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ABSTRACT:
This paper presents a study aiming at understanding the relationship between the spatiotemporal characteristics of road weather conditions and a number of RWIS stations using real-world case studies. Semivariogram models are constructed to determine the spatial variability of road weather conditions, especially, autocorrelation range which describes a separation distance at which the measurements are no longer correlated to each other. An optimal RWIS density is then determined through an optimization process that minimizes the total inference errors across the underlying road network. The findings suggest that the regions with less varied topography tend to have a longer spatial correlation range than the regions with more varied topography. The study further reveals that the range of spatial autocorrelation is related to the optimal density of RWIS network – the region with a longer range requires a less number of RWIS stations, than the region having a shorter range.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Road weather information systems (RWIS) can be defined as a combination of advanced technologies that collect, transmit, process, and disseminate road weather and condition information to help winter road maintenance (WRM) personnel make timely and proactive winter maintenance decisions. An RWIS collects data using environmental sensor stations (ESS), makes roadway-related nowcasting and forecasting, and disseminates the resulting information to the users. An RWIS ESS (henceforth called an RWIS station) connotes a stationary station, which usually consists of atmospheric, pavement, and/or water-level monitoring sensors. Measurements from a typical RWIS station includes air/surface temperature, visibility, wind speed, and road surface condition data, collected at 10-20 minute intervals. Availability of these data not only enables the use of cost-effective WRM, but also helps motorists make more informed decisions for their travel. Likewise, highway maintenance personnel are better assisted in their decision making process to facilitate timely and effective deployment of labor, material, and equipment during the course of current or upcoming snow storm events. For example, information on road surface temperature (RST) allows winter maintenance personnel to predict when and where ice or frost is likely to form on a roadway (Shao et al. 1997)

Despite these benefits, RWIS stations are expensive to deploy and maintain. The average installation cost could be as high as $100k per station (depending on the type and number of sensors included). With budget limitation, RWIS stations must be installed strategically so that the total costs are minimized while the data from the network is still representative enough under various road weather and conditions (Manfredi et al. 2005). Hence, designing of a suitable RWIS network is of critical importance, determining the cost-effectiveness of the end total system. A
few studies have been conducted in the past to develop models for locating a given number of RWIS stations (Jin et al. 2014; Kwon et al. 2014; Kwon and Fu 2013; Zhao et al. 2015). However, there are very few guidelines currently available providing information on the optimal density and spacing of RWIS stations for any given region. A single reference most widely being adopted is the RWIS sitting guideline made available by FHWA in 2008, which recommends an average spacing of 30-50km along a roadway (Manfredi et al. 2008). However, this recommendation appears to be originated from the existing practice and experience with little scientific justifications. Intuitively, the number of RWIS stations required for a region depends on the spatiotemporal variability of the region. Regions with winter weather conditions of high spatial variability would require a higher number of RWIS stations than those with uniform weather conditions. Currently, authorities responsible for RWIS planning have no reference available to assist them deciding the optimal density for their regions.

The objective of this study is to investigate the hypothesis that the optimal RWIS density or spacing of a region is dependent of the spatial variability of the road weather conditions of the region. To examine this hypothesis, a geostatistical approach is introduced to characterize the spatial variability of a variable of interest over a given region, which is subsequently applied to determine the corresponding optimal RWIS density or spacing for the region. The hypothesis is then assessed by comparing the correlation between the optimal RWIS density and the variability parameter.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The following section explains the spatial variability of road weather conditions. The third section explains the semivariogram modelling approach and its application for determining the optimal density, followed by a discussion of
case studies in the fourth section. The last section highlights the conclusions and provides
recommendations for future work.

2. SPATIAL VARIABILITY OF ROAD WEATHER CONDITIONS

As described previously, the first requirement for the proposed investigation is to quantify the
spatial variability of a variable of interest representing the road weather conditions. Thermal
mapping (TM) is a conventional technique that aims to quantify spatial variations of road surface
conditions on any given stretch of roads (Gustavsson 1999). TM makes it possible to precisely
identify common trouble spots that may require more frequent monitoring and additional
maintenance treatments (Zwahlen 2013). Nevertheless, it requires substantial amount of time
and effort, particularly for cities that are in need of a large-scale implementation, posing a
significant limitation of its applicability at the regional level.

