Canadian Journal of Forest Research
Revue canadienne de recherche forestière

Long-term pine regeneration, shrub layer dynamics and understory community composition responses to repeated prescribed fire in *Pinus resinosa* forests.

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Forest Research</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfr-2017-0345.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Scherer, Sawyer; University of Minnesota Department of Forest Resources Kern, Christel; U.S. Forest Service, Northern Research Station DAmato, Anthony; University of Vermont College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Palik, Brian; USDA Forest Service Russell, Matthew; University of Minnesota Department of Forest Resources
Keyword:	Diversity, Long-term study, controlled burn, vegetation control, <i>Corylus</i> spp.
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	N/A

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Long-term pine regeneration, shrub layer dynamics and understory community composition responses to repeated prescribed fire in *Pinus resinosa* forests.

Sawyer S. Scherer^{1*}, Christel C. Kern², Anthony W. D’Amato³, Brian J. Palik⁴, Matthew R. Russell¹

¹*Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, 55108, USA*

²*USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Rhinelander, WI, 54501*

³*Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, 05405*

⁴*USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Grand Rapids, MN, 55744*

*Corresponding Author Current Address and Affiliation:
Sawyer Scherer
Forest Ecologist
UPM Blandin Paper Company
(218) 327-6602
(sawyer.scherer@upm.com)
115 SW First Street
Grand Rapids, MN 55744

Abstract

Prescribed fire is increasingly viewed as a valuable tool for reversing ecological consequences of fire suppression within fire-adapted forests. While the use of burning treatments in northern temperate conifer forests has received considerable attention, the long-term (>10 year) effects on understory composition and dynamics have not been quantified. We describe the persistence of prescribed fire effects on the woody and herbaceous understory in a mature red pine (*Pinus resinosa* Ait.) forest in northern Minnesota, USA over a ~50 year period, as well as the relative roles of fire season and frequency in affecting vegetation responses. Burning treatments were applied from 1960 to 1970 on 0.4 ha experimental units and crossed fire season and frequency in a randomized block design. Burning altered shrub layer dynamics and composition both in the short- and long-term and was influenced by both fire season and frequency, with frequent summer season burns having the largest impact, including greatest control of hazel (*Corylus* spp.). The application of fire facilitated regeneration of pine; however, recruitment into the overstory was limited.. Additionally community composition of the herbaceous understory diverged 40+ years following burning. This study highlights the importance of continued burning in affecting vegetation responses and potential of fire as a long-lasting vegetation management tool in these forests.

Keywords: Diversity; Long-term study; controlled burn; vegetation control; *Corylus* spp.

Introduction

Fire has been a dominant force shaping the historical development and dynamics of many forested ecosystems across North America and around the globe (Pyne 1982). Low- and mixed-severity fire regimes maintained a variety of pyrophytic forests across North America, most notably in forest types currently or historically dominated by pine and oak species (Nowacki and Abrams 2008, Frelich 2002, Waldrop and Goodrick 2012). Red pine- (*Pinus resinosa* Ait.) dominated forests across the upper Great Lakes region are a classic example of these fire-adapted ecosystems with fire affecting patterns of structural dynamics, species composition, and regeneration (Heinselman 1973, Drobyshev et al. 2008, Fraver and Palik 2012). Prior to European settlement, these ecosystems were characterized by a mixed-severity fire regime with low- to moderate-intensity surface fires occurring at intervals ranging from 5 to 50 years (Heinselman 1973). These repeated surface fires have been credited with reducing the abundance of woody shrubs and mesophytic tree species in the understory, facilitating pine regeneration through the creation of exposed mineral soil seedbed conditions and more open growing space, and maintaining composition and diversity of herbaceous ground-layer communities (Ahlgren 1976, Nyamai et al. 2014, Van Wagner 1970, Roberts 2004).

