed into conservation effort for their wild counterparts. It is important that interactions with companion animals are

encouraged to enhance people's appreciation for animals, while attempting to minimize the impacts of these companion animals on wildlife.

Conclusions

As we are now in the Anthropocene, there is a strong impetus to reduce the global human footprint on our natural ecosystems. As a society, we commonly target obvious things like diet, transport, and energy use, but there are many overlooked aspects of our daily lives that have real-world environmental impacts. Here we focused on domesticated cats and dogs but acknowledge that there are also many issues associated with other types of pets (some of which have been domesticated while others are simply kept in captivity; Table 1). Moving forward, domesticated animals of all types (but especially dogs and cats) should be a greater part of that conversation, considering these animals are equipped to prey on and compete with wildlife, spread disease, hybridize with wild animals, modify prey behaviour, and trigger human-wildlife conflict. These same domesticated animals can benefit conservation through an increased awareness of and appreciation for nature and wildlife, be used in species identification and tracking, and be used as biological control agents. Considering that 2.6 million cats and dogs are euthanized at shelters in the US every year (e.g., ASPCA), pet stores should be encouraged to only advertise dogs or cats that are from a shelter or rescue organization. Indeed, this is now legally mandated in over 80 jurisdictions across North America (e.g., Best Friends.org), and should help shelters have the space to take more abandoned and feral pets off the streets. Information regarding the impacts of these animals on wildlife and potential solutions should be made available to owners who are most likely to have an influence on the behaviour of their companion animal. We encourage

637 those who want more information on various topics covered here to seek out more detailed
638 reviews and books (e.g. Gommper 2014, Hughes and MacDonald, 2013; Marra and Santella,
639 2016; Medina et al. 2014; Young et al. 2011; Vanak and Gompper 2009).
640

Draft

641 **Acknowledgements**

642 Funding was provided by NSERC (CGS-M to W. Twardek and DG to S. Cooke) and the Canada
643 Research Chairs Program. Thanks to the pets (Misa, Saucy, Ginger, Calli, and Charlie) and their
644 owners for providing the photographs used in this manuscript.

Draft

References

- Acosta-Jamett, G., Cunningham, A.A., Bronsvoort, B.M.D., and Cleaveland, S. 2015. Serosurvey of canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus in wild canids and domestic dogs at the rural interface in the Coquimbo Region, Chile. *Euro. J. of Wild. Res.* **61**(2):329–332
- Adamec R. 1976. The interaction of hunger and preying in the domestic cat (*Felis catus*): An adaptive hierarchy? *Behav. Biol.* **18**(2):263-272.
- Algar D, Hilmer S, Nickels D, and Nickels A. 2011. Successful domestic cat neutering: first step towards eradicating cats on Christmas Island for wildlife protection. *Ecol. Manage. and Restor.* **12**(2):93-101.
- Allen, B.L., Allen, L.R., and Leung, L.K.P. 2015. Interactions between two naturalised invasive predators in Australia: are feral cats suppressed by dingoes?. *Biol. Invasions* **17**(2):761-776.
- Allen, L.R. 2015. Demographic and functional responses of wild dogs to poison baiting. *Ecolog. Manage. Conserv.* **16**(1):58-66. DOI: 10.1111/emr.12138
- AlleyCatAllies. 2017. Trap-Neuter_Return (TNR). Available at <https://www.alleycat.org/our-work/trap-neuter-return/> (accessed 25 April 2017).
- Alterio, N., Moller, H., and Ratz, H. 1998. Movements and habitat use of feral house cats *Felis catus*, stoats *Mustela erminea* and ferrets *Mustela furo*, in grassland surrounding yellow-eyed penguin *Megadyptes antipodes* breeding areas in spring. *Biol. Conserv.* **83**(2):187-194.

- 666 Alves, R.R.N., Ribamar de Farias Lima, J., and Araujo, H.F.P. 2013. The live bird trade in Brazil
667 and its conservation implications: an overview. *Bird. Conserv. Int.* **23**(1):53-65.
668 doi:10.1017/S095927091200010X
- 669 American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals. 2017. Pet statistics, in Shelter Intake
670 and Surrender (online):American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals,
671 accessed 30 March 2017.
- 672 Ancillotto, L., Serangeli, M.T., and Russo, D. 2013. Curiosity killed the bat: Domestic cats as bat
673 predators. *Mammal. Biol.* **78**(5): 369–373. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2013.01.003>
- 674 Arandjelovic, M., Bergl, R.A., Ikfuingei, R., Jameson, C., Parker, M., and Vigilant, L. 2015.
675 Detection dog efficacy for collecting faecal samples from the critically endangered Cross
676 River gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla diehli*) for genetic censusing. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **2**(2):140423.
677 <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140423>
- 678 Armani, A., Tinacci, L., Xiong, X., Castigliero, L., Gianfaldoni, D., and Guidi, A. 2015.
679 Fish species identification in canned pet food by BLAST and Forensically Informative
680 Nucleotide Sequencing (FINS) analysis of short fragments of the mitochondrial 16S
681 ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA). *Food Cont.* **50**(1):821-830.
682 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.10.018>
- 683 Atickem, A., Bekele, A., and Williams, S. D. 2010. Competition between domestic dogs and
684 Ethiopian wolf (*Canis simensis*) in the Bale Mountains National Park, Ethiopia. *African J.*
685 *of Ecol.* **48**(2):401–407. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2009.01126.x>
- 686 Baker, P.J. and Harris, S. 2006. Does culling reduce fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) density in commercial
687 forests in Wales, UK? *European J. of Wildlife Res.* **52**(2):99-108. doi:10.1007/s10344-005-
688 0018-y

- 689 Bamford, M., and Calver, M.C. 2012. Cat predation and suburban lizards: a 22 year study at a
690 suburban Australian property. *Open Conserv. Biol. J.* **6**(1):25-29.
- 691 Banks, P., Nelika, K., Hughes, A., and Rose, T. 2002. Do native Australian small mammals avoid
692 faeces of domestic dogs? Responses of *Rattus fuscipes* and *Antechinus stuartii*. *Austral.*
693 *Zool.* **32**(3):406-409.
- 694 Banks, P.B., and Bryant, J.V. 2007. Four-legged friend or foe? Dog walking displaces native birds
695 from natural areas. *Biol. Lett.* **3**(6):611–613. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2007.0374
- 696 Barratt, D. Predation by house cats, *Felis catus* (L.), in Canberra, Australia. 1997. Prey
697 Composition and Preference. *Wildlife Res.* **24**(3):263-277.
- 698 Beckerman, A., Boots, M., and Gaston, K. 2007. Urban bird declines and the fear of cats. *Animal*
699 *Conserv.* **10**(3):320-325.
- 700 Berry, A., Borgi, M., Francia, N., Alleva, and E., Cirulli, F. 2013. Use of assistance and
701 therapy dogs for children with autism spectrum disorders: a critical review of the current
702 evidence. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* **19**(2):73-80. doi:10.1089/acm.2011.0835
- 703 Biró, Z., Szemethy, L., and Heltai, M. 2004. Home range sizes of wildcats (*Felis silvestris*) and
704 feral domestic cats (*Felis silvestris* f. *catus*) in a hilly region of Hungary. *Mammal. Biol. -*
705 *Zeitschrift Für Säugetierkunde.* **69**(5):302–310. <http://doi.org/10.1078/1616-5047-00149>
- 706 Blancher, Peter. 2013. Estimated number of birds killed by house cats (*Felis catus*) in
707 Canada. *Avian Conserv. and Ecol.* **8**(2). pp: 1-6.
- 708 Bohling, J.H., and Waits, L.P. 2015. Factors influencing red wolf-coyote hybridization in eastern
709 North Carolina, USA. *Biol. Conserv.* **184**:108–116.
710 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.013>

- 711 Bonnaud, E., Medina, F.M., Vidal, E., Nogales, M., Tershy, B., Zavaleta, E., Donlan, C.J., Keitt,
712 B., Le Corre, M., Horwath, S.V. 2011. The diet of feral cats on islands: a review and a call
713 for more studies. *Biol. Invasions* **13**(1):581-603. doi:10.1007/s10530-010-9851-
- 714 Bonnington, C., Gaston, K. J., and Evans, K. L. 2013. Fearing the feline: domestic cats reduce
715 avian fecundity through trait-mediated indirect effects that increase nest predation by other
716 species. *J. of Appl. Ecol.* **50**(1):15-24.
- 717 Boonstra, R., Hik, D., Singleton, GR., and Tinnikov, A. 1998. The impact of predator-
718 induced stress on the snowshoe hare cycle. *Ecol. Monographs* **68**(3):371-394.
719 doi:10.2307/2657244
- 720 Borroto-Páez, R. 2009. Invasive mammals in Cuba: an overview. *Biol. Invasions*. **11**(10):2279-
721 2290.
- 722 Brachetta, V., Schleich, C.E., and Zenuto, R.R. 2015. Short-term anxiety response of the
723 subterranean rodent *Ctenomys talarum* to odors from a predator. *Physiol. Behav.*
724 **151**(1):596-603. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.08.021
- 725 Bradshaw, J.W.S. The evolutionary basis for the feeding behavior of domestic dogs (*Canis*
726 *familiaris*) and cats (*Felis catus*). *J. Nutrition*. **136**(7):1927-1931.
- 727 Brickner-Braun, I., Geffen, E., and Yom-Tov, Y. 2007. The domestic cat as a predator of israeli
728 wildlife. *Israel J. Ecol. Evol.* **53**(2):129-142.
- 729 Bryan, H.M., Darimont, C.T., Paquet, P.C., Ellis, J.A., Goji, N., Gouix, M., and Smits, J.E. 2011.
730 Exposure to infectious agents in dogs in remote coastal British Columbia: Possible
731 sentinels of diseases in wildlife and humans. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* **75**(1):11-17. PMCID:
732 PMC3003557

- 733 Buckmaster, T., Dickman, C.R., and Johnston, M.J. 2014. Assessing risks to non-target species
734 during poison baiting programs for feral cats. PLoS One **9**(9): e107788.
735 <http://doi.org/10.1371/J.pone.0107788>
- 736 Bueno, I., Smith, K.M., Sampredo, F., Machalaba, C.C., Karesh, W.B., and Travis, D.A. 2016.
737 Risk prioritization tool to identify the public health risks of wildlife trade: the case of
738 rodents from Latin America. Zoo. Public Health **63**(4):281-293. doi:10.1111/zph.12228
- 739 Bullini, L. 1994. Origin and evolution of animal hybrid species. TREE. **9**(11):422-426.
740 [http://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(94\)90124-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90124-4)
- 741 Butler J, du Toit J. 2002. Diet of free-ranging domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) in rural Zimbabwe:
742 implications for wild scavengers on the periphery of wildlife reserves. Animal Conserv.
743 **5**(1):29-37.
- 744 Cablk, M.E., and Heaton, J.S. 2006. Accuracy and reliability of dogs in surveying for desert
745 tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). Ecol. Appl. **16**(5):1926-1935. PMID: 17069383
- 746 Calver, M.C., Grayson, J., Lilith, M., and Dickman, C.R. 2011. Applying the precautionary
747 principle to the issue of impacts by pet cats on urban wildlife. Biol. Conserv. **144**(6):1895-
748 1901.
- 749 Calver, M., Thomas, S., Bradley, S., and McCutcheon, H. 2007. Reducing the rate of predation on
750 wildlife by pet cats: The efficacy and practicability of collar-mounted pounce
751 protectors. Biol. Conserv. **137**(3):341-348.
- 752 Campbell, K.J., Harper, G., Algar, D., Hanson, C.C., Keitt, B.S., and Robinson, S. 2011. Review
753 of feral cat eradications on islands In Island invasives: eradication and management. *Edited*
754 *by* Veitch, C. R.; Clout, M. N. and Towns, D. R. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland. pp 37-46.

