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Epileptogenic Source Imaging Using Cross Frequency Coupled Signals from Scalp EEG

Chunsheng Li, Daniel Jacobs, Trevor Hilton, Martin del Campo, Yotin Chinvarun, Peter L Carlen, and Berj L Bardakjian, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Objective: The epileptogenic zone (EZ) is a brain region containing the sources of seizure genesis. Removal of the EZ is associated with cessation of seizures after resective surgical procedures, as measured by Engel Class I score. This study describes a novel EEG source imaging (ESI) method which uses cross frequency coupled potential signals (CFC) derived from scalp EEG. Methods: Scalp EEG were recorded from ten patients (20 seizures) suffering from epilepsy. The CFC were constructed from the phase and amplitude of the lower and higher frequency rhythms at electrographic seizure onset. ESI was then performed using the CFC. Validation of the technique was facilitated by (i) forward and inverse computer modelling of known cortical sources, and (ii) the correspondence of the ESI with EZ in resected regions of patients. Results: For ten seizures sampled at or above 500 Hz from four patients, all estimated sources lay within the resected region, emphasizing the clinical importance of higher sampling rates. The CFC demonstrated significant advantages over the “raw” scalp EEG, indicating its robust noise performance. Modelling investigations indicated that a signal-to-noise ratio above 0.2 was sufficient to achieve successful localization regarding EMG artifacts. Conclusion: The association of the estimated sources to the EZ suggests that cross-frequency coupling is a feature of the brain’s neural networks, not of artifactual activity. The CFC can effectively extract brain signals from a noisy background. Significance: We propose this approach to enhance the placement of intracranial electrode for surgical intervention.

Index Terms—Epilepsy; EEG source imaging (ESI); cross frequency coupling; modulation index (MI); artifactual immunity.

I. INTRODUCTION

EPILEPSY is the most common chronic disease in neurology. More than 30% of epileptic patients are refractory to pharmacotherapy and may elect to undergo surgical intervention [1]. The goal is to remove the epileptogenic zone (EZ), which is the brain tissue necessary for seizure generation [2], [3]. Clinicians perform pre-surgical evaluation using both noninvasive and invasive tools to estimate the EZ. Scalp electroencephalography (EEG) is one of these techniques which is fundamental for defining the EZ, frequently as a precursor to invasive recordings in the form of intracranial EEG (iEEG). iEEG is the gold standard for EZ definition, but has certain limitations, chiefly the potential for incomplete detection of the EZ because of limited spatial coverage [3], [4]. As such, correct placement of iEEG electrodes is crucial for surgical success, but it remains a challenge due to the effects of volume conduction of brain rhythms from their source to the scalp, where they may be further obscured due to the presence of strong artifacts, such as from muscle activity. Such difficulties may contribute to the 30% of surgical resections which fail to produce seizure freedom. As such, improved methods for noninvasive mapping of epileptogenic networks across the whole brain are critical for the appropriate presurgical evaluation [5].

