The Boreal-Temperate Forest Ecotone Response to Climate Change

Journal:	<i>Environmental Reviews</i>
Manuscript ID	er-2017-0009.R2
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-May-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Evans, Piers; Memorial University of Newfoundland, Geography Brown, Carissa; Memorial University of Newfoundland, Geography
Keyword:	boreal forest, temperate forest, climate change, range shift, biotic interactions

1 The Boreal-Temperate Forest Ecotone Response to Climate Change

2 Author Names: Piers Evans and Carissa D. Brown

3 Author Addresses: Department of Geography, Memorial University, 230 Elizabeth

4 Avenue, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1B 3X9

5 Corresponding author: Carissa D. Brown

6 Emails:

7 Evans: pe7572@mun.ca

8 Brown: carissa.brown@mun.ca

9

10

Draft

11 Abstract:

12 A warming global climate will elicit changes in the distribution of plant species around
13 the planet and this will become most apparent where biomes converge. Climate exerts the
14 strongest control over the geographic location of ecotones at the continental scale and
15 many, including the boreal forest-temperate forest ecotone (BTE), are expected to shift to
16 higher latitudes under climate change. Fine-scale drivers that define biome boundaries at
17 the sub-continental scale are less well understood for many ecotones. We assembled
18 studies addressing whether a modern distributional shift is occurring at the BTE and what
19 biotic and abiotic factors are driving such a shift. Current research suggests a northward
20 shift is occurring; yet, scant data are available to identify the processes involved. Abiotic
21 and biotic factors are repeatedly identified as key drivers of change, though not all claims
22 are evidence-supported and the interacting effects of these non-climatic factors are poorly
23 understood.

24

25 Keywords:

26 ecotone, boreal forest, temperate forest, climate change, range shift

The global climate is warming at a faster rate at high latitudes than it is farther south (Stocker et al. 2013) and the effects of this change on forests are expected to be complex and varied. The implications of climate warming on plant distribution patterns seem, on the surface, to be obvious: as the climate at higher latitudes and elevations changes, plant distributions should shift in concert. Strong correlations between recent climate warming trends and latitudinal and elevational shifts in species' distributions have been found in many systems (Walther et al. 2005, Chen et al. 2011, Boisvert-Marsh et al. 2014), yet the complexity of species' responses are becoming increasingly apparent (Lafleur et al. 2010, HilleRisLambers et al. 2013) and the simplified view of a directional shift fails to appreciate the unevenness with which changes in regional climate are occurring (Walther et al. 2002). A commonality across biomes is the occurrence of time lags in climate-induced range shifts; these time lags appear to vary by species and geographic and topographic situation (Parmesan and Yohe 2003, Bertrand et al. 2011, Savage and Vellend 2014). Global-scale predictions of plant distribution patterns are therefore spatially general at best, and even regionalized inferences of future plant distributions based on climate predictions should be considered with caution (Walther et al. 2002).

The boreal forest biome has one of the largest geographic footprints of any terrestrial biome on the planet, encircling the globe in the northern latitudes (Olson et al. 2001). To date, range shift research in this biome has predominately focussed on the advance of boreal tree species into tundra or alpine habitats (i.e., treeline advance; see Harsch et al. 2009), or the species-specific responses of temperate tree species (e.g., Zhu et al. 2012). An ecotone that has received less attention, yet which is a critical transition

between two economically, culturally, and ecologically important biomes, is the southern boreal forest-northern temperate forest ecotone (herein referred to as BTE; Goldblum and Rigg 2010). The temperate forest biome is not nearly as expansive as the boreal forest, and so the BTE exists only in eastern North America, northern Europe and European Russia and eastern Asia (Pastor and Mladenoff 1992). The BTE is still largely intact in North America, contrary to Europe and eastern Asia where human activities such as agricultural expansion and logging have eliminated or modified large tracts of ecotone forest (Pastor and Mladenoff 1992, Goldblum and Rigg 2010), creating substantial hurdles to our understanding of this ecotone.

Pastor (2016) warns of the disassembly of the BTE as plant species' shifts with climate will be asynchronous both spatially and phenologically with dependent fauna, causing the dismantling of ecological communities. In order to accurately predict the shift of the boundary between temperate and boreal forests, which has considerable implications for ecosystem function and processes, a continental and global understanding of the actual response of the BTE to recent warming is needed. To that end, we see three critical questions for which a synthesis is needed: 1) What determines the current position of the BTE? 2) How has this ecotone changed in the past? 3) How will the BTE change in the future? We approached these questions by synthesizing current knowledge on temperate tree species response to climate change at the boreal-temperate ecotone using a standardized, focussed literature search. Specifically, we investigated whether a modern distributional shift has occurred in the BTE across the globe, identified the most common biotic and abiotic factors involved in limiting or

facilitating this shift, and assessed whether knowledge gaps exist for specific regions, species, and processes.

We had a number of expectations prior to our literature synthesis. Loehle (2000) showed that ecotone response to climate warming along a latitudinal transect would be slow, exhibiting a lag effect due to resistance of the retreating forest biome. At the BTE, we anticipated that lag would be due to a combination of abiotic and biotic factors: i) the porous structure of moss- and lichen-dominated boreal substrates would limit the germination and establishment of temperate tree species; and ii) the palatability of large-seeded temperate tree species (e.g., *Acer* species) would result in their preferential predation by small mammals in boreal forest sites. We also expected that range expansion of species with distributions that predominately occur in the BTE (rather than centred in the temperate forest biome; see Table 1) could be an early indicator of temperate forest shifts into historically boreal forest stands, as they are already established in marginal environments for temperate species. To our knowledge, this is the first global synthesis to date of the response of the boreal-temperate ecotone to recent climate change (but see Goldblum and Rigg 2010 for excellent review on the North American portion of this ecotone). Such a synthesis is critical, given recent rapid climate change and subsequent ecosystem shifts and community re-organization occurring globally (Beckage et al. 2008, Hobbs et al. 2009, Jump et al. 2012, Trant and Hermanutz 2014).

The boreal-temperate ecotone

Before we begin dissecting how this ecotone is changing, a clear picture of the general principles governing its location and spatial extent are needed. An over-arching theme of all ecotones is the inter-specific competition that takes place under considerable

environmental stress, as these areas are typically where distributional range edges converge (see Table 1 for species list; Burns and Honkala 1990 and references therein). The North American BTE (Fig. 1a) offers some exception to this, as the BTE is wide enough here to host the majority of some tree species' distributional range (Pastor and Mladenoff 1992). The European BTE (Fig. 1b) is comparatively narrower, and much more fragmented due to anthropogenic activities. The latter can also be said about the Asian BTE, which compounds the difficult definition of the ecotone with its predominant occurrence along spatially distributed elevational gradients rather than a continuous latitudinal gradient (Fig. 1c; BTE not defined; Ohsawa 1990).

