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by
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Abstract: Due to the formational environment and climatic variability, granite residual soils with grain size distribution ranging from gravel to clay, are experienced by multiple drying-wetting cycles. The Influences of multiple drying-wetting cycles on the soil-water characteristic curve (SWCC) and pore size distribution (POSD) of undisturbed granite residual soils are investigated using the pressure plate test and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spin-spin relaxation time ($T_2$) distribution measurement, respectively. The result shows that the water-retention capacity, air entry value decreases and pores become more uniform with increasing drying-wetting cycles. After 4 drying-wetting cycles, the soil reaches to a nearly constant state. The POSD change of multiple drying-wetting cycle samples possesses consistency with the SWCC of the soils. Furthermore, a modified van Genuchten model in terms of cumulative pore volume is used to obtain the best-fit POSD of the drying-wetting cycle samples. The shape and changing tendency of both curves of SWCC and POSD are quite similar and observed a better correlation. It can be concluded that the SWCC is strongly dependent on the POSD of the soil and NMR $T_2$ relaxometry can be used as an alternative for the assessment of micro-structural variation of residual soils subjected to the periodic drying and wetting process.

Key words: Granite residual soil, Drying-wetting cycles, Soil-water characteristic curve
(SWCC), Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Pore size distribution (POSD).

**Introduction**

Residual soils are the weathering product of their parent materials. Their engineering properties and behaviors vary widely from place to place depending upon the rock of origin and the local climate during their formation (Fookes 1990). These soils are found in many parts of the world and are used extensively in construction, either to build upon, or as construction material of both geotechnical and geo-environmental structures. The climatic zones where granite residual soils occur are often experienced by seasonal changes in water contents due to water infiltration and evaporation. This climatic variability, namely drying-wetting cycle, is considered to be one of the important factors that can significantly alter moisture distribution and hydro-mechanical behaviors of soils. It may induce damage to foundations or infrastructures. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the effects of multiple drying-wetting cycles on the microstructure of natural residual soils.

A significant number of investigations have been conducted to understand the effects of drying-wetting cycles on soil physical and mechanical properties. It is found that soil fabric, particle cementation, water content and void ratio are altered significantly (Cuisinier and Masroui 2005; Rao and Revanasiddappa 2006; Tripathy et al. 2009; Tovar and Colmenares 2011; Sun and Huang 2015). These effects lead to the formation of cracks as well as the development of fissures in soils, which significantly increase soil compressibility and hydraulic conductivity and consequently decrease the overall structural strength and stability (Morris et al. 1992; Albrecht and Benson 2001; Pires et al. 2008; Li et al. 2009; Sayem et al. 2016). Several researchers also reported that the impact of the first drying-wetting cycle on soil structure is...
greatest and decreases with subsequent cycles (Basma et al. 1996; Tripathy et al. 2002; Leij et al. 2002). Bodner et al. (2013) demonstrated that the pore size distribution (POSD) is closely related to cyclic drying-wetting, while overseason dynamics are mainly influenced by soil mechanical disturbance and crop rotation.

The relationship between the water content or degree of saturation and the suction of a soil is generally known as the soil-water characteristic curve (SWCC) and reflects the internal mechanisms of unsaturated soils (Hao et al. 2015). Matric suction is one of the two stresses state variables controlling the behavior of an unsaturated soil, which plays a key role in unsaturated soil mechanics and widely used to predict the hydraulic conductivity, soil water storage and shear strength of unsaturated soils (Fredlund and Rahardjo, 1993). Various methods such as the filter paper method, pressure plate technique, thermal conductivity sensors, tensiometers and thermocouple psychrometers can be used to determine the SWCC. But most of them have some limitations and disadvantages (Kong and Tan 2000. Numerous researchers mentioned that SWCC is influenced by several factors, and each of these factors makes change of pore structure which leads to the change of SWCC. Therefore, the shape of the SWCC is dependent upon the POSD of the soil. Some researchers attempted to obtain the SWCC through the POSD and capillary model (Prapaharan et al. 1985; Olson 1985; Kong and Tan 2000; Aung, et al. 2011; Beckett and Augarde 2013; Zeng, et al. 2013).

