Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques

Eurasian Tench (*Tinca tinca*): the next Great Lakes invader

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2017-0171.R1
Manuscript Type:	Rapid Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Avlijaš, Sunčica; McGill University, Redpath Museum Ricciardi, Anthony; McGill University, Redpath Museum Mandrak, Nicholas; University of Toronto at Scarborough, Biological Sciences
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	N/A
Keyword:	DISPERSAL < General, MANAGEMENT < General, MONITORING < General, EXOTIC SPECIES < Organisms, FISHES < Organisms

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Eurasian Tench (*Tinca tinca*): the next Great Lakes invader

Sunčica Avlijaš^{1,*}, Anthony Ricciardi², and Nicholas E. Mandrak³

¹Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 1B1.

suncica.avlijas@mail.mcgill.ca. Tel. 514-261-0301.

²Redpath Museum, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0C4. tony.ricciardi@mcgill.ca

³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario

M1C 1A4. nicholas.mandrak@utoronto.ca

*Corresponding author

Abstract

A globally invasive fish, Eurasian Tench (*Tinca tinca*), is spreading through the St. Lawrence River and poses an imminent invasion threat to the Great Lakes. Following its illegal release into a tributary of the St. Lawrence River in 1991, Tench has spread throughout the river's main stem over the past decade and its abundance in commercial fishing bycatch in the river has grown exponentially. The Tench is a generalist benthic consumer with largely undocumented ecological impacts in North America. Reports from other invaded regions indicate that it can compete with other benthic fishes, host a diverse assemblage of parasites and pathogens, degrade water clarity in shallow lakes, limit submerged macrophyte growth, reduce gastropod populations, and promote benthic algal growth through top-down effects. Risk assessments and climate-match models indicate that the Great Lakes are vulnerable to Tench invasion, and they signal the need for timely comprehensive actions, including development and implementation of monitoring and rapid-response protocols, including prevention or slowing of natural dispersal through canals.

Introduction

The Great Lakes have been invaded by at least 188 non-native species (Ricciardi 2006; Ricciardi, unpubl. data), including 28 fishes (Mandrak and Cudmore 2010). These introductions have affected water quality, nutrient cycling, contaminant cycling, biodiversity, and fish productivity in the basin, through a broad variety of mechanisms ranging from trophic disruption to disease transmission (Mandrak and Cudmore 2010). Identification and risk assessment of future invaders would provide valuable information to guide the allocation of resources toward prevention, early detection, and rapid response to impede or slow spread (Ricciardi & Rasmussen 1998; Mandrak and Cudmore 2015). Here, we identify an imminent invasion threat to the Great Lakes: Tench (*Tinca tinca*), a Eurasian freshwater fish that is undergoing a rapid population expansion in the St. Lawrence River. We report the results of a new risk assessment for the Great Lakes, review documented ecological impacts of Tench in other invaded regions, and provide diagnostic characteristics that will facilitate identification of juvenile Tench and thus aid monitoring efforts.

The Tench: A globally invasive fish

The Tench is a freshwater cyprinid native to parts of Europe and Asia. Its natural distribution has been obscured by several centuries of human-mediated spread, owing to its popularity since the Middle Ages as a comestible fish that is easily cultured and transportable (Bianco 1995; Garcia-Berthou and Moreno-Amich 2000). Recently, spatial genetic analysis has revealed at least some of the early effects of human-mediated dispersal on the current range of Tench in Europe, including its introduction to the Iberian Peninsula at least as early as the 1500s (Lajbner et al 2011; Lo Presti et al 2012). As transportation systems improved in the 1800s,

Tench was introduced to increasingly distant locations for aquaculture or sport fishing, and it is now established on every continent except Antarctica (Figure 1). Its establishment success has been highly variable: it no longer exists in many places where it was previously stocked (Figure 2); whereas, in some regions like Norway, it is the most widely distributed non-native fish, occurring in twice as many sites as the stocked Brook Trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), and ten times as many as any other invader, including Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Hesthagen et al 2007).

The Tench has been introduced to North America multiple times. The population in California appears to be the result of a single introduction from Italy in 1922, in which the fish were initially bred in a pond and subsequently spread by farmers (Baughman 1947). All other introductions in North America are reported to have been sourced from Germany (Nico and Fuller 2011; Masson et al 2013). The greatest propagule pressure of Tench occurred during the 1890s when it was stocked in watersheds throughout the contiguous USA by the Fish Commission, a government agency that bred the species in rearing ponds (Baughman 1947). In addition to conducting watershed stocking programs, the Fish Commission distributed fish to individuals who made applications to receive them for pond stocking. Today, Tench populations persist at a fraction of the sites where they had initially been reported as established (Figure 2).

Recent rapid expansion in the Laurentian Great Lakes basin

The Tench was illegally introduced to Quebec by a farmer who imported 30 live specimens from Germany and reared them in ponds on his property in St. Alexandre (QC), in the Richelieu River watershed (Figure 3). Fish from these ponds are believed to have escaped and entered the Richelieu River in 1991 when the ponds were drained by the farmer once he

concluded that there was no commercial demand for the species on the Canadian market (Dumont et al. 2002); the earliest recorded captures in the river were in 1994. A survey in the Richelieu River conducted in 2000 found mature adults and evidence of reproduction (Guibert 2000; Vachon and Dumont 2000). By 2002, Tench had dispersed upstream into Lake Champlain (Marsden and Hauser 2009). In 2006, it was detected in the St. Lawrence River on both shores of Lake St. Pierre (Masson et al 2013).

Currently, the Lake Champlain, Richelieu River and Lake St. Pierre populations are well established and recorded at high densities locally (SA, pers. obs., 2015; 2016; Figure 3). An invasive species early detection program in Lake St. Pierre conducted by the Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec (MFFP) in collaboration with commercial fishermen between 2007 and 2014 found that the abundance of Tench has increased exponentially in the lake (Pelletier and Gagnon 2014; see Figure 4).

Since 2011, Tench has been detected by surveys in the St. Lawrence River from Montreal to Quebec City (Masson et al 2013; SLGO 2016; S.A. pers. obs.) and has recently been captured in Ontario. In summer 2016, the first specimen was captured in a fluvial lake (Lake St. Francis) within the Ontario waters of the river (A. Mathers, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, pers. comm.). In 2014, an illegal stocking was reported in a farm pond in Ontario within the headwaters of the Humber River watershed of Lake Ontario; while this particular population was eradicated by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (OMNRF), it is alarming that Tench were breeding and surviving at high densities in a pond in the Great Lakes watershed, because the potential for a successful introduction from a farm pond to natural systems was already demonstrated in Quebec. It is highly likely that unreported Tench inhabit other ponds in the area, given the lack of programs to educate the public to recognize and report

this invader. Moreover, in 2015, a report on social media (including a photograph) identified Tench as being captured by angling in the Ganaraska River east of Toronto. Although the report was not verified, with the rise in popularity of sports fishing apps (e.g. *Fishbrain Fishing*, which reportedly has 3 million users (Fishbrain 2017)), angling message boards, and social media in general, reports of potential invaders should be expected to become more frequent and these sources of information may prove to be valuable.