The BTE is located in the mid to high latitudes, which means it will be exposed to large increases in both mean annual temperature and annual precipitation over the next century (Stocker et al. 2013). These are important factors affecting the location of the BTE, although the exact combination of agents that act to define its location (e.g., climatic, topographical, edaphic, or otherwise) are not yet wholly understood (Goldblum and Rigg 2010, Graignic et al. 2014). Arris and Eagleson (1989) found a strong association between the mean annual -40°C minimum isotherm and the BTE in North America, yet the same relationship was not as strong in Europe or Asia. Even so, there is ample evidence that at the continent scale, climate is the most significant factor determining the location of the BTE (Woodward and Williams 1987). To date, work on the current and future impact of the most recent warming on the BTE has been primarily regionally focused.

Contemporary BTE change

We reviewed the literature pertaining to the response of the boreal-temperate forest ecotone (methods summarized below), and revealed three key findings: 1) approximately one-third of the studied BTE species and communities had evidence of a distributional shift in the direction predicted by climate change (Table S1); 2) while climate is a dominant driver of species' distributions in the BTE, non-climatic factors play a critical role in determining a species' ability to respond to changing climatic conditions; and 3) scant data are available on the response of the BTE to climate change or the mechanisms driving that response. Here, we discuss those findings further.

Literature synthesis of BTE response to recent climate change.

We used the Scopus online database to search the literature published prior to February, 2015 using the search terms focussed on “temperate”, “boreal”, measures of range expansion, migration, or shifts (see Supplementary Materials for full literature search methods and parameters). This search produced 1,095 titles, which were then scanned to identify articles relevant to the subject of this study, producing a list of 123 candidate papers. To ensure that as many relevant articles were included as possible, subsequent searches were performed on the Scopus database using search terms that included resurvey and elevational gradient studies, which we found were not captured by our initial search. We also searched specifically for climate-response studies on species whose ranges centre in the BTE, rather than belonging to one biome or another, identified by Pastor and Mladenoff (1992; see Table 1 for species list). These secondary searches produced a further 18 relevant publications. Finally, we scanned the reference lists of relevant publications for titles that the primary or secondary searches may have missed.

This produced an additional 56 articles, raising the total of relevant articles in our study to 178.

Papers were individually evaluated to determine suitability for the current study. The response variable of interest was the detection, or lack thereof, of temperate tree establishment in boreal forest stands using experimental or observational methods. Studies needed to experimentally test or observe whether a range shift of ecotone-forming species was possible at or beyond the current BTE. We did not require the confirmation of range shift at the ecotone, as this would effectively omit many experimental studies that tested the possibility of range shift (e.g., Kellman 2004, Brown and Vellend 2014). Additionally, information was collected for each study on: (1) study design, (2) geographic location(s) of study site(s), (3) habitat type(s), (4) tree species studied, (5) life stage studied, (6) a/biotic variables studied, (7) measurement method(s), (8) important (a/biotic) drivers, (9) temporal duration of study, and (10) qualitative tree responses to climate (Supplementary Materials). Elimination of studies from our database were designated as being due to subject, exposure, or response (Koricheva et al. 2013). After the article scan, 62 candidate papers remained from the initial search. After a final reading of individual papers and the inclusion of the latest relevant publications as of December 2016, the final list of titles was cut to 27 papers (Fig 1; Table S1).

Have temperate tree species' ranges shifted northward?

Our synthesis indicated that nine of the 27 published studies detected through our methods provided evidence of a distributional shift of the BTE in the direction predicted by climate change (~33%). That ratio is lower than that found by Harsch et al. (2009) for the forest-tundra ecotone, where ~52% of treelines had shifted upward or northward with

warming. When we compare our results to Harsch et al. (2009), some interesting contradictions are revealed between species at the southern and northern end of the boreal forest. Black and white spruce (*Picea mariana* and *Picea glauca*, respectively) are two common species in North American northern treeline research, both of which have been found to be shifting northwards with climate change (Lescop-Sinclair and Payette 1995, Payette 2007). This northward shift is often associated with a southern range contraction to form an overall distributional shift (Davis and Shaw 2001); however, Boisvert-Marsh et al. (2014) found that while many southern species had expanded beyond their northern ranges, neither black nor white spruce had experienced southern range contraction. They note that climate change and human-altered disturbance regimes in the BTE may be opening up niche space for boreal and temperate species alike.

At both the northern and southern edge of the boreal forest, it is interesting to look at the examples of ecotones that did not respond as predicted. The number of examples of a BTE shift in the opposite direction than expected in our search results was limited to one (Foster and D'Amato 2015), whereas the remaining studies reported that no shift had occurred as species' distributions were simply static, or only shifts in abundance or species dominance were observed (Table S1). In the boreal-tundra ecotone (treeline), the remaining 48% of treelines were predominantly static, showing no discernible response, and the authors hypothesized a combination of complex climatic constraints on treeline advance (e.g., ice damage, winter desiccation; Harsch et al. 2009) in combination with ecological time lags. We propose that the lack of BTE response to climate warming at the southern margin of the boreal forest is the outcome of ecological time lags driven by complex biotic and abiotic interactions.

Biotic and abiotic determinants of the current BTE location

While we stress that we are simply identifying observable trends in the limited research available on this topic, there are undeniably common themes that have emerged in our results – the strongest of which appears to be how changing climate regimes are affecting the BTE. The effect of climatic factors, particularly changes in temperature and precipitation regimes, on other biomes and ecotones around the world are better studied (Parmesan and Yohe 2003, Olivares et al. 2015). From these changes, some species stand to benefit from climate change in the form of expanding fundamental niches (Williams et al. 2014), while others are expected to have increasingly reduced available niche space (e.g., alpine meadows; Brandt et al. 2013). Sixteen of the 27 studies in our database demonstrated (11/27) or suggested (5/27) temperature as a factor in determining the position of the BTE (Table S1 for this and all following enumerations). Half the studies (14/27) also suggested some other form of climatic control over the position of the BTE, which can be broken down into three groups: (i) precipitation or moisture availability, (ii) storm severity or wind throw events, and (iii) growing season length or timing of frost events.

Climate change could provide a common thread throughout the majority of the research our study uncovered; however, changes occurring in the global BTE are by no means solely climate-dependent, and the degree to which non-climatic a/biotic factors contribute to the observed changes is still poorly understood. Many studies tested, hypothesised, or made inferences to biotic interactions that could act as a negative feedback to northward shifts in the BTE (e.g., temperate sapling herbivory: Fisichelli et al. 2012, seed predation, soil biota: Brown and Vellend 2014). In some cases these

interactions were quantified and were found to have the effect of slowing the northward advancement of southern temperate tree species. When the biotic interaction was herbivory, that slowing was often due to the higher nutritional value and better palatability of the seeds or foliage of deciduous tree species compared to predominantly coniferous boreal species (Kellman 2004, Fisichelli et al. 2012, Frelich et al. 2012). In contrast, biotic interactions can also result in a net positive feedback with regards to temperate tree species' northward advancement. Pest infestations in southern boreal stands have been found to increase likelihood of a northward shift in the BTE at the regional scale by creating gaps that facilitate the establishment and often lead to dominance of southern species at the local scale (Grundmann et al. 2011, Boisvert-Marsh et al. 2014).