- 755 Campos, C.B., Esteves, C.F., Ferraz, K.M.P.M.B., Crawshaw, P.G. Jr., and Verdade, L.M. 2007.
756 Diet of free-ranging cats and dogs in a suburban and rural environment, south-eastern
757 Brazil. *J. Zool.* **273**(1):14-20. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2007.00291.x
- 758 Carrete, M., and Tella, J. 2008. Wild-bird trade and exotic invasions: a new link of conservation
759 concern? *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **6**(4):207-211. doi:10.1890/070075
- 760 Carthey, A., and Banks, P. 2012. When does an alien become a native species? A vulnerable
761 native mammal recognizes and responds to its long-term alien predator. *PLoS ONE*.
762 **7**(2):e31804.
- 763 Carver, S., Bevins, S., Lappin, M., Boydston, E., Lyren, L., Alldredge, M., Logan, K., Sweanor,
764 L., Riley, S., Serieys, L., Fisher, R.N., Vickers, T.W., Boyce, W., McBride,
765 R., Cunningham, M.C., Jennings, M., Lewis, J., Lunn, T., Crooks, K.R., and Vandewoude,
766 S. 2015. Pathogen exposure varies widely among sympatric populations of wild and
767 domestic felids across the United States. *Ecol. Appl.* **26**(2):367-381.
- 768 Catling P. 1988. Similarities and contrasts in the diets of foxes, *vulpes-vulpes*, and cats, *felis-*
769 *catus*, relative to fluctuating prey populations and drought. *Wildl. Res.* **15**(3):307-317.
- 770 Child, J.E. 2007. Pre-spillover prevention of emerging zoonotic diseases: What are the targets and
771 what are the tools? *Wildlife and Emerg. Zoo. Dis. The Biology, Circumstances and*
772 *Consequences of Cross-Species Transmission* **315**:389-443.
- 773 Cleaveland, S., and Dye, C. 1995. Maintenance of a microparasite infecting several host species:
774 rabies in the Serengeti. *Parasitol.* **111**(S1):33-47.
- 775 Cleaveland, S., Laurenson, M., and Taylor, L. 2001. Diseases of humans and their domestic
776 mammals: pathogen characteristics, host range and the risk of emergence. *Phil. Trans. of*
777 *the R. Soc. B: Biological Sciences. Bio. Sci.* **356**(1411):991-999.

- 778 Clinchy, M., Sheriff, M.J., and Zanette, L.Y. 2013. Predator-induced stress and the ecology of
779 fear. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**(1):56–65. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12007>
- 780 Clutton-Brock, J. 1995. Origins of the dog: Domestication and early history. *In* *The Domestic*
781 *Dog: Its Evolution, Behaviour, and Interactions with People. Edited by J. Serpell.*
782 Cambridge University Press, Cambr. pp. 7-20.
- 783 Coleman, J.S., and Temple, S.A. 1993. Rural Residents' Free-Ranging Domestic Cats: A Survey.
784 *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* **21**(4):381-390.
- 785 Coman, B., and Brunner, H. 1972. Food habits of the feral house cat in Victoria. *J. Wildl. Manage.*
786 **36**(3):848-853.
- 787 Combelles, E. 2004. The premiumisation of private label in pet food. Euromonitor International
788 Plc., London, UK.
- 789 Conrad, P.A., Miller, M.A., Kreuder, C., James, E.R., Mazet, J., Dabritz, H., Jessup, D.A.,
790 Gulland, F., and Grigg, M.E. 2005. Transmission of *Toxoplasma*: Clues from the study of
791 sea otters as sentinels of *Toxoplasma gondii* flow into the marine environment. *Int. J.*
792 *Parasitol.* **35**(11-12):1155–1168. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.07.002
- 793 Cooper, C., Larson, L., Dayer, A., Stedman, R., and Decker, D. 2015. Are wildlife recreationists
794 conservationists? Linking hunting, birdwatching, and pro-environmental behavior. *J.*
795 *Wildl. Manage.* **79**(3):446-457.
- 796 COSEWIC. 2011. Canadian Wildlife Species at Risk. Committee on the Status of Endangered
797 Wildlife in Canada. Available from http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct0/rpt/rpt_csar_e.cfm
798 [accessed 17 October 2011].
- 799 Courchamp, F., Berec, L. and Gascoigne, J.C. 2008. Allee effects in ecology and conservation.
800 Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- 801 Courchamp, F., Chapuis, J., and Pascal, M. 2003. Mammal invaders on islands: impact, control
802 and control impact. *Biol. Rev.* **78**(3):347-383.
- 803 Courchamp, F., Langlais, M., Sugihara, G. 1999. Cats protecting birds: modelling the
804 mesopredator release effect. *J. Animal Ecol.* **68**(2):282-292.
- 805 Courchamp, F., Langlais, M., and Sugihara, G. 2000. Rabbits killing birds: modelling the
806 hyperpredation process. *J. of Animal Ecol.* **69**.1 154-164.
- 807 Cox, J., Slabach, B., Hast, J., Murphy, S., Kwok, O., and Dubey, J. 2017. High seroprevalence of
808 *Toxoplasma gondii* in elk (*Cervus canadensis*) of the central Appalachians, USA. *Parasitol.*
809 *Res.* **116**(3):1079-1083. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00436-017-5391-4>
- 810 Craft, M.E., Vial, F., Miguel, E., Cleaveland, S., Ferdinands, A. and Packer, C. 2016. Interactions
811 between domestic and wild carnivores around the greater Serengeti ecosystem. *Animal*
812 *Conserv.* **20**(2):193-204.
- 813 Crow, J., and Morton, N. Measurement of gene frequency drift in small populations. *Evolution.*
814 **9**(2):202-214.
- 815 Da Silva, F.A., Canale, G.R., Kierulff, M.C., Duarte, G.T., Paglia, A.P., and Bernardo, C.S. 2016.
816 Hunting, pet trade, and forest size effects on population viability of a critically endangered
817 neotropical primate, *Sapajus xanthosternos* (Wied-Neuwied 1826). *Am. J. Primatol.*
818 **78**(9):950-960. doi:10.1002/ajp.22565
- 819 Dabritz, H.A., Atwill, E.R., Gardner, I.A., Miller, M.A., and Conrad, P.A. 2006. Outdoor fecal
820 deposition by free-roaming cats and attitudes of cat owners and nonowners toward stray
821 pets, wildlife, and water pollution. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **229**(1):74-81.
822 doi:10.2460/javma.229.1.74

- 823 Daniels, M.J., and Corbett, L. 2003. Redefining introgressed protected mammals: when is a
824 wildcat a wild cat and a dingo a wild dog?. *Wildlife Res.* **30**(3):213-218.
825 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR02045>
- 826 Daniels, M.J., Balharry, D., Hirst, D., Kitchener, A.C., and Aspinall, R.J. 1998. Morphological and
827 pelage characteristics of wild living cats in Scotland: implications for defining the
828 'wildcat'. *J. Zool.* **244**(2):231–247. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1998.tb00028.x
- 829 Dauphiné, N., and Cooper, R.J. 2009. Impacts of free-ranging domestic cats (*Felis catus*) on birds
830 in the United States: a review of recent research with conservation and management
831 recommendations. *In* Proceedings of the fourth international partners in flight conference:
832 tundra to tropics. pp. 205-219.
- 833 Davis, M.A. 2003 Biotic globalization: does competition from introduced species threaten
834 biodiversity?. *Biosci.* **53**(5):481-489.
- 835 De Silva, S.S., and Turchini, G.M. 2008. Towards understanding the impacts of the pet food
836 industry on world fish and seafood supplies. *J. Agric. Environ. Ethics* **21**(5):459-467.
837 doi:10.1007/s10806-008-9109-6
- 838 Del Amo, A.N. 1999. Detection of viral particles in feces of young dogs and their relationship
839 with clinical signs. *Rev. Microbiol.* **30**(3):237-241. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0001-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37141999000300009)
840 [37141999000300009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0001-37141999000300009)
- 841 Department of Primary Industries. 2012. New south wales wild dog management strategy 2012–
842 2015. State of New South Wales, Government of Australia.
- 843 Di Sabatino, D., Di Francesco, G., Zaccaria, G., Malatesta, D., Brugnola, L., Marcacci, M.,
844 Portanti, O., De Massis, F., Savini, G., Teodori, L., Ruggieri, E., Mangone, I., Badagliacca,

- 845 P., and Lorusso, A. 2016. Lethal distemper in badgers (*Meles meles*) following epidemic in
846 dogs and wolves. *Infect. Gen. Evol.* **46**:130-137.
- 847 Dickman, C.R. 2009. House cats as predators in the Australian environment: impacts and
848 management. *Human–Wildlife Interactions*. Paper 27.
- 849 Dickman, C.R., and Newsome, T.M. 2015. Individual hunting behaviour and prey specialisation in
850 the house cat *Felis catus*: Implications for conservation and management. *Appl. Anim.*
851 *Behav. Sci.* **173**(1):76-87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.09.021>
- 852 Dickman, C.R., Denny, E., and Buckmaster, T. 2010. Identification of sites of high conservation
853 priority impacted by feral cats. Report to the Department of Environment, Water, Heritage
854 and the Arts, Canberra 2010.
- 855 Doherty, T., Davis, R., van Etten, E., Algar, D., Collier, N., Dickman, C., Edwards, G., Masters,
856 P., Palmer, R., and Robinson, S. 2015. A continental-scale analysis of feral cat diet in
857 *Austral. J. Biogeog.* **42**(5):964-975.
- 858 Doherty, T.S., Dickman, C.R., Johnson, C.N., Legge, S.M., Ritchie, E.G., and Woinarski, J.C.Z.
859 2016. Impacts and management of feral cats *Felis catus* in Australia. *Mam Rev.*
860 [doi:10.1111/mam.12080](https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12080)
- 861 Doherty, T.S., and Ritchie, E.G. 2016. Stop jumping the gun: a call for evidence-based invasive
862 predator management. *Conserv. Lett.* **10**(1):15-22.
- 863 Driscoll, C.A., and Macdonald, D.W. 2010. Top dogs: wolf domestication and wealth. *J. Biol.* **10**:
864 PMC2871521.
- 865 Duarte, J., García, F.J., and Fa., J.E. 2016. Depredatory impact of free-roaming domestic dogs on
866 Mediterranean deer in southern Spain: implications for human-wolf conflict. *Folia Zool.*
867 **65**(2):135-141.