EEG source imaging (ESI) is a technique that has been used extensively for noninvasive mapping of the brain’s neural activity [6]–[8] and has particular clinical value in its ability to estimate epileptic foci [9]–[11]. ESI allows for the enhancement of the analysis of potential fields recorded on the scalp by first inverting them to the cortical source space and conducting analyses such as connectivity and coherence in the cortical source domain [12], [13]. ESI techniques have also been enhanced by processing the potential scalp field before inversion, for example: a) transforming the scalp potential field to the frequency domain using the Fourier transform [14], b) generalization of the FFT approximation to the case of distributed source models with non-stationary time behavior [15], and c) time-frequency MEG-MUSIC algorithm [16]. Most ESI studies for pre-surgical epilepsy evaluation have relied on interictal changes rather than ictal activity [17]. There have been few studies dealing with ictal source localization [18], [19]. This may be due to the difficulty of ictal source modeling: the low signal to noise ratio of some ictal pattern types, and their frequent contamination by artifacts [9]. Artifacts may be introduced by eye movement, eye blinks, electrode movement, muscle activity, breathing, heartbeat, and electrical line noise [20]. However, ictal EEG patterns are essential for determining the origin and spread of epileptic activity [21]. Isolation of EZ-specific activity in the presence of these artifacts in the ictal EEG could lead to improved ESI for mapping epileptogenic networks.
Interaction between neuronal rhythms may offer a novel method of selecting EZ-specific information for ESI techniques. Rhythmic interaction was found to have a fundamental and significant role in high level brain function such as cognition and memory [22]–[24]. In epileptic patients, elevated low frequency oscillation and high frequency oscillation coherence identified coinciding regions of interest (ROIs) in the intracranial recordings [25]. High frequency amplitude modulated by low frequency phase has been used to successfully define ROI on intracranial recording [26]. Low and high frequency oscillation have long been studied independently in the context of epilepsy. Delta asymmetry has been found to be a useful marker for lateralizing the epileptogenic focus [27]. The presence of delta slow waves was prevalent in patients with uncontrolled seizures [28]. Specially, both temporal and occipital intermittent rhythmic delta activities were highly correlated with epilepsy [29]. Intercistal regional delta slowing has also been found to correlate with positive surgical outcomes in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy [30], [31]. With regards to high frequency oscillation, the presence of ripples was shown to be significant in the seizure onset zone (SOZ) of patients with neocortical epilepsy [32], and the resection of high frequency oscillation generating tissue has been linked to positive surgical outcome in both adults [33]–[35] and children [36], [37]. There has been a renewed interest for the study of fast oscillations with scalp EEG [38]. So far, only a few studies using scalp EEG in humans have demonstrated cross-frequency coupling between low frequency phase and high frequency amplitude in the context of working memory and attention tasks [39], [40], and no studies have yet investigated cross frequency coupling in scalp EEG recordings from epileptic patient.

The present study proposes a novel EZ source imaging method using cross frequency coupled potential signals (S$_{CFC}$) formed by the phase feature of the lower frequency range (LFR) and the amplitude feature of the higher frequency range (HFR). This method is validated via (i) simulation, and (ii) comparison between S$_{CFC}$-localized sources of the ROI (sROI) and the known resected regions, the S$_{CFC}$ signal demonstrates advantages over the original scalp EEG. For patients with Engel Class I outcome the sROI was checked to be in the resected region, otherwise some or all sources were localized outside that region.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Data and subject description

Twenty seizures were obtained in the form of scalp EEG recordings from ten patients with intractable epilepsy. Informed consent was obtained from each patient and the ethics committees of the affiliated institutions approved this study. Six patients were seizure free after surgical intervention. The clinical background as well as surgical outcome of each patient is outlined in Table I. Note that for patient P1, there was a resection performed, but the surgical outcome is not known because this patient died of unknown causes within the first few weeks after surgery. In this patient’s case, the EZ was assumed to be in accordance with the resection made. Global reference electrode (Fpz) was used in recording for patients P1–P3, P5, P7, and post-auricular locations were used for patient P4, P6, P8–P10. The number of scalp electrodes used for source localization varied between patients from 19 to 25, and recordings were acquired with various sampling frequencies from 200 to 1000 Hz (summarized in Table II). Line noise interference was notched with a zero-phase Butterworth filter. Two neurologists examined the recordings and identified electrographic SOZs. Patient specific CT imaging was used to confirm intracranial electrode positions. The electrographic onset of the seizure was essential for determining SOZ. EEG segments of 10 s duration ($t_{sp}$) starting from electrographic seizure onset, as determined by the neurologists, were chosen for all subsequent analyses. Recordings of 10 s duration appear sufficient to determine ROIs in epileptic patients [26]. Patient P1 and P3 had seizures with intracranial and extracranial EEG simultaneously recorded. Scalp EEG at electrographic seizure onset was used for deriving the S$_{CFC}$ signal, and the source localization result was compared with resected region of patient for validation (see Fig. 1).

B. Cross frequency coupled potential signals

S$_{CFC}(t)$ is proposed as a time varying potential signal, which is derived from a HFR amplitude signal, A$_{HFR}(t)$, and a LFR phase signal, $\phi_{LFR}(t)$, of scalp EEG. The $S_{CFC}$ signal at each electrode is defined as follows:

$$S_{CFC}(t) = A_{HFR}(t) \cdot \sin[\phi_{LFR}(t)],$$

where

$$A_{HFR}(t) = \langle A(t, f) \rangle_{f \in [H_1, H_2]},$$

$$\phi_{LFR}(t) = \langle \phi(t, f) \rangle_{f \in [L_1, L_2]},$$

and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes average value.