While biotic interactions can be difficult to monitor and measure, proving problematic to separate from climatic drivers (see Brown and Vellend 2014), abiotic non-climatic factors such as edaphic qualities and disturbance regimes are better understood. Lafleur et al. (2010) argues that while climate change will likely lead to northward shifts in tree species ranges of boreal and temperate species alike, this progression will be piecemeal and uneven due to species-specific edaphic constraints. Empirical evidence supporting Lafleur et al.'s (2010) argument is emerging. Edaphic factors are well represented in the research found in the present study; there are a wide range of soil characteristics cited as contributing factors in determining the location of the BTE including moisture and temperature (Goldblum and Rigg 2005), the interplay between moisture and nitrogen content (Pastor and Post 1988), nutrient content (Bai et al. 2011), pH (Fisichelli et al. 2013), and texture and depth to parent material (Lee et al. 2005).

231 Researchers suggest that temperate species' ability to track a warming climate will be
232 constrained by shallow, coarse, poorly drained, and acidic soils.

233 A critical point that has emerged from our synthesis is that no single variable has
234 stand-alone effects on tree species distributions; instead, the response of BTE tree species
235 to climate change is the result of the interacting effects of multiple drivers. To further
236 complicate the story, those drivers cannot always be categorized as abiotic or biotic,
237 climatic or non-climatic. Soil is an excellent example; a combination of biotic (e.g., soil
238 biota) and abiotic (e.g., moisture capacity) drivers, soil characteristics can have
239 immensely complex influences on tree species' abilities to track changing climate (Brown
240 and Vellend 2014, Lankau et al. 2015, Katz and Ibáñez 2016) with feedbacks between
241 newly arriving tree species and the soils they encounter (e.g., increasing soil fertility
242 through increased nitrogen input; Pastor and Post 1988). Disturbance regimes are also the
243 result of complex interactions between climatic and non-climatic factors (e.g.,
244 temperature and fuel load) or biotic and abiotic variables (e.g., climate-induced range
245 expansion of herbivores). The disruption of these regimes can have far-reaching
246 consequences on species composition that must be considered when contemplating the
247 modern composition of the BTE, and its future (Nowacki and Abrams 2015). The
248 message, then, is that any study of a species distributional response to climate change
249 must not only incorporate climatic and non-climatic factors, but also the interactions
250 between them.

251 ***Constraints on life history stages***

252 Many factors that act to constrain the northward expansion of temperate tree
253 species into boreal stands reoccur frequently in the literature, and act as filters that weed

out potential colonising tree species at different life stages. In organising them into a conceptual framework (Fig. 2), one can view the normal life-cycle of a given tree species that has the chance to establish a population in an area it previously did not inhabit. This population will, under normal conditions, begin with the arrival of seeds in a new location and which must then germinate, survive, and propagate. At each life stage, filters can have different levels of impact on or relevance to a new colonising population given its genetics, demographics, physical setting, and proximity to disturbance and vectors of dispersal. Global filters act on a given tree species at all life stages. It is important to note that many of the filters in our conceptual framework are hypothesized in the literature, not directly supported by evidence. The inclusion of unsupported filters is meant to draw focus to areas that we have found to be under-represented in the literature to date, and serve as a roadmap for future work to address knowledge gaps in our understanding of which and how drivers interact with species occurring in the BTE. Additionally, more work is needed in order to solidify the relationships between known drivers and those yet to be revealed, as well as to quantify their influence on the location of the BTE under the influence of global warming.

A general constraint that was not captured by our literature review, but is an increasingly important consideration when researching species range shifts, is the potential for phenological mismatch between plant species and their pollinators (Memmott et al. 2007), dispersers (Tylianakis et al. 2008), and pests (Schweiger et al. 2008). This is a complex issue that could affect how the BTE shifts with climate change. Asynchrony between the phenology of specialist insect herbivores and their host tree could lead to some alleviation of herbivory stress (Asch and Visser 2007), whereas

asynchrony between insect herbivores and the migration timing of their avian predators could result in un-checked insect outbreaks (Venier and Holmes 2010). These and other examples of how phenological mismatch could simultaneously maintain and disrupt current species range status-quo offer a glimpse of the intricate, multi-trophic interactions that can confound climate change-induced range shifts.

Historical context of range shift in the BTE

The prevailing notion that climate-related factors, primarily temperature, precipitation and seasonality (Woodward 1987), are the most important controls on the distribution of vegetation is not new, and can certainly be applied to the modern and historical location of the BTE (Mather and Yoshioka 1968, Allen and Hoekstra 1990, Pastor and Mladenoff 1992). Historical ecotone shifts have been recreated using palynological and macrofossil evidence across North America (Jackson et al. 1997, Hupy and Yansa 2009) and Europe (Amon et al. 2012, Douda et al. 2014) during the warming period since the last ice age. Evidence that as recently as 126 ka the North American temperate biome was located at least 500 km further north than it is today in response to 6-7°C higher average temperatures (Fréchette and de Vernal 2013) could serve as a regional maxima for the potential of future warming to be reflected by a drastic northward shift of the BTE. The rate of tree species range shift and recolonisation after the last glacial maximum is widely contested with some authors suggesting a maximum rate of 2,000 m yr⁻¹ (Giesecke et al. 2011) and others a maximum of less than 250 m yr⁻¹ (Cheddadi et al. 2013), reflecting the general agreement that the rate of movement varied among species. The disassembly of this ecotone is therefore expected to mirror the

process of its assembly as it occurred on a species-by-species basis, due to the species-specific responses to climate change (Pastor 2016).

Projected changes in the BTE

During our literature review, we opportunistically identified forest modelling or simulation studies dealing specifically with forest dynamics at the BTE. The majority of studies predicted northward shifts of southern temperate species at the expense of boreal species, with a minority of temperate species projected to retain their current range or suffer range contractions (Chen 2002, Koca et al. 2006, Iverson et al. 2008, Hickler et al. 2012). Most of the modelling studies we found dealt with the North American and European BTE, with fewer studies dealing with the eastern Asian portion of the BTE. Because we found little data on field studies of the Russian BTE, we specifically searched for modelling papers addressing the Russian BTE (or hemiboreal/boreo-nemoral zone sensu Ahti et al. 1968), which revealed predictions of northward shifting species ranges, aligning with projections of other regions of the BTE globally (Sykes 2001, Zhang et al. 2009). Additional Russian studies modelled future states of the Russian boreal forest (e.g., Nadezda et al. 2006, Brazhnik and Shugart 2015), or focussed on the future of Russian forests as a whole (e.g., Tchebakova et al. 2009, Kicklighter et al. 2014, Shuman et al. 2014, Schaphoff et al. 2016).