Pore size distribution (POSD) is one of the important intrinsic property of soils which is associated with the porosity, void ratio and controls the physical, mechanical and hydraulic behaviors of soils i.e. permeability, storage capacity, shear strength. Several methods are developed to obtain the POSD from porous materials such as the Mercury Intrusion
Porosimetry (MIP), Water Vapour or Nitrogen Adsorption method, X-ray Computer Tomography (CT), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) etc. Many of those methods require sophisticated and costly equipments and well expertise. Some involve a tedious procedure of sample preparation and testing. The limitations of those methods are reported in literature, and some seems insufficient to obtain the full range of POSD of soils covering from very fine to very coarse aprticles (Kate and Gokhale 2006; Wang, et al. 2016). For example, in MIP, high pressure is required for studying small-sized pores which may result in the breaking of cementing bonds and crushing of grains. It is not suitable for soft materials and materials which contain closed pores. While, the adsorption method fails to measure large pores due to the lack of capillary condensation. They cannot be applied to natural state conditions or unconsolidated samples without removing the pore fluid prior to analysis. Desiccation may cause irreversible changes in pore structure, which in turn will affect the actual POSD of the soils. The Image analysis or Microscopy requires approach a large quantity of two-dimensional information for the desired three-dimensional pore structure information and it is time-consuming (Gallegos and Smith 1988; Hinedi et al. 1997; Giesche 2006; Kate and Gokhale 2006; Minagawa, et al. 2008; Benjamini et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2016). None of those methods are suitable for this present study because the tested soil is a special kind of low density (dry density, $\rho_d=1.30 \, \text{g/cm}^3$) granite residual soil with grain size distribution ranging from gravel to clay.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) relaxometry is becoming a promising method to evaluate the POSD in soil science. It is possible to overcome those limitations mentioned above. Recently, numerous studies reported the potentiality of NMR relaxometry to assess the POSD of porous media in a fast and non-destructive way (Kleinberg 1994; Strange et al. 1993; Hinedi
et al. 1997; Milia et al. 1998; Dunn et al. 2002; Ramia et al. 2010). It is well known that the amplitude of the proton NMR signal is proportional to the fluid content and that relaxation times give information on the POSD. Though NMR techniques are extensively used in petroleum industry and medical science, recently it has been used successfully in soil mechanics, such as, to quantify and estimate the amount of water in soils, to evaluate porosity, POSD and hydraulic conductivity, to characterize hydraulic processes of unsaturated soils or characterizing the vadose zone and pore water distribution and migration at various sections of hydraulic cycles (Gallegos and Smith 1988; Akporiaye et al. 1994; Strange et al. 1993; Milia et al. 1998; Yun et al. 2002; Bird et al. 2005; He et al. 2005; Mohnke and Yaramanci 2008; Jaeger et al. 2009; Stingaciu et al. 2010; Ramia et al. 2010; Costabel and Yaramanci 2013; Tian et al. 2014; Walsh et al. 2014). NMR technique is also applied to investigate the POSDs of permafrost and gas hydrate sediments (Kleinberg and Griffin 2005; Kleinberg 2006; Tian et al. 2015). Costabel and Yaramanci (2013) studied the estimation of water retention parameters from nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation time distributions. On other hand, Chen et al. (2016) developed a new method for the soil-water retention curve of fine grained soil using NMR technique.

Most of the previous investigations of SWCC are limited to a single drying and wetting cycle or based on multiple drying-wetting cycles on expansive soils, artificial soils and reconstructed soils for the purpose of slope stability analysis, soil stabilization or for the study of contaminant transport, nuclear waste disposal barrier, dam, etc. The effects of multiple drying-wetting cycles on SWCC of undisturbed granite residual soils are still far from being well understood. The main objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of multiple
drying-wetting cycles on SWCC of undisturbed granite residual soils. The variations of POSD also analyzed using the NMR $T_2$ technique. Such studies will be useful to understand the possible micro-structural variations of granite residual soils subject to a periodic drying and wetting process.