Continuous wavelet transform (CWT) was applied on each electrode in an EEG recording (e.g. Fig. 2(c)), $x(t)$, in order to generate the time-frequency spectrum. This produced a complex coefficient at each point in time $t$ and frequency $f$, whose amplitude and phase were the $A(t, f)$ and $\phi(t, f)$ respectively. All computations were performed within the MATLAB environment (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, U.S.A.). Assuming $A_{HFR}(t) \cdot e^{j\phi_{LFR}(t)}$ is analytic, then the $S_{CFC}$ signal would be
The estimation of LFR in F4 and F8 (see Fig. 3(d)) showed an elevation in WPC within the frequency range selected by the GMI. Averaged MI from projected iEEG and averaged scalp EEG at channel locations showed a similar elevation is seen in the WEC for the frequency range selected by the GMI at both channel locations. In Fig. 3(c), a time varying potential signal at corresponding channel.

C. Higher and lower frequency ranges from global modulation index

The HFR and LFR were estimated from the global modulation index (GMI), which is the average of modulation index (MI) of all channels \([41, 42]\). To compute the MI, the time series of the amplitude envelope of higher frequency signal and the instantaneous phase of lower frequency signal were extracted from their respective CWT spectra, which were obtained using the complex Morlet wavelet with a bandwidth of 5 Hz and a center frequency of 0.8125 Hz \([26]\). The low frequency phase was divided into 18 bins, and high frequency amplitude within each phase bin was averaged. The mean amplitude was then normalized by dividing the sum of all mean amplitudes. The normalized amplitude had discrete probability density function characteristics, and was then compared with a uniform distribution by measuring the Kullback-Leibler (KL) distance. The KL distance was normalized to values between 0 and 1, defining the MI \([41]\).

The HFR and LFR were selected by taking the maximal extent of the GMI distribution at or above 3 dB below peak. For the computation of each individual MI map, the higher frequency range was chosen as being greater than 10 Hz in 1 Hz increments, whereby the lower frequency range was chosen as being less than or equal to 8 Hz in 0.1 Hz increments. The MI values at electrographic onset were computed. Scalp EEG at electrographic onset of P3 was shown in Fig. 2(a). The GMI was shown in Fig. 2(b), which highlighted the interaction region at LFR \(\in [3.0, 4.2] \text{ Hz}\) and HFR \(\in [20, 50] \text{ Hz}\). The CWT spectrum in LFR and HFR at channel F4 were shown in Fig. 2(c), and those regions were further converted to S_{CFC} time varying potential signal at corresponding channel.

To determine whether the GMI is highlighting electrical activity in the scalp EEG recordings, the simultaneous EEG and iEEG recordings were utilized from patient P3, seizure one, during the 1_{sp}. Cortical current sources were approximated at the locations of each iEEG recording electrode (see Fig. 7(d)) so that, using the forward conduction model, resulting potentials at standard scalp EEG locations could be generated. This gave two signals for each standard scalp EEG location: a simulated signal representing the neuronal component of the EEG as derived from the simultaneous iEEG recordings, and the original recorded EEG signal. To select reliable sites for comparison of the two signals, unit dipole sources were placed at all iEEG source locations in the model. The resulting scalp potential distribution is observed in Fig. 3(a). By selecting a threshold of 3 dB below the maximum power in this distribution, two channel locations: F4 and F8, the only channels whose power was above this threshold, were chosen. At these locations, the two simultaneous signals were compared on a per-frequency basis using two separate methods: Wavelet phase coherence (WPC) \([43]\) and Wavelet envelope correlation (WEC) \([44]\). In Fig. 3(b), it is seen that there exists an elevation in WPC within the frequency range selected by the GMI at both channel locations. In Fig. 3(c), a similar elevation is seen in the WEC for the frequency range selected by the GMI. Averaged MI from projected iEEG and recorded EEG at channel F4 and F8 (see Fig. 3(d)) showed same HFR and LFR ranges as GMI (see Fig. 2(b)). This indicates that the GMI is able to select frequency components of the scalp EEG that are the result of neuronal activity and not
Fig. 2. The $S_\text{CFC}$ signal derived from raw scalp EEG. (a) Raw 25 channels 10 s scalp EEG at electrographic seizure onset from patient P3 seizure one. (b) GMI averaged from 25 channels MI. Low frequency range 1–8 Hz and high frequency range 11–80 Hz were used. The region marked by a white arrow indicates the coupled LFR and HFR. LFR $\in [3.4, 8]$, HFR $\in [20, 50]$ Hz. (c) CWT at channel F4. HFR and LFR were marked, and $S_\text{CFC}$ signal was derived from averaged traces from those ranges. (d) The $S_\text{CFC}$ signal computed from patient P3’s channel F4 with lower and higher frequencies selected at 3.7 Hz and 26 Hz. The $S_\text{CFC}$ signal is the Hilbert transform (HT) of the signal $A(t) \cdot \cos[\phi(t)]$. (e) The $S_\text{CFC}$ signal derived from the selected LFR and HFR range of (b).
those that may be of artifactual origin. Since the LFR and HFR of $S_{CFC}$ signals were chosen from maximally coupled neuronal rhythms, this phase selectivity can eliminate artifactual effects as seen in Fig. 4.

