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Detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA within Murine Cardiac Tissue Sections by in Situ Polymerase Chain Reaction
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The use of in situ techniques to detect DNA and RNA sequences has proven to be an invaluable technique with paraffin-embedded tissue. Advances in non-radioactive detection systems have further made these procedures shorter and safer. We report the detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas disease, via indirect and direct in situ polymerase chain reaction within paraffin-embedded murine cardiac tissue sections. The presence of three *T. cruzi* specific DNA sequences were evaluated: a 122 base pair (bp) sequence localized within the minicircle network, a 188 bp satellite nuclear repetitive sequence and a 177 bp sequence that codes for a flagellar protein. In situ hybridization alone was sensitive enough to detect all three *T. cruzi* specific DNA sequences.
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*Trypanosoma cruzi* is a protozoan hemoflagellate and etiological agent of Chagas disease (Brener 1973, Tanowitz et al. 1992). Five to 20 years after infection, 30 to 40% of infected patients may develop cardiomyopathy and/or megaesophagus/megacolon (Prata 2001). Parasitism is often undetectable by routine microscopic observation of tissue sections. The period during which patients remain asymptomatic along with the virtually undetectable parasites in tissues suggests autoimmune processes or hypersensitivity for the pathophysiology. However, Tarleton et al. (1997) reported a lack of autoimmune-type rejection for inflammatory response in neonatal hearts transplanted into mice chronically infected with *T. cruzi*. Olivares-Villagómez et al. (1998) analyzed *T. cruzi* specific DNA sequences using fluid phase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and demonstrated that the quantity of *T. cruzi* DNA in the hearts of chagasic patients correlates with cardiomyopathy but may not be associated with inflammatory foci. Palomino et al. (2000) attempted to associate the presence of parasite antigens with histopathologic lesions found in affected tissues from 12 chronic chagasic cardiomyopathies. They reported that at least 1 of 26 regions of each heart demonstrated immunohistochemical reactivity for *T. cruzi* antigens. However, no correlation between antigen concentration and the intensity of inflammatory foci was established. Thus far, it is unclear whether such cardiomyopathy requires the persistence of the parasite during the chronic phase or the high parasitemia present during the acute phase is sufficient to trigger progression of the disease (Brener & Gazzinelli 1997).

In situ hybridization (ISH) with non-radioactive oligonucleotide probes has proven to be an effective method for detecting a multitude of mRNA and DNA sequences (Larsson & Hougaard 1993). While ISH is effective, the addition of in situ PCR improves sensitivity. Two methods of in situ PCR (indirect and direct) have been used to detect a variety of target sequences (Nuovo 1994). The indirect method utilizes in situ amplification of the target sequence followed by hybridization with a labeled oligonucleotide probe, and the direct method utilizes in situ amplification with labeled deoxynucleotides present within the PCR mixture. In the direct method, incorporation of the label during the elongation phase eliminates the need for subsequent hybridization. We previously described ISH to target three genes in order to identify *T. cruzi* within cardiac tissue (Lane et al. 1997). This yielded a highly specific and sensitive method of detecting *T. cruzi* in tissue.

In the present study, we compared two techniques to detect *T. cruzi* in cardiac tissue. Both indirect and direct in situ PCR were used to detect three *T. cruzi*-specific DNA sequences in paraffin-embedded sections of infected murine cardiac tissue. These included a minicircle sequence (MCS), a repetitive sequence (RS) and a flagellar protein sequence (FPS). The MCS is localized within the kinetoplast minicircles and represents approximately 120,000 copies per parasite (Degrave et al. 1998). The RS gene is a highly repetitive DNA sequence that represents approximately 9% of the total parasite genome (Moser et al. 1989). The FPS represents a smaller sequence within the open reading frame of a 160-kDa protein found on the

*T. cruzi* (Brazil strain) infected C3H mice were sacrificed 30 days post-infection. The hearts were removed and embedded in paraffin. Primers and digoxigenin-labelled oligonucleotide probes used have been previously described (Lane et al. 1997). Primers and probes specific for *T. cruzi* were labelled with digoxigenin. Detection of *T. cruzi* was determined using a sandwich assay with two washes of 0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl (pH 9.5) for 3 min at 37°C. Substrate (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitro blue tetrazolium) was added for 10 min at room temperature. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity was blocked with levamisole endogenous alkaline phosphatase inhibitor (0.2 mM). Negative controls included no *Taq* DNA polymerase and no oligonucleotide probe.

The PCR mixture for direct in situ PCR was similar to that described for the indirect in situ PCR, except that 10 µM digoxigenin-11-dUTP was used, thus omitting subsequent hybridization. Sections were denatured at 94°C for 3 min and subjected to 20 cycles at 94°C for 1 min and 55°C for 2 min. Detection of incorporated digoxigenin-11-dUTP was carried out as described above. Negative controls for direct in situ PCR included PCR mixtures without *Taq* DNA polymerase, primers or digoxigenin-11-dUTP. To ensure that *T. cruzi* DNA was present within cardiac tissue, sections were randomly selected, stained with H/E and viewed under the microscope.