Wildfire suppression efforts have greatly limited the impact of fire within fire-dependent ecosystems across North America since the 1920s (Frissel 1973, Heinselman 1973, Waldrop et al. 1992). The extended absence of fire has greatly altered the composition and structure of pyrogenic forest ecosystems, allowing a shift from open to closed canopy conditions, development of dense understories of woody shrubs and trees that outcompete shade-intolerant, fire-adapted tree species and affect the composition of the herbaceous ground layer (Royo and Carson 2006, Waldrop et al. 1992). In red pine-dominated ecosystems, an important and

expansive forest type across the eastern United States and adjacent Canada, the extended absence of surface fire is associated with dense understories of hazel (*Corylus cornuta* Marsh., *Corylus americana* Walt.) (Tappeiner 1971, Buckman 1964). Hazel species are highly competitive under these altered disturbance regimes due to their extended longevity, rhizomatous rooting habits, and ability to aggressively resprout following aboveground stem mortality (Tappeiner 1971, Young and Peffer 2010). As such, the ubiquitous formation of dense shrub layers composed of hazel and other similar shrub species are associated with poor pine regeneration across much of the Great Lakes region (Tappeiner 1971, Dovčiak et al. 2003, Montgomery et al. 2010).

Prescribed fire has been proposed as a management tool for reducing woody stem densities in the understory and restoring vegetative communities in many fire-dependent ecosystems across North America, including ecosystems dominated by red pine (Dickmann 1993, McRae 1994, Waldrop and Goodrick 2012). Earlier research has shown that fire affects the abundance, structure, and composition of red pine understories in the short term, often resulting in increased cover and richness of understory species (Cook et al. 2008, D'Amato et al. 2012). This response is dependent on the season and frequency of the fire and on the life history traits of the species present (Miller 2000, Roberts 2004, Weyenberg and Pavlovic 2014).

Although short-term effects of a limited set of fire prescriptions on understory vegetation have been well documented for red pine (e.g. Van Wagner 1963, Buckman 1964, Henning and Dickmann 1996), few studies have examined vegetation responses beyond 10 years and fail to assess the legacy of prescribed fire effects in the long-term (Henning and Dickmann 1996, Weyenberg and Pavlovic 2014). As a result, key knowledge gaps exist regarding prescribed fire and the long-term (i.e., > 10 years) vegetative outcomes within these ecosystems. In particular,

information is lacking on the persistence of prescribed fire effects on vegetation after application of treatments has ceased.

This study takes advantage of an existing long-term silvicultural experiment located within a red pine forest in north-central Minnesota, USA. The Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment was designed to examine the effectiveness of underburning mature red pine forests to reduce shrub (particularly hazel) abundance given the purported role of shrub competition in limiting natural regeneration of red pine in the region. Established in 1959, the study treatments were implemented between 1960 and 1970. Plot measurements were conducted during the period of active treatment and were continued after cessation of the fires, creating a 50+ year data record. Consequently, this study provides an unprecedented short-term record of an initial 10-year burn period and a subsequent long-term record of fire-free vegetation development following various prescribed fire treatments. The fire treatments included combinations of fire frequency (annual, biennial, periodic) and fire season (dormant, summer) in a replicated design and include an unburned control for comparison.

The objectives of our study were to evaluate various prescribed fire season and frequency treatments and associated impacts on 1) abundance (density and biomass) of woody understory plants including pine regeneration in the short- and long-term and 2) composition and diversity of the woody and herbaceous understories in the long-term. We hypothesized (1a) that prescribed fire would alter the abundance of woody shrubs in the short- and long-term, and specifically that summer season fires and higher frequency fires would result in reduced woody stem density and biomass at those time scales. We also hypothesized (1b) that pine regeneration would be enhanced by prescribed fire treatments that effectively reduced shrub abundance in the long-term. Lastly, we hypothesized (2) that composition and diversity of the woody and herbaceous

understories would be different in the long-term between plots treated with prescribed fire vs. unburned controls.

Methods

Site and Experimental Design

This study is located within the Cutfoot Experimental Forest (CEF) on the Chippewa National Forest, in north-central Minnesota, USA (latitude 47°40' N, longitude 94°5' W). Climate at the CEF is cool continental with warm, humid summers often exceeding maximum temperatures of 32°C, and cold winters with minimum temperatures falling below -35°C. Growing season length ranges from 100 to 120 days and annual precipitation ranges from 500 – 640 mm with the majority falling as rain. Prolonged summer droughts are common. Soils are derived from glacial sandy outwash, are weakly developed and very well drained, and classified as the Cutfoot series (Alban 1977).

The study was established within a large complex of red pine-dominated stands on the CEF that naturally regenerated after a stand replacing fire occurring in the late 1860s. The area has been classified as the Northern Dry-Mesic Mixed Woodland (FDn33) type using the local habitat type classification system (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources 2003). This and other similar communities are common across the western Great Lakes, with overstories dominated by mature red pine, with components of white pine (*Pinus strobus* L.), paper birch (*Betula papyrifera* Marsh), jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.). Understories were historically sparse to patchy shrub layers consisting of junberries (*Amelanchier* spp.), bush honeysuckle (*Diervilla lonicera* P. Mill.), and hazel (*Corylus* spp.).