- 868 Dwyer, C.M. 2004. How has the risk of predation shaped the behavioural responses of sheep to
869 fear and distress? *Anim. Welf.* **13**(2):269-281. doi:10.1186/jbiol226
- 870 Ellender, B.R., and Weyl, O.L.F. 2014. A review of current knowledge, risk and ecological
871 impacts associated with non-native freshwater fish introductions in South Africa. *Aquat.*
872 *Invasions* **9**(2):117-132. doi:10.3391/ai.2014.9.2.01
- 873 Epple, G., Mason, R.J., Nolte, D.L., and Campbell, D.L. 1993. Effects of predator odors on
874 feeding in the mountain beaver (*Aplodontia rufa*). *J. Mammal.* **74**(3):715-722.
875 doi:10.2307/1382293
- 876 Ethier, D., Sayers, J., Kyle, C., Nocera, J., Ojkic, D., and Campbell, D. 2017. The occurrence of
877 pathogens in an endangered population of american badgers (*Taxidea taxus jacksoni*) in
878 Ontario, Canada. *J. of Wildlife Dis.* **53**(1):73-80.
- 879 Euromonitor. 2007. Cats: Euromonitor international from trade sources. Euromonitor International
880 Plc., London, UK.
- 881 Fancourt, B.A. 2015. Making a killing: photographic evidence of predation of a Tasmanian
882 pademelon (*Thylogale billardierii*) by a feral cat (*Felis catus*). *Austral. J.*
883 *Mammal.* **37**(1):120-124.
- 884 FAO. 2007. FAOSTAT database, food and agriculture organization of the United Nations, Online
885 database. Available from <http://faostat.fao.org/>
- 886 Farris, Z.J., Gerber, B.D., Karpanty, S., Murphy, A., Andrianjakarivelo, V., Ratelolahy, F., and
887 Kelly, M.J. 2015. When carnivores roam: temporal patterns and overlap among
888 Madagascar's native and exotic carnivores. *J. Zool.* **296**(1):45-57. doi:10.1111/jzo.12216

- 889 Farris, Z.J., Kelly, M.J., Karpanty, S., and Ratelolahy, F. 2016. Patterns of spatial co-occurrence
890 among native and exotic carnivores in north-eastern Madagascar. *Anim. Conserv.*
891 **19**(2):189-198. doi:10.1111/acv.12233
- 892 Feitosa, C.V., Ferreira, B.P., and Araújo, E.M. 2008. Rapid new method for assessing
893 sustainability of ornamental fish by-catch from coral reefs. *Mar. Freshwater Res.*
894 **59**(12):1092-1100. doi:10.1071/MF08054
- 895 Fiorello, C., Deem, S., Gompper, M., and Dubovi, E. 2004. Seroprevalence of pathogens in
896 domestic carnivores on the border of Madidi National Park, Bolivia. *Animal Conserv.*
897 **7**(1):45-54.
- 898 Fitzwater W.D. 1994. House cats (feral). The handbook: prevention and control of wildlife
899 damage. Paper 33. Available from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdmhandbook/33>
- 900 Fleming, P.J.S. 1996. Ground-placed baits for the control of wild dogs: Evaluation of a
901 replacement-baiting strategy in north-eastern New South Wales. *Wildlife Res.* **23**(6):729-
902 740. doi:10.1071/WR9960729
- 903 Flux, J.E.C. 1993. Relative effect of cats, myxomatosis, traditional control, or competitors in
904 removing rabbits from islands. *New Zeal. J. Ecol.* **20**(1):13-18.
905 Doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03014223.1993.10423238>
- 906 Formenti, N., Trogu, T., Pedrotti, L., Gaffuri, A., Lanfranchi, P., and Ferrarri, N. 2015.
907 *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in alpine red deer (*Cervuselaphus*):its spread and effects on
908 fertility. *PLoS One* **10**(9):e0138472. doi:10.1371/J.pone.0138472
- 909 Fougere, B. 2000. Cats and wildlife in the urban environment-a review. 9th Urban Animal
910 Management Conference. Australian Veterinary Association, Hobart, Tasmania.

- 911 Frank, A., Johnson, C., Potts, J., Fisher, A., Lawes, M., Woinarski, J., Tuft, K., Radford, I.,
912 Gordon, I., Collis, M., and Legge, S. 2014. Experimental evidence that feral cats cause
913 local extirpation of small mammals in Australia's tropical savannas. *J. of Appl. Ecol.*
914 **51**(6):1486-1493.
- 915 Fredriksen, A. 2016. Of wildcats and wild cats: Troubling species-based conservation in the
916 Anthropocene. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. **34**(4):689–705.
917 <http://doi.org/10.1177/0263775815623539>
- 918 Frisch, A.J., Rizzari, J.R., Munkres, K.P., and Hobbs, J.A. 2016. Anemonefish depletion reduces
919 survival, growth, reproduction and fishery productivity of mutualistic anemone-
920 anemonefish colonies. *Coral Reefs* **35**(2):375-386. doi:10.1007/s00338-016-1401-8
- 921 Fung, H., Calzada, J., Saldaña, A., Santamaria, A., Pineda, V., Gonzalez, K., Chaves, L., Garner,
922 B., and Gottdenker, N. 2014. Domestic dog health worsens with socio-economic
923 deprivation of their home communities. *Acta Tropica*. **135**:67-74.
- 924 Furtado, M., Hayashi, E., Allendorf, S., Coelho, C., de Almeida Jácomo, A., Megid, J., Ramos-
925 Filho, J.D., Silveira, L., Tôrres, N.M., Ferreira, Neto., J.S. 2016. Exposure of free-ranging
926 wild carnivores and domestic dogs to canine distemper virus and parvovirus in the cerrado
927 of Central Brazil. *Ecohealth*, **13**(3):549-557. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10393-016-1146-4>
- 928 Galov, A., Fabbri, E., Caniglia, R., Arbanasić, H., Lapalombella, S., Florijančić, T., Bošković, I.,
929 Galaverni, M., and Randi, E. 2015. First evidence of hybridization between golden jackal
930 (*Canis aureus*) and domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) as revealed by genetic markers. *Royal*
931 *Soc. Open Sci.* **2**(12):150450. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150450>
- 932 Galvani, A. 2003. Epidemiology meets evolutionary ecology. *TREE*. **18**(3):132-139.

- 933 Garvey, P., Glen, A., and Pech, R. 2016. Dominant predator odour triggers caution and
934 eavesdropping behaviour in a mammalian mesopredator. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*
935 **70**(4):481-492.
- 936 Geerdts, M.S., Van de Walle, G.A., and LoBue, V. 2015. Daily animal exposure and children's
937 biological concepts. *J. Exp. Child Psychol.* **130**(1):132-146.
938 doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2014.10.001
- 939 Gehrt, S.D., Wilson, E.C., Brown, J.L., and Anchor, C. 2013. Population ecology of free-roaming
940 cats and interference competition by coyotes in urban parks. *PLoS One* **8**(9):e75718.
941 doi:10.1371/J..pone.0075718
- 942 Gentry, A., Clutton-Brock, J., and Groves, C.P. 2004. The naming of wild animal species and their
943 domestic derivatives. *J. of Archaeol. Sci.* **31**(5):645-651.
- 944 George, W.G. 1974. Domestic cats as predators and factors in winter shortages of raptor prey. *The*
945 *Wilson Bulletin.* **86**(4):384-396. Retrieved from
946 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4160538>
<http://about.jstor.org/terms>
- 947 Geunther, S., Ewers, C., and Wieler, L.H. 2011. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases producing *E.*
948 *coli* in wildlife, yet another form of environmental pollution? *Front. Microbiol.*
949 **2**(1):PMC3244693. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00246
- 950 Geunther, S., Grobbel, M., Beutlich, J., Guerra, B., Ulrich, R.G., Wieler, L.H., and Ewers, C.
951 2010. Detection of pandemic B2-O25-ST131 *Escherichia coli* harbouring the CTX-M-9
952 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase type in a feral urban brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). *J.*
953 *Antimicrob. Chemother.* **65**(3):582-584. doi:10.1093/jac/dkp496

- 954 Ghil, H.M., Yoo, J.H., Jung, W.S., Chung, T.H., Youn, H.Y., and Hwang, C.Y. 2009. Survey of
955 *Helicobacter* infection in domestic and feral cats in Korea. *J. Vet. Sci.* **10**(1):67–72.
956 PMID:PMC2801104
- 957 Glen, A.S., and Dickman, C.R. 2008. Niche overlap between marsupial and eutherian carnivores:
958 does competition threaten the endangered spotted-tailed quoll?. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **45**(2):700-
959 707.
- 960 Glen, A.S., Anderson, D., Veltman, C.J., Garvey, P.M., and Nichols, M. 2016. Wildlife detector
961 dogs and camera traps: comparison of techniques for detecting feral cats. *New Zeal. J.*
962 *Zool.* **43**(2):127-137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03014223.2015.1103761>
- 963 Godwin, C., Schaefer, J.A., Patterson, B.R., and Pond, B.A. 2012. Contribution of dogs to white-
964 tailed deer hunting success. *J. Wildlife Manage.* **77**(2):290-296. DOI: 10.1002/jwmg.474
- 965 Gompper, M.E. 2002. Top carnivores in the suburbs? ecological and conservation issues raised by
966 colonization of north-eastern north america by coyotes. *Bioscience* 52(2):185-190.
967 doi:10.1641/0006-3568
- 968 Gompper, M.E. 2013. Free-ranging dogs and wildlife conservation. Oxford University Press
- 969 Goode, M.J., Horrace, W.C., Sredl, M.J., and Howland, J.M. 2005. Habitat destruction by
970 collectors associated with decreased abundance of rock-dwelling lizards. *Biol. Cons.*
971 **125**(1):47-54. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.03.010
- 972 Gooley, G.J., Gavine, F.M., and Olsen, L. 2006. Biological systems to improve quality and
973 productivity of recycled urban wastewater; a case study from our rural landscape sub-
974 project 1.3. Victorian Department of Primary Industries, Victoria, Australia.
- 975 Gordon, J. K., Matthaei, C., and Van Heezik, Y. 2010. Belled collars reduce catch of domestic cats
976 in New Zealand by half. *Wildl. Res.* **37**(5):372-378.