Life history

Although typically found in calm, shallow (< 2 m), densely vegetated waters of ponds, lakes and large rivers, the Tench has a broad environmental tolerance. Anecdotal reports suggest that it is a very hardy species that can tolerate abrasions from gill nets and survive lengthy periods out of water – and can be transported between waterbodies in wet sacks (Kennedy & Fitzmaurice 1970). Its tolerance for low oxygen (Table 1) allows it to thrive in the benthic areas of lakes and eutrophic waters, including farm ponds. Tench abundance is positively related with macrophyte cover, negatively related to depth (Virbickas & Stakėnas 2016), and they show a preference for muddy substrates over concrete or sand (Rendón et al 2003). It is active primarily at night, spending most daylight hours under the cover of macrophytes or benthic substrates (Perrow et al. 1996). In some lakes, it comprises >25% of total fish biomass (Wright & Giles 1991; Lusk et al. 1998). It has a 20-year lifespan, grows to a total length of 70 cm, and matures at 3 to 5 years (Niophitou 1993). Spawning occurs at ~18°C in shallow, densely vegetated waters during the summer (May to August in Europe), with low flow (<0.05 m·s⁻¹) potentially multiple times in a season, and involves the release of hundreds of thousands of eggs per kg of fish (Kennedy & Fitzmaurice 1970; Pimpicka 1991; Niophitou 1993; Mann 1996; Alaş & Solak

2004). A minimum temperature of 10°C is required to initiate proper development of ova (Pimpicka 1990). A field study reports a significant correlation between Tench year class strength and number of degree days with water temperature warmer than 16°C (Wright & Giles 1991); similarly, experimental evidence indicates that warming water by a few degrees results in an increase in the number of reproductive batches, a longer reproductive season, and higher cumulative fecundity of Tench (Morawska 1985). Although adult Tench are tolerant of acidic conditions, reproduction is impaired below a pH of 5.5, and juvenile mortalities are very high below pH 7 (Duis 2001). Tench can withstand dissolved oxygen levels as low as 0.4 mg·L⁻¹ (Alabaster and Lloyd 1982) and can survive anoxic conditions as well as partial drying or freezing of lakes and ponds by burying in the mud (Baughman 1947).

The Tench is a non-selective generalist predator of macroinvertebrates including zooplankton, insects, amphipods, crayfishes, gastropods, and small bivalves (Alaş et al. 2010; González et al. 2000; Perrow et al. 1996; Michel & Oberdorff 1995; Ranta & Nuutinen 1984; Weatherly 1959), but occasionally consumes vegetation (Michel & Oberdorff 1995; Alaş et al. 2010). Although primarily a benthic feeder, it can forage throughout the water column and at the surface of calm waters. Its diet generally reflects the seasonal and spatial availability of macroinvertebrates (González et al. 2000; Giles et al. 2000; Copp & Mann 1993). It feeds largely on zooplankton (particularly large-bodied cladocerans) in the first few years of its life, whereas insects and molluscs dominate its diet in later years (Michel & Oberdorff 1995). It can forage effectively in low-light conditions (Herrero et al. 2003) and over silty sediments (Petridis 1990).

History of ecological impacts

Cyprinid fishes can cause significant ecological impacts when introduced to lakes and rivers worldwide (e.g., Tátrai et al. 1996; Britton et al. 2010; Kulhanek et al. 2011). However, the impacts of Tench in North America are largely unknown and, elsewhere, have been documented primarily in Europe and Australasia. Baughman (1947) provided anecdotal evidence from various parts of the United States that Tench has a history as a nuisance species where it settles in high densities and, in such cases, has been considered a detriment to sport fisheries. According to Pérez et al. (2003), impacts of the aquaculture of several alien fishes including Tench are said to have “created an adverse situation” for native fishes in Chile. Moyle (2002) considered Tench to be a potential competitor to native cyprinids. Trophic overlap, and thus potential competition, with other cyprinids, eel, and waterfowl has been reported in Europe (Giles et al. 1990; Kennedy & Fitzmaurice 1970). Through competition, Tench is suspected to have caused declines in the catch of Common Carp in Turkish waters (Innal and Erk’akan 2006); however, they can coexist with Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) (Petridis 1990). Negative impacts could also arise from predation on fish eggs, which are sometimes conspicuous in Tench stomachs (Wydoski & Whitney 2003).

Tench is commonly infected by a diverse assemblage of parasites and diseases (Kennedy & Fitzmaurice 1970; Ozturk 2002; Svobodova and Kolarova 2004; Ergonul & Altındağ 2005; Marcogliese et al. 2009; Alaş et al. 2010), allowing for potential transmission to other animals. For example, in a Turkish lake, 40% of 272 individuals were infected with the Holarctic cestode *Ligula intestinalis*, which can be transmitted to piscivorous waterfowl (Ergonul & Altındağ 2005). In the Richelieu River, Tench carry the cestode *Valipora campylancristota*, which can reduce growth and cause mortality in cyprinids; the cestode has rarely been found in North American fishes and might have been introduced to Quebec with Tench (Marcogliese et al.

2009). Most Tench in the Richelieu River have been found to also carry a parasitic copepod, *Ergasilus megaceros*, new to Canada (Marcogliese et al. 2009). In Europe and the U.K., Tench carry a congeneric species, *E. sieboldi*, which is considered a serious pest for aquaculture (Kennedy & Fitzmaurice 1970). Tench is also infected by diverse microbial pathogens including spring viraemia of carp (Svobodova and Kolarova 2004).

Predation by adult Tench can limit invertebrate abundance. In experimental enclosures, Tench can reduce crayfish populations (Neveu 2001) and the biomass of snails and bivalves (Bronmark 1994; Beklioglu & Moss 1998). Heavy predation on snails (Beklioglu & Moss 1998) and increased inorganic nitrogen cycling caused by Tench excretions (Williams et al 2002) promote excessive epiphytic growth that interferes with the growth of submerged macrophytes such as *Elodea canadensis* (Bronmark 1994). These kinds of trophic cascades might require high densities of fish (e.g. >200 kg/ha; Williams et al 2002). In New Zealand, the presence of Tench with other introduced fishes, Rudd (*Scardinius erythrophthalmus*), Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) and Common Carp, contributes to regime shifts in which macrophyte-dominated clearwater lakes are transformed to devegetated turbid lakes (Schallenberg & Sorrell 2009).

Tench can contribute to declines in water quality (Rowe et al. 2008) by preferentially feeding on large herbivorous zooplankton (Ranta & Nuutinen 1984; Beklioglu et al. 2003) and by disturbing sediments (de Moor & Bruton 1988). Although they don't cause sediment suspension to the same extent as Common Carp, they might nonetheless be detrimental to submerged macrophytes (de Moor & Bruton 1988).

Risks to the Great Lakes

Risk assessment: habitat suitability

Several assessments have been completed that are relevant to the potential risk of Tench invasion in the Great Lakes. Two climate-based distribution models indicated that the Great Lakes basin has a suitable climate for Tench. Using a GARP model, Stewart et al. (2006) modeled the potential Tench distribution in the Great Lakes proper and concluded that large parts of Lake Erie, Lake St. Clair, the shallow areas of lakes Huron, Michigan and Superior, as well as Lake Nipissing and Lake Simcoe in Ontario, are highly suitable for Tench. Using the native range in Climatch (Australian Bureau of Rural Science 2016), climate match was very high (0.986) for the Great Lakes basin (NEM, unpubl. data). Similarly, DeVaney et al. (2009) modelled the potential distribution of Tench in North America using GARP and identified potential distributional areas across much of the Great Lakes region. An ecological risk screening for Tench in the United States concluded that, while the climate match was high, the certainty of assessment was low, and the overall risk assessment category was uncertain (USFWS 2014). However, a risk assessment conducted for this study using the Fish Invasiveness Scoring Kit (FISK) (Copp et al. 2009) concluded that Tench was a high risk to the Great Lakes. FISK is a risk assessment tool that scores invasion risk based on 49 questions on the biogeography, biology and ecology of the species related to invasion stages from arrival to impact (Copp et al. 2009). The scores have been calibrated against a set of species with known establishment and impact to determine a threshold to accept (low risk) or reject (high risk) the assessed species (Copp et al. 2009). We provided responses to these questions for Tench using the references used herein. (see Appendix)