Fire history reconstruction for the CEF indicate that surface fires were once common in these ecosystems with surface fire return intervals ranging from 3-19 years (Guyette et al. 2015).

Four replicate blocks were established in the study area in 1959 and thinned to a standard residual overstory basal area of 27-29 m² ha⁻¹ to homogenize overstory conditions. Standing dead trees, tree tops, and logging slash were also removed following thinning in an effort to homogenize fuels across experimental units. No additional overstory manipulations have occurred since the initial thinning. Beginning in 1960, burning treatments were established and implemented within 0.4 ha experimental units assigned using a randomized block design to test the impacts of both season and frequency of fire applications. The seven treatments applied were as follows: summer annual (SA), summer biennial (SB), and summer periodic (SP), dormant annual (DA), dormant biennial (DB), and dormant periodic (DP), as well as an unburned control (CTRL). Summer season burning treatments were applied from late June through mid-August, while dormant season burns were applied in the spring (April and May), or when weather precluded spring burning (1965), late fall (October). Annual frequencies correspond to burning every year, biennial frequencies every other year, and periodic frequencies every six to nine years.

Burning

Burns were implemented 5-15 days following significant rain events and resulted in forest floor fuel moisture that averaged roughly 100% of dry weight for dormant season burns and 40% for summer season burns (Buckman 1964, Alban 1977). Burns were applied using a combination of backing fires and headfires (Alban 1977). Fuels were primarily pine litter and resulted in low to moderate fire intensity with flame heights generally less than 1 m. Fires penetrated portions of

the organic horizon with the entire fibric layer consumed in all burned units, and further consumed the hemic layer in annually and biennially burned treatments, resulting in approximately 5% of the area with exposed mineral soil (Alban 1977). Burning treatments were applied from 1960 – 1970, after this time burning was halted resulting in 10-11 burns in annual treatments (1968 burn missed in dormant units due to lack of suitable burning conditions), 5 burns in biennial treatments, and 2 burns in periodic units. No further management activities have been conducted within the experimental blocks since 1970.

Field Sampling

Within each experimental unit, a single 0.08 ha circular plot was established to monitor overstory conditions (see Scherer et al. 2016 for details). Nested within this overstory plot were located eight smaller 4 m² circular sub-plots used to track and monitor the response of understory woody species. The locations of these sub-plots were standardized and permanently monumented at 4.6 m and 10.7 m from the plot center in each of the four cardinal directions. In the years 1959, 1964, and 1969, all live woody stems < 2.54 cm (1 inch) diameter at breast height (dbh) were tallied by species in 2.54 mm (0.1 inch) diameter (D12) classes, where D12 is the diameter ~30 cm (12 inches) above the ground. Beginning in 1997, sampling for stems < 2.54 cm dbh was updated to use metric diameter classes and measurements. From this point onward, all live woody stems > 15 cm in height were tallied in 2 mm diameter (D15) classes, where D15 is the diameter 15 cm above groundline. All live woody saplings (2.54 cm - 9.1 cm dbh) were measured at breast height to the nearest 0.1 cm in each measurement year.

An additional survey was conducted in 2014 to quantify long-term effects on the diversity and composition of the herbaceous ground layer. One meter square quadrats were established at

each of the eight sub-plots mentioned above. In each quadrat, we assigned one of six foliage cover classes (<1%, 1-5%, 6-15%, 16-30%, 31-60%, 61-100%) for each herbaceous plant species rooted on the plot.

Statistical Analysis

First, abundance of woody species was evaluated as density and biomass. Density was calculated for each sub-plot and expressed as the number of woody stems ha⁻¹ for each measurement year. Aboveground woody biomass of each living stem was calculated using published allometric equations developed from sites in close proximity to our study area (Perala and Alban 1993). For stems < 2.54 cm DBH, diameter class midpoints for D12 and D15 were used when calculating biomass values. Stems within a sub-plot were summed to estimate biomass and expressed as Mg ha⁻¹ for each sub-plot. Sub-plot data were averaged and summarized at the plot level in order to develop better average stand level characteristics before further analysis. Tree species capable of canopy status were categorized by their sensitivity of fire at maturity (Appendix Table A1) to investigate changes in the relative proportions of relevant functional groups over time using ratings from the Fire Effects Information System (Fischer et al. 1996).