Many regional, community-scale studies have revealed evidence suggesting that recent climate change has affected the BTE location by influencing growth patterns (Goldblum and Rigg 2005), shifting tree species distribution (Weng and Zhou 2005, Beckage et al. 2008, Tang and Beckage 2010), re-positioning temperate species' competitive standing (Bolte et al. 2010), and increased northern recruitment success of

temperate species (Leithead et al. 2010). However, these studies often focus on a narrow subset of species out of necessity, due to the often monumental task of collecting adequate data upon which to base firm conclusions for large groups of species (see (Iverson and Prasad 2002). Likewise, many regional-scale models have been designed to test the implications of future climate change scenarios on the BTE, with northward and upward shifts being common predictions (Weng and Zhou 2005, Koca et al. 2006, Tang and Beckage 2010, Shuman et al. 2014). When combined, regional projections do encompass the global BTE in a piece-wise fashion; however, we are aware of no study that deals directly with the question of how current climate change will affect the BTEs globally.

Currently, to specifically deal with how the BTE may respond to climate change at the global scale one must refer to studies using global scale models to investigate how climate change will affect vegetation distribution (e.g., Haxeltine and Prentice 1996, Alo and Wang 2008, Warszawski et al. 2013), as inclusion of the BTE is implicit. Predictions can also be informed by historical BTE responses to periods of climate flux, which provide useful insight into how even relatively small changes in a climatic regime have produced ecotonal shifts (Hupy and Yansa 2009) and can serve as a recent historical analogue to modern change at the regional scale. Beyond predicting forest distributional response to climate change, modelling studies in the literature appear to have the purpose of informing policy (Hickler et al. 2012, Steenberg et al. 2013, Bright et al. 2014), combinations of changing climate and non-anthropogenic disturbance regimes (Scheller and Mladenoff 2008, Vanderwel and Purves 2013), or multiple climate scenarios (Iverson

and Prasad 2002, Iverson et al. 2008), while others have narrowed in on BTE dynamics at the regional scale (Koca et al. 2006, Anyomi et al. 2012).

Research needs

We identified significant geographic gaps in BTE research globally. Studies in the highly human-influenced portions of the BTE that exist in Europe and eastern Asia may be lacking due to the difficulty in locating the natural BTE. Bolte et al. (2009) conducted research in a reserve in southern Sweden, and took great care in researching the historical land-use patterns that could have left an imprint on their study area. In north-eastern China, where the east Asian portion of the BTE exists, land-use change has occurred more recently and much more rapidly than in Europe. Rapid population growth over the past half century has produced expanding demand for cleared agricultural land and building supplies and has left a characteristic mark on the forested landscape (Yu et al. 2014). Research on BTE response to climate change is growing fastest in North America; yet, knowledge gaps remain. Data on the North American portion of the BTE has the best geographical coverage, yet eastern Canada remains under-researched. There, a fragmentary coastline creates geographic barriers between sites with amenable climatic conditions for southern temperate tree species expansion into typically boreal-forested regions, and creates logistical challenges for field research.

A holistic understanding of the processes controlling the location and configuration of the BTE is needed. Our review of the literature has identified numerous factors that may facilitate or constrain a shift in the BTE. We anticipate these factors will produce a piecemeal ecotone shift, controlled by local and regional non-climatic factors. While we are moving towards gaining a big-picture understanding of the North American BTE,

which has relatively abundant empirical data compared to the other regions, we cannot directly apply predictions informed by North American data to other regions with unique combinations of confounding factors and traits. The three broad regions where the BTE exists – North America, Europe and eastern Asia – also have significant ecological differences; historical colonisation patterns and geography account for much of the tree species richness gradient from high diversity in east Asian forests to lower diversity in Europe (Latham and Ricklefs 1993). At the surface this gradient may seem like yet another complicating factor; however, it also presents a unique opportunity for a direct comparison between how shifts of species-rich ecotones compare with those that are relatively species-poor between the same two biomes.

Moving forward, expanding the focus of research on this topic to include more work in eastern Canada and within the European and Asian ecotones will not only i) allow for a better grasp of spatial differences in rates and morphology of change at the global scale, but ii) through the role of ecotones as bellwethers for climate change (Wasson et al. 2013), the BTE may also offer a glimpse of how biomes around the world may respond to a changing climate. However, the interaction between ecological features and climatic and other (a/biotic) factors is still not clearly understood and clouds our ability to make accurate predictions of future ecological states due to the multitude of global and local conditions that must be taken into consideration and the temporal longevity of the systems under study (Woods 2014). It is our opinion that such ecological complexity can only be disentangled through field experimentation (e.g., (Kellman 2004, Brown and Vellend 2014) and future research efforts in the BTE should focus on quantifying a/biotic effects on species distributions at their range limits. Scale factors

become important considerations here as well, as field experiments are often only able to identify local drivers due to the inherent limitations of time and resources. Therefore, questions surrounding how local drivers can be scaled to explain regional or continental changes must also be addressed. Despite these challenges, our findings do suggest that the quantity and scope of research on this topic is growing at an accelerating pace and will likely continue to do so in the coming years.

Conclusions

We set out with a number of expectations for our review of current research addressing the response of the BTE to climate change. Those expectations were met in that we uncovered a complex story involving disturbance, biotic interactions, and abiotic characteristics. We did not anticipate that we would only gain an understanding of small pieces of the full story of the historic, current, and future BTE, and only in geographically discrete regions. We lack a holistic understanding of the ecotone globally, which is critical for predictions of species' distributions. What we do know is that, under various circumstances and conditions, the components required for temperate tree expansion into boreal forest stands include: a favourable disturbance regime, suitable substrate, predator satiation or absence, presence of symbiotic organisms, and release from pathogens, in addition to the suitable environment created via climate change. The absence of one or more of these conditions will likely result in a time lag between the creation of favourable environmental conditions beyond temperate tree species' current distributions and their response to that warming via population advance.

412 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

413 We gratefully acknowledge the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council
414 of Canada and Memorial University for financial support, John Pastor, Mark Vellend and
415 anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on the manuscript, and Charlie Conway in
416 the Department of Geography, Memorial University, for cartography.

417

Draft

References

- Ahti, T., Hämet-Ahti, L., and Jalas, J. 1968. Vegetation zones and their sections in northwestern Europe. *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **5**(3): 169–211.
- Allen, T.F.H., and Hoekstra, T.W. 1990. The confusion between scale-defined levels and conventional levels of organization in ecology. *J. Veg. Sci.* **1**(1): 5–12. doi:10.2307/3236048.
- Alo, C.A., and Wang, G. 2008. Potential future changes of the terrestrial ecosystem based on climate projections by eight general circulation models. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **113**(G1): G01004. doi:10.1029/2007JG000528.
- Amon, L., Veski, S., Heinsalu, A., and Saarse, L. 2012. Timing of Lateglacial vegetation dynamics and respective palaeoenvironmental conditions in southern Estonia: evidence from the sediment record of Lake Nakri. *J. Quat. Sci.* **27**(2): 169–180. doi:10.1002/jqs.1530.
- Anyomi, K.A., Raulier, F., Mailly, D., Girardin, M.P., and Bergeron, Y. 2012. Using height growth to model local and regional response of trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) to climate within the boreal forest of western Québec. *Ecol. Model.* **243**: 123–132. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.06.020.
- Arris, L.L., and Eagleson, P.S. 1989. Evidence of a physiological basis for the boreal-deciduous forest ecotone in North America. *Vegetatio* **82**(1): 55–58. doi:10.1007/BF00217982.
- Asch, M. van, and Visser, M.E. 2007. Phenology of Forest Caterpillars and Their Host Trees: The Importance of Synchrony. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **52**(1): 37–55. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.52.110405.091418.

