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Abstract

Background: Emerging evidence implicates altered gene expression within skeletal muscle in the pathogenesis of Kennedy disease/spinal bulbar muscular atrophy (KD/SBMA). We therefore broadly characterized gene expression in skeletal muscle of three independently generated mouse models of this disease. The mouse models included a polyglutamine expanded (polyQ) AR knock-in model (AR113Q), a polyQ AR transgenic model (AR97Q), and a transgenic mouse that overexpresses wild type AR solely in skeletal muscle (HSA-AR). HSA-AR mice were included because they substantially reproduce the KD/SBMA phenotype despite the absence of polyQ AR.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We performed microarray analysis of lower hindlimb muscles taken from these three models relative to wild type controls using high density oligonucleotide arrays. All microarray comparisons were made with at least 3 animals in each condition, and only those genes having at least 2-fold difference and whose coefficient of variance was less than 100% were considered to be differentially expressed. When considered globally, there was a similar overlap in gene changes between the 3 models: 19% between HSA-AR and AR97Q, 21% between AR97Q and AR113Q, and 17% between HSA-AR and AR113Q, with 8% shared by all models. Several patterns of gene expression relevant to the disease process were observed. Notably, patterns of gene expression typical of loss of AR function were observed in all three models, as were alterations in genes involved in cell adhesion, energy balance, muscle atrophy and myogenesis. We additionally measured changes similar to those observed in skeletal muscle of a mouse model of Huntington’s Disease, and to those common to muscle atrophy from diverse causes.

Conclusions/Significance: By comparing patterns of gene expression in three independent models of KD/SBMA, we have been able to identify candidate genes that might mediate the core myogenic features of KD/SBMA.

Introduction

Kennedy disease/spinal bulbar muscular atrophy (KD/SBMA), is a progressive neuromuscular disease [1,2], which is caused by an expanded trinucleotide repeat length encoding the polyglutamine (polyQ) tract of the androgen receptor (AR) gene [3]. Polyglutamine expansion of other genes similarly results in neurodegenerative conditions, such as Huntington’s disease and several autosomal dominant spinocerebellar ataxias [4]. The mechanisms that mediate neurodegeneration in the polyQ diseases remain poorly understood. The orthodox view of KD/SBMA is that the polyQ expanded AR has a toxic gain of function and also a loss of trophic function within motoneurons, resulting in neuron loss and consequently denervation-induced muscular atrophy. However, recent insight from mouse models of KD/SBMA have suggested that primary myogenic pathology, and more specifically pathological alterations in gene expression within skeletal muscle, might also contribute to this disease (reviewed in [5]). This evidence consists mainly of 2 major findings: that myopathy precedes neuropathy in mice with genetic polyQ expansion mutations in the AR [6], and also that overexpression of AR in muscle fibers is sufficient to reproduce several hallmark features of KD/SBMA [7]. Additional circumstantial evidence includes the presence of myopathy and transcriptional alterations in polyQ expanded AR mutant mice [6,7,8,9], and studies of human pathology [6,10,11].

Transcriptional disturbance caused by polyQ expansion is thought to contribute to the etiology of KD/SBMA. Loss of the normal transcriptional functions of AR [12,13], is paired with gain...
of toxic function, in which novel interactions of AR with cofactors results in aberrant transcriptional activation and/or repression [14]. An additional possibility is that transcriptional disturbance might occur indirectly, due to pathology at the cellular, tissue, organ or system level [5]. For example, muscle from polyQ AR mutant mice exhibit alterations in gene expression typical of denervation [6,7,15], which seems likely to originate as a cell non-autonomous response to neuropathy. Other candidate genes which might mediate pathology in KD/SBMA have been identified, including vascular endothelial growth factor (Vegfa) [7,9], P300/CREB binding protein (P300/CBP) [9,16], heat shock proteins [17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24], and genes related to mitochondrial function [25]. More systematic studies of gene expression have been performed on cell lines [14], but to date, there have been no published reports of transcriptional profiling of mouse models of KD/SBMA.

Research into the etiology of KD/SBMA has been hampered somewhat by mouse models being analyzed individually, with little or no direct comparison between models. We therefore included several mouse models that differ significantly in the type of induced mutation and in some features of their phenotype (reviewed in [5]), but share common pathological features, including: myopathy, neuropathy, sex biased neuromuscular atrophy, ligand-dependant pathology, and transcriptional disturbance. HSA-AR mice, which lack polyQ AR despite having these features of KD/SBMA pathology, were included in the comparison to allow us to evaluate those alterations which are unique to polyQ AR. Additionally, we compared these results with published microarray analysis of gene expression in skeletal muscle of mice with related conditions, such as AR knock out (ARKO, [26]), DHT treatment of mice [27,28], Huntington’s disease [29], denervation induced and chronic muscle wasting [15,30] to gain insight into the underlying systemic, cellular and molecular pathological mechanisms.