Potential pathways of introduction

Although the pathway of introduction of Tench into the Richelieu River watershed is well known, there are several potential pathways for its introduction into the Great Lakes. Its occurrence in a farm pond in the extreme headwaters of the Humber River was the result of the illegal stocking conducted by a previous owner of the pond, who could not be contacted to determine the reason for the stocking. From that pond, fish collected in addition to Tench included several common baitfish species (e.g. Central Stoneroller (*Camptostoma anomalum*), Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*)) and Northern Pike (*Esox lucius*), a predator that is not native to headwater habitats (Scott and Crossman 1973); therefore, the pond was undoubtedly seeded with baitfishes. However, it is illegal to transport baitfishes into Ontario and there is no known Tench population within the province that may have been incidentally harvested with bait. Therefore, the Humber population may have resulted from baitfishes illegally transported from the nearest known population in Quebec, or from illegal stocking specifically to establish Tench. To identify the potential source of the various populations, genetic analyses are currently underway to determine the haplotypes of Tench collected in the Humber, Lake St. Francis, St. Lawrence, and Richelieu watersheds.

The occurrence of Tench in Lake St. Francis may have been the result of natural dispersal from the nearest established population in the St. Lawrence River, or escape from commercial fishery holding facilities in Lake St. Francis. Lake St. Francis is an impoundment created above the Beauharnois Dam, whose lock structure allows ships to pass through the dam. As fishes have been documented passing through similar-sized locks in the Welland Canal (Kim and Mandrak 2016), it is possible that Tench dispersed from known populations in the Montreal area upstream into Lake St. Francis through the locks. Alternately, the fish may have escaped from commercial fishery holding facilities in the lake. A commercial fish holding facility has been observed in the

vicinity of the Tench capture location, with commercial fish hauling trucks on shore nearby (NEM, pers. obs., 2011). It is possible that fishes captured in the Quebec coarse fishery, including areas where Tench is established, are held at this facility en route to Toronto live fish markets. Freshly killed Tench have been observed in a Toronto market (NEM, pers. obs., 2012).

Spread and establishment of Tench into the Great Lakes basin may occur through these human-mediated pathways, or through further dispersal through connecting waterways. Intentionally stocked farm ponds are stepping stones for Tench spread; notable examples are in Quebec (Dumont et al 2002), South Africa (S.A., pers. obs.), California (Baughman 1947), and Norway (Hesthagen and Sandlund 2007). In Quebec, the risk of intentional spread was cited as a reason for the poisoning of farm ponds where Tench populations persisted (Dumont et al 2002). In some cases, the species is introduced because there is an interest from anglers (Hesthagen and Sandlund 2007), though in South Africa Tench is often spread accidentally along with other, more desirable, angling species (S.A., pers. obs.). In North America the coarse fishing community is small, and angling for Tench is negligible. Although even a few individuals interested in Tench angling could cause significant Tench spread, it is equally likely for Tench to be stocked accidentally in ponds within the Great Lakes watershed. Moreover, since regulations on import of live fish have become more stringent since the 1980s, the source of an introduction to the Great Lakes will most likely be the readily accessible population in the upper St. Lawrence River. Juvenile Tench are easily transported in bait buckets and live wells, owing to their tolerance to poor water quality conditions.

The most direct pathway for natural spread is through the St Lawrence River, where the population continues to expand westward. European tagging studies to estimate Tench movements report that individuals travel up to 30 km when conditions at the site of initial release

are not optimal, such as when Tench densities are too high or there is inadequate habitat for feeding, spawning, and refuge (Brylinski et al. 1984). Perrow et al. (1996) reported that, on average, Tench moved 70 m per hour while foraging in a small English lake. Although Tench prefer very low flow conditions (below 0.25 m/s), the species can tolerate 0.5m/s for short time periods (Van Emmerik and Nie 2006). Between Lake St. Francis and Lake Ontario, there is only a single set of locks at Cornwall that may slow (but not prevent) the spread of Tench, as fishes tend to avoid moving through locks (Kim and Mandrak 2016). An alternate dispersal pathway into lakes Ontario and Erie could be the New York Canal System, which connects the Hudson River, Lake Champlain (in which Tench is established), Finger Lakes, Lake Ontario, and Lake Erie. The Erie Barge Canal (part of the New York Canal System) has been hypothesized to be the dispersal pathway into the Great Lakes for other invasive fishes (e.g. Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*), Sea Lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*), and White Perch (*Morone americana*) (Scott and Crossman 1973). If invaded, the Great Lakes may act as a hub for dispersal beyond the basin (Rothlisberger and Lodge 2013).

Potential impacts in the Great Lakes basin

Based on our review of the literature, we predict the following impacts in areas of high habitat suitability as Tench become abundant in the Great Lakes:

- i) Increased transmission of parasites, including cestodes and copepods (e.g. *V. campylancristota*, *E. megaceros*), to other cyprinid fishes. New pathway for transmission of the cestode *Ligula intestinalis* to piscivorous birds, with negative effects on local waterfowl populations.

- ii) Tench populations will serve as a new reservoir for the rhabdovirus that causes Spring Viraemia of Carp, and thus may contribute to increased outbreaks of the disease.
- iii) Competitive interactions with native benthic fishes, including redhorses (*Moxostoma* spp.) and suckers (*Catostomus* spp.), as a result of local reductions in invertebrate (especially mollusc) populations. In the upper St. Lawrence River and in some river channels in southern Ontario, Tench may compete with River Redhorse (*M. carinatum*) – a species of concern.
- iv) Reduced growth of submerged macrophytes, owing to sediment disturbance and trophic cascades that promote epiphytic algae.
- v) Reduced water clarity, especially in areas where Tench overlap with populations of Goldfish and Common Carp.

Early Detection

Adult Tench can be captured by overnight sets of fyke nets, gill nets set during the day for as few as 3 hours to minimize bycatch (SA, pers. obs., 2016), boat electrofishing at night (Shawn Good, Vermont Fish and Wildlife, pers. comm., 2016), and backpack electrofishing in shallow areas during the day (SA pers. obs.; Penczak and Glowacki 2008). At sites on the invasion front, where Tench are at very low densities, it is often easier to detect juveniles by sampling with a beach seine. Within a site, the best areas to target are those covered in dense macrophyte beds, with low flow and fine sediments (SA, pers. obs., 2016). Ideally, monitoring of natural dispersal should be done using multiple gears (including novel methods such as eDNA) at least once a year in suitable habitat both upstream and downstream of the location where

Tench are known to be established. Since many of the documented Tench introductions started with dense populations in farm ponds, sampling of ponds in close proximity to watersheds at risk of invasion is recommended. Monitoring collaborations with commercial fishermen in the St. Lawrence River and the Great Lakes, in areas suitable for Tench, such as the MFFP's network for Early Detection of Invasive Species (Réseau de détection précoce d'espèces aquatiques exotiques envahissantes du Saint-Laurent), are particularly effective at detecting the presence of the species, owing to the high fishing effort deployed through the season by the fishermen, which is difficult and costly to replicate by regular monitoring programs. Finally, an education campaign that helps anglers, commercial fishermen and pond owners to identify Tench would facilitate early detection.

Adult Tench are easily distinguishable from other Great Lakes cyprinids by their very fine scales, rounded fins (Figure 5a), single pair of maxillary barbels, and their reddish-brown eye (Figure 5b)(Scott and Crossman 1973). They often have a conspicuous green coloration and are slimy to the touch owing to abundant mucous secretion. In adults, sex can be determined externally as mature males have thickened first pelvic rays and a fleshy protrusion behind the pelvic fins (Figure 5c; Beelen 2006).