To assess the short- and long-term effect of prescribed fire on woody species abundance, as well as the relative roles of fire season and frequency, we employed repeated measures mixed model analysis of variance. Separate models were developed for both stem density and aboveground stem biomass by species groups as responses and fire season, fire frequency, year, and all two- and three-way interactions as fixed effects. The unburned control was included as a level of season in all analyses. A random intercept for each experimental unit was included.

Four focal species groups were chosen to analyze the response of stem density and stem biomass: hazel (dominant understory shrub), red pine (overstory dominant), white pine (sapling dominant), and all woody shrub and tree species (total understory). Basal area of overstory trees at the end of each sampling period was also included as a covariate in each model to control for the effect of overstory trees on resource availability. Given that exploratory analysis revealed no significant differences in the pre-treatment characteristics of response variable presented in this study (results not shown), and our primary interest was to detect differences among treatments, pre-treatment data was excluded from these analyses. Models were fit using the “lme4” package in the R environment (Bates et al. 2013).

Planned mean contrasts for density and biomass were carried out to compare differences between season of burning (dormant, summer, control) within a given burning frequency (annual, biennial, periodic) for each sampling year using the package “lsmeans” (Lenth 2013). Associated *p*-values were adjusted using the false discovery rate (FDR) method for a family of three tests and significance is reported at $\alpha = 0.05$. Residual plots were used to visually assess homoscedasticity of variance. Natural logarithm or square root transformations were applied when necessary to normalize the distribution of residuals (Weisberg 2014).

Next, community composition patterns of understory plants among treatments over time were examined using nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) for two datasets, one based on the abundance of woody species (biomass) and one based on herbaceous species abundance (% cover). The woody dataset included the years 1959, 1969, and 2014 representing “before burning”, “end of burning”, and “long-after burning”. The herbaceous layer dataset included only year 2014, providing a snapshot of the “long-after burning” effects. Both datasets were handled similarly. Only species which occurred on at least 5% of plots were included resulting in

a total of 42 species in the herbaceous dataset, and 19 species in the woody dataset. In total 28 experimental units (plots) were included in each analysis. NMS ordination was conducted using PC-ORD 6.0 (McCune and Medford 2010) with 250 runs for both real and randomized data, and a maximum of 500 iterations per run. The response matrix consisted of species (columns) and plots (rows), and was analyzed using the Sørensen's distance measure for above ground biomass. Upon completion of the NMS ordination, Kendall rank correlation coefficients (τ) were calculated between species abundance and associated NMS axis scores with significance reported at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an FDR adjustment for multiple tests. The compositional differences identified through NMS among treatments were verified using repeated measures distance-based multivariate analysis of variance (perMANOVA). Burning treatment (season/frequency combination), year, and their interaction were used as fixed effects, and a random intercept was included for each experimental unit. In cases with a significant interaction, separate models were run for each fixed effect with the other held constant to facilitate pairwise comparisons of treatments. Significant differences among treatments were reported at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an FDR adjustment.

Finally, the effects of prescribed fire treatments on species diversity of the understory plants were evaluated using repeated measures mixed model analysis of variance on two datasets: one based on woody species biomass and one based on herbaceous species cover. The woody dataset included the years 1959, 1969, and 2014; the herbaceous dataset included only data from 2014 as it was the only year in which measurements were taken. Both datasets were handled similarly. Diversity indices (Shannon's entropy, evenness, species richness) were calculated per square meter in PC-ORD (McCune & Medford 1999). Shannon's entropy was transformed to Shannon's diversity using an exponential function as suggested by Jost (2006) so that diversity is

expressed as the effective number of commonly-dominant species. Given the presence of significant year by treatment interactions, pairwise comparisons of treatments were conducted for each year to assess treatment differences and report significance at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an FDR adjustment.

Results

Woody understory density and abundance

The prescribed burning treatments implemented in the study affected the density and biomass of all species groups: hazel, white pine, red pine, and total woody understory. For hazel, the three-way interaction of season, frequency, and year produced dynamic patterns in both the density and biomass over the duration of the study (Table 1, Figure 1a, Figure 2a). Summer season burning decreased and dormant season burning increased hazel densities from the control particularly in the short term (i.e. 1964-1969, Figure 1a). Fire frequency had contrasting effects on hazel density as well. At the end of the treatment period (1969), periodic burning, irrespective of season, resulted in hazel densities higher than that of the control (Figure 1a). In contrast, summer-annual burning resulted in significantly lower hazel densities in the short- and long-term (Figure 1a).