Results

Complete lists of differentially regulated genes resulting from microarray analysis of HSA-AR, AR97Q and AR113Q are presented in the supporting material (Tables S1, S2, S3). The degree to which genes are regulated similarly between these models is represented by Venn diagram (Figure 1). This analysis indicated a similar degree of overlap between the 3 models, and suggested that a core pattern of altered gene expression is associated with the symptoms of KD/SBMA common to the three models. The list of genes in the intersection between the 3 models is presented in Table S4.

The list of candidate genes presented in Table S4 notably includes several whose functions relate to differentiation and/or atrophy of muscle. These include: DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4-like (Ddit4l), Enabled homolog (Enah), F box protein 32 (Fbox32), and Integrin beta 1 binding protein 3 (Itgb1bp3). Other differentially regulated genes are implicated in regulating oxidative metabolism within skeletal muscle including: Ddit4l, Phospholipase A2, group VII (Pla2g7), and Phosphofructokinase alpha 1 (Phka1). Ddit4l, also known as REDD2 and SMHS1, inhibits muscle growth via the Igf1/mTOR pathway and is regulated during atrophy of rat soleus muscle and the associated fiber type transition from oxidative to glycolytic [31,32]. Enah, also known as MENA, modulates the actin cytoskeleton and cell signaling along with VASP. Dominant negative inhibition of both Enah and VASP results in cardiomyopathy [33]. Itgb1bp3, also known as MIBP, is developmentally regulated during myogenic differentiation and is thought to inhibit myocyte adhesion to laminin and myocyte production of laminin [34,35]. Allelic variants of Pla2g7 can promote reductions in adiposity following exercise in human populations [36], and reduction of adipose tissue is a known function of myocyte AR [37]. Allelic variants in Phka1 in human populations are associated with metabolic myopathy [38,39]. When considered in the context of the putative protective or toxic functions of these genes, the general pattern of regulation observed in our samples for Ddit4l, Enah and Itgb1bp3 are consistent with adaptive tissue response to toxicity and that observed for Phka1 and Pla2g7 is consistent with a causal role in myopathy.

![Figure 1. Venn diagrams of microarray results by mouse model.](image-url)

Diagram representing the number of genes whose expression differed from WT controls for each mutant strain (HSA-AR, AR97Q, AR113Q), and the number of such genes shared by each model. Notably, the overlap between HSA-AR and either of the polyQ models was similar to the overlap between the polyQ AR samples. Gene numbers were obtained by examining gene lists generated by a 2-fold, p≤0.05 criterion.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.g001
**Table 1.** Validation of the results of microarray experiments by qRT-PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unigene Symbol</th>
<th>Microarray Fold Change Relative to WT</th>
<th>qRT-PCR Fold Change Relative to WT (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSA-AR</td>
<td>AR97Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vegfa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fbxo32</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Igfbt3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zmnd17</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gbe1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ankrd1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All samples were compared with their WT controls to evaluate fold change. The expression of each test gene was normalized to the level of GAPDH within each sample prior to comparisons between samples. Each group represents samples from 3 mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.t001

*Fbxo32* deserves special attention, as it is thought to activate a pattern of gene expression which is associated with diverse forms of muscle wasting [15,30,40]. Because muscle wasting is a cardinal feature of KD/SBMA and wasting is present in all 3 models, we were not surprised to find *Fbxo32* in all of the gene lists (Table S4 and Table 1). However, *Fbxo32* was unexpectedly decreased in all 3 models, whereas increases in *Fbxo32* were observed in other cases of muscle wasting, including diabetes, renal failure, cancer and denervation [15,30]. Nonetheless, genes thought to be downstream from *Fbxo32* were also found to be affected in those consistent with studies of muscle atrophy (Table S4 and S5), suggesting a non-canonical activation of this pathway. In other words, these results suggest that muscle atrophy in KD/SBMA may not be triggered by increases in *Fbxo32*, but rather that genes downstream of this ubiquitin ligase may mediate atrophy.