**Theoretical background of NMR $T_2$**

The NMR signal is an exponential decay, characterized by initial signal amplitude and distribution of relaxation times ($T_2$). The NMR signals are generated from liquids when the sample is placed in a magnetic field and then excited with a brief pulse of radio frequency (RF) energy. The signal amplitude is an indication of total fluid present or related to characteristic pore abundance while the relaxation time ($T_2$) is a measure of the rate at which the precession of hydrogen nuclei in the formation pore fluid gradually decay in the presence of an inhomogeneous magnetic field, which give information on the POSDs. According to Coates et al. (1999), for a fluid saturated porous media (e.g. soil/rock), the NMR relaxation mechanisms are given by

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_{2B}} + \frac{1}{T_{2S}} + \frac{1}{T_{2D}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

Where, $T_2$ is the transverse relaxation time of the pore fluid as measured by a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence; $T_{2B}$ is the transverse bulk fluid relaxation time; $T_{2S}$ is the transverse surface relaxation time; $T_{2D}$ is the diffusion relaxation time and accounts for the transverse relaxation in an inhomogeneous magnetic field.

It is reported that the NMR relaxation of water saturated sedimentary rock or unconsolidated sediments occurs in the fast-diffusion regime and that there is little or no pore
coupling (Brownstein and Tarr 1979; Kenyon 1997; Dunn et al. 2002). $T_{2B}$ is typically much larger than $T_{2S}$ and the effect of $T_{2D}$ is minor for CPMG pulse sequence (Yun et al. 2002; Lao 2010; Behroozmand et al. 2015). Furthermore, at low field NMR, both $T_{2B}$ and $T_{2D}$ are negligible compared to $T_{2S}$ (Kleinberg 2006). Therefore, in the fast diffusion limit, the transverse relaxation time ($T_2$) depends on the transverse surface relaxation ($T_{2S}$) and the $T_2$ relaxation rate $1/T_2$ is proportional to the surface-to-volume ($S/V$) ratio of the pore (Brownstein and Tarr 1979, Godefroy et al. 2001; Tian et al. 2014; Behroozmand et al. 2015). Hence, the equation is

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_{2S}} = \rho_2 \left(\frac{S}{V}\right)_{pore}$$

(2)

Where, $\rho_2$ is the surface relaxivity coefficient, which is a characteristics of magnetic interactions at the fluid-solid interface and $(S/V)_{pore}$ is the ratio of the pore surface area $S$ to the pore water volume $V$ and related to the pore diameter ($D$), i.e. $(S/V)_{pore} = F_s/D$. The geometry factor, $F_s$, depends on the pore shape, which assumes a value of 2, 4 and 6 for planar, cylindrical and spherical pores, respectively. For cylindrical pores (Tian et al. 2014), the equation is

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \rho_2 \frac{4}{D}$$

(3)

Therefore, the distribution of relaxation time is linearly proportional to the POSD i.e. short relaxation times correspond to small pores and long relaxation times correspond to large pores.

After knowing the value of surface relaxivity coefficient ($\rho_2$), one can estimate the POSD using Eq. 3. It is important to note that the coefficient surface relaxivity ($\rho_2$) is normally assumed to be constant when interpreting NMR data. The coefficient $\rho_2$ is attributed to the paramagnetic
impurities on the surface of the grains that interact with hydrogen nuclei and impose an additional relaxation (Korringa 1962) and the value is constant for a particular soil and depends on the specific combination of mineral grain and pore fluid (Tian et al. 2014).

**Materials and methods**

**Physical characteristics of the soil**

The undisturbed granite residual soil samples collected at areas (5.0-7.0 m depth) around Jiangmen city (Kaiping), Gaungdong province, China, are used for this study. According to X-ray diffraction analysis, the soils are mainly composed of kaolinite with small amount of illite, the non-clay minerals include quartz, pyrite and gibbsite (Sayem et al. 2016). The basic material properties are measured in the laboratory and given in table 1, and its grain size distribution is shown in Fig. 1.

**Drying-wetting sample preparation**

In the preparation procedure, the samples with 20mm in height and 61.8mm in diameter for the pressure plate test and 20mm in height and 45mm in diameter for the NMR test are obtained by cutting the core samples using cutting ring. After weighting, the samples are dried up to moisture content 20% at constant temperature of 40 ± 2 °C (the highest temperature under simulated natural conditions) for 24 h using temperature and humidity control box. Remove the specimens from oven and allow 1-2 h for cooling at the room temperature (around 20 °C). The samples are covered by the filter paper and water-permeable stone both on the top and bottom to prevent any disturbance during the saturation process. Then the samples are saturated with
distilled water after vacuum seeding about 2 hours and then submerged about 24h. Later the samples are dried up to 20% moisture content in the oven at constant temperature of 40 ± 2 °C. This is called as one drying-wetting cycle. This process is repeated until the desired numbers of drying-wetting cycles (0, 1, 2, 4 and 8) are completed.