D. Source localization

ESI was performed using the Brainstorm software package [45] within MATLAB. Weighted minimum norm estimate (wMNE) was the primary method for ESI [46]. Standard low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA) was also used for comparative purposes [47]. The MNI Colin 27 brain was used for both forward and inverse modeling [48], see Fig. 1. A four-layer (skull, scalp, cerebral spinal fluid, and cortex) boundary element method model was obtained through the OpenMEEG software package [49]. With this model, neuronal source activity was estimated using the aforementioned ESI techniques. Average source strength was computed over the $t_{sp}$, and significant activity was defined as that above the threshold of 3 dB below (i.e. 70% of) the maximum average source strength within this interval.

III. RESULTS

A. Validation via simulation

The comparison between $S_{CFC}$ mapping applied to raw scalp EEG and their cortical sources was investigated. Raw scalp EEG sources from seizure one of patient P3 are displayed in Fig. 5(a). The raw EEG sources were localized on the left temporal lobe while the brain resection of this patient was on the right frontal lobe. The $S_{CFC}$ signals were estimated for all cortical source signals, with the HFR and LFR selected from the raw scalp EEG GMI-based ranges (in Fig. 2(b)). Those source strengths were averaged over the $t_{sp}$, normalized according to maximum value. Most of the $S_{CFC}$ signal activities above 3 dB-below-max threshold were localized in the right frontal lobe (see Fig. 5(b)). The $S_{CFC}$ mapping in sensor space applied directly to scalp EEG were localized in the right frontal lobe as seen in Fig. 5(c).

Instead of using the raw scalp EEG for ESI, the scalp EEG were finite impulse response (FIR)-filtered into the LFR and HFR. The HFR and LFR sources were both localized over the frontal and left temporal lobes as seen in Fig. 6(a) and (b). The $S_{CFC}$ signals were estimated in the source space using the combined LFR and HFR sources. The resulting potential map was time-averaged to estimate the location of the EZ, which was predominantly localized in the right frontal lobe as seen in Fig. 6(c). However, this result was not as good as $S_{CFC}$ mapping in sensor space in Fig. 5(c).

In order to validate the ability of the $S_{CFC}$ to estimate the EZ under electromyographic (EMG) contamination, simultaneous scalp and iEEG recordings from seizure one of patient P3 (Engel class I) were used. The iEEG recording appears to be minimally affected by EMG and other artifacts, and is assumed to represent activity of mostly cortical origin [50], [51]. iEEG was projected to the scalp electrode locations using the forward model. The amplitude of the projected traces was scaled according to variance matching by the ratio of the standard deviation of the projection to that of the scalp EEG recording at the F4 electrode. This scaling was performed in order to match the amplitude of the projected signal to the scalp recordings. F4 was selected since the projection at that location matches well with the scalp recording (see Fig. 3), and also has the largest standard deviation. This set of projected signals (see Fig. 7(a)) was treated as an artifact-free subset of the recorded scalp signal. The $S_{CFC}$ source estimate for this noise-free case defines an ROI used to assess the effects of added EMG noise. This ROI coincides with the known resection area (see Fig. 7(d) and (e)).