- 977 Gottelli, D., Sillero-Zubiri, C., Marino, J., Funk, S., Wang, J. 2012. Genetic structure and patterns
978 of gene flow among populations of the endangered Ethiopian wolf. *Animal Conserv.*
979 **16**(2):234-247.
- 980 Grayson, J., and Calver, M. 2004. Regulation of domestic cat ownership to protect urban wildlife:
981 a justification based on the precautionary principle. *In* *Urban Wildlife: more than meets the*
982 *eye. Edited by D. Lunney and S. Burgin. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales,*
983 *Mosman, NSW. pp. 169-178.*
- 984 Grayson, J., M. Calver, and I. Styles. 2002. Attitudes of suburban Western Australians to proposed
985 cat control legislation. *Austral. Vet. J.* **80**(9):536-543.
- 986 Greene, C. 2006. Infectious canine hepatitis and canine acidophil cell hepatitis. In: Greene CE,
987 ed. *Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat. 3rd ed. St. Louis, MO: Saunders/Elsevier: 43.*
- 988 Grubbs, S.E., and Krausman, P.R. 2009. Observations of coyote–cat interactions. *J. of Wildlife*
989 *Manage.* **73**(5):683-685.
- 990 Guardabassi, L., Schwarz, S., and Lloyd, D.H. 2004. Pet animals as reservoirs of antimicrobial-
991 resistant bacteria. *J. of Antimicrob. Chemother.* **54**(2):321-332. doi:10.1093/jac/dkh332
- 992 Hall, C.M., Adams, N., Bradley, J., Bryant, K., Davis, A., Dickman, C., Fujita, T., Kobayashi, S.,
993 Lepczyk, C., McBride, E., Pollock, K.H., Styles, I.M., van Heezik, Y., Wang, F., and
994 Calver, M.C. 2016b. Community attitudes and practices of urban residents regarding
995 predation by pet cats on wildlife: An International Comparison. *PLoS One.*
996 **11**(4):e0151962. doi:10.1371/J.pone.0151962
- 997 Hall C.M., Bryant, K., Haskard, K., Major, T., Bruce, S., and Calver, M. 2016a. Factors
998 determining the home ranges of pet cats: A meta-analysis. *Biol. Conserv.* **203**:313-320.

- 999 Hall, C.M., Fontaine, J.B., Bryant, K.A., and Calver, M.C. 2015. Assessing the effectiveness of
1000 the Birdsbesafe® anti-predation collar cover in reducing predation on wildlife by pet cats
1001 in Western Australia. *Appl. Animal Behav. Sci.* **173**:40-51.
- 1002 Hampson, K., Dushoff, J., Cleaveland, S., Haydon, D. T., Kaare, M., Packer, C. and Dobson, A.
1003 2009. Transmission dynamics and prospects for the elimination of canine rabies. *PLoS*
1004 *Biol.* **7**(3): e1000053.
- 1005 Harding, B.E., and Wolf, B.C. 2006. Alligator attacks in southwest Florida. *J. Forensic Sci.*
1006 **51**(3):674-677. doi:10.1111/j.1556-4029.2006.00135.x
- 1007 Hardman, B., Moro, D., and Calver, M. 2016. Direct evidence implicates feral cat predation as the
1008 primary cause of failure of a mammal reintroduction programme. *Ecol. Manage. Restor.*
1009 **17**(2):152-158. doi:10.1111/emr.1221
- 1010 Hart, L.A. 1995. Dogs as human companions: a review of the relationship. *In* *The Domestic Dog:*
1011 *Its Evolution, Behaviour, and Interactions with People.* Edited by J. Serpell. Cambridge
1012 University Press, Cambr. pp. 161-178.
- 1013 Hawkins, C.C., Davis, CA Grant, W.E., and Longnecker, M.T. 2004. Effect of house cats, being
1014 fed in parks, on California birds and rodents. *In* *Proceedings 4th International Urban*
1015 *Wildlife Symposium.* Edited by Lisa K. Harris, William W. Shaw, Larry VanDruff.
1016 Arizona. pp 1-362.
- 1017 Herrera, M., and Hennessey, B. 2007. Quantifying the illegal parrot trade in Santa Cruz de la
1018 Sierra, Bolivia, with emphasis on threatened species. *Bird. Conserv. Int.* **17**(4):295-300.
1019 doi:10.1017/S0959270907000858

- 1020 Holderness-Roddam, B., and McQuillan, P.B. 2014. Domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) as a
1021 predator and disturbance agent of wildlife in Tasmania, Australasian J. of Environ.
1022 Manage. **21**(4):441-452,
- 1023 Hood, G.M., Chesson, P., and Pech, R.P. 2000. Biological control using sterilizing viruses: host
1024 suppression and competition between viruses in nonspatial models. J. Appl. Ecol.
1025 **37**(6):914-925. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2664.2000.00544.x
- 1026 Hughes, J., and Macdonald, D.W. 2013. A review of the interactions between free-roaming
1027 domestic dogs and wildlife. Biol. Cons. **157**(1):341-351. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.005
- 1028 Innes, E. 2010. A brief history and overview of *Toxoplasma gondii*. Zoonoses and Public Health.
1029 **57**(1):1-7.
- 1030 Jackiw, R.N., Mandil, G., and Hager, H.A. 2015. A framework to guide the conservation of
1031 species hybrids based on ethical and ecological considerations. Conserv. Biol. **29**(4):1040-
1032 1051. doi:10.1111/cobi.12526
- 1033 Jameson, L.J., and Medlock, J.M. 2011. Tick surveillance in Great Britain. Vector Borne Zoonotic
1034 Dis. **11**(4):403-412. doi:10.1089/vbz.2010.0079
- 1035 Jessup, D.A. 2004. The welfare of feral cats and wildlife. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. **225**(9):1377-
1036 1383. <http://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2004.225.1377>
- 1037 Johnson, M. R., Boyd, D. K., and Pletscher, D. H. 1994. Serologic investigations of canine
1038 parvovirus and canine distemper in relation to wolf (*Canis lupus*) pup mortalities. J.
1039 Wildlife Dis. **30**(2):270-273. <http://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-30.2.270>
- 1040 Jones, E. 1990. Physical characteristics and taxonomic status of wild canids, *Canis familiaris*,
1041 from the Eastern Highlands of Victoria. Wildlife Res. **17**(1):69-81.

- 1042 Karatayev, A.Y., Burlakova, L.E., Karatayev, V.A., and Padilla, D.K. Introduction, distribution,
1043 spread, and impacts of exotic freshwater gastropods in Texas. *Hydrobiologia* **619**(1):181-
1044 194. doi:10.1007/s10750-008-9639-y
- 1045 Kauhala, K., Talvitie, K., and Vuorisalo, T. 2015. Free-ranging house cats in urban and rural areas
1046 in the north: useful rodent killers or harmful bird predators?. *Folia Zoologica* **64**(1):45-56.
- 1047 Kerley, L.L., and Salkina, G.P. 2007. Using scent-matching dogs to identify individual amur
1048 tigers from scats. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **71**(4):1349-1356. doi:10.2193/2006-361
- 1049 Khosravi, R., Rezaei, H.R., and Kaboli, M. 2013. Detecting hybridization between Iranian wild
1050 wolf (*Canis lupus pallipes*) and free-ranging domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) by analysis of
1051 microsatellite markers. *Zool. Sci.* **30**(1):27–34. <http://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.30.27>
- 1052 Kilshaw, K., Montgomery, R. A., Campbell, R. D., Hetherington, D. A., Johnson, P. J., Kitchener,
1053 A. C., MacDonald, D.W., Millspaugh, J. J. 2016. Mapping the spatial configuration of
1054 hybridization risk for an endangered population of the European wildcat (*Felis silvestris*
1055 *silvestris*) in Scotland. *Mam. Res.* **61**(1):1-11. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-015-0253-x>
- 1056 Koenig, J., Shine, R., and Shea, G. 2002. The dangers of life in the city: patterns of activity, injury
1057 and mortality in suburban lizards (*Tiliqua scincoides*). *J. Herp.* **36**(1):62-68.
- 1058 Kopecký, O., Patoka, J., and Kalous, L. 2016. Establishment risk and potential invasiveness of the
1059 selected exotic amphibians from pet trade in the European Union. *J. Nat. Conserv.*
1060 **31**(1):22-28. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2016.02.007>
- 1061 Koster, J.M. 2008. Hunting with dogs in Nicaragua: an optimal foraging approach. *Curr.*
1062 *Anthropol.* **49**(5):935-944.
- 1063 Krauze-Gryz, D., Gryz, J., Goszczyński, J., Chylarecki, P., Zmihorski, M. 2012. The good, the
1064 bad, and the ugly: space use and intraguild interactions among three opportunistic

- 1065 predators—cat (*Felis catus*), dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*), and red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*)—
1066 under human pressure. *Can. J. Zool.* **90**(12):1402-1413.
- 1067 Kruuk, H., and Snell, H. 1981. Prey selection by feral dogs from a population of marine iguanas
1068 (*Amblyrhynchus cristatus*). *J. Appl. Ecol.* **18**(1):197-204.
- 1069 Langston, R.H.W., Liley, D., Murison, G., Woodfield, E., and Clarke, R.T. 2007. What effects do
1070 walkers and dogs have on the distribution and productivity of breeding European Nightjar
1071 *Caprimulgus europaeus*?. *Ibis* **149**(s1):27-36.
- 1072 Laurenson, K., Sillero-Zubiri, C., Thompson, H. Shiferaw, F., Thirgood, S., and Malcolm, J. 1998.
1073 Disease as a threat to endangered species: Ethiopian wolves, domestic dogs and canine
1074 pathogens. *Animal Conserv.* **1**(4):273-280. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.1998.tb00038.X
- 1075 Le Roux, J. J., Foxcroft, L. C., Herbst, M., and MacFadyen, S. 2015. Genetic analysis shows low
1076 levels of hybridization between African wildcats (*Felis silvestris lybica*) and domestic cats
1077 (*F. s. catus*) in South Africa. *Ecol. Evol.* **5**(2):288–99. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1275>
- 1078 Leonard, A., Echegaray, J., Randi, E., and Vila, C. 2013. Impact of Hybridization with Domestic
1079 Dogs on the Conservation of Wild Canids. *In* Free-ranging dogs and wildlife conservation.
1080 *Edited by* M.E. Gompper, Oxford University Press, London, U.K. ch. 3.
- 1081 Lescano, J., Quevedo, M., Gonzales-Viera, O., Luna, L., Keel, M.K., and Gregori, F. 2015. First
1082 case of systemic coronavirus infection in a domestic ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*) in Peru.
1083 *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.* **62**(6):581-585. doi:10.1111/tbed.12407
- 1084 Lescureux, N., and Linnell, J.D.C. 2014. Warring brothers: the complex interactions between
1085 wolves (*Canis lupus*) and dogs (*Canis familiaris*) in a conservation context. *Biol.*
1086 *Conserv.* Prey selection by feral dogs from a population: 232-245.