- 441 Bai, F., Sang, W., and Axmacher, J.C. 2011. Forest vegetation responses to climate and
442 environmental change: A case study from Changbai Mountain, NE China. *For.*
443 *Ecol. Manag.* **262**(11): 2052–2060. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2011.08.046.
- 444 Beckage, B., Osborne, B., Gavin, D.G., Pucko, C., Siccama, T., and Perkins, T. 2008. A
445 rapid upward shift of a forest ecotone during 40 years of warming in the Green
446 Mountains of Vermont. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**(11): 4197–4202.
447 doi:10.1073/pnas.0708921105.
- 448 Bertrand, R., Lenoir, J., Piedallu, C., Riofrío-Dillon, G., Ruffray, P. de, Vidal, C., Pierrat,
449 J.-C., and Gégout, J.-C. 2011. Changes in plant community composition lag
450 behind climate warming in lowland forests. *Nature* **479**(7374): 517–520.
451 doi:10.1038/nature10548.
- 452 Boisvert-Marsh, L., Périé, C., and de Blois, S. 2014. Shifting with climate? Evidence for
453 recent changes in tree species distribution at high latitudes. *Ecosphere* **5**(7): 1–33.
454 doi:10.1890/ES14-00111.1.
- 455 Bolte, A., Hilbrig, L., Grundmann, B., Kampf, F., Brunet, J., and Roloff, A. 2010.
456 Climate change impacts on stand structure and competitive interactions in a
457 southern Swedish spruce–beech forest. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **129**(3): 261–276.
458 doi:10.1007/s10342-009-0323-1.
- 459 Brandt, J.S., Haynes, M.A., Kuemmerle, T., Waller, D.M., and Radeloff, V.C. 2013.
460 Regime shift on the roof of the world: Alpine meadows converting to shrublands
461 in the southern Himalayas. *Biol. Conserv.* **158**: 116–127.
462 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.026.

- 463 Brazhnik, K., and Shugart, H.H. 2015. 3D simulation of boreal forests: structure and
464 dynamics in complex terrain and in a changing climate. *Environ. Res. Lett.*
465 **10**(10): 105006. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/10/105006.
- 466 Bright, R.M., Antón-Fernández, C., Astrup, R., Cherubini, F., Kvalevåg, M., and
467 Strømman, A.H. 2014. Climate change implications of shifting forest
468 management strategy in a boreal forest ecosystem of Norway. *Glob. Change Biol.*
469 **20**(2): 607–621. doi:10.1111/gcb.12451.
- 470 Brown, C.D., and Vellend, M. 2014. Non-climatic constraints on upper elevational plant
471 range expansion under climate change. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **281**(1794):
472 20141779. doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1779.
- 473 Burns, R.M., and Honkala, B.H. (*Editors*). 1990. *Silvics of North America: 1. Conifers;*
474 *2. Hardwoods.* United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
475 Washington, DC.
- 476 Cheddadi, R., Birks, H.J.B., Tarroso, P., Liepelt, S., Gömöry, D., Dullinger, S., Meier,
477 E.S., Hülber, K., Maiorano, L., and Laborde, H. 2013. Revisiting tree-migration
478 rates: *Abies alba* (Mill.), a case study. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* **23**(2): 113–122.
479 doi:10.1007/s00334-013-0404-4.
- 480 Chen, I.-C., Hill, J.K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D.B., and Thomas, C.D. 2011. Rapid range
481 shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*
482 **333**(6045): 1024–1026. doi:10.1126/science.1206432.
- 483 Chen, X. 2002. Modeling the Effects of Global Climatic Change at the Ecotone of Boreal
484 Larch Forest and Temperate Forest in Northeast China. *Clim. Change* **55**(1–2):
485 77–97. doi:10.1023/A:1020273107954.

- 486 Davis, M.B., and Shaw, R.G. 2001. Range Shifts and Adaptive Responses to Quaternary
487 Climate Change. *Science* **292**(5517): 673–679.
488 doi:10.1126/science.292.5517.673.
- 489 Douda, J., Doudová, J., Drašnarová, A., Kuneš, P., Hadincová, V., Krak, K., Zákavský,
490 P., and Mandák, B. 2014. Migration Patterns of Subgenus *Alnus* in Europe since
491 the Last Glacial Maximum: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE* **9**(2): e88709.
492 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088709.
- 493 Drever, C.R., Messier, C., Bergeron, Y., and Doyon, F. 2006. Fire and canopy species
494 composition in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence forest of Témiscamingue, Québec.
495 *For. Ecol. Manag.* **231**(1–3): 27–37. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2006.04.039.
- 496 Fisichelli, N.A., Frelich, L.E., and Reich, P.B. 2013. Climate and interrelated tree
497 regeneration drivers in mixed temperate–boreal forests. *Landsc. Ecol.* **28**(1): 149–
498 159. doi:10.1007/s10980-012-9827-z.
- 499 Fisichelli, N., Frelich, L.E., and Reich, P.B. 2012. Sapling growth responses to warmer
500 temperatures “cooled” by browse pressure. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**(11): 3455–
501 3463. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02785.x.
- 502 Foster, J.R., and D’Amato, A.W. 2015. Montane forest ecotones moved downslope in
503 northeastern USA in spite of warming between 1984 and 2011. *Glob. Change*
504 *Biol.* **21**(12): 4497–4507. doi:10.1111/gcb.13046.
- 505 Fréchette, B., and de Vernal, A. 2013. Evidence for large-amplitude biome and climate
506 changes in Atlantic Canada during the last interglacial and mid-Wisconsinan
507 periods. *Quat. Res.* **79**(2): 242–255. doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2012.11.011.