Realistic EMG was introduced into this noise-free model using the simultaneously recorded scalp EEG. EMG-type components were separately estimated from SEEG activity (see Fig. 7(b)) via independent component analysis (Infomax ICA) [52]. EMG could then be scaled to the desired signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). SNR scaling was computed using the frequency range from the spectrum of the traces with the largest SNR, as reported in other simulation work [53]. Frequencies were first binned into ranges of interest following the frequency ranges seen across patients while computing the $S_{CFC}$ (see Table II).

An example of deliberately contaminated signal is shown in Fig. 7(c). EMG is added to electrode T5 at an SNR of 0.1, and the EMG decays across the entire scalp as seen in previous studies of the spatial extent of EMG contamination of EEG [54]. To ensure widespread contamination, the EMG was made to decay linearly with distance (as opposed to expo-
Fig. 4. Scalp EEG and derived S\textsubscript{CFC} signal before seizure termination of patient P9. (a) 10 s raw scalp EEG. A large artifact was shown on electrode O2 (red line) and T3 (blue line). All channels show baseline changing between 4 to 6 s. (b) S\textsubscript{CFC} signal derived from corresponding scalp EEG. The artifacts on channel O2 and T3 were removed, and the abnormal baseline at 4 to 6 s was also removed. The LFR $\in [1.1, 1.4]$ Hz and HFR $\in [41, 51]$ Hz were determined from corresponding GMI.

...nentially) from T5. S\textsubscript{CFC} signals were then constructed from each contaminated set and used for imaging. The proposed S\textsubscript{CFC} method demonstrates the ability to localize the ROI even under the influence of strong noise, as shown for the example in Fig. 7(f) which matches the noise-free case. A similar, although more diffuse, localization result is achieved using sLORETA instead of the wMNE method (see Fig. 7(g)).

The effects of EMG artifacts and white noise upon the sensitivity and specificity of the S\textsubscript{CFC} technique were then evaluated across individual channels, for a range of SNR values. Particularly low SNR recordings (such as that in Fig. 8(a) and (c)) may demonstrate activity outside the ROI. To define a successful ESI result, we define $S_{in}$ as the percentage of the ROI recovered by a given source estimate (sensitivity), and $S_{out}$ as the percentage of non-ROI identified in a given estimate (specificity). For this specific seizure event, the imaging was generally successful as per these measures across channels for SNR greater than 0.2 in EMG artifacts and 0.75 in Gaussian white noise (see Fig. 8(e) and (f)). (This SNR threshold is met in the scalp EEG of this patient; in frequencies above 30 Hz for the 2 s period during which EMG-type activity is strongest, the SNR in the scalp is estimated at 0.5.) The S\textsubscript{CFC} technique produces more stable imaging when noise is introduced further away from the known EZ. For ‘edge-case’ SNR trials, the desired sROI could be reliably identified by increasing the threshold of the source estimate ($S_{th}$) beyond the 3 dB-below-max (see Fig. 8(b) and (d)).
from seizure one of patient P3 was used. (c) ESI from S\textsubscript{CFC} values, and 3 dB-below-max value was chosen as threshold. EEG segment source activities were averaged over 10 s, normalized according to maximum values, and 3 dB-below-max value was chosen as threshold. EEG segment from seizure one of patient P3 was used. (a) Sources localized from raw scalp EEG. (b) S\textsubscript{CFC} mapping of cortical sources from (a). (c) ESI from S\textsubscript{CFC} mapped EEG.

Fig. 6. ESI from (a) LFR and (b) HFR filtered EEG potential maps for left, frontal, and right views. Purple boundary marks the brain resected area. All source activities were averaged over 10 s, normalized according to maximum values, and 3 dB-below-max value was chosen as threshold. EEG segment from seizure one of patient P3 was used. (c) ESI from S\textsubscript{CFC} mapping of cortical sources from (a) and (b).