- 1087 Li, B., Belasen, A., Pafilis, P., Bednekoff, P., and Foufopoulos, J. 2014. Effects of feral cats on the
1088 evolution of anti-predator behaviours in island reptiles: insights from an ancient
1089 introduction. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
1090 *Sciences* **281**(1788):20140339.
- 1091 Lilith, M., Calver, M., Styles, I., and Garkaklis, M. 2006. Protecting wildlife from predation by
1092 owned domestic cats: Application of a precautionary approach to the acceptability of
1093 proposed cat regulations. *Austral Ecology* **31**(2):176-189.
- 1094 Lima, S. 1998. Nonlethal effects in the ecology of predator-prey interactions. *BioScience*.
1095 **48**(1):25-34.
- 1096 Lobos, G., Cattán, P., Estades, C., and Jaksic, F.M. 2013. Invasive African clawed frog *Xenopus*
1097 *laevis* in southern South America: key factors and predictions. *Stud. Neotrop. Fauna*.
1098 **48**(1):1-12. doi:10.1080/01650521.2012.746050
- 1099 Lohr, C., and Lepczyk, C. 2013. Desires and Management Preferences of Stakeholders Regarding
1100 Feral Cats in the Hawaiian Islands. *Conserv. Biol.* **28**(2):392-403.
- 1101 Lohr, C.A., Cox, L.J., and Lepczyk, C.A. 2013. Costs and benefits of trap-neuter-release and
1102 euthanasia for removal of urban cats in Oahu, Hawaii. *Conserv. Biol.* **27**(1):64-73.
1103 doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01935.x
- 1104 Long, R.A., Donovan, T.M., Mackay, P., Zielinski, W.J., and Buzas, J.S. 2007. Effectiveness of
1105 scat detection dogs for detecting forest carnivores. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **71**(6):2007-2017.
1106 doi:10.2193/2006-230
- 1107 Longcore, T., Rich, C., and Sullivan, L.M. 2009. Critical Assessment of Claims regarding
1108 Management of Feral Cats by Trap-Neuter-Return. *Conservation Biology* **23**(4). 887-894

- 1109 Loss, S.R., Will, T., and Marra, P.P. 2013. The impact of free-ranging domestic cats on wildlife of
1110 the United States. *Nat. Comm.* **4**:1396. doi:10.1038/ncomms2380
- 1111 Loveridge, A.J., Wang, S.W., Frank, L.G., and Seidensticker, J. 2010. People and wild felids:
1112 Conservation of cats and management of conflicts. *In* The Biology and Conservation of
1113 Wild Felids. *Edited by* D.W. MacDonald and A. Loveridge. Oxford University Press,
1114 Oxford, U.K. 161-196.
- 1115 Lowe, S., Browne, M., Boudjelas, S., and De Poorter, M. 2000. 100 of the world's worst invasive
1116 alien species: a selection from The Global Invasive Species Database. Invasive Species
1117 Specialist Group, International Union for Conservation of Nature.
- 1118 Loyd, K. A. T., Hernandez, S. M., Carroll, J. P., Abernathy, K. J., and Marshall, G. J. 2013.
1119 Quantifying free-roaming domestic cat predation using animal-borne video cameras. *Biol.*
1120 *Conserv.* **160**: 183–189. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.01.008>
- 1121 Loyd, K.T., and DeVore, J.L. 2010. An evaluation of feral cat management options using a
1122 decision analysis network. *Ecol. Soc.* **15**(4):10-27.
- 1123 Lyons, J.A., and Natusch, D.J.D. 2011. Wildlife laundering through breeding farms: Illegal
1124 harvest, population declines and a means of regulating the trade of green pythons (*Morelia*
1125 *viridis*) from Indonesia. *Biol. Cons.* **144**(12):3073-3081.
1126 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.10.002>
- 1127 Lyons, J.A., Natusch, D.J.D., and Shepherd, C.R. 2013. The harvest of freshwater turtles
1128 (*Chelidae*) from Papua, Indonesia, for the international pet trade. *Oryx* **47**(2):298-302.
1129 doi:10.1017/S0030605312000932

- 1130 MacDonald, D.W., and Sillero-Zubrin C. 2005. Conservation. *In* The Biology and Conservation
 1131 of Wild Canids. *Edited by* D.W. MacDonald, and C. Sillero-Zubrin. Oxford University
 1132 Press. Oxford, U.K. ch. 23.
- 1133 MacNulty, D.R., Tallian, A., Stahler, D.R., and Smith, D.W. 2014. Influence of group size on the
 1134 success of wolves hunting bison. *PLoS One* **9**(11):e112884. PMCID: PMC4229308
- 1135 Mahlaba, T., Monadjem, A., McCleery, R., and Belmain, S. 2017. Domestic cats and dogs create a
 1136 landscape of fear for pest rodents around rural homesteads. *PLoS One* **12**(2):e0171593.
 1137 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/J.pone.0171593>
- 1138 Marra, P.P., and Santella, C. 2016. Cat Wars: The Devastating Consequences of a Cuddly Killer.
 1139 Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- 1140 Martel, A., Blooi, M., Adriaensen, C., Van Rooij, P., Beukema, W., Fisher, M.C., Farrer, R.A.,
 1141 Schmidt, B.R., Tobler, U., Goka, K., Lips, K.R., Muletz, C., Zamudio, K.R., Bosch, J.,
 1142 Lotters, S., Wombwell, E., Garner, T.W.J., Cunningham, A.A., Spitzen-Van der Sluijs, A.,
 1143 Salvidio, S., Ducatelle, R., Nishikawa, K., Nguyen, T.T., Kolby, J.E., Van Bocxlaer, I.,
 1144 Bossuyt, F., and Pasmans, F. 2014. Recent introduction of a chytrid fungus endangers
 1145 Western Palearctic salamanders. *Science* **346**(6209):630–631.
 1146 [doi:10.1126/science.1258268](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258268)
- 1147 McDonald, J.L., Maclean, M., Evans, M.R., and Hodgson, D.J. 2015. Reconciling actual and
 1148 perceived rates of predation by domestic cats. *Ecol. Evol.* **5**(14): 2745-2753.
- 1149 McGregor, H., Legge, S., Jones, M.E., and Johnson, C.N. 2015. Feral cats are better killers in open
 1150 habitats, revealed by animal-borne video. *PLoS One* **10**(8):e0133915. [doi:10.1371/J.](https://doi.org/10.1371/J.pone.0133915)
 1151 [pone.0133915](https://doi.org/10.1371/J.pone.0133915)

- 1152 McKinney, M. 1997. Extinction vulnerability and selectivity: combining ecological and
1153 paleontological views. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **28**(1):495-516.
- 1154 McLeod, L.J., Hine, D.W., and Bengsen, A.J. 2015. Born to roam? Surveying cat owners in
1155 Tasmania, Australia, to identify the drivers and barriers to cat containment. *Prev. Vet.*
1156 *Med.* **122**(3):339-344. doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.11.007
- 1157 McManus, J., Reyes, J.R., and Nañola, J.R., C. 1997. Effects of some destructive fishing methods
1158 on coral cover and potential rates of recovery. *Environ. Manage.* **21**(1):69-78.
1159 doi:10.1007/s002679900006
- 1160 McPherson, S.C., Brown, M., and Downs, C.T. 2016. Diet of the crowned eagle (*Stephanoaetus*
1161 *coronatus*) in an urban landscape: potential for human-wildlife conflict? *Urban Ecosyst.*
1162 **19**(1):383-396. doi:10.1007/s11252-015-0500-6
- 1163 Medina, F.M., Bonnaud, E., Vidal, E., and Nogales, M. 2014. Underlying impacts of invasive cats
1164 on islands: not only a question of predation. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **23**(2):327-342.
- 1165 Medina, F.M., and Nogales, M. 2009. A review on the impacts of feral cats (*Felis silvestris*)
1166 in the Canary Islands: implications for the conservation of its endangered fauna. *Biodivers.*
1167 *Conserv.* **18**(4):829-846.
- 1168 Medina, F.M., Bonnaud, E., Vidal, E., Tershy, B.R., Zavaleta, E.S., Donlan, C.J., Keitt, B.S., Le
1169 Corre, M., Horwath, S.V., and Nogales, M. 2011. A global review of the impacts of
1170 invasive cats on island endangered vertebrates. *Global Change Biol.* **17**(11):3503-3510.
1171 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02464.x
- 1172 Megid, J., Teixeira, C.R., Cortez, A., Heinemann, M.B., Antunes, J.M.A.P., Fornazari, F., Rassy,
1173 F.B., and Richtzenhain, L.J. 2013. Canine distemper virus infection in a lesser grison

- 1174 (Galictis cuja): First report and virus phylogeny. Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileira
 1175 **33**(2):247–250. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-736X2013000200018>
- 1176 Mejía-Mojica, H., de Rodríguez-Romero, F.J., and Díaz-Pardo, E. 2012. Historical presence of
 1177 invasive fish in the Biosphere Reserve Sierra de Huautla, Mexico. Rev. Biol. Trop.
 1178 **60**(2):669-681. PMID:23894937
- 1179 Millán J, Casanova J. 2007. Helminth parasites of the endangered Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*)
 1180 and sympatric carnivores. J. of Helminth. **81**(4):377-380.
- 1181 Millán, J., Proboste, T., de Mera, I.G.F., Chirife, A.D., de la Fuente, J., and Altet, L. 2016.
 1182 Molecular detection of vector-borne pathogens in wild and domestic carnivores and their
 1183 ticks at the human-wildlife interface. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. **7**(2):284-290.
 1184 doi:10.1016/j.ttbdis.2015.11.003
- 1185 Miller, S.G., Knight, R.L., and Miller, C.K. 2001. Wildlife responses to pedestrians and
 1186 dogs. Wildl. Soc. Bull. **29**: 124-132.
- 1187 Minakshi, P., Brar, B., Sunderisen, K., Thomas, J.V., Savi, J., Ikbal, R.K., Lambe, U., Guray, M.,
 1188 Bansal, N., Kumar, P., Joshi, V.G., Khatri, R., Mohan, H., Pundir, C.S., Prasad, G., and
 1189 Khurana, S.K. 2016. Canine Parvovirus- an insight into diagnostic aspect. JEBAS **4**: 279-
 1190 290. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2016.4\(3S\).279.290](http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2016.4(3S).279.290)
- 1191 Mitchell, B.D., and Banks, P.B. 2005. Do wild dogs exclude foxes? Evidence for competition
 1192 from dietary and spatial overlaps. Austral Ecol. **30**(5):581-591. doi:10.1111/j.1442-
 1193 9993.2005.01473.
- 1194 Møller, A. P., and Ibáñez-Álamo, J. D. 2012. Escape behaviour of birds provides evidence of
 1195 predation being involved in urbanization. Animal Behav. **84**(2):341–348.
 1196 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.04.030>

- 1197 Monteiro, G.S., Fleck, J.D., Kluge, M., Rech, N.K., Soliman, M.C., Staggemeier, R., Rodrigues,
1198 M.T., Barros, M.P., Heinzelmann, L.S., and Spilki, F.R. 2015. Adenoviruses of canine and
1199 human origins in stool samples from free-living pampas foxes (*Lycalopex gymnocercus*)
1200 and crab-eating foxes (*Cerdocyon thous*) in Sao Francisco de Paula, Rio dos Sinos basin.
1201 Brazil. *J. Biol.* **75**(2):11-16. doi: 10.1590/1519-6984.0313.
- 1202 Moreau, M., and Coomes, O.T. 2007. Aquarium fish exploitation in western Amazonia:
1203 conservation issues in Peru. *Environ. Conserv.* **34**(1):12-22.
1204 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892907003566>
- 1205 Morris, D. 1996. *Cat World*. Ebury Press, London, U.K.
- 1206 Morders, M., McKinley, T., Restif, O., Conlan, A., Cleaveland, S., and Hampson, K. Whay, H.R.,
1207 Damriyasa, I.M., Wood, J.L.N. 2014. The demography of free-roaming dog populations
1208 and applications to disease and population control. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **51**(4):1096-1106.
1209 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12279>
- 1210 Moseby, K.E., Peacock, D.E., and Read, J.L. 2015. Catastrophic cat predation: a call for predator
1211 profiling in wildlife protection programs. *Biol. Conserv.* **191**:331-340.
- 1212 Moura, A.E., Tsingarska, E., Dabrowski, M.J., Czarnomska, S.D., Jedrzejewska, B., and Pilot, M.
1213 2014. Unregulated hunting and genetic recovery from a severe population decline: The
1214 cautionary case of Bulgarian wolves. *Conserv. Gen.* **15**(2):405–417.
1215 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0547-y>
- 1216 Mueller, A., Silva, E., Santos, N., and Thompson, G. 2011. Domestic dog origin of canine
1217 distemper virus in free-ranging wolves in Portugal as revealed by hemagglutinin gene
1218 characterization. *J. Wildl. Dis.* **47**(3):725–729. <http://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-47.3.725>