In recent decades, geostatistics, or the study of regionalized variables (ReV), has gained
popularity for use in quantifying the spatial structure by modelling a semivariogram based on the
information provided by a sampling of ReV of interest (Journel and Huijbregts 1978; Goovaerts
1997; Goovaerts 1999). The main difference between the classical statistics and geostatistics is
the assumption that lies on the notion of existence of spatial autocorrelation – measurements
collected nearby tend to be similar and their differences increase as their separation distances
increase (Olea, 2006). Numerous past studies have implemented the geostatistical technique
known as kriging to appreciate the spatial autocorrelation (spatial continuity) of ReV of interest,
and to provide better estimates than other conventional statistical methods (Prakash and Singh
1998; Cameron and Hunter 2002; Nunes et al. 2004; Yet et al. 2006).
Without loss of generality, we select road surface temperature (RST) as the variable of interest. RST is considered to be one of the most important and widely used variables necessary for improved winter road maintenance services. Accurate estimation of such is critical for generating reliable road weather conditions forecast and predicting black-ice potentials as it can help dramatically reduce the risk of motor vehicle accidents during winter months. The spatial variability of RST can be modelled by semivariogram using available observations recorded at a set of sampling sites. A commonly used semivariogram estimator is as follows (Olea 2006):

\[ \hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{2n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n(h)} [z(x_i + h) - z(x_i)]^2 \]  

Where \( \hat{\gamma}(h) \) is the sample semivariogram, \( z(x_i) \) is a measurement taken at location \( x_i \), and \( n(h) \) is the number of pairs of observations separated by the lag \( h \) with a given orientation. The number of pairs included in semivariogram estimation should, at least, be equal to 30 as set by Journel and Huijbret (1978). Likewise, the lag distance of the sample semivariogram should be constrained to half the diameter in the sampling domain for all directions of analyses (Journel and Huijbret 1978). An important assumption of the above estimator is the absence of any systematic variations; hence if there exist any spatial patterns, then they must be removed first to be trend-free. An example of the sample variogram is illustrated in Figure 1.

**Fig. 1. An example of a typical semivariogram**

Where,

- **nugget effect** is the micro-scale variation or measurement error that is estimated from the empirical semivariogram as the value of \( \gamma(h) \) for \( h = 0 \) (i.e., any spatial variability that exists at a distance smaller than the shortest distance of two measurements),

- **Sill** is the limit of \( \gamma(h) \) representing the variance of the random field, and
range is the distance at which data are no longer autocorrelated or the level of the plateau (if it exists).

Typically, a functional model is fit to the sample semivariograms, and the three most commonly employed models considered in this study are as follows (Olea 2006).

Exponential: $Ex(h) = C \left(1 - e^{-\frac{3h}{a}}\right)$

Gaussian: $G(h) = C \left(1 - e^{-\frac{3(h/2)^3}{a}}\right)$

Spherical: $Sp(h) = \begin{cases} C\left(\frac{3h}{2a} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^3\right), & 0 \leq |h| < |a| \\ C, & |a| \leq |h| \end{cases}$

where $a$, $h$, and $C$ represent range, lag, and sill, respectively.

Since it is critical to select the model that best replicates the shape of the spatial variability over the region of interest, one needs to assess the goodness of fit for each model. Cross validation is a verification process in which each observation is removed with replacement to produce an estimate at the same site of the removal (Olea 1999). The error incurred in this process is measured by taking the difference between the actual and the estimated values. This process continues until all observations are tested. There are some statistical measures reported in this study to confirm the validity of the fitted model, and some are root-mean-square-error (RMSE), which indicates how closely the fitted model predicts the measured values (i.e., smaller the better), and mean standardized errors (MSE) which represent the average of the prediction standard errors, and the mean of the standardized errors (i.e., closer to zero the better),
respectively. For more information on semivariogram modelling, readers are referred to a comprehensive guide made available by Olea (2006).