Hazel biomass revealed unique trends relative to those for stem density. All burning treatments displayed dramatic reductions in hazel biomass when compared to the unburned control near the end of the active burning period (i.e., 1969, Figure 2a). Following the cessation of fire in 1970, biomass of hazel increased within all burning treatments until the mid-2000s; however, the rate of increase was not consistent across treatments (Figure 2a). Generally, dormant season burning resulted in much more rapid increases in hazel biomass following the

cessation to fire, whereas summer burning resulted in a slower rate of increase (Figure 2a). As a result, hazel biomass in summer burning treatments was significantly lower than dormant season burning well into the 2000s for annual and biennially burned treatments, however periodic treatments did not differ from the control beyond 1969 (Figure 2a). Summer-annual burning displayed hazel biomass below that of the control through 1997, indicating a potential for a nearly three-decade delay of recolonization by woody shrubs (Figure 2a).

For white pine, the three-way interaction of season, frequency, and year resulted in variable regeneration over the study (Table 1, Figure 1b, Figure 2b). Trends in seedling density and biomass revealed that only summer-annual and summer-biennial burning resulted in appreciable regeneration of white pine at any point throughout the study (Figure 1b, Figure 2b). White pine regeneration density and biomass (in the sampled size classes) peaked in the 1997 measurement period (Figure 1b) and has generally decreased since. White pine regeneration in years since 1997 was significantly higher than the control in terms of density in the summer-annual treatment and for biomass in summer-annual and summer-biennial treatments (Figure 1b).

Patterns of red pine regeneration were similar to that of white pine, but occurred at a lower absolute level. Density and biomass of red pine regeneration were affected by the interaction of season and year of burning (Table 1). Only the summer-annual treatment produced any measurable gains in red pine regeneration, with density and biomass of the species peaking in the 1997 measurement year (Figure 1c, Figure 2c). Red pine regeneration has since dissipated in the understory, with little or no regeneration present in later measurement periods (Figure 1c, Figure 2c).

Total density and biomass of the woody understory (i.e., all species) were affected by the three-way interaction of season, frequency, and year (Table 1). Results and trends for stem

density generally reflect that of hazel density (Figure 1d), the dominant species and lifeform in the understory (Figure 3). In contrast, results and trends for woody biomass were similar to hazel biomass in early measurement periods and influenced by pine biomass in later years (Figure 2d, Figure 3), because shrubs and fire resistant conifers (i.e. pine) dominated understory woody biomass during these time periods, respectively (Figure 3).

Woody understory community composition and diversity

NMS ordination of woody understory composition resulted in a two dimensional solution. The first axis explained 60.1% of the variation in composition for the years 1959, 1969, and 2014. A second axis explained an additional 8.6% of variation in those years (Figure 4). Prior to treatment in 1959, all treatments occupied a similar portion of ordination space, including the unburned control. In 1969 following nine years of active burning, all burned treatments shifted positively along axis 1 away from the control and pre-treatment conditions (Figure 4). Following a 44-year fire-free period, burned treatments shifted back toward pre-treatment conditions in the negative portion of axis 1 with little separation from the control in 2014 (Figure 4). Species correlation's with NMS axis scores indicate that positive movement along axis 1 was associated with a decline in the abundance of several common woody shrub and tree species, particularly beaked hazel (Table 2).

A significant interaction between burning treatment and time, identified through perMANOVA, resulted in dynamic compositional patterns in the woody understory throughout the study ($F = 4.38, p = 0.006$). No significant differences among treatments were observed prior to treatment in 1959 (Figure 4). In 1969, woody compositions were significantly different

between burned treatments and the control as well as among several burned treatments (Figure 4). No significant differences among treatments were observed in 2014.

Shannon's diversity and evenness were effected by a significant interaction of treatment and time ($F = 2.04, p = 0.044$ and $F = 4.03, p < 0.001$, respectively). Shannon's diversity and evenness of woody species did not differ among treatments prior to burning in 1959 or decades after burning in 2014, but was significantly lower in 1969 in the summer-annual burning treatment for Shannon's diversity and in all summer burned treatments for evenness (Table 3). Species richness of the woody understory showed no significant differences among treatments throughout the duration of the study (Table 3).