It is crucial to correctly identify juvenile Tench, as these are usually observed earlier than the adults at sites where Tench are at low abundances— such as the front of a spreading invasion (SA, pers. obs., 2016). When they are small (<20mm), they are most easily confused with Common Carp. Both species are deeper-bodied than other cyprinids and have a dark spot at the base of the caudal fin. Adult Tench also have a single pair of barbels, as do Common Carp-Goldfish hybrids, but not Common Carp, which possess two pairs (Holm et al. 2009); however, this feature is very difficult to observe in small specimens, even under a dissecting microscope.

The principal feature that distinguishes Tench from Common Carp is the dorsal fin, which is much shorter in the Tench (Figure 5e). This feature can also be difficult to discern in small specimens, particularly when viewing them in the field, and the fin is often torn during attempts to examine it (Figure 5f). In such cases, the most reliable and conspicuous feature is the caudal spot, which has a convex shape in Tench but is concave in Common Carp (Figure 5e). The mouth of the Common Carp is subterminal while that of the Tench is terminal (Scott and Crossman 1973) (Figure 5d). Other cyprinids in the Great Lakes are more slender-bodied or lack the dark caudal spot (Hubbs et al. 2004). Without careful examination, very small Tench might also be confused with suckers (Catostomidae); however, the latter are easily distinguished by their thick lips and subterminal sucker mouth (Scott and Crossman 1973).

Management

Relevant binational, national, state, and provincial regulations and policies

In the Great Lakes basin, possession of Tench is currently prohibited by state regulations in New York, Ohio, and Wisconsin. Most Great Lakes states and provinces have general regulations that restrict the movement of AIS via baitfish use. To prevent AIS spread, Quebec has recently announced a total prohibition on the use of live fish as bait. In Ontario, possession of Tench is prohibited under the Ontario Fishing Regulations. Tench is identified as an invasive species in the province in the *Field Guide to Aquatic Invasive Species* (Lui et al. 2010) and the *Invading Species Awareness Program* (Invading Species 2016). However, it was not included in the recently passed provincial *Invasive Species Act 2016*, which covered only members of the snakehead family (Channidae) and species listed by the Council of Great Lakes Governors Aquatic Invasive Species Task Force “Least Wanted Species List”. Based on existing provincial

AIS lists, Tench was listed as potentially invasive in Manitoba, but not Ontario, under the Aquatic Invasive Species Regulations, which were added in 2015 to the revised federal *Fisheries Act*.

Despite the potential risk, Tench is not included in the Great Lakes Aquatic Nuisance Species Information System (www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/Programs/glansis; accessed April 19, 2017), the Council of Great Lakes Governors Aquatic Invasive Species Task Force “Least Wanted Species List” (<http://www.cglslgp.org/projects/aquatic-invasive-species>; accessed April 19, 2017), or the *Field Guide to Fish Invaders of the Great Lakes Region* (Anderson et al. 2008). Conversely, it is identified as a potential invader in the *Field Guide to Ontario Freshwater Fishes* (Holm et al. 2009), but this guide provides no information on how to identify juveniles.

Recommendations

Actions to manage newly discovered invasive species should be based on where the species is assumed to be on the invasion curve – a logistic curve representing its population growth (Blackburn et al. 2011). When invasive species are at the base of the curve, there is a narrow window of opportunity to eradicate the species. Control becomes increasingly difficult and expensive as further population growth and spread occurs; the cost-benefit ratio for prevention is much greater than for post-establishment management (Leung et al. 2002). In the past, responses to new invaders in the Great Lakes have been slow or non-existent, resulting in high costs associated with impacts (e.g. Round Goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*), Zebra Mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*)) and control (e.g. Sea Lamprey, the Zebra Mussel). Comprehensive actions should be implemented immediately to prevent the Tench’s establishment in the Great

Lakes basin. Uncertainty in some risk assessments should not delay such actions (Locke et al. 2011), which should include the following goals:

1. Develop and implement early detection plans (Locke et al. 2011) based on conventional (e.g. Marson et al. 2014) and eDNA (Jerde et al. 2012) methods at the invasion fronts (e.g. upper St. Lawrence) and where it has been newly reported (e.g. Lake St. Francis, Ganaraska River). The plans should include details on sampling protocols (gear, effort), frequency and locations.

2. Develop and implement a rapid response plan (e.g. Locke et al. 2011; ACRCC 2016), including methods to eradicate, control, and prevent spread of Tench.

3. Reduce the propagule pressure at the invasion front (e.g. Tsehye et al. 2013) in the St. Lawrence River to reduce probability of spread.

4. Evaluate and implement methods to prevent the spread of Tench through locks (e.g. USACE 2017), such as those found in the St. Lawrence Seaway and Champlain Canal.

5. Enforce existing federal, state, and provincial regulations on illegal stocking, AIS and bait.

6. Add Tench to federal, state, and provincial AIS regulations that prohibit possession and sale, watch lists, and AIS guides.

7. Educate commercial fishers, anglers, farmers, and the general public about the risks associated with AIS (Drake et al. 2015; Sharp et al. 2017), including Tench.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ellen Marsden and Vermont Fish and Wildlife for advice and assistance in sampling Lake Champlain, the Ontario MNRF for providing specimens and information about Tench in Ontario, the Quebec MFFP for data on Tench in the Richelieu River and Lake St. Pierre, Dumisani Khosa for assistance in identifying characteristics of juvenile Tench, James Burnett for his help with creating maps with QGIS, and the Ricciardi Lab field crew for assistance with sampling. Finally, we wish to acknowledge the important contribution of two anonymous reviewers who have provided useful feedback which improved this manuscript. This research was supported by NSERC Discovery Grants to AR and NEM.

References

- Alabaster, J.S. and Lloyd, R. 1982. Water criteria quality for freshwater fish. 2nd edition. Butterworth Scientific, London (UK), 361 p.
- Alaş, A., Altındağ, A., Muhitdin, Y., Yilmaz, M., Kirpik, A., and Ak, A. 2010. Feeding habits of Tench (*Tinca tinca* L., 1758) in Beyşehir Lake (Turkey). Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 10: 187–194.
- Alaş, A. and Solak, K. 2004. The reproductive biology of the Tench (*Tinca tinca* L., 1758) in Kayaboğazi (Kütahya, Turkey) Dam Lake. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences 28: 879–885.
- Anderson, J., Jensen, D., Gunderson, J., and Zhuikov, M. 2008. A Field Guide to Fish Invaders of the Great Lakes Region. University of Minnesota Sea Grant Program, Duluth, MN. USA.
- Anwand, K. 1965. Die Schleie (*Tinca tinca* [Linné]). Stuttgart, Franckische Verlagshandlung. 88 p.
- Asian Carp Regional Coordinating Committee (ACRCC). 2016. 2016 Monitoring and response plan for Asian carp in the Upper Illinois River and Chicago Area Waterway System. Asian Carp Regional Coordinating Committee. <http://www.asiancarp.us/documents/MRP2016.pdf>
- Australian Bureau of Rural Sciences. 2016. CLIMATCH. <http://adl.brs.gov.au:8080/Climatch/>.
- Baughman, J.L. 1947. The Tench in America. Journal of Wildlife Management 11: 197–204.
- Beelen, P. 2006. Kennisdocument zeelt *Tinca tinca* (Linnaeus, 1758). Kennisdocument24. Sportvisserij Nederland, Bilthoven.