B. Comparison of sROI with surgical resection

1) The results of S\textsubscript{CFC} and “raw” localizations are summarized for all patients and seizures in Table II. Eighty-two percent (14/17) of seizures from Engel Class I patients were concordant with resected regions. Examples of this successful localization are shown for multiple patients in Fig. 5(c) and Fig. 9. The sROI from seizure one of patient P3 is displayed in Fig. 5(c). Patient P3 had right-frontal cortical epilepsy. The resected region of patient P3 was marked by purple lines, and the sROI was inside the known resected region. Therefore, the sROI properly identified the EZ since this patient became seizure free. The sROI and resected region of patient P2 were plotted in Fig. 9(b). Patient P2 suffered from right temporal epilepsy, and in each seizure the sROI was concordant with the resected region.

2) Patients with Engel Class I score: There were three patients (P2, P4, and P6) with three seizures and three patients (P3, P5, and P7) with two seizures in the dataset. All three seizures of patient P2 and P4 achieved positive localization. For patient P6, sROI from seizure one was concordant with resected region, but sROI from seizure two and three were shown on the left and the right temporal lobe. For patient P3 and P5, the two sROI were concordant with the resected region. For patient P7, sROI from seizure one was concordant with resected region. This patient’s second seizure yielded stronger activity on the left temporal rather than the right temporal lobe. Four Engel Class I patients (P2–P5) showed consistent results between seizures.

3) Patients with scores greater than Engel Class I: Patient P8, P9, and P10 were classified into Engel Class II, III, and IV respectively. Accordingly, we would expect to find sources outside of the resected regions. Both patient P8 and P9 were diagnosed with cortical microdysgenesis, and patient P10 was diagnosed with cortical dysplasia based on MRI. The sROI of patient P8 is shown in Fig. 9(a). The resected region of patient P8 was at the left parietal lobe. The sROI was close to the resected region, but there was also another region shown at the left occipital lobe. In this case, the result indicated patient P8 may have two active regions at seizure onset. Comparing the sROI with the resected region shows that part of the sROI was outside the grid coverage. The sROI of patient P9 is shown in Fig. 9(a). The sROI was at the left occipital lobe, which was out of grid coverage. The sROI of patient P10 is also shown in Fig. 9(a). The sROI was at the right occipital and temporal lobes. The resected region of patient P10 was at right front lobe, close to the front localized region. However, the temporal lobe was not covered by grid in this patient, so there was no possibility to identify that region by intracranial recording.

4) The localized region of patient P3’s seizure one using raw scalp EEG was plotted in Fig. 5(a), but the localized region was present on the wrong hemisphere, whereas the sROI was concordant with resected region. The raw EEG localized results for all patients are shown in column Raw of Table II, and none of them was consistent with resected region in Engel class I patients. Localization of the S\textsubscript{CFC} showed improvement compared with the original signal. Fig. 4(a) showed a 10 s scalp EEG before seizure termination of patient P9, and corresponding S\textsubscript{CFC} signal was shown in Fig. 4(b). There were large artifacts on channel O2 and T3 during seizure of patient P9, and there were also obviously artifacts on each channel at 4–6 s. The corresponding S\textsubscript{CFC} signal showed the artifacts of channel O2 and other channels at 4–6 s were removed.
Fig. 7. \( \text{SCFC} \) source localization remains accurate in the presence of EMG artifacts. (a) Projection of intracranial recordings to scalp electrode positions of patient P3. The amplitude of the projected traces was scaled according to variance matching by the ratio of the standard deviation of the projection to that of the scalp EEG recording at the F4 electrode. (b) EMG artifact components from scalp EEG, shown at F9. The SNR is estimated by the ratio of the power spectrum post-binning into frequency ranges of interest (1–3 Hz, 3–5 Hz, 5–30 Hz, 30–70 Hz, and 70–125 Hz). An SNR > 0.5 is estimated for the initial 2 s. (c) EMG contamination centered at T5, SNR of 0.1. (d) Intracranial electrode positions for patient P3. Purple ellipse marks the resected region; red circle marks electrode RPF6 showing seizure onset. (e) Artifact-free sROI, which corresponds with resected region for the patient. (f) wMNE-produced sROI for contaminated signals (c) matches artifact-free sROI. (g) sLORETA-produced sROI for contaminated signals is comparable to wMNE-produced sROI.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. \( \text{SCFC} \) signal properties