Herbaceous plant community composition and diversity

NMS ordination converged on a three dimensional solution for herbaceous community composition in 2014. The first axis explained 53.9% of the variability, with axis 2 and axis 3 explaining 19.4% and 8.4% of the variability in composition, respectively (Figure 5). Results of perMANOVA indicate that burning treatment significantly affected ($F = 1.29, p = 0.02$) ground-layer composition in 2014. After adjusting for multiple tests, no significant differences among individual treatments were identified; however, arrangement of treatments in ordination space suggest that the composition of the summer-annual treatment is likely a driver of the significant burning treatment effect in the perMANOVA. The summer-annual treatment displayed more positive scores on both axes than the other treatments (Figure 5). More positive scores along axis 2 are generally associated with treatments that with higher intensity treatments, and resultant control of hazel as outlined in previous sections. Species correlations with NMS axis scores indicate that positive axis 1 scores were associated with *Anenome quinquefolia* L., *Eurybia*

macrophylla L., and *Pteridium aquilinum* L. while axis 2 scores were negatively associated with *Carex pennsylvanica* Lam. and positively associated with moss species (Table 4).

Burning treatment (season x frequency combination) was not significant in explaining Shannon's diversity and evenness of the herbaceous layer in 2014 ($F = 2.39$, $p = 0.07$ and $F = 2.65$, $p = 0.051$, respectively) (Table 5). Further, after adjusting for multiple tests, no significant differences among treatments were found for Shannon's diversity, evenness, or species richness (Table 5). A complete list of the species identified in the herbaceous layer can be found in Appendix Table A2.

Discussion

Woody understory density and abundance

Prescribed fire resulted in dramatic alterations to both short- and long-term dynamics of woody shrub abundance. In the short-term during active burning (i.e., 1964-1969), prescribed fire, irrespective of fire season, resulted in dramatic reduction or near elimination of woody biomass in the understory, a result consistent with early results from this experiment (Buckman 1964) and other studies in the region (Henning and Dickmann 1996, Neumann and Dickmann 2001, Van Wagner 1963). However, after long-term fire removal, the interaction of season and frequency of burning emerged and resulted in long-lasting changes to woody shrub density and biomass.

Season of burn affected long-term woody vegetation density and biomass, even decades after burning ceased. For instance, dormant season burning led to large increases in hazel biomass over the entirety of the 50+ year study. The wide range of observed hazel biomass is likely attributed to the prolific sprouting of hazel initiated by the dormant season burning treatment that

top-killed aerial stems, but not belowground rhizomes, as documented by other studies in the region (Buckman 1964, Tappeiner 1979, Van Wagner 1963).

Summer season burning, particularly at high frequency, was associated with low hazel biomass, even 40+ years after the last burning treatment. This finding supports early results from this study reported by Buckman (1964) who predicted that multiple summer burns would be needed to reduce hazel abundance in the long-term. Summer season burns generally burn hotter, penetrate deeper into organic soil layers (Alban 1977) and may cause more damage to the extensive rhizomatous root system of hazel, which is generally limited to the organic layer (Tappeiner 1971). Further, summer burns affect the stems of plants at a time when deciduous shrubs are particularly vulnerable. Following leaf out these species have reduced belowground carbohydrate stores, lowering their re-sprouting capability following top-kill (Miller 2000, Pelc et al. 2010). The responses observed in our study are similar to studies in pine forests of the southeastern US where annual summer burning lead to a near-complete elimination of woody stems in the understory of a loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) stand after 40 years of continuous burning (White et al. 1990).

Although several studies have shown that prescribed fire may result in regeneration of both red and white pine (Van Wagner 1963, D'Amato et al. 2012, Methven and Murray 1974), the effects of the prescribed fire treatments we examined on red and white pine regeneration were not as clear as the effects on hazel. In general, pine regeneration was low throughout the study and among all treatments suggesting that prescribed fire, as implemented here, cannot establish a new cohort for either species without additional silvicultural treatments to assist recruitment of this species into the canopy (e.g., overstory density reduction). A modest pulse of pine regeneration was detected in 1997 in the units that were treated with summer-annual and

summer-biennial burning. We speculate that this post-burning cohort established under suitable seedbed conditions (i.e. exposed mineral soil) and that reduced abundance of shrubs in those treatments facilitated this response.