3. EFFECT OF RWIS DENSITY ON SPATIAL INFERENCE ERRORS

To determine an optimal density of an RWIS network, it is important to first choose appropriate criteria so that the suitability of any given density can be evaluated. In our previous effort (Kwon et al. 2016), we had attempted to address the problem of finding the optimal location for a given set of RWIS stations. The problem is formulated as an integer programming problem with the objective of minimizing the weighted total of two objectives, namely, minimizing total network-wide inference errors and maximizing the total traffic exposure. The most intriguing part of this method lies with the way in which inference errors are calculated via one of the most renowned geostatistical methods known as kriging. Kriging is a geostatistical technique that provides a best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) for variables that have tendency to vary over space and time (Yeh et al. 2006). This so-called hybrid interpolation method not only provides estimates but also kriging variance (i.e., estimation errors) at unvisited sites based on a set of available observations by characterizing and quantifying spatiotemporal variability over the area of interest (Goovaerts, 1997). For this reason, use of kriging variance has long been favored by many researchers for optimizing various sampling design strategies concerning various environmental and meteorological parameters (van Groenigen et al. 1999; Nunes et al 2007; Yeh et al. 2006; Melles et al 2011). Considering the nature of the optimization problems mentioned above, only heuristic algorithms are viable to solve large-sized problems (Revelle et al. 2008). Heuristic approaches such as genetic algorithm and spatial annealing combine the search of good or fair quality solutions with the limited computation time for solving complex...
and large-scale problems (i.e., non-deterministic polynomial-time hard) by removing the constraint of achieving a globally optimum solution (Amorim et al. 2012).

In this study, we focus only on the variable of interest - road surface temperature (RST) with the goal of minimizing the total spatial inference errors over the road network. Because this error generally follows a decreasing function of the total number of RWIS stations or density (although there may exist some peaks in its pattern), the optimal density cannot be decided solely based on this error minimization criterion. Instead, the opposing force – total installation costs must be considered. However, due to the challenge of converting the inference error to monetary benefit, we decided to identify the optimal density indirectly from the relationship between inference error and the number of RWIS stations. The process includes the following key steps:

- **Estimate the semivariogram model** based on available observations following the process described in the previous section;

- **Find the optimal location** for any given number of RWIS stations: as described in Kwon et al. (2016), kriging variance of RST is calculated to reflect the needs for locating RWIS stations for improved winter road maintenance operations (i.e., locations with higher estimation errors require more attention than others with lower errors), and sum of average kriging variance should consequently be minimized. There are a few different kriging models available and the model considered in this study is called universal kriging, a hybrid geostatistical technique, which forms a basis of point observations and regression of the target variable on spatially exhaustive auxiliary information (Hengl et al. 2007);
The optimization problem is solved using a combinatorial optimization algorithm called spatial simulated annealing (SSA). SSA is a spatial counterpart to simulated annealing (SA, Kirkpatrick et al. 1983), specifically designed to optimize sampling designs of environmental variables using kriging. SA is a stochastic metaheuristic search algorithm first proposed by Metropolis et al. (1953) and mimics the annealing of metal. SA is fundamentally same as Monte Carlo annealing, probabilistic hill climbing, statistical cooling, and stochastic relaxation (Aarts and Korst 1989). The term “annealing” is related to the metallurgical process of metal alloy heating and relaxed cooling to increase toughness and reduce brittleness (Goovaerts 1997). In searching for the optimality, this algorithm utilizes a vector $h$ to control the direction and the length, with which random perturbations are made iteratively until some user-defined stopping conditions (i.e., number of iterations) are met. It is this unique generation mechanism that has made the algorithm more attractive when dealing with optimizing a sensor network in a two-dimensional geographic space (Brus and Heuvelink 2007; Mohammadi et al. 2012; Amorim et al. 2012; Pereira et al. 2013). Also, following the recommendations made by the prior researchers, the study area will be discretized into a grid system so that computational effort can be significantly reduced (ensure convergence) while maintaining an acceptable level of spatial representativeness. If the reader needs more information how the algorithm works, see Kirkpatrick et al. (1983), and van Groenigen et al. (1999).
4. CASE STUDIES

4.1 Study Sites and Data Processing

The proposed approaches are examined via four case studies covering one Canadian province (Ontario), and three US states (Utah, Minnesota, and Iowa) using various dataset provided by each region under investigation. These four regions are a good candidate as they already have a well distributed and dense RWIS network with distinctive and unique meteorological (lake effect) and topographical (mountainous) characteristics, from which more reliable assessments (e.g., analysis of spatial variability from RWIS measurements) can be realized. The findings from each region should provide sensible guidelines and measures as to how the optimal location and density would vary from one region to another.