The potential field of the scalp EEG was mapped into a sensor field of cross frequency coupled signals, which consist of two frequency ranges, a HFR and a LFR. Those ranges were estimated from GMI of scalp EEG potential field. The \( \text{SCFC} \) field is a mapped potential field since the \( \text{SCFC} \) signals have the unit of potential as defined in (1). By this approach, the scalp voltage distribution was mapped to emphasize pathological coupled activity [26]. While the \( \text{SCFC} \) has proven useful and effective, its physical interpretation is not clear as of yet, hence this stresses the need for ongoing research into its meaning. Our results demonstrate that sensor domain sources properly estimated the location of epileptogenic zones (see Fig. 5(c)). ESI of the raw EEG and subsequent \( \text{SCFC} \) mapping of the resulting sources also estimates an approximate EZ localization (see Fig. 5, Fig. 6). However, the resulting estimate is not as precise as that from the proposed method. This may be due to artifact skewing the ESI beyond the ability of the \( \text{SCFC} \) to recover. Furthermore, \( \text{SCFC} \) computation in the source domain is computationally more intensive than that from scalp EEG directly. Other techniques, such as that proposed by Jiang et al. [55], could reduce the computational burden of estimating the LFR and HFR for \( \text{SCFC} \) construction in the source domain.

\( \text{SCFC} \) signal was derived from interacting HFR and LFR without artifact preprocessing, such as Fig. 2(e), simulation results showed that these interactions were selective for brain rhythms over ictal artifacts from muscle, and that the interacting activities of neural rhythms were stronger than other activities in the modulated frequency ranges (see Fig. 3). Phase selectivity of the amplitude of the high frequencies furthermore
Fig. 8. Sensitivity and specificity of the $S_{\text{CFC}}$ localization technique in the presence of artifact added to individual electrodes. Examples of EEG traces from seizure one from patient P3 are shown with electrode F9 contaminated by (a) EMG at an SNR of 0.05, and (c) GWN at 0.1 SNR. The amplitude of the projected traces was scaled according to variance matching by the ratio of the standard deviation of the projection to that of the scalp EEG recording at the F4 electrode. In low SNR cases such as these, the $S_{\text{CFC}}$-mapped source estimates may lie outside the ROI using the 3 dB-below-max threshold, $S_{\text{th}}$. However, extraneous sources can be eliminated by increasing $S_{\text{th}}$ as demonstrated in (b) and (d). Contamination simulations were performed across all electrodes for both noise types at varying SNR to produce an ROC-type analysis shown in (e) and (f). Electrodes are arranged by distance from the ROI. The $S_{\text{in}}$ surface represents the percent of the ROI recovered (sensitivity), and the $S_{\text{out}}$ surface represents the percent of non-ROI identified (1-specificity).

B. $S_{\text{CFC}}$ signal is suitable to localize sources of seizure genesis

ESI estimates corrupted by EMG artifact are unreliable because a solution space that only allows intra-cranial dipoles cannot account for a scalp-recording that arises from both intra and extra-cranial sources [56]. ESI estimates from $S_{\text{CFC}}$ demonstrated significant advantages over those from “raw” scalp EEG, because they are more robust to corruption by EMG (see Fig. 8(b)) or movement (see Fig. 4) artifacts. The method proposed in this paper requires only prior elimination of problematic traces such as those demonstrating loss of electrode contact rather than subjective artifact labeling. By using $S_{\text{CFC}}$ signal in ESI, modelling investigations indicated that an SNR greater than 0.2 can achieve successful localization regarding EMG artifacts (see Fig. 8). In comparison with scalp EEG measurements, illustrative worst-case estimates of
TABLE II
SOURCE LOCALIZATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P#</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>SR(Hz)</th>
<th>Sz#</th>
<th>Ranges(Hz)</th>
<th>Localized ROI</th>
<th>Surgical Resection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LFO</td>
<td>HFO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1-1.6</td>
<td>70-110</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1-1.8</td>
<td>70-110</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4-3.2</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>Right: T, Right: O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4-4.4</td>
<td>32-40</td>
<td>Right: T, Left: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1-1.3</td>
<td>24-60</td>
<td>Right: T, Right: O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0-4.2</td>
<td>20-50</td>
<td>Right: F, Left: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2-4.3</td>
<td>24-35</td>
<td>Right: F, Left: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0-1.4</td>
<td>30-70</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>30-80</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0-2.5</td>
<td>45-65</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6-2.9</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>Left: T, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>35-60</td>
<td>Left: F, Left: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9-3.9</td>
<td>57-77</td>
<td>Left: F, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5-2.4</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>Left: T, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>Left: T, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>35-55</td>
<td>Right: T, Left: O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0-1.4</td>
<td>40-70</td>
<td>Left: T, Right: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9-3.3</td>
<td>58-65</td>
<td>Left: PO, Right: T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>Left: O, Right: F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0-1.3</td>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>Right: FT, Right: P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: frontal; T: temporal; P: parietal; O: occipital; D: dorsolateral; –: unknown; P#: patient number; EC: Engel Class (Engel 1993); SR: Sampling Rate; Sz#: seizure number.