- 423 Beklioglu, M. and Moss, B. 1998. The effects of Tench (*Tinca tinca* (L.)) and sticklebacks
424 (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) on planktonic and benthic communities in mesocosms in a
425 shallow lake. *Aquatic Ecology* 32: 229–240.
- 426 Beklioglu, M., Ince, O., and Tuzun, I. 2003. Restoration of the eutrophic Lake Eymir, Turkey, by
427 biomanipulation after a major external nutrient control. *Hydrobiologia* 490: 93–105.
- 428 Bianco, P. G. 1995. Factors affecting the distribution of freshwater fishes, especially in Italy.
429 *Cybium* 19(3): 241–259.
- 430 Bianco, P.G. 1998. Freshwater fish transfers in Italy: history, local changes in fish fauna and a
431 prediction on the future of native populations. In: Cowx, I.G. (Ed.) *Stocking and*
432 *introduction of fish*. pp. 167–185.
- 433 Blackburn, T. M., Pyšek, P., Bacher, S., Carlton, J.T, Duncan, R.P., Jarošík, V., Wilson, J.R.U.,
434 and Richardson, D.M. 2011. A proposed unified framework for biological invasions.
435 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 26: 333–339.
- 436 Breton, B., Horoszewicz, L., Billard, R., and Bieniarz, K. 1980. Temperature and reproduction in
437 tench: effect of a rise in the annual temperature regime on gonadotropin level,
438 gametogenesis and spawning. I. The male. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 20: 105–
439 118.
- 440 Britton, J.R., Davies, G.D. and Harrod, C. 2010. Trophic interactions and consequent impacts of
441 the invasive fish *Pseudorasbora parva* in a native aquatic foodweb: a field investigation in
442 the UK. *Biological Invasions* 12: 1533–1542.
- 443 Brönmark, C. 1994. Effects of tench and perch on interactions in a freshwater, benthic food-
444 chain. *Ecology* 75: 1818–1828.

- 445 Brönmark, C., Paszkowski, C., Tonn, W., and Hargeby, A. 1995. Predation as a determinant of
446 size structure in populations of Crucian Carp (*Carassius carassius*) and Tench (*Tinca*
447 *tinca*). Ecology of Freshwater Fish 4: 85–92.
- 448 Brylinski, E., Brylinska, M., and Krzywosz, T. 1984. The results of tagging experiments with
449 Tench, *Tinca tinca* (Linnaeus, 1758), in lakes Kisajno and Tajty. Acta Ichthyologica et
450 Piscatoria (Poland) 14: 65–89.
- 451 Chefiri O., Loudiki M. 2002. Variations de la structure trophique du lac-reservoir oligotrophe
452 Bin El Ouidane (Maroc). Revue des sciences de l'eau 15(1): 193–208.
- 453 Clavero, M., Blanco-Garrido, F., and Prenda, J. 2004. Fish fauna in Iberian Mediterranean river
454 basins: biodiversity, introduced species and damming impacts. Aquatic Conservation:
455 Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 14: 575–585.
- 456 Copp, G.H., Vilizzi, L., Mumford, J., Fenwick, G.V., Godard, M.J., and Gozlan, R.E. 2009.
457 Calibration of FISK, an invasiveness screening tool for nonnative freshwater fishes. Risk
458 Analysis 29: 457–467.
- 459 Day, F. 1876. On the introduction of trout and tench into India. Journal of the Linnean Society of
460 London, Zoology 12: 562–565.
- 461 de Moor, I.J., and Bruton, M.N. 1988. Atlas of alien and translocated indigenous aquatic animals
462 in southern Africa. South African National Scientific Programmes Report No. 144.
- 463 DeVaney, S.C., McNyset, K.M., Williams, J.B., Peterson, A.T., and Wiley, E.O. 2009. A tale of
464 four "carp": invasion potential and ecological niche modeling. PLoS ONE 4(5): e5451.
- 465 Downing, K. M., and Merckens, J.C. 1957. The influence of temperature on the survival of
466 several species of fish in low tensions of dissolved oxygen. Annals of Applied Biology 45:
467 261–267.

- 468 Drake, D.A.R., Burngart, R., Dobson, T., and Mandrak, N.E. 2015. Can we predict risky human
469 behaviour involving invasive species? A case study of the release of fishes to the wild.
470 Biological Invasions 17: 309–326.
- 471 Duis, K. 2001. Toxicity of acidic post-mining lake water to early life stages of tench, *Tinca*
472 *Tinca* (Cyprinidae). Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 132: 373–388.
- 473 Dumont, P., Vachon, N., Leclerc, J., and Guibert, A. 2002. Intentional introduction of Tench in
474 Southern Quebec. In: Claudi, R., P. Nantel, and E. Muckle-Jeffs. Alien Invaders in
475 Canada's Waters, Wetlands, and Forests. Natural Resources Canada. Ottawa, Ontario,
476 Canada. pp. 169–177.
- 477 Economidis, P. S., Dimitriou, E., Pagoni, R., Michaloudi, E., and Natsis, L. 2000. Introduced and
478 translocated fish species in the inland waters of Greece. Fisheries Management and
479 Ecology 7: 239–250.
- 480 El Wartiti M., Malaki, A., Zahraoui, M., El Ghannouchi, A., and Di Gregorio, F. 2008. Geosites
481 inventory of the northwestern Tabular Middle Atlas of Morocco. Environmental Geology
482 55: 415–422.
- 483 Ergonul, M.B. and Altındağ, A. 2005. The occurrence and dynamics of *Ligula intestinalis* in its
484 cyprinid fish host, tench, *Tinca tinca*, in Mogan Lake (Ankara, Turkey). Veterinarni
485 Medicina 50 (12): 537–542.
- 486 Fishbrain. 2017. Fishbrain Fishing (5.1). [Mobile application software]. Retrieved from
487 <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.fishbrain.app>
- 488 Garcia-Berthou, E., and Moreno-Amich, R. 2000. Introduction of exotic fish into a
489 Mediterranean lake over a 90-year period. Archiv Fur Hydrobiologie 149: 271–284.

- 490 Giles, N., Street, M., and R.M. Wright, R.M. 1990. Diet composition and prey preference of
491 tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.), common bream, *Abramis brama* (L.), perch, *Perca fluviatilis* L.
492 and roach, *Rutilus rutilus* (L.), in two contrasting gravel pit lakes: potential trophic overlap
493 with wildfowl. *Journal of Fish Biology* 37: 945–951.
- 494 González, G., Mazé, R.A., Domínguez, J., and Pena, J.C. 2000. Trophic ecology of tench, *Tinca*
495 *tinca*, in two difference habitats in North-West of Spain. *Cybum* 24: 123–138.
- 496 Guibert, A., 2000. La tanche (*Tinca tinca*) dans le Haut-Richelieu : État des connaissances et
497 perspectives. Rapport de stage de Maîtrise, Université de Tours (France). Société de la
498 faune et des parcs du Québec, Direction de l'aménagement de la faune de la Montérégie,
499 51 p.
- 500 Habit E., Gonzales, J., Ortiz-Sandoval, J., Elgueta, A., Sobenes, C. 2015. Efectos de la invasion
501 de salmonidos en rios y lagos de Chile. *Ecosistemas* 24(1): 43–51.
- 502 Herrero, M.J., Madrid, J.A., and Sánchez-Vázquez, F.J. 2003. Entrainment to light of circadian
503 activity rhythms in Tench (*Tinca tinca*). *Chronobiology International* 20: 1001–1017.
- 504 Holm, E., Mandrak, N.E., and Burridge, M. 2009. The field guide to freshwater fishes of
505 Ontario. Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, ON. 464 p.
- 506 Horoszewicz, L. 1983. Reproductive rhythm in tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.), in fluctuating
507 temperatures. *Aquaculture* 32: 79–92.
- 508 Hubbs, C.L., Lagler, K.F., and Smith, G.R. 2004. Fishes of the Great Lakes Region. University
509 of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI. 199 p.
- 510 Iriarte J.A., Lobos, G.A., Jaksic, F.M. 2005. Invasive vertebrate species in Chile and their control
511 and monitoring by governmental agencies. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 78: 143–
512 154.