**Experimental methods**

Tests are conducted on saturated samples experienced by drying-wetting cycles (0, 1, 2, 4 and 8). The SWCCs of those samples are obtained using a pressure plate apparatus produced by Soil Moisture Equipment Corporation of USA (Fig. 2). This apparatus adopts the principle of axis translation technique. Experiments are performed based on the reference, ASTM 6836-02 (2002). The entire SWCC and the corresponding fitting parameters are obtained by using the van Genuchten (1980) model. This model is most popular and well accepted for SWCC determination as well as can predict the residual water content more perfectly. The mathematical formula is

\[
\theta = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{[1 + (\alpha \psi)^{n}]}^{m}
\]

(4)

Where, \(\psi\) is the suction pressure (kPa) i.e \((u_a-u_w)\), \(\theta\) is the volumetric water content, \(\theta_s\) is the saturated water content, \(\theta_r\) is the residual water content, \(\alpha\), \(n\) & \(m\) are soil parameters. In which, \(\alpha\) is the inverse of the air-entry pressure, \(n\) is the parameter on the pore size distribution and \(m=1-1/n\).

The POSD is analyzed in terms of T2 distribution using a 23 MHz MiniMR NMR, jointly developed by the Institute of Rock and Soil Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Niumag Corporation, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China (Fig. 3). The system consists of a
sample tube, magnet unit, radio-frequency (RF) system, temperature controlling system and data acquisition-analysis system. To generate a stable and uniform magnetic field, the temperature of the magnet unit is set to be 32 °C, within a variation of ±0.01 °C. For the determination of $T_2$ relaxation time, the Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill pulse sequence is employed to minimize the effect of magnetic field inhomogeneities on the NMR signal. The detailed method for measuring the $T_2$ distribution curves is given in the literature (Tian et al. 2014).

**Determination of Surface Relaxivity coefficient ($\rho_2$)**

Several attempts have been undertaken to estimate the value of $\rho_2$. Some researches obtained $\rho_2$ values by comparing the $T_2$ relaxation distribution with POSD data calculated by MIP (Marschall et al. 1995; Kleinberg 1996; Kenyon 1997; Li et al. 2008; Minagawa, et al. 2008; Yao and Liu 2012), Nitrogen Gas Adsorption or Diffusion measurements (Gallegos and Smith 1988; Hurlimann et al. 1994; Sorland et al. 2007), Cation Exchange Capacity (Sen et al. 1990), Differential Thermal Calorimetry (Ramia et al. 2010) and Photo Microscopy or Image Analysis (Howard et al. 1993; Kenyon 1997; Arns 2004; Wang 2009) techniques. Some are also calculated $\rho_2$ by using empirical formula of hydraulic conductivity such as the Hazen formula or the Kozeny-Carmann approximation (Kenyon et al. 1988; Kenyon 1992; Straley et al. 1994; Yun et al. 2002; He et al. 2005, Daigle and Dugan 2009). In this research, a well accepted NMR-permeability equation, known as Schlumberger-Doll Research (SDR) equation developed by Kenyon et al. (1988), is used to obtain the surface relaxivity coefficient ($\rho_2$) which is

$$k_i = C \Phi^4 T_{2LM}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

According to Kleinberg et al. (2003), the constant $C$ of SDR equation is expected to be
proportional to the square of the surface relaxivity coefficient i.e. \( C = \rho_2^2 \), which depends on mineralogy and magnetic impurities (For details see Daigle and Dugan 2009). Therefore, the equation is as follows:

\[
k_s = \rho_2^2 \Phi^4 T_{2LM}^2
\]  

(6)

It can also be written as

\[
\rho_2 = \sqrt{k_s \Phi^4 T_{2LM}^2}
\]  

(7)

Where, \( k_s \) is the saturated permeability of the soils (m²) which is measured by virgin portion of the consolidation curve (\( k_s = 9.91 \times 10^{-16} \) m²); \( \Phi \) is the saturated porosity of the NMR samples (\( \Phi = 0.5006 \)); \( T_{2LM} \) is the geometric mean value of the T₂ distribution (\( T_{2LM} = 0.86534 \) ms). The obtained \( \rho_2 \) value is about 0.1452 μm/ms.