Indirect in situ PCR allowed detection of *T. cruzi* amastigotes via three sequences (MCS, FPS and RS) but with different signal strengths. An intense signal was consistently obtained with MCS primers and probes. A strong signal was observed with MCS even when hybridization was used without PCR (Fig. 2a). Detection of FPS was less intense, while a weak and inconsistent signal was obtained for RS (Fig. 3). Controls without *Taq* DNA polymerase were consistently positive (i.e., hybridization alone) (Fig. 2a) and there was no decrease in the hybridization signal with either MCS or RS probes when *Taq* DNA polymerase was included or excluded. A weak hybridization signal was observed in FPS control slides (i.e., hybridization following PCR without *Taq* DNA polymerase in the PCR mixture). This weak signal was significantly enhanced when the PCR mixture contained *Taq* DNA polymerase (i.e., increased hybridization signal with amplification) (Fig. 3a, 3b). Negative controls for MCS, FPS and RS without the addition of oligonucleotide probes showed no hybridization signal (Fig. 2b).

All three sequences were detected with direct PCR. A signal was consistently obtained with MCS; however, amplification of RS and FPS yielded weak and inconsistent signals. No signal was found with negative controls containing neither DNA polymerase nor digoxigenin-11-dUTP. Negative controls lacking primers showed a consistent weak background signal, which appeared to be localized to the parasite.

Parasitism in *T. cruzi* infected tissues is often undetectable by direct microscopic observation and/or immunohistochemistry. Detection of DNA and RNA sequences using PCR has become an increasingly useful technique for the diagnosis of pathogens and parasites. Unlike liquid phase PCR, in situ detection allows precise localization of target sequences within tissue sections. In a previous report we demonstrated the efficacy of ISH to detect *T. cruzi* within cardiac tissue (Lane et al. 1997). The MCS was consistently detected with in situ PCR even when ISH was performed without amplification. Direct PCR performed prior to hybridization did not significantly increase the ISH signal for MCS. The high
copy number of this sequence may explain the intensity of the ISH signal without prior amplification (Degrave et al. 1998). In addition, topological constraints involved in the physical organization of minicircles within the kinetoplast may inhibit efficient amplification (Chen et al. 1995). Our results confirm previous data demonstrating that MCS is consistently found in myocardial tissue from chagasic patients with cardiomyopathy using either fluid phase or in situ PCR followed by hybridization (Lane et al. 1997, Olivares-Villagomez et al. 1998).

The RS represented the least consistent sequence to detect. Olivares-Villagomez et al. (1998) reported that while MCS was detected in 100% of the samples tested, RS was detected in 37.5% with liquid phase PCR. Olivares-Villagomez et al. (1998) observed FPS in 2% of inflammatory foci tested; however, we consistently detected FPS using the indirect in situ PCR method. As with MCS, detection of FPS was possible when hybridization was performed without prior amplification.

In situ PCR incorporation of digoxigenin-11-dUTP is primer-elongation dependent and may provide an alternative to test for sequence specific amplification. With direct in situ PCR, a strong signal was consistently obtained for MCS but only weakly and inconsistently for FPS and RS. As direct in situ PCR requires less steps, it could be considered more convenient. However, in addition to the complications discussed for the indirect method, there is support to confirm the non-specific incorporation of the digoxigenin-labeled nucleotides with direct in situ PCR, which may compromise its use (Komminoth et al. 1992, Komminoth & Long 1992, Sallstrom et al. 1993). While restricted to amastigote nests within murine cardiac tissue, PCR controls without primers did yield a weak signal

![Fig. 2: indirect in situ polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of minicircle sequence with *Trypanosoma cruzi* amastigote nest in infected murine cardiac tissue. a: control for indirect in situ PCR (Taq DNA polymerase omitted); b: control for indirect in situ PCR (digoxigenin-labeled oligonucleotide probe was omitted). Bars = 40 µm](image1)

![Fig. 3: indirect in situ polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of flagellar protein sequence with *Trypanosoma cruzi* amastigotes in infected murine cardiac tissue. a: control for indirect in situ PCR (Taq DNA polymerase was omitted); b: indirect in situ PCR of FPS. Bars = 40 µm](image2)
with this method. False positive results after direct in situ PCR, caused by primer-independent but both Taq DNA polymerase and cycling dependent incorporation of digoxigenin-labeled nucleotides into cellular DNA, can result from DNA repair mechanisms and/or internal non-specific priming (Long et al. 1993).

The efficiency of DNA amplification is decreased in tissue sections in comparison to fluid phase PCR (Komminoth et al. 1992, Komminoth & Long 1992, Long et al. 1993, O’Leary et al. 1994). However, in situ PCR has the advantage of localizing target sequences within tissue. Our results suggest that indirect in situ PCR provides an additional method for detecting low copy sequences. In situ hybridization alone is a useful technique to detect parasite-specific sequences – prior amplification using in situ PCR can greatly enhance this for certain low-copy sequences. Thus, it may be a useful tool to study the immunopathology of chronic chagasic cardiomyopathy. Additionally, the use of similar techniques has been used to detect other infectious organisms in the clinical setting – future studies are needed to develop such techniques to assist the clinician with the molecular detection of T. cruzi.
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