Our analysis also indicates patterns of gene expression associated exclusively with polyQ AR, and may be associated with symptoms that are found in those models but not HSA-AR (e.g. AR-immunoreactive nuclear inclusions, which are not observed in HSA-AR [41]). The list of genes in the intersection between the polyQ models (AR97Q and AR113Q) is reported in Table 2. With this comparison, we observed several previously identified candidate polyQ genes in our lists, including *Dnaj* (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 6 (*Dnajb6*) and P300/CBP-associated factor (*Pcaf*, Table S5). *Dnajb6*, also known as MRJ and its *drosophila* ortholog, can suppress polyglutamine toxicity and aggregate formation *in vitro* and *in flies* [42,43]. The histoneacetyltransferase *Pcaf* associates with polyQ AR and *Dnajb6* in *HSA-AR* expressing cells *in vitro* [44]. For both *Dnajb6* and *Pcaf*, the observed pattern is consistent with a protective function of altered mRNA expression within the skeletal muscle of the polyQ AR mice. We also observed similarity between our models and gene expression in skeletal muscle of a mouse model of Huntington’s disease [29], although these similarities were not entirely limited to polyQ AR mice (Tables S4 and S5). This is significant, as Huntington’s disease shares several similarities with KD/SBMA; it is also caused by polyglutamine expansion mutations [4], and neuromuscular pathology, including alterations in skeletal muscle gene expression are observed in mouse models of this disease [29,45].

Loss of AR function is thought to contribute to atrophy in KD/SBMA, as is illustrated by the advanced atrophy of polyQ AR mutant mice on a loss of AR function testicular feminization mutation (*Tfm*) genetic background [12]. The mechanism of the protective actions of AR in this regard are not currently understood, but might involve stimulation of trophic factor production [9], or activation of the Insulin-like growth factor1 (*Igf1*) pathway [40], for example. Some support for this idea was

**Table 2.** Functional distributions of regulated genes in some clusters by Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern of Regulation</th>
<th>Functional Groupings</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Down in all models</td>
<td>Protein modification, Phosphatase metabolism, Endoplasmic reticulum, Regulation of neurogenesis, Glycerol metabolism, Amino acid metabolism, Calmodulin binding, Magnesium ion binding, Regulation of protein kinase activity</td>
<td>0.0466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up in all models</td>
<td>Intracellular organelle, Transcription cofactor activity, Focal adhesion, I band</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down in PolyQ models</td>
<td>Metal ion binding, Steroid metabolism, Sarcomere, Muscle contraction, Filamentous actin, Endocytosis, Chemical homeostasis, Macrophage activation, Membrane fraction</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up in PolyQ models</td>
<td>Hydrolyase activity, Protein binding, Peptidase activity</td>
<td>0.0356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down in HSA-AR</td>
<td>Protein modification, Metal ion binding, Muscle contraction, Kinase activity, Neuron projection, Actin cytoskeleton, Mitochondrion, Phosphotransferase activity, Glucose metabolism</td>
<td>0.0563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up in HSA-AR</td>
<td>Protein metabolism, I band, Response to stress, Kallikrein activity, Induction of apoptosis, Zinc ion binding, Calcium ion binding, Transcription factor binding, Actin cytoskeleton</td>
<td>0.0639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Functional analysis of regulated genes was performed using Functional Annotation Tool (DAVID Bioinformatics Resources) according to GO term (biological process, molecular function and cellular component) on several clusters: cluster 8 which was down in all models, cluster 15 which was up in all models, clusters 2 and 7 which were down in polyQ models only, cluster 14 which was up in polyQ models only, cluster 4 which was up only in HSA-AR and clusters 10 and 11 which were up only in HSA-AR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.t002
obtained in our microarray results, as we find Vegfa is reduced in muscle all 3 lines using qPCR (Table 1) and in the polyQ lines using microarray (Table S5). We did not find that Igf1 was reduced, nor was the Igf1 signaling pathway obviously dysregulated, with the exception of Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 (Igfbp5), which was decreased in AR97Q and AR113Q (Table S5). It remains possible that Igf1 is decreased at the protein level.

We extended this comparison of our three models by performing a hierarchical cluster analysis in an effort to further reduce the list of candidate genes (Figure 2). We found that 2 clusters of coregulated genes (cluster 8 and 15) are shared among all three models. Functional analysis of these coregulated clusters can be found in Tables 2 and 3. Analysis of gene ontology (GO) terms in coregulated clusters indicated diverse classes of biological functions of regulated genes, including several effects on protein metabolism, ion binding and cellular differentiation (Table 2).