**Results and discussions**

**Pressure Plate test results**

The matric suction is measured by pressure plate extractors in this research. The variations of water content with respect to matric suction of drying-wetting cycle samples are shown in Fig. 4. The entire fitting curves and corresponding SWCC parameters of drying-wetting soil samples are shown in Fig. 5 and table 2 respectively. The results show that the initial saturated water content and residual water content decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles i.e. the water-retention capacity decreases. This may be due to the breakdown of bonding structures, decrease of pore volume and formation of cracks with increasing alternate drying-wetting cycles (Sayem and Kong 2016). During the drying-wetting cycles, cracks induced by drying
process. With increasing cycle numbers, the existing cracks are enlarged as well as new cracks are developed, resulting in the formation of abundant shrinkage cracks. The values of $\alpha$ and $n$ increase with increasing drying-wetting cycles, whereas the value of $m$ decreases (table 2). The air entry value is inversely proportional to $\alpha$, therefore, the air entry value is 40.3kPa, 35.8kPa, 33.1kPa, 31.5kPa, 31.4kPa for 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8 cycles respectively, and decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles. In addition, larger value of $n$ indicates more uniform pore sizes with dry-wetting cycles. It is found that the SWCC shifts to the left with increasing drying-wetting cycles and reaches to a nearly constant state after 4 cycles of drying-wetting. However, after 4 drying-wetting cycles, the soil behaves like a passive system and it does not allow further moisture adsorption or desorption. To clarify the SWCC results, the POSD of multiple drying-wetting cycle samples is examined using NMR $T_2$ relaxometry.

**NMR $T_2$ test results**

The relaxation time distributions are normalized to the sum of all amplitudes; each amplitude then represents the proportion of water corresponding to its relaxation time (the decay of the NMR signal). The distribution curves of transverse relaxation times $T_2$ of saturated drying-wetting cycle samples of granite residual soils from NMR experiments are shown in Fig. 6 where the results show bimodal relaxation distributions. As the short relaxation times correspond to small pores and long relaxation times correspond to large pores, the bimodal relaxation distributions indicate the existence of macro- and micropores in the soil particles. The comparison of the distribution curves for the NMR $T_2$ results shows that the NMR signal proportion decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles. The variations of the peak area (the signal amplitude of the $T_2$ distribution curve which represents the population of water
molecules or the amount of water content in the pores) and $T_2$ at peak (the value of $T_2$ at the maximum NMR signal) with respect to number of drying-wetting cycles are shown in Fig. 7. The reducing rate of total area is 15.96%, 26.73%, 33.64%, 34.09% for 1, 2, 4 and 8 cycles respectively, and the reducing rates from cycle 0→1, 1→2, 2→4 and 4→8 are about 15.96%, 12.82%, 9.42% and 0.69%, respectively. It is seen that the reduction rate is more pronounced in the first cycle and decreases with subsequent cycles and finally reaches to a nearly constant state after 4 cycles. Since the peak area represents the saturated water content of the specimen, the above observation implies that the water retention capacity decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles. The maximum peak locates at 6.29 ms for the initial sample (C-00).

With increasing drying-wetting cycles, the maximum peak value of NMR $T_2$ (peak 1) are decreased to 5.81 ms, 5.35 ms, 4.94 ms and 4.94 ms for cycle number 1, 2, 4 and 8, respectively i.e. the value of NMR $T_2$ at peak shifts slightly to the left with increasing drying-wetting cycles in Fig. 7.

**POSD from NMR $T_2$**

It is well known that the NMR signal amplitude is proportional to the fluid content or related to pore abundance and the relaxation times are linearly proportional to the pore size. From the relaxation time distributions, the POSDs of drying-wetting cycle samples calculated using Eq. 3 with $\rho_2$ value of 0.1452µm/ms given in Fig. 8. The results show that the pore spaces bimodal distribution of cyclic drying-wetting soil samples mainly consist of pores diameter range from 0.0001~55µm. With increasing drying-wetting cycles, the maximum value of Peak 1 are at 0.366µs, 0.337µs, 0.311µs, 0.287µs and 0.287µs for cycle number 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8 respectively, while for Peak 2 they are at 13.994µs, 10.975µs, 5.294µs, 4.883µs and 4.883µs,
respectively. Therefore, the optimal pore diameter decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles which is more obvious for peak 2 with large pore diameter. This may be due to the slaking behaviour and redistribution of water from coarse pores to finer pores.