- 513 Innal, D. and Erk'akan, F. 2006. Effects of exotic and translocated fish species in the inland
514 waters of Turkey. *Revue in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 16: 39–50.
- 515 Jerde, C.L., Mahon, A.R., Chadderton, W.L., and Lodge, D.M. 2011. “Sight-unseen” detection
516 of rare aquatic species using environmental DNA. *Conservation Letters* 4: 150–157.
- 517 Kennedy, M. and Fitzmaurice, P. 1970. The biology of the Tench *Tinca tinca* (L.) in Irish waters.
518 *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy B* 69: 31–82.
- 519 Kim, J. and Mandrak, N.E. 2016. Assessing the potential movement of invasive fishes through
520 the Welland Canal. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 42(5): 1102–1108.
- 521 Kulhanek, S.A., Ricciardi, A., and Leung, B. 2011. Is invasion history a useful tool for
522 predicting the impacts of the world's worst aquatic invasive species? *Ecological*
523 *Applications* 21: 189–202.
- 524 Lajbner, Z., Linhart, O., and Kotlík, P. 2011. Human-aided dispersal has altered but not erased
525 the phylogeography of the tench. *Evolutionary Applications* 4: 545–561.
- 526 Lehner, B., Verdin, K., Jarvis, A. 2008. New global hydrography derived from spaceborne
527 elevation data. *EOS* 89(10): 93–94.
- 528 Leung, B., Lodge, D.M., Finnoff, D., Shogren, J.F., Lewis, M., Lamberti, G. 2002. An ounce of
529 prevention or a pound of cure: bioeconomic risk analysis of invasive species. *Proceedings*
530 *of the Royal Society B* 269: 2407–2413.
- 531 Linhart, O., Rodina, M., Kocour, M., and Gela, D. 2006. Insemination, fertilization and gamete
532 management in Tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.). *Aquaculture International* 14: 61–73.
- 533 Locke, A., Mandrak, N.E., and Therriault, T.W. 2011. A Canadian rapid response framework
534 for aquatic invasive species. DFO Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research
535 Document 2010/114. vi + 30 p.

- 536 Lo Presti, R., Kohlmann, K., Kersten, P., Gasco, L., Lisa, C., and Di Stasio, L. 2012. Genetic
537 variability in tench (*Tinca tinca* L.) as revealed by PCR-RFLP analysis of mitochondrial
538 DNA. Italian Journal of Animal Science 11:e19.
- 539 Lui, K., Butler, M., Allen, M., Snyder, E., da Silva, J., Brownson, B., and Ecclestone, A. 2010.
540 Field guide to aquatic invasive species identification: collection and reporting of aquatic
541 invasive species in Ontario waters. Third Edition. Queen's Printer for Ontario, ON,
542 Canada.
- 543 Lusk, S., Luskova, V., and Halacka, K. 1998. The status of tench (*Tinca tinca* (L.)) in aquatic
544 habitats of the floodplain along the lower reaches of the River Dyje. Polskie Archiwum
545 Hydrobiologii 453: 407–414.
- 546 Mandrak, N.E. and Cudmore, B. 2010. The fall of native fishes and the rise of non-native fishes
547 in the Great Lakes Basin. Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management 13: 255–268.
- 548 Mann, R.H.K. 1996. Environmental requirements of European non-salmonid fish in rivers.
549 Hydrobiologia 323: 223-235.
- 550 Marcogliese, D., Gendron, A., and Dumont, P. 2009. Parasites of illegally introduced Tench
551 (*Tinca tinca*) in the Richelieu River, Quebec, Canada. Comparative Parasitology 76: 222–
552 228.
- 553 Marsden, J. E., and Hauser, M. 2009. Exotic species in Lake Champlain. Journal of Great Lakes
554 Research 35: 250–265.
- 555 Marson, D., Gertzen, E., and Cudmore, B. 2016. Results of Fisheries and Oceans Canada's 2014
556 Asian carp early detection field surveillance program. Canadian Manuscript Report for
557 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 3103: vii + 59 p.

- 558 Masson, S., de Lafontaine, Y., Pelletier, A.-M., Verreault, G., Brodeur, P., Vachon, N., and
559 Massé, H. 2013. Dispersion récente de la tanche au Québec. *Le Naturaliste Canadien* 137:
560 55–61.
- 561 McPhail D. 2017. *Tinca tinca*. In Klinkenberg, Brian. (Editor) E-Fauna BC: Electronic Atlas of
562 the Fauna of British Columbia [efauna.bc.ca]. Lab for Advanced Spatial Analysis,
563 Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. (Accessed April
564 24, 2017)
- 565 Michel, P. and Oberdorff, T. 1995. Feeding habits of 14 European fish species. *Cybum* 19: 5–
566 46.
- 567 Morawska, B. 1984. The effect of water temperature elevation on incipient and cumulative
568 fecundity of batch-spawning tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.). *Aquaculture* 42: 273-288.
- 569 Moyle, P.B. 2002. Inland fishes of California. University of California Press.
- 570 Neveu, A. 2001. Confrontation expérimentale entre des poissons omnivores autochtones (11
571 espèces) et des écrevisses étrangères introduites (2 espèces). *Bulletin français de la pêche*
572 *et de la pisciculture* 361: 705–735.
- 573 Nico, L., and Fuller, P. 2011. *Tinca tinca*. USGS Nonindigenous Aquatic Species Database.
574 Gainesville, Florida, USA: USGS.
575 <http://nas.er.usgs.gov/queries/factsheet.aspx?SpeciesID=652>
- 576 Niophitou, C. 1993. Some biological data on the Tench (*Tinca tinca* (L.)) in Lake Pamvotida
577 (Greece). *Acta Hydrobiologica* 35: 367–379.
- 578 Oberbauer, E., Patzner, R.A., and Riehl, R. 2009. Die Eier heimischer Fische 25. Schleie - *Tinca*
579 *tinca* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Cyprinidae). *Österreichs Fischerei* 62: 124–129.

- 580 O'Maoileidigh, N., and Bracken, J. 1989. Biology of the Tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.), in an Irish lake.
581 Aquaculture Research 20: 199–210.
- 582 Ozturk, M.O. 2002. Metazoan parasites of the tench (*Tinca tinca* L.) from Lake Ulubat, Turkey.
583 Israel Journal of Zoology 48: 285–293.
- 584 Pelletier A.M. and Gagnon, P. 2014. Réseau de détection précoce des espèces aquatiques
585 exotiques envahissantes du Saint-Laurent –Bilan 2014. Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune
586 et des Parcs (Quebec). Direction de la gestion de la faune du Bas-Saint-Laurent, Rivière-
587 du-Loup, 1 p.
- 588 Peňáz, M., Prokeš, M., Kouřil, J., Hamáčková, J. 1989. Influence of water temperature on the
589 early development and growth of the tench, *Tinca tinca*. Folia Zoologica 38: 275–287.
- 590 Pérez, J.E., Alfonsi, C., Nirchio, M., Muñoz, C., and Gómez, J.A. 2003. The introduction of
591 exotic species in aquaculture: a solution or part of the problem? Interciencia 28: 234–238.
- 592 Perrow, M.R., Jowitt, A.J.D., Johnson, S.R. 1996. Factors affecting the habitat selection of tench
593 in a shallow eutrophic lake. Journal of Fish Biology 48: 859–870.
- 594 Persat, H. and Keith, P. 1997. La répartition géographique des poissons d'eau douce en France:
595 qui est autochtone et qui ne l'est pas? Bulletin Français de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture
596 344/345: 15–32.
- 597 Petridis, D. 1990. The influence of grass carp on habitat structure and its subsequent effect on the
598 diet of tench. Journal of Fish Biology 36: 533–544.
- 599 Pimpicka, E. 1990. Formation of fecundity of tench *Tinca tinca* (L.) females in Lake Drweckie.
600 Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 20: 53–75.
- 601 Pimpicka, E. 1991. Fecundity of tench (*Tinca tinca* L.) females in Lake Drweckie. Acta
602 Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 21: 129–141.