Ontario is the second largest Canadian province, situated in east-central Canada, and has a continental climate like most other provinces of Canada. Northern Ontario has long, very cold winters and short summers whereas the southern part enjoys the tempering effect of the Great Lakes. Southwestern Ontario is in the Mixedwood Plains that is typically flat with rolling hills. To its north contains the Hudson Plains consisting mainly of flat and wet surface. Utah is situated in the Mountain States (also called the Mountain West) from one of the nine geographic divisions of the United States. Because of its geographic location, Utah has extremely varied topography with a large portion of the State being mountainous. The lowest area is the Virgin River Valley in the southwestern part with altitude of 750m, while the highest points lies in the Uinta Mountains with altitude higher than 4000m. Utah is also known for very diverse climates – for instance, there are definite variations in temperature with altitude and with latitude. Average temperature differences between the southern and northern counties at around similar altitudes...
typically range between 6 and 8 degrees with the northern counties having lower temperatures. The topographies of Iowa and Minnesota, on the other hand, consist mainly of rolling plain and flat prairie. The differences of their lowest and highest altitudes are also small, ranging from the lowest points of 183m and 146m to the highest points of 702m and 509m for Minnesota and Iowa, respectively.

As for the data used in this study, Ontario, Utah, Minnesota, and Iowa, which currently have 140, 96, 97, and 67 RWIS stations well distributed over their regional road network, respectively, provided historical RWIS observations collected at 10 to 15-min intervals over three consecutive winters (October to March). Figure 2 depicts the four study areas with existing RWIS stations and road network shown in red circles and black lines, respectively. The data came stratified by individual stations each containing measurements including the variable of interest – road surface temperature (RST). To assure the validity of the data, a data quality check was performed and definite outliers (i.e., -9999 °C) recorded as a result of sensor malfunctions were removed. The data from the four study areas together contained nearly 80 million rows of data; hence VBA scripts were written to process the entire datasets, returning a seasonal and a monthly average of RST stratified by station. These processed datasets will be an input to constructing a semivariogram model on two different temporal units, monthly and seasonal. It is worthwhile noting that use of finer temporal aggregation units (i.e., hourly / daily) could result in different correlation patterns, whereby making the results more suitable at the operational level. However, the aggregation units selected in this study are deemed more appropriate as the primary focus is on optimizing a long-term stationary RWIS network, which are more suitable at the network-wide planning level.
Fig. 2. Study areas under investigation and the existing RWIS networks: (a) Ontario, (b) Iowa, (c) Minnesota, and (d) Utah. (Map Source: Esri 2015)

4.2 Semivariograms of Seasonal and Monthly RST

To investigate the spatial correlation range of the RWIS measurement, the processed RST data were implemented as per the general modelling guidelines discussed earlier. Locational attributes (i.e., latitude and longitude) of each RWIS station were extracted and implemented to de-trend any existing patterns. Semivariogram models were calibrated using R with packages gstat (Pebesma 2004) and automap (Hiemstra et al. 2009).

Figure 3 shows the sample and fitted semivariogram models using the seasonal RST data and Table 1 provides a summary of semivariogram model parameters including sill, nugget, and range, and cross-validation results illustrating the accuracy of the fitted models for both monthly and seasonal data.

MAE and RMSE represent mean absolute error and root mean squared error. COR indicates the correlation between the predicted and observed values (ideally 1). As anticipated, spatial correlation of RST at Iowa and Minnesota (Figure 3b & 3c) having relatively less varied topography is shown to have a longer spatial correlation range suggesting that on average, the RST measurements at those two regions vary less (thus more predictable) when compared to those at Utah undergoing more varied topography. In addition, Ontario with a moderate topographic variability has a spatial correlation range falling between the ranges of other three regions. Likewise, the spatial structure of RST from Utah is less stable and tends to fluctuate in a greater range (in y-axis) as the separation distance increases, whereas the other two regions have a less fluctuation of semivariances contributing to the higher prediction power.
Table 1: Summary of Semivariogram Parameters and Cross-validation Using Monthly and Seasonal RST for All Study Sites

Another inference that can be made by observing the resulting statistics is that for all four regions, the discrepancies tend to be relatively higher for shoulder months (i.e. October and March) than non-shoulder months (i.e. November, December, January, and February). This could be due to the fact that the weather patterns typically vary in a wider range over these shoulder months, making it more difficult to have accurate predictions. Furthermore, during these months, spatial continuity of the weather-related variable (RST) is also affected, resulting in a shorter range. The mean range using the monthly data for Ontario, Iowa, Utah, and Minnesota are found to be 78.72km, 101.91km, 34.93km, and 93.24km, respectively, which generally agree with the average ranges found using the seasonal data (72.84km, 90.48km, 40.47km, and 95.47km for Ontario, Iowa, Utah, and Minnesota, respectively). Slightly different ranges resulted from using the monthly data could be due to the generalization or aggregation of all monthly RST data.