According to the shape and feature of the pore size distribution curve (Fig. 8), the pore can be preliminarily divided into five different grades by the pore diameter: i) >10µm, ii) 10~5µm, iii) 5~1µm, iv) 1~0.1µm and v) <0.1µm. The corresponding results are summarized in Table 3. It can be observed that the pores ranging from 5~1µm constitute the majority of the pore space in all samples and compose approximately 50.75% of the total pores. The fractions of pores ranging from >10µm, 10~5µm, 1~0.1µm and <0.1µm are about 5.60%, 15.31%, 25.64% and 2.71%, respectively. All the pores decrease with increasing drying-wetting cycles, but the effects of drying-wetting cycles are more predominant for large pores whose diameter >1µm (Fig. 9). For large pores (>10µm), the reduction of pore volume from initial volume is 36.04%, 54.03%, 65.88% and 67.30% for 1, 2, 4 and 8 cycles respectively, whereas it is about 8.24%, 13.58%, 18.25% and 18.82% for pores ranging from 1~0.1µm. During the drying-wetting cycle-1, the reduction of pore distribution from the initial value is about 2.61%, 8.24%, 15.46%, 19.60% and 36.04% for pores <0.1µm, 1~0.1µm, 5~1µm, 10~5µm and >10µm, respectively. It is found that the effect of drying-wetting cycles on larger pores is more obvious than smaller pores. From table 3, it can also be seen that the impact of drying-wetting cycles on POSD is more apparent in the first cycle and decreases with subsequent cycles. After 4 drying-wetting cycles, there is no significant change in T<sub>2</sub> as well as POSDs. Therefore, it seems that the particles are unable to move for further drying-wetting cycles and the pores become rigid.

The obtained POSD of the drying-wetting cycle samples are fitted with the van Genuchten
(1980) model in terms of the cumulative pore volume (mm$^3$/g) where the suction is replaced by the pore diameter. The mathematical formula is

$$V_{Di} = V_r + \frac{V_s - V_r}{[1 + (a/D_i)^b]^c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where, $V_{Di}$ is the cumulative pore volume less than pore diameter $D_i$ (μm), $V_s$ is the saturated pore volume, $V_r$ is the residual pore volume and $a$, $b$ & $c$ are empirical constants related to the solid phase characteristics.

The predicted POSD curves and their fitting parameters are given in Fig. 10 and table 4 respectively. It can be seen that the value of residual pore volume ($V_r$) is very small corresponding to the saturated pore volume ($V_s$), and is very close to 0. Based on regression analysis, it is found that the correlation coefficient of the fitting curves increased much better if the residual pore volume is considered as 0. Therefore, Eq. 8 is modified considering $V_r$ equal to 0 and without changing the other fitting parameters. The modified equation is

$$V_{Di} = \frac{V_s}{[1 + (a/D_i)^b]^c}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Using modified van Genuchten (1980) model in terms of cumulative pore volume (mm$^3$/g) and pore diameter (Eq. 7), the obtained POSD fitting curves and corresponding fitting parameters are shown in Fig. 11 and table 5 respectively.

**Discussion**

For comparison among the fitting parameters of SWCC and POSD, the relationship between $\alpha$ and $a$ is taken as an example, where the parameter $\alpha$ has units of soil suction or the inverse of soil suction and inversely related to the air entry value and the parameter $a$ has units of pore diameter. According to the Washburn (1921) equation, the equivalent pore diameters
for the values of \( \alpha \) are found to range from 7.1 to 9.1\( \mu \)m. On the other hand, the threshold pore diameters \( a \) for NMR test are range from 6.7 to 7.0\( \mu \)m. This finding shows that the pore diameter at the threshold level of NMR \( T_2 \) test and the air entry value of the SWCC are reasonably related and the first drainage occurs later for \( T_2 \) POSD. The variations among the fitting parameters may be caused by several reasons: (i) the natural variability and inhomogeneity of the soil, (ii) the relationship between two different physical properties and the inverse relationship between suction pressure and pore size, (iii) the different accuracy of measurement for pressure plate and NMR \( T_2 \) as well as variations of sample size, (iv) transformational errors of relaxation distribution functions in POSD cumulative functions and (v) the disturbance of soil structures during sample collection and preparation. Furthermore, the shrinkage cracks are expected during desiccation process in pressure plate test which may also be a reason for these variations.