- 603 Ranta, E. and Nuutinen, V. 1984. Zooplankton predation by rock-pool fish (*Tinca tinca* L. and
604 *Pungitius pungitius* L.): an experimental study. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 21: 441–449.
- 605 Rask, M., Appelberg, M., Hesthagen, T., Tammi, J., Beier, U., and Lappalainen, A. 2000. Fish
606 Status Survey of Nordic Lakes—Species Composition, Distribution, Effects of
607 Environmental Changes. Nordic Council of Ministers, Copenhagen. TemaNord 508. 58 p.
- 608 Rendón, P. M., Gallardo, J.M., Ceballos, E.G., Regadera, J.J.P., and García, J.C.E. 2003.
609 Determination of substrate preferences of Tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.), under controlled
610 experimental conditions. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 19: 138–141.
- 611 Ricciardi, A. 2006. Patterns of invasion in the Laurentian Great Lakes in relation to changes in
612 vector activity. *Diversity and Distributions* 12: 425–433.
- 613 Ricciardi, A. and Rasmussen, J.B. 1998. Predicting the identity and impact of future biological
614 invaders: a priority for aquatic resource management. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
615 *Aquatic Sciences* 55: 1759–1765.
- 616 Rothlisberger, J.D. and Lodge, D.M. 2013. The Laurentian Great Lakes as a beachhead and
617 gathering place for biological invasions. *Aquatic Invasions* 8 (4): 361–374.
- 618 Rowe, D.K. 2004. Potential effects of Tench (*Tinca tinca*) in New Zealand freshwater
619 ecosystems. National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, Auckland, New
620 Zealand.
- 621 Rowe, D., Moore, A., Giorgetti, A., Maclean, C., Grace, P., Vadhwa, S., and Cooke, J. 2008.
622 Review of the impacts of Gambusia, Redfin Perch, Tench, Roach, Yellowfin Goby and
623 Streaked Goby in Australia. Prepared for the Australian Government Department of the
624 Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. 245 p.

- Schallenberg, M. and Sorrell, B. 2009. Regime shifts between clear and turbid water in New Zealand lakes: environmental correlates and implications for management and restoration. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43: 701–712.
- Scott, W.B., and Crossman, E.J. 1973. *Freshwater fishes of Canada*. Fish. Res. Bd. Can., Ottawa, ON. 966 p.
- Sharp, R.L., Cleckner, L.B., and DePillo, S. 2017. The impact of on-site educational outreach on recreational users' perceptions of aquatic invasive species and their management. *Environmental Education Research* 23: 1200–1210.
- Stewart, A., Fitzpatrick, M., Catanzaro, D., Hendricks, J., Hargrove, Jr., W., O'Neill, R., Eskew, D., and Arnett, D. Identifying next potential invaders to the Great Lakes. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC. EPA/68/C-04/004.
- St. Lawrence Global Observatory (SLGO). 2016. <https://slgo.ca> (Accessed March 11, 2017)
- Svobodova, Z. and Kolarova, J. 2004. A review of the diseases and contaminant related mortalities of tench (*Tinca tinca* L.). *Veterinari Medicina* 49(1): 19–34.
- Tátrai, I., Oláh, J., Józsa, V., Kawiecka, B.J., Mátyás, K., Paulovits, G., Pekár, F., and Szabó, P. 1996. Regulation of plankton and benthic communities and water quality by cyprinid fish. *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research and Management* 2: 169–174.
- Tockner, K., Ward, J.V., Arscott, D.B., Edwards, P.J., Kollmann, J., Gurnell, A.M., Petts, G.E., and Maiolini, B. 2003. The Tagliamento River: A model ecosystem of European importance. *Aquatic Sciences* 65: 239–253.
- Tsehay, I., Catalano, M., Sass, G., Glover, D., and Roth, B. 2013. Prospects for fishery-induced collapse of invasive Asian carp in the Illinois River. *Fisheries* 38: 445–454.

- 647 United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2017. Great Lakes and Mississippi River
648 Interbasin Study—Brandon Road Draft Integrated Feasibility Study and Environmental
649 Impact Statement—Will County, Illinois. U.S. Army. <http://glmris.anl.gov/brandon-rd/>
650 United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014. Tench (*Tinca tinca*): Ecological risk screening
651 summary. Web Version—09/05/2014.
652 <https://www.fws.gov/Fisheries/ANS/erss/uncertainrisk/Tinca-tinca-WEB-09-05-2014.pdf>.
653 (Accessed April 19, 2017).
- 654 Vachon, N. and Dumont, P. 2000. Caractérisation des premières mentions de capture de la
655 tanche (*Tinca tinca* L.) dans le Haut-Richelieu (Québec). Société de la faune et des parcs
656 du Québec, Direction de l'aménagement de la faune de la Montérégie, Longueuil, Rapp.
657 tech.16-07, ix + 25 p.
- 658 van Emmerik, W.A.M. and de Nie, H.W. 2006. De zoetwatervissen van Nederland. Ecologisch
659 bekeken. Bilthoven: Vereniging Sportvisserij Nederland, p. 267.
- 660 Verreault, G., Pelletier, A.-M. and Simard, A. 2012. Le Réseau de détection précoce des espèces
661 aquatiques exotiques envahissantes du Saint-Laurent : bilan des activités 2007-2010. Le
662 Naturaliste Canadien 136: 73-79.
- 663 Virbickas, T. and S. Stakėnas, S. 2016. Composition of fish communities and fish-based method
664 for assessment of ecological status of lakes in Lithuania. Fisheries Research 173: 70–79.
- 665 Weatherley, A.H. 1959. Some features of the biology of the tench *Tinca tinca* (Linnaeus) in
666 Tasmania. Journal of Animal Ecology 28: 73–87.
- 667 Williams, A.E., Moss, B., and Eaton, J. 2002. Fish induced macrophyte loss in shallow lakes:
668 top–down and bottom–up processes in mesocosm experiments. Freshwater Biology 47:
669 2216–2232.

- 670 Wright, R.M. and Giles, N. 1991. The population biology of tench, *Tinca tinca* (L.), in two
671 gravel pit lakes. *Journal of Fish Biology* 38: 17–28.
- 672 Xu, H., Qiang, S., Genovesi, P., Ding, H., Wu, J., Meng, L., Han, Z., Miao, J., Hu, B., and Guo
673 J. 2012. An inventory of invasive alien species in China. *NeoBiota* 15: 1–26.

Draft

Table 1. Environmental tolerances of Tench (*Tinca tinca*) reported in literature. The preferred conditions are indicated in parentheses.