- 1219 Natusch, D.J.D., and Lyons, J.A. 2012. Exploited for pets: the harvest and trade of amphibians and
1220 reptiles from Indonesian New Guinea. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **21**(11):2899-2911.
1221 doi:10.1007/s10531-012-0345-8
- 1222 Nelson, S.H., Evans, A.D., and Bradbury, R.B. 2006. The efficacy of an ultrasonic cat deterrent.
1223 *Appl. Animal Behav. Sci.* 96(1-2):83–91. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2005.05.005>
- 1224 Nelson, S.H., Evans, A.D., and Bradbury, R.B. 2005. The efficacy of collar-mounted devices in
1225 reducing the rate of predation of wildlife by domestic cats. *Appl. Animal Behav.*
1226 *Sci.* **94**(3):273-285.
- 1227 Newsome, T., Dellinger, J., Pavey, C., Ripple, W., Shores, C., Wirsing, A., Dickman, C. 2014.
1228 The ecological effects of providing resource subsidies to predators. *Global Ecol. Biogeog.*
1229 **24**(1):1-11.
- 1230 Newsome, A.E. and Corbett, L.K. 1985. The identity of the dingo III. The incidence of dingoes,
1231 dogs and hybrids and their coat colours in remote and settled regions of Australia. *Aust. J.*
1232 *Zool.* **33**(3):363-375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/ZO9850363>
- 1233 Nijman, V., and Shepherd, C.R. 2014. Analysis of a decade of trade of tortoises and freshwater
1234 turtles in Bangkok, Thailand. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **24**(2):309-318. doi:10.1007/s10531-014-
1235 0809-0
- 1236 Nisbet, N.K., Zelenski, J.M., and Murphy, S.A. 2009. Linking individuals' connection with nature
1237 to environmental concern and behavior. *Environ. Behav.* **41**(5):715-740.
1238 doi:10.1177/0013916508318748
- 1239 Nobis, G. 1979. Der älteste Haushund lebte vor 14000 Jahren. *Die Umschau* 19: 610.

- 1240 Nogales, M., Vidal, E., Medina, F. M., Bonnaud, E., Tershy, B. R., Campbell, K. J., and Zavaleta,
1241 E. S. 2013. Feral cats and biodiversity conservation: the urgent prioritization of island
1242 management. *Biosci.* **63**(10):804-810.
- 1243 Nowell, K. and Jackson, P. 1996. The Wild Cats: Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. Cat
1244 Specialist Group, International Union for Nature Conservation, Gland, Switzerland.
- 1245 Oliviera, M.L., Norris, D., Ramírez, J.F.M., Peres, P.H.F., Galetti, M., and Duarte, J.M.B. 2012.
1246 Dogs can detect scat samples more efficiently than humans: an experiment in a continuous
1247 Atlantic Forest remnant. *Zoologia* **29**(2):183-186. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1984-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702012000200012)
1248 [46702012000200012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702012000200012)
- 1249 Orozco, M.M., Miccio, L., Enriquez, G.F., Iribarren, F.E., and Gurtler, R.E. 2014. Serologic
1250 evidence of canine parvovirus in domestic dogs, wild carnivores, and marsupials in the
1251 Argentinean Chaco. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med. : Official Publication of the American Association*
1252 *of Zoo Veterinarians*: 45(3):555–563. <http://doi.org/10.1638/2013-0230R1.1>
- 1253 Ortiz-Martínez, T., and Rico-Gray, V. 2007. Spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi vellerosus*) in a
1254 tropical deciduous forest in Tehuantepec, Oaxaca, Mexico. *Southwest. Nat.* **52**:393-399.
1255 [doi:10.1894/0038-49092007\)52\[393:SMAGVI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1894/0038-49092007)52[393:SMAGVI]2.0.CO;2)
- 1256 Otranto, D., Cantacessi, C., Pfeffer, M., Dantas-Torres, F., Brianti, E., Deplazes, P., Genchi, C.,
1257 Guberti, V., and Capelli, G. 2015. The role of wild canids and felids in spreading parasites
1258 to dogs and cats in Europe. Part II: Helminths and arthropods. *Vet. Parasitol.* **213** (1-2) 24–
1259 37. [doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.04.020](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.04.020)
- 1260 Paltridge, R. 2002. The diets of cats, foxes and dingoes in relation to prey availability in the
1261 Tanami Desert, Northern Territory. *Wildl. Res.* **29**: 389-403.

- 1262 Parkes, J., Fisher, P., Robinson, S., and Aguirre-Munoz, A. 2014. Eradication of feral cats from
1263 large islands: an assessment of the effort required for success. *New Zeal. J. Ecol.*
1264 **38**(2):307-314.
- 1265 Parsons, A.W., Bland, C., Forrester, T., Baker, M.C., Schuttler, S.G., McShea, W.J., Costello, R.,
1266 Kays, R. 2016. The ecological impact of dogs on wildlife in protected areas in eastern
1267 North America. *Biol. Conserv.* **203**:75–88. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.001>
- 1268 Patoka, J., Kalous, L., and Kopecký, O. 2014. Risk assessment of the crayfish pet trade based on
1269 data from the Czech Republic. *Biol. Invasions* **16**(12):2489-2494. doi:10.1007/s10530-
1270 014-0682-5
- 1271 Peterson, M.N., Hartis, B., Rodriguez, S., Green, M., and Lepczyk, C.A. 2012. Opinions from the
1272 front lines of cat colony management conflict. *PLoS One*, **7**(9):e44616.
- 1273 Pleydell, E.J., Hill, K.E., French, N.P., and Prattley, D.J. 2012. Descriptive epidemiological study
1274 of the use of antimicrobial drugs by companion animal veterinarians in New Zealand. *New*
1275 *Zeal. Vet. J.* **60**(2):115-122. doi:10.1080/00480169.2011.643733
- 1276 Plumer, L., Davison, J., and Saarma, U. 2014. Rapid urbanization of red foxes in Estonia:
1277 distribution, behaviour, attacks on domestic animals, and health-risks related to zoonotic
1278 diseases. *PLoS One* **9**(12):e115124. doi:10.1371/J..pone.0115124
- 1279 Poessel, S.A., Breck, S.W., Teel, T.L., Shwiff, S., Crooks, K.R., and Angeloni, L. 2012. Patterns
1280 of human-coyote conflicts in the Denver Metropolitan Area. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **77**(2):297-
1281 305. doi:10.1002/jwmg.45
- 1282 Prager, K.C., Mazet, J.A.K., Dubovi, E.J., Frank, L.G., Munson, L., Wagner, A.P., and
1283 Woodroffe, R. 2012. Rabies virus and canine distemper virus in wild and domestic

- 1284 carnivores in Northern Kenya: Are domestic dogs the reservoir? *EcoHealth* **9**(4):483–498.
1285 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-013-0815-9>
- 1286 Prokop, P., and Tunnicliffe, S.D. 2010. Effects of having pets at home on children's attitudes
1287 toward popular and unpopular animals. *Anthrozoös* **23**(1):21-35.
1288 [doi:10.2752/175303710X12627079939107](https://doi.org/10.2752/175303710X12627079939107)
- 1289 Prokop, P., Usak, M., and Erdogan, M. 2011. Good predators in bad stories: crosscultural
1290 comparison of children's attitudes towards wolves. *J. Baltic Sci. Educ.* **10**(4):229-242.
- 1291 Randi, E. 2011. Genetics and conservation of wolves *Canis lupus* in Europe. *Mam. Rev.* **41**(2):99-
1292 111. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2010.00176.x>
- 1293 Randler, C. 2006. Disturbances by dog barking increase vigilance in coots *Fulica atra*. *Europ. J.*
1294 *Wildl. Res.* **52**(4):265-270.
- 1295 Read, J.L., Peacock, D., Waye, A.F., and Moseby, K.E. 2015. Toxic Trojans: can feral cat
1296 predation be mitigated by making their prey poisonous? *Wildl. Res.* **42**(8):689-696.
1297 [doi:10.1071/WR15125](https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15125)
- 1298 Richgels, K.L.D., Russell, R.E., Adams, M.J., White, C.L., and Grant, E.H.C. 2016. Spatial
1299 variation in risk and consequence of *Batrachochytrium* salamandrivorans introduction in
1300 the USA. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **3**(2):150616. [doi:10.1098/rsos.150616](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150616)
- 1301 Risbey, D.A., Calver, M.C., Short, J., Bradley, J.S., and Wright, I.W. 2000. The impact of cats and
1302 foxes on the small vertebrate fauna of Heirisson Prong, Western Australia. II. A field
1303 experiment. *Wildl. Res.* **27**(3):223-235.
- 1304 Ritchie, E.G., Dickman, C.R., Letnic, M., Vanak, A.T., and Gompper, M. 2014. Dogs as
1305 predators and trophic regulators. *In* *Free-ranging dogs and wildlife conservation. Edited by*
1306 *M.E. Gompper*, Oxford University Press, London, U.K.. pp 55-68.

- 1307 Rizzo, M.F., Billeter, S.A., Osikowicz, L., Luna-Caipo, D.V., Cáceres, A.G., and Kosoy, M. 2015.
 1308 Fleas and flea-associated bartonella species in dogs and cats from Peru. *J. of Med.*
 1309 *Entomol.* **52**(6):1374–1377. <http://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjv137>
- 1310 Roseveare, C.W., Goolsby, W.D., and Foppa, I.M. 2009. Potential and actual terrestrial rabies
 1311 exposures in people and domestic animals, upstate South Carolina, 1994-2004: a
 1312 surveillance study. *BMC Public Health* **9**: 65. <http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-65>
- 1313 Roth, T.C., Cox, J.G., and Lima, S.L. 2008. Can foraging birds assess predation risk by scent?
 1314 *Anim. Behav.* **76**(6):2021-2027. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.08.022>
- 1315 Rowley, I. 1974. *Bird Life*. Collins, Sydney, Australia. pp. 284.
- 1316 RSBP. 2004. Assessment of the sustainability of industrial fisheries producing fish meal and fish
 1317 oil. Poseidon Aquatic Resource Management ltd and the University of Newcastle- Upon-
 1318 Tyne. RSBP Royal Society for the Protection of Birds. Bedfordshire, UK. pp. 105.
- 1319 Rust, N.A., Whitehouse-Tedd, K., and Douglas, C.M. 2013. Perceived efficacy of livestock-
 1320 guarding dogs in south africa: implications for cheetah conservation. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.*
 1321 **37**(4):690-697. doi:10.1002/wsb.352
- 1322 Ruxton G.D., Thomas, S., and Wright, J.W. 2002. Bells reduce predation of wildlife by domestic
 1323 cats (*Felis catus*). *J. Zool.* **256**(1):81-83. doi:10.1017/S0952836902000109
- 1324 Sakai, S., Otake, E., Toida, T., and Goda, Y. 2007. Identification of the origin of chondroitin
 1325 sulfate in health foods. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **55**(2):299-303. PMID:17268105
- 1326 Sandilyan, S. 2016. Occurrence of ornamental fishes: a looming danger for inland fish diversity of
 1327 India. *Curr. Sci.* **110**(11):2099-2104. doi:10.18520/cs/v110/i11/2099-2104