4.3 Optimal Density and Its Relationship to Spatial Variability

The proposed optimization model was first implemented in designing an optimal RWIS network using the kriging variance of the seasonal RST data as an optimization criterion (i.e., minimization of kriging variance). A demand surface was discretized into a uniform grid having a cell size of 1km by 1km. Since an RWIS station should not be placed anywhere other than a regional road network, the solution space was limited to the road network. For each region, the constrained optimization was run in an iterative fashion by adding one additional RWIS station
to the network and its corresponding fitness value was recorded. The optimization continued until the total number of stations reached 350 – an arbitrary number ensuring that the key pattern in error-density relationship is fully revealed. For each run, a total number of iterations was set to 5,000 but an additional stopping criterion was used to terminate the run whenever there was lack of progress in improving the fitness function (i.e., marginal difference between the current and previous solutions). In addition, probability of accepting a worsening solution was set to 0.2 as recommended by the prior studies (Kirpatrick 1984; Brus and Heuvelink 2007; Heuvelink et al. 2010) to avoid being trapped in local minima. For each study region, the average running time was approximately 3 days by Windows operating desktop computer running with a 3.39 GHz processor and 8.00 GB of RAM. R was used as a base platform for running all the optimization in this study.

To enforce a valid and fair comparison, the fitness values were normalized and the number of stations added to the network was converted to the number of station per unit areas (10,000km$^2$). This was necessary because the total area of each study area was different, thus comparing the fitness value directly to the number of stations added will not be considered valid. Figure 4 shows the comparison of the optimization results as a function of pre-specified density for all four regions.

A quick visual inspection of Figure 4 shows that Iowa and Minnesota both having a less varied topography requires a less number of stations per unit area of 10,000km$^2$, while Utah (more varied topography) requires a considerably more number of stations to achieve the comparable objective function values. Likewise, Ontario with moderately varied topographic characteristics requires a more number than Iowa and Minnesota but a less number than Utah. Another important conclusion that can be drawn is that regions with a longer spatial continuity...
(Iowa and Minnesota as defined in semivariograms) will require a less number of stations to cover the same area than a region with a much shorter spatial continuity (Utah). This makes intuitive sense since the measurements taken at a less varied topographic region will be able to represent and cover a larger area. Given the shape of the all four curves, it is quite challenging to pinpoint the optimal density. Instead, a rate of change was calculated for every point and when the change is around 3% (again, an arbitrary number selected for a comparison only), the corresponding density was considered as the optimal density. As a result, Iowa, Minnesota, Ontario would require 2.0, 2.2, and 2.9 stations per every 10,000 km², respectively, whereas Utah would need 4.5 stations to cover the same area, indicating that a topographically varied region will likely need about 2 times more the number of RWIS stations required by a less varied region. Using the numbers generated, it is also possible to evaluate and assess whether one will need to install additional RWIS stations to provide a good coverage in terms of the monitoring capability of road surface temperature. Considering the size of each study area covered herein, Utah, Iowa, Minnesota, and Ontario would benefit by expanding their network by installing additional 59, 16, 38, and 72 RWIS stations, respectively. It must be noted that such recommendations are based on optimization criteria used in this study, and can change when different variables are used in the optimization process and when the land use characteristics are considered. For instance, many northern areas in Ontario have no or less road network, thus in practice, it may not be necessary to install RWIS stations in those areas.

**Fig. 4.: Normalized estimation errors as a function of RWIS density for the four study areas**

To further test the aforementioned hypothesis, the relationship between the optimal number of RWIS station required per unit area (10,000 km²) and the semivariogram parameter - range is
examined, as illustrated in Figure 5. Although the relationship relies on a small number of case studies, it reveals a clear linkage between the two measures, demonstrating the usability of the correlation range in any given area for conveniently determining the station density. For instance, if the analysis of interest is the number of stations per unit area, a region with 60km range (for the given variable of interest) would require, on average, 3.5 RWIS stations per every 10,000 km² so as to have an adequate coverage. There is no doubt that more case studies are required to obtain a promising result, it certainly provides valuable information, particularly for highway authorities initiating a state-wide RWIS implementation plan.