Through investigating the relation of the fitting parameters \( \alpha, n \) & \( m \) and \( a, b \) & \( c \), it can be seen that there is a good correlation between fitting parameters for SWCC and POSD, i.e. the fitting parameters are linearly proportional to each other (Fig. 12). It is quite well known that the POSD curve is intrinsically related to the SWCC. Therefore, the linearity of the relationship between fitting parameters in SWCC and POSD is said to be attributed to the similarity of the physical meaning of the parameters for the studied soil. For example, the POSD fitting parameter \( a \) is the threshold pore diameter indicating the inflection point on the curve which inversely proportional to the air entry value. The shape and changing tendency of SWCC and POSD curves are quite similar. Furthermore, from table 2 & 4, it is found that the cumulative pore volume of 0.1\( \mu \)m pore diameter is very close to the equivalent residual water content \( (\theta_e/\rho_d) \).
of SWCC which might be the clay-bound water. Though SWCC is influenced by several factors, from this study it can be concluded that the SWCCs of drying-wetting cycles are strongly affected by the POSD of the soil and NMR T$_2$ relaxometry can be used as a fast and very simple alternative for the assessment of pore size distribution.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, the effects of multiple drying-wetting cycles on SWCC of undisturbed granite residual soils are investigated using the pressure plate test and their POSDs are examined through NMR T$_2$ relaxometry. The water-retention capacity of undisturbed granite residual soils decreases with increasing drying-wetting cycles i.e. the initial saturated water content, residual water content and air entry value decrease. The impact of first drying-wetting cycle is more apparent on SWCC and decreases with subsequent cycles; the soil reaches to a nearly constant state after 4 drying-wetting cycles. The NMR T$_2$ relaxometry can be used as an alternative for the assessment of POSD of granite residual soils with grain size distribution ranging from gravel to clay. Moreover, the obtained POSD of multiple drying-wetting cycle samples are consistency with the SWCC of the soils, and the cumulative pore volume of POSD can be well described by the formula of modified van Genuchten model. The shape and changing tendency of the both curves of SWCC and POSD of granite residual soils with increasing drying-wetting cycles are quite similar and observed a better correlation i.e. the fitting parameters of SWCC and POSD are linearly proportional to each other. Therefore, the SWCC change of soils is strongly depend on the initial POSD and its alteration with multiple drying-wetting cycles.
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Table 1. Basic material properties of the tested granite residual soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>ρd (g/cm³)</th>
<th>NMC</th>
<th>Atterberg limits (%)</th>
<th>Grain size (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W_L %</td>
<td>W_F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7~6.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>37.20</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. SWCC parameters with respect to different drying-wetting cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet-dry Cycle no.</th>
<th>Fitting parameters (using van Genuchten, 1980)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>θ_s</td>
<td>θ_r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5146</td>
<td>0.0898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4859</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4727</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4611</td>
<td>0.0865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4595</td>
<td>0.0864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. POSDs of drying-wetting cycle samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet-dry cycle no.</th>
<th>Total measurable pore vol. (mm³/g)</th>
<th>Pore vol. with different diameter (mm³/g)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;10µm</td>
<td>10-5µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-00</td>
<td>381.49</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>64.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-01</td>
<td>320.62</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>51.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-02</td>
<td>279.61</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>42.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-04</td>
<td>253.17</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>36.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-08</td>
<td>251.42</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>35.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. POSD fitting parameters of drying-wetting cycle samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet-dry Cycle no.</th>
<th>POSD fitting parameters</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V_s</td>
<td>V_r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>380.93</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>320.62</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>279.42</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>253.09</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>252.16</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. POSD fitting parameters of drying-wetting cycle samples considering $V_r = 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet-dry Cycle no.</th>
<th>$V_s$</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>380.93</td>
<td>6.7384</td>
<td>2.3201</td>
<td>0.2657</td>
<td>0.9852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>320.62</td>
<td>6.9010</td>
<td>2.3277</td>
<td>0.2697</td>
<td>0.9838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>279.42</td>
<td>6.9537</td>
<td>2.3322</td>
<td>0.2715</td>
<td>0.9815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>253.09</td>
<td>7.0293</td>
<td>2.3506</td>
<td>0.2723</td>
<td>0.9728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>252.16</td>
<td>7.0328</td>
<td>2.3520</td>
<td>0.2725</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>