- 1328 Sarmento, P., Cruz, J., Eira, C., and Fonseca, C. 2009. Spatial colonization by
1329 feral domestic cats *Felis catus* of former wildcat *Felis silvestris silvestris* home ranges.
1330 *Acta Theriol.* **54**(1):31-38. doi:10.1007/BF03193135
- 1331 Savidge, J.A., Standford, J.W., Reed, R.N., Haddock, G.R., and Adams, A.A.Y. 2011. Canine
1332 detection of free-ranging brown treesnakes on Guam. *New Zeal. J. Ecol.* **35**(2):174-181.
1333 Available from <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70036547> [Accessed 29 November
1334 2016].
- 1335 Schultz, P.W. 2000. Empathizing with nature: The effects of perspective taking on concern for
1336 environmental issues. *J. Soc. Issues.* **56**(3):391-406. doi:10.1.1.477.4138
- 1337 Sepúlveda, M., Pelican, K., Cross, P., Eguren, A., Singer, R. 2015. Fine-scale movements of rural
1338 free-ranging dogs in conservation areas in the temperate rainforest of the coastal range of
1339 southern Chile. *Mam. Biol.* **80**(4):290-297.
- 1340 Sepúlveda, M.A., Singer, R.S., Silva-Rodríguez, E., Stowhas, P., and Pelican, K. 2014. Domestic
1341 dogs in rural communities around protected areas: conservation problem or conflict
1342 solution?. *PLoS One*, **9**(1):e86152.
- 1343 Shen, D.T., and Gorham J.R. 1980. Survival of pathogenic virus at 58C and 258C. *Vet. Med.*
1344 *Small Anim. Clin.* **75**:69–70.
- 1345 Short, J. 2016. Predation by feral cats key to the failure of a long-term reintroduction of the
1346 western barred bandicoot (*Perameles bougainville*). *Wildl. Res.* **43**(1):38-50.
- 1347 Silva-Rodríguez, E.A., and Sieving, K.E. 2011. Influence of care of domestic carnivores on their
1348 predation on vertebrates. *Conserv. Biol.* **25**(4):808-815. doi:10.1111/j.1523-
1349 1739.2011.01690.x

- 1350 Silva-Rodríguez, E.A., and Sieving, K.E. 2012. Domestic dogs shape the landscape-scale
1351 distribution of a threatened forest ungulate. *Biol. Conserv.* **150**(1): 103-110.
- 1352 Sjölander-Lindqvist A. 2015. Targeted removal of wolves: analysis of the motives for controlled
1353 hunting. *Wildl. Biol.* **21**(3):138-146. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.2981/wlb.00011>
- 1354 Smith, D.A., Ralls, K., Hurt, A., Adams, B., Parker, M., Davenport, B., Smith, M.C., and
1355 Maldonado, J.E. 2003. Detection and accuracy rates of dogs trained to find scats of San
1356 Joaquin kit foxes (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*). *Animal Conserv.* **6**(4):339-346.
1357 doi:[10.1017/S136794300300341X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S136794300300341X)
- 1358 Smith, J.A., Wang, Y., and Wilmers, C.C. 2016. Spatial characteristics of residential development
1359 shift large carnivore prey habits. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **80**:1040-1048.
1360 doi:[10.1002/jwmg.21098](https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21098)
- 1361 Soulsby, L. 2007. Antimicrobials and animal health: a fascinating nexus. *J. Antimicrob.*
1362 *Chemother.* **60**(5):i77-i78.
- 1363 Spencer, E.E., Crowther, M.S., and Dickman, C.R. 2014. Diet and prey selectivity of three species
1364 of sympatric mammalian predators in central Australia. *J. Mammal.* **95**(6):1278-1288.
- 1365 Spiller, D.A., and Schoener, T.W. 1998. Lizards reduce spider species richness by excluding rare
1366 species. *Ecology* **79**(2):503-516.
- 1367 Støen, O.G., Ordiz-Fernandez, A.A., Evans, A., Laske, T., Kindberg, J., Frøbert, O., Swenson,
1368 J.E., and Arnemo, J.M. 2015. Physiological evidence for a human-induced landscape of
1369 fear in brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Physiol. Behav.* **152**(A):244-248.
1370 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.09.030>
- 1371 Stone, P., Snell, H., and Snell, H. 1994. Behavioral diversity as biological diversity: introduced
1372 cats and lava lizard wariness. *Conserv. Biol.* **8**(2):569-573.

- 1373 Stone, N.E., Sidak-Loftis, L.C., Sahl, J.W., Vazquez, A.J., Wiggins, K.B., Gillece, J.D., Hicks,
1374 N.D., Schupp, J.M., Busch, J.D., and Keim, P. 2016. More than 50% of *Clostridium*
1375 *difficile* isolates from pet dogs in Flagstaff, USA, carry toxigenic genotypes. *PLoS One*
1376 **11**(10):e0164504. doi:10.1371/J.pone.0164504
- 1377 Stoskopf, M.K., and Nutter, F.B. 2004. Analyzing approaches to feral cat management--one size
1378 does not fit all. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **225**(9):1361–1364.
1379 <http://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2004.225.1361>
- 1380 Suma, P., La Pergola, A., Longo, S., and Soroker, V. 2014. The use of sniffing dogs for the
1381 detection of *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*. *Phytoparasitica* **42**(2):269-274.
1382 doi:10.1007/s12600-013-0330-0
- 1383 Suzán, G, Ceballos G. 2005. The role of feral mammals on wildlife infectious disease prevalence
1384 in two nature reserves within Mexico city limits. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **36**(3):479-484.
- 1385 Taborsky, M. 1988. Kiwis and dog predation: observations in Waitangi State Forest. *Notornis* **35**:
1386 197-202.
- 1387 Tacon, A.G.J., and Metian, M. 2009. Fishing for feed or fishing for food: increasing global
1388 competition for small pelagic forage fish. *AMBIO* **38**(6):294-302.
1389 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1579/08-A-574.1>
- 1390 Takahashi, Mitsuhiro A. 2004. Cats v. Birds in Japan: How to Reconcile Wildlife Conservation
1391 and Animal Protection. *Geo. Int. Environ. L. Rev.* **17**:135.
- 1392 Thomas R.L., Fellowes M.D.E., and Baker P.J. 2012. Spatio-temporal variation in predation by
1393 urban domestic cats (*Felis catus*) and the acceptability of possible management actions in
1394 the UK. *PLoS One* **7**(11):e49369. doi:10.1371/J.pone.0049369

- 1395 Timm, R.M., Baker, R.O., Bennett, J.R., and Coolahan, C.C. 2004. Coyote attacks: an increasing
1396 suburban problem. *In* Proceedings of the Twenty-First Vertebrate Pest Conference. *Edited*
1397 *by* R.M. Timm and W.P. Gorenzel, California, U.S., pp. 47-57.
- 1398 Torres, R. T., and Fonseca, C. 2016. Perspectives on the Iberian wolf in Portugal: population
1399 trends and conservation threats. *Biodiversity and Conservation*.
1400 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1061-6>
- 1401 Toukhsati, S.R., Young, E., Bennett, P.C., Coleman, G.J. 2012. Wandering cats: attitudes and
1402 behaviors towards cat containment in Australia. *Anthrozoös* **25**(1):61-74.
1403 [doi:10.2752/175303712X13240472427195](https://doi.org/10.2752/175303712X13240472427195)
- 1404 Travers, M., Clinchy, M., Zanette, L., Boonstra, R., and Williams, T.D. 2010. Indirect predator
1405 effects on clutch size and the cost of egg production. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**(8):980-988.
1406 [doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01488.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01488.x)
- 1407 Treves, A., Jurewicz, R.R., Naughton-Treves, L., and Wilcove, D.S. 2009. The price of tolerance:
1408 wolf damage payments after recovery. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **18**(14):4003–4021.
1409 [doi:10.1007/s10531-009-9695-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9695-2)
- 1410 Tschanz, B., Hegglin, D., Gloor, S., and Bontadina, F. 2011. Hunters and non-hunters: skewed
1411 predation rate by domestic cats in a rural village. *Eur. J. Wildl. Res.* **57**(3):597-602.
1412 [doi:10.1007/s10344-010-0470-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-010-0470-1)
- 1413 Tsuda, K., Kikkawa, Y., Yonekawa, H., and Tanabe, Y. 1997. Extensive interbreeding occurred
1414 among multiple matriarchal ancestors during the domestication of dogs: evidence from
1415 inter- and intraspecies polymorphisms in the D-loop region of mitochondrial DNA between
1416 dogs and wolves. *Genes Genet. Syst.* **72**(4):229-238. PMID:9418263

- 1417 Vanak A, and Gompper M.E. 2009. Dietary niche separation between sympatric free-ranging
1418 domestic dogs and Indian Foxes in Central India: Table 1. *J. Mammal.* **90**(5):1058-1065.
- 1419 Vanak A, and Gompper M.E. 2010. Interference competition at the landscape level: the effect of
1420 free-ranging dogs on a native mesocarnivore. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **47**(6):1225-1232.
- 1421 Vanak A, Thaker M, Gompper M.E. 2009. Experimental examination of behavioural interactions
1422 between free-ranging wild and domestic canids. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **64**(2):279-287.
- 1423 Vanak, A., Dickman, C.R., Silva-Rodriguez, E.A., Butler, J.R.A., and Ritchie, E.G. 2013. Top-
1424 dogs and under-dogs: competition between dogs and sympatric carnivores. *In* Free-ranging
1425 dogs and wildlife conservation. *Edited by* M.E. Gompper, Oxford University Press,
1426 London, U.K. ch. 3.
- 1427 Veitch, C.R. 2000. The eradication of feral cats (*Felis catus*) from Little Barrier Island, New
1428 Zealand. *New Zeal. J. Zool.* **28**(1):1-12.
1429 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03014223.2001.9518252>
- 1430 Viana, M., Cleaveland, S., Matthiopoulos, J., Halliday, J., Packer, C., Craft, M., Hampson, K.,
1431 Czapryna, A., Dobson, A., Dubovi, E., Ernest, E., Fyumagwa, R., Hoare, R., Hopcraft,
1432 J.G.C., Horton, D.L., Kaare, M.T., Kanellos, T., Lankester, F., Mentzel, C., Mlengeya, T.,
1433 Mzimiri, I., Takahashi, E., Willett, B., Haydon, D.T. and Lembo, T. 2015. Dynamics of a
1434 morbillivirus at the domestic–wildlife interface: Canine distemper virus in domestic dogs
1435 and lions. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **112**(5):1464-1469.
- 1436 Vigne, J.D., Guilaine, J., Debue, K., Haye, L., and Gérard, P. 2004. Early taming of the cat in
1437 Cyprus. *Science* **304**(5668):259. doi:10.1126/science.1095335