**Fig. 5. A linear relationship of range vs density**

### 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

RWIS network represents a critical infrastructure for most transportation agencies. Design of an effective RWIS network for a region depends on a good understanding of the regional road weather patterns. In this research, we have investigated the hypothesized relationship between the spatiotemporal variability of road weather conditions of a region and the number of RWIS stations required to provide adequate coverage of the region. An empirical study was conducted using data from three US states and one Canadian province with different weather and topographical characteristics: Iowa and Minnesota (plain), Ontario (plain and rolling) and Utah (mountainous). One of the key road weather condition parameters - road surface temperature (RST) - was considered in the subsequent geostatistical analysis and RWIS location optimization. Their spatiotemporal characteristics were quantified by constructing semivariograms, which were examined to estimate the spatial correlation ranges. The respective spatial structures constructed based on seasonal RST measurements were first used to determine the optimal RWIS network (configuration) for each additional RWIS station added to the network. The
RWIS station location optimization was formulated on a basis of minimizing the spatially averaged kriging estimation errors (kriging variance) of RST measurements. The optimization results were then used to produce the RWIS density curve for each region. The main finding are summarized as follows:

- An investigation of spatial autocorrelations of RST measurements on two temporal units revealed that the ranges for plain regions were found to be comparable - average ranges determined using the monthly and seasonal data were 101.91km and 90.48km for Iowa and 98.24km and 95.47km for Minnesota, respectively. In contrast, an average range for mountainous regions was found to be 34.93km (monthly) and 40.47km (seasonal), which are much shorter than those found for plain regions indicating lower spatial continuity. Furthermore, Ontario with mixed terrain (rolling plain) was found to have a moderate range - 78.72km and 72.84km using the monthly and seasonal data, respective.

- A general pattern was observed for all four study sites that the ranges during shoulder months (October and March) were relatively shorter than the rest of the months, implying that the RST in these shoulder months had higher spatial variation.

- A visual inspection of the four density curves shows that the number of stations required per unit area (10,000km$^2$) is less in Iowa and Minnesota, than that in Utah, indicating that a larger number of stations would be required in a mountainous region to cover the same area located in a plain region. This finding has also confirmed the positive correlation between the optimal RWIS density and the semivariogram parameter – the average range.

- An optimal density was determined by assuming that it occurred when the percentage of the rate of changes were about 3%. As a result, Iowa and Minnesota would require, on average,
2 stations while Utah would require around 8 stations per unit area, suggesting that mountainous regions such as Utah are in need of 4 times more the number of RWIS stations than the plain regions. It was also found that Ontario with mixed topographical characteristics would require about 4 stations per unit area.

- The findings also suggest that there is a strong dependency between the RWIS density and the spatial correlation parameter – range. The regions with less varied topography tend to have a longer spatial correlation range than the region with more varied topography.

Further research is recommended in the following specific directions:

- First, more case studies should be conducted to regions with different climatic and topographical characteristics such that more conclusive results can be obtained. With a sufficient number of case studies, it is possible to develop a quantitative relationship between the optimal spacing and semivariogram range.

- Second, more datasets covering a larger temporal range (i.e., 10 years) would be required to improve the level of confidence on the findings presented herein.

- Third, other key road weather condition variables such as snow/ice cover, visibility, and friction should be investigated to evaluate their correlation ranges for their comparability with the ones obtained using RST measurements.

- Fourth, the optimization output was derived from using a single semivariogram that is assumed to be homogeneous over the entire area. This rather strong assumption may hold true in smaller regions but may not be true of larger regions with diverse climates (e.g., Ontario). To avoid such shortcomings, a more detailed analysis should be carried out to
identify if a region under investigation needs to be represented by two or more semivariogram models.

- Lastly, traffic-related factors including accident rate, highway class, or traffic volume should be considered when designing an optimal RWIS network so as to obtain a more balanced network satisfying the needs of winter maintenance groups as well as the needs of travelling public.

Nevertheless, the findings from this study should provide a useful reference for highway authorities to better decide the average spacing (range) and the density (number of stations per unit area) as per the topographical characteristics of their regions when developing a statewide RWIS implementation and/or expansion plan.
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Table 1: Summary of Semivariogram Parameters and Cross-validation Using Monthly and Seasonal RST for All Study Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Month/Seasonal</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Nugget</th>
<th>Sill</th>
<th>Range (km)</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>88.96</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>142.71</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>106.08</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>111.63</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>61.95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>90.48</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>21.39</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>50.29</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>33.74</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>40.47</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>62.68</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>133.60</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>83.59</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>116.26</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>114.31</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>78.99</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>95.47</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>43.21</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>97.29</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>102.50</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>99.29</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>70.28</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>59.76</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>Gau</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>72.84</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>