Interestingly, decreases in genes mediating metal ion binding were observed in both clusters unique to PolyQ models and HSA-AR, suggesting a convergence of gene function, despite different clustered genes. Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) analysis of coregulated clusters indicated effects on the insulin signaling pathway as well as several cell adhesion and cell signaling pathways (Table 3). Insulin signaling has not previously been examined in KD/SBMA, but disturbance in mitochondria [25] could certainly result from alterations in insulin signaling [46,47]. Similarly, alterations in cellular differentiation or tissue remodeling associated with muscle pathology could presumably account for the preponderance of these pathways.

Microarray results were validated using quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) for 6 genes that were changed in all three models. Results of qRT-PCR agreed very well with microarray results (Table 1). In each of the 18 tests performed, consistent changes in gene expression were observed. These results lead us to conclude that our microarray experiments accurately reflect the gene expression changes occurring in the different disease models.

Discussion

This report characterizes the transcriptome of skeletal muscle in three independently generated mouse models of KD/SBMA. This study was undertaken to shed light on emerging evidence of myogenic contributions to KD/SBMA (reviewed in [5]). We were specifically interested in identifying patterns of gene expression that are shared between the 3 models, and might therefore account for the muscle wasting, motor axon loss and histopathology typical of KD/SBMA. By comparing results obtained in three models, we were able to greatly reduce the number of candidate genes.

It was surprising to find that Fbxo32 expression was reduced in all models, as this is a prime candidate gene for muscle atrophy. However, several putative downstream effectors of Fbxo32 were regulated similarly to other cases of muscular atrophy. Certainly there is precedent for uncoupling within the Fbxo32 signaling pathway within myocytes [48]. One potential explanation of this observation is that preserved androgen functions in muscle repress Fbxo32, but that other cellular pathology initiates the pathway via alternate mechanisms. Consistent with this idea, testosterone decreases Fbxo32 in rat levator ani muscle [49], and further loss of AR function in mouse models of KD/SBMA accelerates pathology [12]. A final possibility is that Fbxo32 is indeed involved, but is activated by post-translational modification rather than transcriptional induction.

As we have discussed elsewhere [5,7], it is surprising that overexpression of WT AR can reproduce some of the effects of polyQ expansion of AR. Aside from the implication that a polyQ expansion is not necessary for pathology, this observation is
Animals

Ten WT C57BL/6J mice (5 males and 5 females, 70 days old) from Jackson Laboratories were used to make the RNA reference samples.

Three mouse models of KD/SBMA were used in the current study: HSA-AR [7], AR113Q [6, 58], and AR97Q [59]. Two of the three models, AR97Q and AR113Q, have a polyQ expansion in the AR. HSA-AR are transgenic (Tg) mice that overexpress selectively in skeletal muscle a rat AR with a WT number (24) of glutamine repeats [7]. AR97Q are Tg mice that express human AR under the control of a 5′ actin/CMV promoter [59]. AR-113Q are knock-in mice in which the first exon of the endogenous mouse AR has been replaced with a similar sequence from the human AR containing 113 glutamine repeats [6].

HSA-AR: The production, genotyping and phenotyping of HSA-AR transgenic mice has been described elsewhere [7]. Two founding lines (L78 and L141) of HSA-AR mice, that differ in the copy number of the transgene and have corresponding differences in the severity of the neuromuscular atrophy (L178<L141) have been previously characterized. In this study, Tg male mice from L141 (n = 5) and their WT brothers (n = 8, all mice were 110–130 days of age) were used. Behavioral, histological and candidate gene expression data have been previously reported for a subset of these animals [7].

AR113Q: The production and genotyping of AR113Q Knock In mice has been described elsewhere [58], as has their neuromuscular phenotype [6]. Mutant males (n = 3, 3–4 months of age), fully backcrossed onto the C57BL/6J strain, were used in this study.

AR97Q: The production, genotyping and phenotyping of AR97Q Tg mice has been described elsewhere [59]. Tg AR97Q males and WT brothers (n = 6 of each, 112–118 days old) were used in this study.

All animal experiments conformed to NIH guidelines and were approved by the University Animal Care Committee of the University of Toronto Mississauga (Approved protocol # 20007262).

Tissue Harvesting and Extraction of RNA

Under surgical anesthesia, limb muscles were dissected, harvested, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at −80 °C. Frozen limb muscles were placed in TRizol Reagent (Life Technologies, NY) and homogenized before RNA extraction. The total RNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. After extraction, an aliquot was used to confirm sample integrity by electrophoresis of glyoxylated RNA through 1.2% agarose gel and visualization by staining with ethidium bromide. RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop (Fisher Scientific) spectrophotometer.