SNR at different frequency ranges from patient P3 were 2.0 (for 1–3 Hz), 1.2 (for 3–5 Hz), 0.89 (for 5–30 Hz), 0.55 (for 30–70 Hz), and 0.51 (for 70–125 Hz) (see Fig. 7(b)). Our results show that the sROI were concordant with the resection in Engel Class I patients. When multiple seizures of the same patient were available, the consistency of localization between seizures could further validate sROI (see Table II).

C. Effects of sampling frequency on scalp EEG recordings

Ten seizures from patient P1–P4 (Engel Class I) with a sampling frequency ≥ 500 Hz produced a sROI concordant with each patient’s resection. For sampling frequencies less than 500 Hz only four out of seven seizures from patient P5–P7 (Engel Class I) produced a concordant sROI (see Table II). We suggest that those results could be improved by using high sampling frequency. Conventional clinical recording used a sampling frequency of 200 Hz because it was suitable for visual analysis of EEG, but this is not suitable for computer-aided surgical evaluations. The recorded signal at 200 Hz may have aliasing effects.

D. Localization of $SCFC$ signal can guide the placement of intracranial electrodes

Where the sROI was not covered by subdural electrodes, the surgical outcome was no better than Engel Class II (see Fig. 9(a)). For patient P10 (Engel Class IV), the sROI did not match the resected region. The frontal region of the sROI was partially covered by the grid electrodes, and the temporal area of the sROI was not covered. For patient P9 (Engel Class III), the sROI was at the left occipital lobe, out of grid coverage. For patient P8 (Engel class II), two sROI were estimated. One was close to the resected region, but the second was not covered by the grid electrodes. For all of these patients, the sROI not covered by subdural electrodes may have been part of the EZ, as reported by an investigation of 36 surgical failures with focal neocortical epilepsy, where it was found that, in 28% of the failures, an additional EZ was present distant from the resection [57].

For patients P2–P5 (Engel Class I) and patient P1, the sROI agreed with the resected region. For patients P6 and P7 (Engel Class I), there was agreement between the sROI and the resected region for one out of three and one out of two seizures, respectively. Perhaps this is due to the low sampling rate. The cross frequency interactions between LFR and HFR are associated with the EZ (see Table II). Delta rhythms...
have been commonly reported in seizures [27]–[29], [31]. High gamma rhythms (40–120 Hz) increased in amplitude in human intracranial recordings at seizure onset [58]. Others have demonstrated that the surgical removal of regions generating increased ictal high frequency oscillations correlates positively with a seizure-free post-surgical outcome [25], [33], [36], [59]. Our group has previously reported low frequency oscillation modulated high frequency oscillation can be used to successfully define ROI using intracranial recordings [26]. More recently, cross frequency coupling between high (gamma and ripple) and low (delta, theta, alpha, and beta) frequency rhythms was reported to be significantly stronger in the SOZ compared to normal regions in intracranial recording of deep sleep [60].

V. CONCLUSION

Rhythmic features within the scalp EEG at electrophoretic seizure onset showed cross frequency coupling. The $S_{\text{CFC}}$ signal is proposed as a novel technique which extracts intracranial EEG features from noisy scalp recordings. Simulation validated that localization using the $S_{\text{CFC}}$ is robust in low SNR conditions. sROI agree with resected regions of patients with varying Engel Class scores, assuming high-frequency sampling rates greater than or equal to 500 Hz. Therefore we propose that $S_{\text{CFC}}$-based ESI is a useful construct for estimating the EZ from scalp recordings. This approach could enhance the placement of intracranial electrode for surgical intervention of epilepsy.
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