- 508 Frelich, L.E., Peterson, R.O., Dovčiak, M., Reich, P.B., Vucetich, J.A., and Eisenhauer,
509 N. 2012. Trophic cascades, invasive species and body-size hierarchies
510 interactively modulate climate change responses of ecotonal temperate–boreal
511 forest. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **367**(1605): 2955–2961.
512 doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0235.
- 513 Giesecke, T., Bennett, K.D., Birks, H.J.B., Bjune, A.E., Bozilova, E., Feurdean, A.,
514 Finsinger, W., Froyd, C., Pokorný, P., Rösch, M., Seppä, H., Tonkov, S.,
515 Valsecchi, V., and Wolters, S. 2011. The pace of Holocene vegetation change –
516 testing for synchronous developments. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **30**(19–20): 2805–2814.
517 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.06.014.
- 518 Goldblum, D., and Rigg, L.S. 2005. Tree growth response to climate change at the
519 deciduous boreal forest ecotone, Ontario, Canada. *Can. J. For. Res.* **35**(11): 2709–
520 2718.
- 521 Goldblum, D., and Rigg, L.S. 2010. The Deciduous Forest – Boreal Forest Ecotone.
522 *Geogr. Compass* **4**(7): 701–717. doi:10.1111/j.1749-8198.2010.00342.x.
- 523 Graignic, N., Tremblay, F., and Bergeron, Y. 2014. Geographical variation in
524 reproductive capacity of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marshall) northern
525 peripheral populations. *J. Biogeogr.* **41**(1): 145–157. doi:10.1111/jbi.12187.
- 526 Grundmann, B.M., Bolte, A., Bonn, S., and Roloff, A. 2011. Impact of climatic variation
527 on growth of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Picea abies* in Southern Sweden. *Scand. J. For.*
528 *Res.* **26**(S11): 64–71. doi:10.1080/02827581.2011.564392.

- 529 Harsch, M.A., Hulme, P.E., McGlone, M.S., and Duncan, R.P. 2009. Are treelines
530 advancing? A global meta-analysis of treeline response to climate warming. *Ecol.*
531 *Lett.* **12**(10): 1040–1049. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01355.x.
- 532 Haxeltine, A., and Prentice, I.C. 1996. BIOME3: An equilibrium terrestrial biosphere
533 model based on ecophysiological constraints, resource availability, and
534 competition among plant functional types. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **10**(4): 693–
535 709. doi:10.1029/96GB02344.
- 536 Hickler, T., Vohland, K., Feehan, J., Miller, P.A., Smith, B., Costa, L., Giesecke, T.,
537 Fronzek, S., Carter, T.R., Cramer, W., Kühn, I., and Sykes, M.T. 2012. Projecting
538 the future distribution of European potential natural vegetation zones with a
539 generalized, tree species-based dynamic vegetation model. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*
540 **21**(1): 50–63. doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00613.x.
- 541 HilleRisLambers, J., Harsch, M.A., Ettinger, A.K., Ford, K.R., and Theobald, E.J. 2013.
542 How will biotic interactions influence climate change–induced range shifts? *Ann.*
543 *N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1297**(1): 112–125. doi:10.1111/nyas.12182.
- 544 Hobbs, R.J., Higgs, E., and Harris, J.A. 2009. Novel ecosystems: implications for
545 conservation and restoration. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **24**(11): 599–605.
546 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.05.012.
- 547 Hupy, C.M., and Yansa, C.H. 2009. Late Holocene Vegetation History of the Forest
548 Tension Zone in Central Lower Michigan, USA. *Phys. Geogr.* **30**(3): 205–235.
549 doi:10.2747/0272-3646.30.3.205.

- 550 Iverson, L.R., and Prasad, A.M. 2002. Potential redistribution of tree species habitat
551 under five climate change scenarios in the eastern US. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **155**(1):
552 205–222.
- 553 Iverson, L.R., Prasad, A.M., Matthews, S.N., and Peters, M. 2008. Estimating potential
554 habitat for 134 eastern US tree species under six climate scenarios. *For. Ecol.*
555 *Manag.* **254**(3): 390–406.
- 556 Jackson, S.T., Overpeck, J.T., Webb, T., Keattch, S.E., and Anderson, K.H. 1997.
557 Mapped plant-macrofossil and pollen records of late quaternary vegetation change
558 in Eastern North America. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **16**(1): 1–70. doi:10.1016/S0277-
559 3791(96)00047-9.
- 560 Jump, A.S., Huang, T.-J., and Chou, C.-H. 2012. Rapid altitudinal migration of mountain
561 plants in Taiwan and its implications for high altitude biodiversity. *Ecography*
562 **35**(3): 204–210. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2011.06984.x.
- 563 Katz, D.S.W., and Ibáñez, I. 2016. Foliar damage beyond species distributions is partly
564 explained by distance dependent interactions with natural enemies. *Ecology*
565 **97**(9): 2331–2341. doi:10.1002/ecy.1468.
- 566 Kicklighter, D.W., Cai, Y., Zhuang, Q., Parfenova, E.I., Paltsev, S., Sokolov, A.P.,
567 Melillo, J.M., Reilly, J.M., Tchebakova, N.M., and Lu, X. 2014. Potential
568 influence of climate-induced vegetation shifts on future land use and associated
569 land carbon fluxes in Northern Eurasia. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9**(3): 35004.
570 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/035004.

- 571 Kellman, M. 2004. Sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) establishment in boreal forest:
572 results of a transplantation experiment. *J. Biogeogr.* **31**(9): 1515–1522.
573 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2004.01128.x.
- 574 Koca, D., Smith, B., and Sykes, M.T. 2006. Modelling Regional Climate Change Effects
575 On Potential Natural Ecosystems in Sweden. *Clim. Change* **78**(2–4): 381–406.
576 doi:10.1007/s10584-005-9030-1.
- 577 Koricheva, J., Gurevitch, J., and Mengersen, K. (Editors). 2013. Handbook of meta-
578 analysis in ecology and evolution. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- 579 Lafleur, B., Paré, D., Munson, A.D., and Bergeron, Y. 2010. Response of northeastern
580 North American forests to climate change: Will soil conditions constrain tree
581 species migration? *Environ. Rev.* **18**(NA): 279–289. doi:10.1139/A10-013.
- 582 Lankau, R.A., Zhu, K., and Ordóñez, A. 2015. Mycorrhizal strategies of tree species
583 correlate with trailing range edge responses to current and past climate change.
584 *Ecology* **96**(6): 1451–1458. doi:10.1890/14-2419.1.
- 585 Latham, R.E., and Ricklefs, R.E. 1993. Continental comparisons of temperate-zone tree
586 species diversity. *In* Species diversity in ecological communities: historical and
587 geographical perspectives. *Edited by* R.E. Ricklefs and D. Schluter. University of
588 Chicago Press, Chicago, IL. pp. 294–314. Available from
589 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/216887908_Continental_comparisons_o](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/216887908_Continental_comparisons_of_temperate-zone_tree_species_diversity)
590 [f_temperate-zone_tree_species_diversity](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/216887908_Continental_comparisons_of_temperate-zone_tree_species_diversity) [accessed 4 December 2016].
- 591 Lee, T.D., Barrett, J.P., and Hartman, B. 2005. Elevation, substrate, and the potential for
592 climate-induced tree migration in the White Mountains, New Hampshire, USA.
593 *For. Ecol. Manag.* **212**(1–3): 75–91. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.03.007.