Sample Labelling, Microarray Hybridization and Data Analysis

Two-color microarray experiments were performed using 38.5K oligo mouse MEEBO arrays (Mouse Ready Arrays,
Microarrays Inc., Nashville, TN). This array contains 35,302 oligonucleotide (70mer) probes is largely derived from constitutively expressed exons and represents approximately 25,000 mouse genes.

Cyanine dyes were directly incorporated into cDNA synthesized from total RNA following the procedure of Neal et al. (2003). Briefly, 30 µl reactions containing 20 µg of total RNA, 500 µmol/L of dATP, dGTP, and dCTP; 50 µmol/L dUDP (GE Life Sciences), 25 µmol/L Cy3- or Cy5-dCTP (Perkin Elmer), 10 µmol/L DTT and 150 µmol oligo dT20 primer were heated to 65°C for 5 min, then 42°C for 5 min. 2 µl SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen Corporation) was added, and cDNA synthesis was carried out for 3 h at 42°C. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 5 µL of 50 mmol/L EDTA. RNA was hydrolyzed with 4 µL of 5 mol/L NaOH for 10 min at 65°C, and the reaction was then neutralized by titration with acetic acid. The cDNA from one Cyanine-3 (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA) reaction (reference sample) were combined with those from a Cyanine-5 (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA) reaction (experiment sample) and were co-hybridized to oligo array.

Images of the hybridized arrays were acquired using a ScanArray 4000 XL laser scanner (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA) and fluorescent intensities from spots were quantified using GenePix 5 software (Axon Instruments, Inc., CA).

Microarray images and quantification data were then imported into GeneTraffic DUO (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) for analysis. The data were normalized using the Lowess algorithm at the subgrid level while ignoring flagged values. After normalization of the data, lists of differentially expressed genes were obtained using GeneTraffic.

For the HSA-AR and AR113Q samples, a universal RNA reference sample made from C57BL/6J mice was utilized on each array. Triplicate arrays using RNA samples from the different experimental animals (i.e. HSA-AR Tg males, AR113Q Tg males, and WT brothers) were performed such that 3 animals in each mutant genotype were compared to WT controls. Log2 ratios of experimental (either mutant or their WT brothers) samples (Cy5) versus reference RNA (Cy3) were obtained. The log2 ratios from mutant samples were then subtracted from log2 ratios from WT versus reference RNA controls to find differentially regulated genes. Gene lists were filtered in GeneTraffic to include only those genes that displayed at least 2-fold difference and whose coefficient of variance was less than 100% and had a p-value less than 0.05 using a T-test.

Subsequent to the initial experiments described above, the AR97Q model became available. However, since there were not sufficient amounts of the Universal RNA reference remaining, triplicate arrays using different biological replicates of the AR97Q males (experimental, Cy5) were directly compared with their WT brothers (reference, Cy3). Dye swap experiments were not performed as previous experiments in our lab had demonstrated that they do not alter the lists of differentially expressed genes very much (Neal et al., 2003). Using GeneTraffic, lists of genes that displayed at least 2-fold difference and whose coefficient of variance was less than 100% were made.

Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed in GeneTraffic DUO using the Pearson algorithm and average linkage [60]. Cluster figures were made using the MultiExperiment Viewer (MeV) in the TM4 suite of software tools (www.tm4.org) (Saeed et al., 2006).

All microarray data is MIAME compliant and has been deposited in GEO (accession number GSE10190).