While a cohort of pine regeneration was detected in 1997 the density has since declined as a result of several possible reasons. First, the pine may have outgrown this size class (>15 cm tall to < 9.1 cm DBH) and recruited into the overstory size class although there is limited evidence that large amounts of pine regeneration were recruited into upper strata or lost to stem exclusion processes (see Scherer et al. 2016; their Figure 1&2). Second, the abundance of hazel and overstory trees (see Scherer et al. 2016; their Figure 1) increased, potentially creating unsuitable conditions for pine seedling survival through increased competition and shading. A nearby study found that hazel severely reduced the survivorship of planted pine seedlings, especially for red pine (Montgomery et al. 2013). Further, in a similar investigation, Methven (1973) concluded that, without subsequent alteration of the overstory through partial cutting, understories in stands treated with prescribed fire would revert to pre-fire conditions with little or no pine regeneration. Red pine, much like long-leaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) can persist in low to moderate light environments, however, requires small to large-scale release from overhead competitors to recruit into overstory positions (Fraver and Palik 2012, Gilmore and Palik 2006, Pederson et al. 2008). Lastly, given the presence of an intact red pine overstory, red pine seedlings were likely infected by shoot blight (personal observation) caused by the fungal pathogens *Diplodia pinea* Desm. and *Sirococcus conigenus* Dc.. Although these two pathogens can persist without major consequences in mature stands, infection of red pine seedlings is lethal and poses a substantial barrier to natural regeneration of red pine across the region (Ostry et al. 2012).

Woody understory community composition and diversity

Prescribed fire led to divergence of community assemblages of the woody understory in the short-term. Near the end of burning treatments in 1969, near elimination of hazel and other shrub biomass in the understory led to a large change from pre-treatment and control conditions in all burning treatments and is similar to the results in other studies (e.g., Henning and Dickmann 1993, Neumann and Dickmann 2001). Reduction of abundant species such as hazel following fire was a main driver in the divergence of the woody shrub community composition. However, several decades after burning has ceased, community composition of burned treatments promptly returned toward their pre-treatment conditions, demonstrating the resilience of those species present. While our study showed no persistent changes, similar studies have shown that burning can have long-term impacts on understory vegetation in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) and mixed oak ecosystems (Bowles et al. 2007, White et al. 1990).. The ecosystem studied by White et al. (1990) differs from ours, however, with recolonization of the woody understory dominated by basal sprouting species rather than rhizomatous shrubs. Further burning was applied continuously in both of these studies with no fire-free period (White et al. 1990, Bowles et al. 2007). In a mixed oak-pine ecosystem, Dumas et al. (2007) showed that fire can generate persistent changes in the understory community, with a reduction in the dominant shrub species by repeated burning as one of the primary factors driving these changes.

The effect of the prescribed fire treatments on woody species diversity appears minimal, particularly after the long-term cessation of fire.. Short-term differences in Shannon's diversity and evenness that emerged in 1969 can be attributed to the near elimination of woody biomass following nine years of active burning. This finding is consistent with Henning and Dickmann (1993) who found that species richness of the woody understory declined with fire frequency in

the short-term. Peterson and Reich (2008) demonstrate that disturbance alters diversity by changing the abundance of dominant understory species. As hazel regained dominance following burning in our treatments, it is likely that the understory reverted back to pre-treatment conditions due to competitive exclusion of other species. Further, burning, particularly at annual and biennial intervals, led to a decrease in richness and diversity of the woody understory in a South Carolina loblolly pine forest following 40 years of continuous burning (White et al. 1990) suggesting that high frequency disturbance may eliminate some species that contribute to overall diversity. Overall, the diversity changes are attributed to alterations in relative abundance of species present on site rather than any losses or gains of species due to treatment. In the long-term after an extended period without fire, species had sufficient time to recover biomass such that species diversity differences were no longer detectable among the treatments.