- 594 Leithead, M.D., Anand, M., and Silva, L.C.R. 2010. Northward migrating trees establish
595 in treefall gaps at the northern limit of the temperate–boreal ecotone, Ontario,
596 Canada. *Oecologia* **164**(4): 1095–1106. doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1769-z.
- 597 Lescop-Sinclair, K., and Payette, S. 1995. Recent Advance of the Arctic Treeline Along
598 the Eastern Coast of Hudson Bay. *J. Ecol.* **83**(6): 929–936. doi:10.2307/2261175.
- 599 Loehle, C. 2000. Forest ecotone response to climate change: sensitivity to temperature
600 response functional forms. *Can. J. For. Res.* **30**(10): 1632–1645. doi:10.1139/x00-
601 088.
- 602 Mather, J.R., and Yoshioka, G.A. 1968. The Role of Climate in the Distribution of
603 Vegetation. *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr.* **58**(1): 29–41. doi:10.1111/j.1467-
604 8306.1968.tb01634.x.
- 605 Memmott, J., Craze, P.G., Waser, N.M., and Price, M.V. 2007. Global warming and the
606 disruption of plant–pollinator interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**(8): 710–717.
607 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01061.x.
- 608 Nadezda, M.T., Gerald, E.R., and Elena, I.P. 2006. Impacts of Climate Change on the
609 Distribution of *Larix* Spp. and *Pinus Sylvestris* and Their Climatypes in Siberia.
610 *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change* **11**(4): 861–882. doi:10.1007/s11027-005-
611 9019-0.
- 612 Nowacki, G.J., and Abrams, M.D. 2015. Is climate an important driver of post-European
613 vegetation change in the Eastern United States? *Glob. Change Biol.* **21**(1): 314–
614 334. doi:10.1111/gcb.12663.
- 615 Ohsawa, M. 1990. An Interpretation of Latitudinal Patterns of Forest Limits in South and
616 East Asian Mountains. *J. Ecol.* **78**(2): 326–339. doi:10.2307/2261115.

- 617 Olivares, I., Svenning, J.-C., Bodegom, P.M. van, and Balslev, H. 2015. Effects of
618 Warming and Drought on the Vegetation and Plant Diversity in the Amazon
619 Basin. *Bot. Rev.* **81**(1): 42–69. doi:10.1007/s12229-014-9149-8.
- 620 Olson, D.M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E.D., Burgess, N.D., Powell, G.V.N.,
621 Underwood, E.C., D'amico, J.A., Itoua, I., Strand, H.E., Morrison, J.C., Loucks,
622 C.J., Allnutt, T.F., Ricketts, T.H., Kura, Y., Lamoreux, J.F., Wettengel, W.W.,
623 Hedao, P., and Kassem, K.R. 2001. Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New
624 Map of Life on Earth. *BioScience* **51**(11): 933–938. doi:10.1641/0006-
625 3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2.
- 626 Parmesan, C., and Yohe, G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change
627 impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**(6918): 37–42.
628 doi:10.1038/nature01286.
- 629 Pastor, J. 2016. What should a clever moose eat? Natural history, ecology, and the north
630 woods. Island Press, Washington Covelo London.
- 631 Pastor, J., and Mladenoff, D.J. 1992. The southern boreal-northern hardwood forest
632 border. *In* A systems analysis of the global boreal forest. *Edited by* Shugart, H. H.,
633 Leemans, R., and Bonan, G. B. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. pp.
634 216–240.
- 635 Pastor, J., and Post, W.M. 1988. Response of northern forests to CO₂-induced climate
636 change. *Nature* **334**(6177): 55–58. doi:10.1038/334055a0.
- 637 Payette, S. 2007. Contrasted dynamics of northern Labrador tree lines caused by climate
638 change and migrational lag. *Ecology* **88**(3): 770–780. doi:10.1890/06-0265.

- 639 Savage, J., and Vellend, M. 2014. Elevational shifts, biotic homogenization and time lags
 640 in vegetation change during 40 years of climate warming. *Ecography*: n/a-n/a.
 641 doi:10.1111/ecog.01131.
- 642 Schaphoff, S., Reyer, C.P.O., Schepaschenko, D., Gerten, D., and Shvidenko, A. 2016.
 643 Tamm Review: Observed and projected climate change impacts on Russia's
 644 forests and its carbon balance. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **361**: 432–444.
 645 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2015.11.043.
- 646 Scheller, R.M., and Mladenoff, D.J. 2008. Simulated effects of climate change,
 647 fragmentation, and inter-specific competition on tree species migration in
 648 northern Wisconsin, USA. *ResearchGate* **36**(3): 191–202. doi:10.3354/cr00745.
- 649 Schweiger, O., Settele, J., Kudrna, O., Klotz, S., and Kühn, I. 2008. Climate Change can
 650 cause spatial mismatch of trophically interacting species. *Ecology* **89**(12): 3472–
 651 3479. doi:10.1890/07-1748.1.
- 652 Shuman, J.K., Tchebakova, N.M., Parfenova, E.I., Soja, A.J., Shugart, H.H., Ershov, D.,
 653 and Holcomb, K. 2014. Forest forecasting with vegetation models across Russia.
 654 *Can. J. For. Res.* **45**(2): 175–184. doi:10.1139/cjfr-2014-0138.
- 655 Steenberg, J.W.N., Duinker, P.N., and Bush, P.G. 2013. Modelling the effects of climate
 656 change and timber harvest on the forests of central Nova Scotia, Canada. *Ann.*
 657 *For. Sci.* **70**(1): 61–73. doi:10.1007/s13595-012-0235-y.
- 658 Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Alexander, L.V., Allen, S.K., Bindoff, N.L.,
 659 Bréon, F.-M., Church, J.A., Cubasch, U., Emori, S., Forster, P., Friedlingstein, P.,
 660 Gillett, N., Gregory, J.M., Hartmann, D.I., Jansen, E., Kirtman, B., Knutti, R.,
 661 Krishna Kumar, K., Lemke, P., Marotzke, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Meehl, G.A.,

- 662 Mokhov, I.I., Piao, S., Ramaswamy, V., Randall, D., Rhein, M., Rojas, M.,
663 Sabine, C., Shindell, D., Talley, L.D., Vaughan, D.G., and Xie, S.-P. 2013.
664 Technical summary. *In* Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
665 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
666 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Edited by* T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-
667 K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and
668 P.M. Midgley. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY,
669 USA. pp. 33–115.
- 670 Suzuki, S.N., Ishihara, M.I., and Hidaka, A. 2015. Regional-scale directional changes in
671 abundance of tree species along a temperature gradient in Japan. *Glob. Change*
672 *Biol.* **21**(9): 3436–3444. doi:10.1111/gcb.12911.
- 673 Sykes, M.T. 2001. Modelling the potential distribution and community dynamics of
674 lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl. ex. Loud.) in Scandinavia. *For. Ecol.*
675 *Manag.* **141**: 69–84.
- 676 Tang, G., and Beckage, B. 2010. Projecting the distribution of forests in New England in
677 response to climate change. *Divers. Distrib.* **16**(1): 144–158. doi:10.1111/j.1472-
678 4642.2009.00628.x.
- 679 Tchebakova, N.M., Parfenova, E., and Soja, A.J. 2009. The effects of climate, permafrost
680 and fire on vegetation change in Siberia in a changing climate. *Environ. Res. Lett.*
681 **4**(4): 45013. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/4/045013.
- 682 Trant, A.J., and Hermanutz, L. 2014. Advancing towards novel tree lines? A multispecies
683 approach to recent tree line dynamics in subarctic alpine Labrador, northern
684 Canada. *J. Biogeogr.* **41**(6): 1115–1125. doi:10.1111/jbi.12287.