Real Time Quantitative RT-PCR

For the quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis, the 3 biological samples of each genotype used for the microarray analysis plus additional biological samples were used. For the HSA-AR male mice, 2 additional mice were analyzed (3 mice total). For the AR97Q and their WT controls, 3 additional mice were analyzed (6 mice total for each). For the AR113Q males, only the original 3 biological replicates were analyzed. In addition to the biological replicates, 2 or 3 technical replicates of each biological sample were performed. A two-step approach was taken in which the initial reverse transcription was followed by the qRT-PCR amplification. After DNase I (Invitrogen Corporation, CA) treatment, DNA-free total RNA was reverse transcribed using a dT20VN primer (Sigma, Oakville, ON) with SuperScript II. Each RNA reaction had a control reaction without reverse transcriptase to evaluate any genomic DNA contamination. Two µl of the diluted reaction was used as template for each 25 µL RT-PCR amplification. Reactions were assembled using SYBR® Green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma, Oakville, ON). The Mx4000 Multiplex Quantitative PCR System (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) was used for data acquisition and analysis according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Samples were incubated at 95°C for 10 min prior to thermal cycling (40 cycles of: 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s). In order to confirm the amplification specificity and identity the PCR products, a melting curve analysis between 55°C and 95°C was also carried out using the Mx4000 software. The completed reactions were heated to 95°C for 1 min and cooled to 55°C and reactions were re-heated in 1°C increments back to 95°C in order to plot a dissociation curve. After exporting the ROX-normalized fluorescence measurements to Microsoft Excel, the program LinRegPCR [61] was used to determine the efficiency of each reaction. These efficiencies were used in the final calculation of fold induction from the ∆Ct values and the expression of each test gene was normalized to the level of glyceraldehyde 3’-dehydrogenase (GAPDH) within each sample prior to comparisons between samples.

Primer Design

The cDNA sequences for the genes, AR, GAPDH, Fbox32 (F-box protein 32), Vegfa (Vascular endothelial growth factor), Akbit1 (Ankyrin repeat domain 1), Igfbp3 (integrin beta 1 binding protein 3), Zmynd17 (Zinc finger, MYND domain containing 17), Gbe1 (Glucan (1,4-alpha), branching enzyme 1), Igfl (Insulin-like growth factor 1), Akl1 (Thymoma viral proto-oncogene 1) and MrdRF1 (Tripartite motif-containing 63) were obtained from public databases. PCR primers were designed from the corresponding cDNA sequences using the Whitehead Institute’s Primer3 software [62]. All oligonucleotide sequences and primer pairs were checked with OligoAnalyzer 3.0 (http://scitools.idtdna.com/Analyzer/) for secondary structure and dimer formation. Each primer and amplicon sequence was tested using the nucleotide-nucleotide BLAST alignment tool to ensure minimal similarity with any other sequence. Oligonucleotide primers were obtained from Invitrogen. Primer sequences used in this study can be found in Table S6.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Complete gene list for HSA-AR. Genes regulated in HSA-AR muscle are presented. For inclusion, each gene had to appear in the gene list generated by a 2 fold change, p<0.05 criteria. Fold change values relative to WT controls are presented, as are Genbank accession numbers, unigene symbols and names. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s001 (0.04 MB XLS).

Table S2 Complete gene list for AR97Q. Genes regulated in AR97Q Tg muscle are presented. For inclusion, each gene had to
appear in the gene list generated by a 2 fold change, p ≤ 0.05 criteria. Fold change values relative to WT controls are presented, as are Genbank accession numbers, unigene symbols and names. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s002 (0.05 MB XLS).

Table S3 Complete gene list for AR113Q. Genes regulated in AR113Q muscle are presented. For inclusion, each gene had to appear in the gene list generated by a 2 fold change, p ≤ 0.05 criteria. Fold change values relative to WT controls are presented, as are Genbank accession numbers, unigene symbols and names. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s003 (0.04 MB XLS).

Table S4 Gene list common to all models. Genes regulated in all 3 models are presented. For inclusion, each gene had to appear in the gene list generated by a 2 fold change, p ≤ 0.05 criteria in all models. Fold change values are presented for each model as are Genbank accession numbers, unigene symbols and names. In addition, genes that have been reported to be regulated in skeletal muscle of related conditions are identified: ARKO = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of AR null mice, Atrophy = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of diverse conditions resulting in muscle atrophy, DHT = genes also identified in serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) of skeletal muscle of mice treated with dihydrotestosterone, HD = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of mouse model of Huntington’s disease. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s004 (0.05 MB XLS).

Table S5 The list of up- and down-regulated genes found only in PolyQ models. Genes regulated in all 3 models are presented. For inclusion, each gene had to appear in the gene list generated by a 2 fold change, p ≤ 0.05 criteria in AR97Q and AR113Q models. Fold change values are presented for each model as are Genbank accession numbers, unigene symbols and names. In addition, genes that have been reported to be regulated in skeletal muscle of related conditions are identified: ARKO = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of AR null mice, Atrophy = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of diverse conditions resulting in muscle atrophy, HD = genes also identified in microarray analysis of skeletal muscle of mouse model of Huntington’s disease. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s005 (0.02 MB XLS).

Table S6 List of primers used for quantitative RT-PCR validation of microarray results. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012922.s006 (0.02 MB XLS).
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