Variable	Lower limit	Upper limit	Reference
Spawning temperature	18-20°C (22°C)	25-29°C (24°C)	Horoszewicz 1983, Kennedy and Fitzmaurice 1970, Linhart et al 2006, Pimpicka 1990
Temperature required to stimulate vitellogenesis	10°C	10°C	Pimpicka 1990
Temperature range	0°C (20°C)	38°C (26°C)	Peñáz et al 1989
Dissolved Oxygen concentration	0.4 mg·L ⁻¹ or 3.1%	41.2 mg·L ⁻¹	Alabaster and Lloyd 1982, Beelen 2006, Downing and Merckens 1957
pH	4.5 (5.5)	10.8 (9)	Alabaster and Lloyd 1982, Anwand 1965, Duis 2001, Linhart et al 2006
Salinity	0	12 ‰	Anwand 1965
Ammonia	N/A	2.0 mg · L	Alabaster and Lloyd 1982
Specific Conductance	<95 µS·cm ⁻¹	>824 µS·cm ⁻¹	O'Maoileidigh and Bracken 1989, Tockner et al 2003
Flow	0	0.5 m·s ⁻¹ (<0.25 m·s ⁻¹)	Van Emmerik and Nie 2006

Figure captions

Figure 1. Global distribution of Tench by watershed. Data from Bianco 1998, Chefiri and

Loudiki 2002, Clavero et al 2004, Economidis et al 2000, El Wartiti et al 2008, Habit et al 2015, Iriarte et al 2005, Lehner et al 2008, Lusk 1998, Nico and Fuller 2011, Pelletier and Gagnon 2014, Persat and Keith 1997, Rask et al 2000, Rowe 2004, SLGO 2016, Xu et al 2016.

Figure 2. Distribution of Tench in North America by watershed (Lehner et al 2008; McPhail 2017; Nico and Fuller 2011; SLGO 2016; S.A., pers. obs.)

Figure 3. Spread of Tench in the St. Lawrence River basin. (Guibert 2000; Masson et al 2013; Nico and Fuller 2011; SLGO 2016; S.A., pers. obs.)

Figure 4. Increase in Tench captures by commercial fishermen in Lac Saint Pierre participating in the early invasive species detection program between 2007 and 2014 (Data from Verrault et al 2012, Pelletier and Gagnon 2014). Effort is standardized between years, and Tench catches increased exponentially after not being detected in the first two years.

Figure 5. Characteristics identifying Tench specimens. Adult Tench have (a) small scales and rounded fins, (b) a single pair of barbels and a red eye; (c) males have a fleshy protrusion above the pelvic fins. Juvenile Tench (f) are often difficult to distinguish from Common Carp (top of d and e). Tench (bottom of d and e) have shorter dorsal fins, a convex shaped caudal spot and the bottom lip is terminal.

Global distribution of Tench by watershed. Data from Bianco 1998, Chefiri and Loudiki 2002, Clavero et al 2004, Economidis et al 2000, El Wartiti et al 2008, Habit et al 2015, Iriarte et al 2005, Lehner et al 2008, Lusk 1998, Nico and Fuller 2011, Pelletier 2014, Persat and Keith. 1997, Rask et al. 2000, Rowe 2004, SLGO 2016, Xu et al 2016.

Distribution of Tench in North America by watershed (Lehner et al 2008; McPhail 2017; Nico and Fuller 2011; SLGO 2016; S.A., pers. obs.)

Spread of Tench in the St. Lawrence River basin. (Guibert 2000; Masson et al 2013; Nico and Fuller 2011; SLGO 2016; S.A., pers. obs.)

Increase in Tench captures by commercial fishermen in Lac Saint Pierre participating in the early invasive species detection program between 2007 and 2014 (Data from Verrault et al 2012, Pelletier and Gagnon 2014). Effort is standardized between years, and Tench catches increased exponentially after not being detected in the first two years.

Characteristics identifying Tench specimens. Adult Tench have (a) small scales and rounded fins, (b) a single pair of barbels and a red eye; (c) males have a fleshy protrusion above the pelvic fins. Juvenile Tench (f) are often difficult to distinguish from Common Carp (top of d and e). Tench (bottom of d and e) have shorter dorsal fins, a convex shaped caudal spot and the bottom lip is terminal.

Appendix. Risk assessment of Tench (*Tinca tinca*) in the Great Lakes basin based on the Fish Invasiveness Scoring Kit (FISK) (Copp et al. 2009).

	Tench (<i>Tinca tinca</i>)	
	Question	Answer
1.01	Is the species highly domesticated or cultivated for commercial, angling or ornamental purposes?	Y
1.02	Has the species become naturalised where introduced?	Y
1.03	Does the species have invasive races/varieties/sub-species?	Y
2.01	Is species reproductive tolerance suited to climates in the Great Lakes (0-low, 1-intermed, 2-high)?	2
2.02	Quality of climate match data (0-low; 1-intermediate; 2-high).	2
2.03	Broad climate suitability (environmental versatility).	Y
2.04	Native or naturalised in regions with equable climates.	Y
2.05	Does the species have a history of introductions outside its natural range?	Y
3.01	Has the species naturalised (established viable populations) beyond its native range?	Y
3.02	In its naturalised range are there impacts to wild stocks of angling or commercial species?	N
3.03	In its naturalised range are there impacts to aquacultural, aquarium or ornamental species?	N
3.04	In its naturalised range are there impacts to rivers, lakes or amenity values?	N
3.05	Does the species have invasive congeners?	N
4.01	Is the species poisonous, or pose other risks to human health?	N
4.02	Does the species out-compete with native species?	N
4.03	Is the species parasitic of other species?	N
4.04	Is the species unpalatable to, or lacking, natural predators?	N
4.05	Does species prey on a native species (e.g. previously subjected to low (or no) predation)?	N
4.06	Host and/or vector for recognised pests and pathogens, especially non-native?	Y
4.07	Does the species achieve a large ultimate body size (i.e. > 10 cm FL) (more likely to be abandoned)?	Y
4.08	Has a wide salinity tolerance or is euryhaline at some stage of its life cycle.	Y
4.09	Is desiccation tolerant at some stage of its life cycle.	Y

4.10	Is tolerant of a range of water velocity conditions (e.g. versatile in habitat use).	N
4.11	Feeding or other behaviours reduce habitat quality for native species.	N
4.12	Does the species require minimum population size to maintain a viable population?	Y
5.01	Piscivorous or voracious predator (e.g. of native species not adapted to a top predator).	N
5.02	Omnivorous.	Y
5.03	Planktivorous.	Y
5.04	Benthivorous.	Y
6.01	Exhibits parental care of eggs and/or young and/or known to reduce age-at-maturity in response to environment.	N
6.02	Produces viable gametes.	Y
6.03	Hybridizes naturally with native species (or uses males of native species to activate eggs).	N
6.04	Hermaphroditic	N
6.05	Dependent on presence of another species (or specific habitat features) to complete life cycle.	N
6.06	Highly fecund (>10,000 eggs/kg), iteropatric or extended spawning season.	Y
6.07	Minimum generation time.	2
7.01	Life stages likely to be dispersed unintentionally.	Y
7.02	Life stages likely to be dispersed intentionally by humans (and suitable habitats abundant nearby).	Y
7.03	Life stages likely to be dispersed as a contaminant of commodities.	N
7.04	Natural dispersal occurs as a function of dispersal of eggs.	N
7.05	Natural dispersal occurs as a function of dispersal of larvae (along linear and/or 'stepping stone' habitats).	N
7.06	Juveniles or adults are known to migrate (spawning, smolting, feeding).	N
7.07	Eggs dispersed by other animals (externally)?	N
7.08	Density dependent dispersal.	Y
8.01	Any life stages likely to survive out of water transport?	N
8.02	Tolerates a wide range of water quality conditions, in particular oxygen depletion and high temperature.	Y
8.03	Susceptible to piscicides.	Y
8.04	Tolerates or benefits from environmental disturbance.	Y
8.05	Effective natural enemies present in Great Britain.	Y

Total score	22
Outcome	Reject

Section	Questions answered	Satisfy minimum requirements?
A	13	yes
B	12	yes
C	24	yes
Total	49	yes

Draft