- 685 Tylianakis, J.M., Didham, R.K., Bascompte, J., and Wardle, D.A. 2008. Global change
686 and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**(12): 1351–1363.
687 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x.
- 688 Vanderwel, M.C., and Purves, D.W. 2013. How do disturbances and environmental
689 heterogeneity affect the pace of forest distribution shifts under climate change?
690 *Ecography*: no–no. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.00345.x.
- 691 Venier, L.A., and Holmes, S.B. 2010. A review of the interaction between forest birds
692 and eastern spruce budworm. *Environ. Rev.* **18**(NA): 191–207. doi:10.1139/A10-
693 009.
- 694 Walther, G.-R., Beißner, S., and Burga, C.A. 2005. Trends in the upward shift of alpine
695 plants. *J. Veg. Sci.* **16**: 541–548. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2005.tb02394.x.
- 696 Walther, G.R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T.J.C.,
697 Fromentin, J.-M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Bairlein, F. 2002. Ecological
698 responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **416**: 389–395.
- 699 Warszawski, L., Friend, A., Ostberg, S., Frieler, K., Lucht, W., Schaphoff, S., David
700 Beerling, Cadule, P., Ciais, P., Clark, D.B., Kahana, R., Ito, A., Keribin, R., Axel
701 Kleidon, Lomas, M., Nishina, K., Pavlick, R., Rademacher, T.T., Buechner, M.,
702 Franziska Piontek, Schewe, J., Serdeczny, O., and Schellnhuber, H.J. 2013. A
703 multi-model analysis of risk of ecosystem shifts under climate change. *Environ.*
704 *Res. Lett.* **8**(4): 44018. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044018.
- 705 Wasson, K., Woolfolk, A., and Fresquez, C. 2013. Ecotones as Indicators of Changing
706 Environmental Conditions: Rapid Migration of Salt Marsh–Upland Boundaries.
707 *Estuaries Coasts* **36**(3): 654–664. doi:10.1007/s12237-013-9601-8.

- 708 Weng, E., and Zhou, G. 2005. Modeling distribution changes of vegetation in China
709 under future climate change. *Environ. Model. Assess.* **11**(1): 45–58.
710 doi:10.1007/s10666-005-9019-1.
- 711 Williams, A.A., Eastman, S.F., Eash-Loucks, W.E., Kimball, M.E., Lehmann, M.L., and
712 Parker, J.D. 2014. Record Northernmost Endemic Mangroves on the United
713 States Atlantic Coast with a Note on Latitudinal Migration. *Southeast. Nat.* **13**(1):
714 56–63. doi:10.1656/058.013.0104.
- 715 Woods, K.D. 2014. Problems with edges: tree lines as indicators of climate change (or
716 not). *Appl. Veg. Sci.* **17**(1): 4–5. doi:10.1111/avsc.12077.
- 717 Woodward, F.I., and Williams, B.G. 1987. Climate and plant distribution at global and
718 local scales. *Vegetatio* **69**(1–3): 189–197. doi:10.1007/BF00038700.
- 719 Yu, L., Zhang, S., Tang, J., Liu, T., Bu, K., Yan, F., Yang, C., and Yang, J. 2014. The
720 effect of deforestation on the regional temperature in Northeastern China. *Theor.*
721 *Appl. Climatol.* **120**(3–4): 761–771. doi:10.1007/s00704-014-1186-z.
- 722 Xiongwen, C. 2001. Change of tree diversity on Northeast China Transect (NECT).
723 *Biodivers. Conserv.* **10**(7): 1087–1096. doi:10.1023/A:1016654131970.
- 724 Zhang, N., Shugart, H.H., and Yan, X. 2009. Simulating the effects of climate changes on
725 Eastern Eurasia forests. *Clim. Change* **95**(3–4): 341–361. doi:10.1007/s10584-
726 009-9568-4.
- 727 Zhu, K., Woodall, C.W., and Clark, J.S. 2012. Failure to migrate: lack of tree range
728 expansion in response to climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**(3): 1042–1052.
729 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02571.x.

Figure captions

Figure 1: The spatial extent of the BTE and distribution of studies in (a) North America, (b) Europe, and (c) Asia. The North American BTE was assembled here using Nearctic mixed forest terrestrial ecoregions from Olson et al. (2001) and closely resembles the outline of Goldblum & Rigg's (2010) boreal-deciduous ecotone (BDE). The European BTE is represented here using the Sarmatic Mixed Forest Ecoregion from Olson et al. (2001), which matches earlier descriptions of the Hemiboreal zone outlined by Ahti et al. (1968). Studies show small and large-scale observational and experimental studies, with linked study sites indicated.

Figure 2: (a) Conceptual framework showing filters acting on potential colonising tree species at the BTE, each of which reduces the number of individuals available for the next demographic stage. Filters were assembled from the 27 most relevant papers pulled from the literature in the present study, with an adjacent asterisk (*) representing filters that were inferred, hypothesised, or were otherwise not supported with evidence in studies encountered and therefore in particular need of research. Specific filters (or their removal) are depicted to show: (b) preferential seed predation of temperate species by boreal vertebrates (Brown and Vellend 2014), (c) the impact of fire suppression on forest successional pathways and subsequent canopy composition (e.g., Drever et al. 2006), (d) the escape from pathogens with increased distance from conspecific individuals (Katz and Ibáñez 2016), and (e) increased browse pressure on more palatable temperate species in boreal stands (Fisichelli et al. 2012).

Table 1: Typical tree species occurring in the BTE mixed-wood forest in North America and Eurasia (modified from Pastor and Mladenoff 1992). Since we are attempting to make global observations about this particular ecotone, a broad range of species belonging to each biome must be considered; however, even at the global scale, many of the genera are common among geographically disparate instances of the BTE.

6

7 Table 1

North America	Europe	Asia
Boreal species		
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	<i>Betula pubescens</i>	<i>Abies nephrolepis</i>
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	<i>Picea abies</i>	<i>Betula ermanii</i>
<i>Picea glauca</i>	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	<i>Betula platyphylla</i>
<i>Picea mariana</i>	<i>Populus tremula</i>	<i>Picea jezoensis</i>
<i>Pinus banksiana</i>	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	<i>Populus davidiana</i>
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>		
Northern temperate deciduous species		
<i>Acer saccharum</i>	<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	<i>Acer mono</i>
<i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	<i>Fraxinus mandshurica</i>
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	<i>Quercus robur</i>	<i>Pinus koraiensis</i>
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	<i>Tilia cordata</i>	<i>Quercus mongolica</i>
<i>Tilia americana</i>		<i>Tilia amurensis</i>
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>		<i>Ulmus propinqua</i>

8

9

313x640mm (300 x 300 DPI)

236x305mm (300 x 300 DPI)