- 1438 Vitousek, M.N., Jenkins, B.R., and Safran, R.J. 2014. Stress and success: Individual differences in
1439 the glucocorticoid stress response predict behavior and reproductive success under high
1440 predation risk. *Horm. Behav.* **66**(5):812-819. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2014.11.004
- 1441 Vyas, N., Kuncir, F., and Clinton, C. 2017. Influence of Poisoned Prey on Foraging Behavior of
1442 Ferruginous Hawks. *The American Midland Naturalist* **177**(1):75-83.
1443 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031-177.1.75>
- 1444 Wald, D.M., Jacobson, S.K., and Levy, J.K. 2013. Outdoor cats: Identifying differences between
1445 stakeholder beliefs, perceived impacts, risk and management. *Biol. Conserv.* **167**: 414-424.
- 1446 Wandeler, A.I., Matter H.C., Kappeler, A., and Budde, A. 1993. The ecology of dogs and canine: a
1447 selective review. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* **12**(1):51-71.
- 1448 Wang, X., Zhang, K., Wang, Z., and Ding, Y. 2004. The decline of the Chinese giant salamander
1449 *Andrias davidianus* and implications for its conservation. *Oryx* **38**(2):197-202.
1450 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605304000341>
- 1451 Wasieri, J., Schmiedeknecht, G., Förster, C., König, M., and Reinacher, M. 2009. Parvovirus
1452 infection in a eurasian lynx (*lynx lynx*) and in a european wildcat (*Felis silvestris*
1453 *silvestris*). *J. Comp. Pathol.* **140**(2-3):203-207.
- 1454 Wasser, S.K., Hayward, L.S., Hartman, J., Booth, R.K., Broms, K., Berg, J., Seely, E., Lewis, L.,
1455 and Smith, H. 2012. Using detection dogs to conduct simultaneous surveys of Northern
1456 Spotted (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) and Barred Owls (*Strix varia*). *PLoS One* **7**(8):e42892.
1457 doi:10.1371/J.pone.0042892
- 1458 Way, J.G., Rutledge, L., Wheeldon, T. and White, B.N. 2010. Genetic characterization of eastern
1459 coyotes in eastern Massachusetts. *Northeast. Nat.* **17**(2):189-204.
1460 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1656/045.017.0202>

- 1461 Webb, J.K., Brook, B.W., and Shine, R. 2002. Collectors endanger Australia's most threatened
1462 snake, the broad-headed snake *Hoplocephalus bungaroides*. *Oryx* **36**(2):170-181.
1463 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605302000248>
- 1464 Webster J. 2007. The effect of *Toxoplasma gondii* on animal behavior: playing cat and mouse.
1465 *Schizo. Bull.* **33**(3):752-756.
- 1466 Wierzbowska, I., Hędrzak, M., Popczyk, B., Okarma, H., Crooks, K. 2016. Predation of wildlife
1467 by free-ranging domestic dogs in Polish hunting grounds and potential competition with
1468 the grey wolf. *Biol. Conserv.* **201**:1-9.
- 1469 Winter, L., and Wallace, G.E. 2006. Impacts of feral and free-ranging cats on bird species of
1470 conservation concern. *Other Pub. Wildl. Manage.* pp 28.
- 1471 Wodzicki, K. 1973. Prospects for biological control of rodent populations. *Bull. World Health*
1472 *Organ.* **48**(4):461-467. PMID: PMC2481104
- 1473 Woodroffe, R., and Donnelly, C. 2011. Risk of contact between endangered African wild dogs
1474 *Lycaon pictus* and domestic dogs: opportunities for pathogen transmission. *J. Appl. Ecol.*
1475 **48**(6):1345-1354.
- 1476 Woodroffe, R., Prager, K.C., Munson, L., Conrad, P.A., Dubovi, E.J., and Mazet, J.A. 2012.
1477 Contact with domestic dogs increases pathogen exposure in endangered African wild dogs
1478 (*Lycaon pictus*). *PloS One* **7**(1):e30099.
- 1479 Woods, M., McDonalds, R.A., and Harris, S. 2003. Predation of wildlife by domestic cats *Felis*
1480 *catus* in Great Britain. *Mammal. Rev.* **33**(2):174-188. doi:10.1046/j.1365-
1481 2907.2003.00017.x
- 1482 Woollet, D.A., Hurt, A., and Richards, N.L. 2013. The current and future roles of free-ranging
1483 detection dogs in conservation efforts. *In* Free-ranging dogs and wildlife conservation.

- 1484 *Edited by* M.E. Gompper, Oxford University Press, London, U.K. ch. 10.
- 1485 doi:10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199663217.003.0010
- 1486 Work, T.M., Massey, J.G., Lindsay, D.S., and Dubey, J.P. 2002. Toxoplasmosis in three species of
- 1487 native and introduced Hawaiian birds. *J. Parasitol.* **88**(5):1040–1042.
- 1488 [http://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395\(2002\)088\[1040:TITSON\]2.0.CO;2](http://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2002)088[1040:TITSON]2.0.CO;2)
- 1489 Work, T.M. 2016. Toxoplasma gondii antibody prevalence and two new genotypes of the parasite
- 1490 in endangered hawaiian geese (nene: *Branta sandvicensis*). *J. Wildl. Dis.* **52**(2):253-257.
- 1491 doi:10.7589/2015-09-235
- 1492 Yip, S., Dickman, C., Denny, E., and Cronin, G. 2014. Diet of the feral cat, *Felis catus*, in central
- 1493 Australian grassland habitats: do cat attributes influence what they eat? *Acta Theriol.*
- 1494 **59**(2):263-270.
- 1495 Young, J.K., Olson, K.A., Reading, R.P., Amgalaanbaatar, S., and Berger, J. 2011. Is wildlife going
- 1496 to the dogs? Impacts of feral and free-roaming dogs on wildlife
- 1497 populations. *BioSci.* **61**(2):125-132.
- 1498 Zahid, I., Grgurinovic, C., Zaman, T., De Keyzer, R., and Cayzer, L. 2012. Assessment of
- 1499 technologies and dogs for detecting insect pests in timber and forest products. *Scand.J.*
- 1500 *Forest Res.* **27**(5):492-502. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2012.657801>
- 1501
- 1502
- 1503
- 1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

Draft

Table 1. Beyond Fido and Fluffy – Other companion animal issues.

Issues	Description	Cases	References
<i>Ornamental fish</i>			
Exotic species introduction	Ornamental fish have been released into inland waters and established breeding populations	Breeding populations of ornamental fishes in Indian, South African, and Mexican waterbodies	Sandilyan 2016; Ellender et al. 2014; Mejia-mojica et al. 2012
Fish removal	Ornamental fish removal can reduce population productivity and reproduction of symbionts	Conservation threat in the Amazon	Frisch et al. 2016; Moreau and Coomes 2007
Destructive fishing methods	Ornamental fisheries may use blast fishing and chemical capture to collect ornamental fish, also resulting in by-catch	Destructive fishing has reduced coral cover in the Philippines	Feitosa et al. 2008; McManus et al. 1997
<i>Amphibians</i>			
Exotic species introduction	Amphibians represent a small proportion of pet trade but have the potential to establish invasive populations	African clawed frog establishment in southern South America	Kopecky et al. 2016; Lobos et al. 2013
Pathogen	Amphibians transported	Chytrid fungus	Martel et al. 2014;

spread	around the world can be vectors for pathogens to wild populations	transferred from Asian to European salamanders	Ip et al. 2016; Richgels et al. 2016
Harvest of protected species	Populations of amphibians have declined due to the pet trade	Over exploitation of the critically endangered Chinese giant salamander <i>Andrias davidianu</i>	Wang et al. 2004; Natusch and Lyons 2012
Reptiles			
Population decline	Reptiles such as turtles are typically sourced from the wild	The Asian Turtle Crisis or steep population declines from the Asian pet trade	Nijman et al. 2015; Lyons et al. 2013; Lyons et al. 2011
Invasive collection	Collection of reptiles can damage moist microhabitats	Low abundance of lizards in heavily disturbed areas in the United States	Goode et al. 2005; Webb et al. 2002
Birds			
Exotic species introduction	Bird escapees are common and can result in established populations	Introduced bird species into Taiwan from the bird trade	Su et al. 2016; Carrete et al. 2008
Harvest of threatened species	Many bird species harvested from tropical areas are listed by the	Illegal trade of IUCN-listed birds in Brazil	Alves et al. 2013; Herrera and Hennessey 2008

	IUCN		
<i>Mammals</i>			
Trade of threatened species	Trade of primates that are threatened	The black-headed spider monkey and the Buff-headed capuchin are both critically endangered and traded	Da Silva et al. 2016; Ortiz-Martínez and Rico-Gray 2007
Pathogen spread	Rodents carry considerable levels of pathogens and disease that can affect humans and wildlife	Rodents imported from Latin America to the United States carry numerous zoonotic pathogens	Bueno et al. 2016; Lescano et al. 2015
<i>Invertebrates</i>			
Exotic species introduction	Introduction into waterbodies where they can infect and outcompete native fauna	Snails and crayfish have established or have the potential to do so where kept as pets	Karatayev et al. 2009; Patoka et al. 2014

Table 2. Potential conservation benefits of domesticated cats and dogs.

Benefit	Description	Advantages	Example
Biological control agents	The use of cats and dogs to manage invasive or pest species abundance	This method can be highly effective at eradicating the pest species	Cats removed rabbits from 11% of islands where rabbits were introduced (Flux 1993)
Wildlife detection	Dogs can be trained to identify evidence of rare or at-risk species	Detection can be very efficient and accurate	Identified scats of endangered kit foxes in San Joaquin (Smith et al. 2003)
Attitudes towards nature	Pet ownership can have an important role in developing human relationships with nature	Pet ownership can foster greater understanding, appreciation, and connectedness towards nature	People with pets had a greater 'nature-relatedness' score (Nisbet et al. 2009)
Education and exposure to animals	Interactions with pets can shape a child's understanding of animals	Children with pets have earlier learning of biological concepts	Pre-school aged children with pets attributed biological properties to animals (Geerdts et al. 2015)
Environmental	Pet ownership is	Positive attitudes	Pet owners may be

stewardship	linked to positive attitudes towards nature (Prokop et al. 2011)	towards nature will increase the likelihood a person acts respectfully towards the environment (Schultz 2000)	more likely to pick up litter, for example
-------------	--	---	--

Draft

Pictorial examples of some of the ways in which it may be possible to achieve greater harmony between domesticated cats and dogs, and wildlife conservation. A) Voluntarily keeping pets inside or abiding by “pet curfews” (at night) can reduce the potential for domesticated animals to interact with wildlife. B) Keeping pets on a leash when taken outdoors can minimize the amount they disturb wildlife. C) Clipping a pet’s nails can make them less effective at hunting. D) Wearing a bell can alert potential prey to the presence of the animal. E) Feeding pets properly can reduce hunger-driven hunting. F) Vaccinating pets at the veterinarian can decrease the likelihood of infections and subsequent transfer of disease to wildlife. Photos taken by W.Twardek.

297x419mm (150 x 150 DPI)