Herbaceous plant community composition and diversity

Investigation of the herbaceous plant community provides evidence that prescribed fire can have lasting effects on community composition. While our results are limited to only the recent measurement (2014), they provide evidence for distinct community assemblages in the long-term even during a prolonged period without fire. Higher cover of moss *spp.* and reduced cover of *Carex pennsylvanica* was observed for summer-annual burning which occurred more than four decades prior. While mosses and other bryophytes are often killed initially by fire, they are important post-fire colonizers and can provide suitable seedbed conditions for many conifers (Ahlgren and Ahlgren 1960, Ryömä and Laaka-Linberg 2007). Several species of bryophytes have been found to colonize post-fire ash effectively and in some cases are associated with areas where humus has been consumed (Ryömä and Laaka-Linberg 2007). Post-fire investigation of

soil properties in our experimental units indicate that summer-annual burning resulted in a 47% reduction of humus and may have created conditions suitable for post-fire bryophyte colonization (Alban 1977). Further, the summer-annual treatment was most effective in reducing the abundance of woody understory species, which may have created increased light levels and better microsite conditions for the persistence of moss species and other post fire colonizers including pine species. The increased cover of moss in the summer-annual treatment may have facilitated the regeneration of both red and white pine in that treatment through the creation of a suitable organic seedbed (Ahlgren 1976). Our results add to a body of literature documenting compositional shifts following the use of prescribed burning in Great Lakes region pine forests (e.g. Cook et al. 2008, D'Amato et al. 2012, Neumann and Dickmann 2001, Methven 1973) and provide evidence for significant alteration to vegetative communities through the use of continual use of prescribed fire. However, with a prolonged absence of fire, many community components revert to pre-fire conditions relatively quickly.

In terms of diversity, the ground layer showed minimal long-term impact from the burning treatments. Because of the limited temporal scale of our herbaceous layer data, we cannot speculate to any short-term responses, however previous studies have shown that prescribed fire can have short term impacts on diversity measures within red pine forests. For example short-term (< 5 year) studies show a ~25% increase in the species richness (Neumann and Dickmann 2001), and a decrease in evenness of the ground layer (Cook et al. 2008). Additionally, Weyenberg and Pavlovic (2014) showed that post-fire community assemblages in a similar ecosystem can diverge substantially in the short-term but return to pre-fire conditions relatively quickly. Long-term evaluations of the herbaceous response to prescribed fire are largely limited to pine ecosystems of the southeastern USA and in studies using continuous fire treatments

(without extended fire-free periods). Species richness was positively associated with the frequency of fires in a Florida longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) forest after nearly 50 years of continued fire treatments (Glitzenstein et al. 2012). Further, Brockway and Lewis (1997) demonstrated that periodic growing-season burning led to increases in diversity and richness of the herbaceous layer following 39 years of repeated burning.

Conclusions and Management Implications

While the short-term efficacy of prescribed fire as a method for restoring understory conditions has been well investigated, this study presents the only long-term post-fire evaluation for the red pine forests and woodlands that once dominated much of the Great Lakes region in both the United States and Canada. Results from this study suggest that prescribed fire can be used to reduce the abundance of woody shrubs in the understory, initiate pine regeneration, and may result in unique understory plant community assemblages. Our findings provide evidence that these alterations are sustained for several decades following the cessation of prescribed fire treatments indicating long-term legacies of prescribed fire management that are dependent on the season and frequency of burning. Further this work demonstrates that without proper season and frequency of fire application, vegetation can quickly revert back to pretreatment conditions.

As has been shown in earlier results from this study (Buckman 1964), season of burning appears to be the driving factor in affecting the response of the understory, and further that multiple burns will be needed to achieve satisfactory reductions in woody shrub abundance. Although the frequency of burning treatments (particularly annual burning) examined in this study are likely not practicable, it is clear that multiple growing-season burns will be needed in most stands to reduce the shrub layer. Our findings suggest that to maintain the open understories

and community assemblages created by fire, continual use of prescribed burning throughout the life of the stand will be needed, however specific burning intervals should be established through further investigation.

In areas where unsuitable weather and or policies limit the use of burning (e.g. Melvin 2015), other management interventions may be useful surrogates to fire in the short- or long-term. Both mechanical and chemical methods have proven successful in achieving similar results, particularly related to the reduction of hazel density (Pelc et al. 2010, Tappeiner 1979). Season of mechanical removal (“brushing”) of hazel results in similar relations to season and frequency of treatments reported here for prescribed burning, but will likely require repeated treatments to maintain low hazel densities (Montgomery et al. 2013, Pelc et al. 2010).

Although periodic fires historically maintained red pine forests, prescribed fire alone appears unsuccessful in successfully establishing or recruiting a new cohort of red pine. Prescribed fire, as applied here, did not effectively reduce overstory stocking (Scherer et al. 2016) resulting in stocking levels consistently at or above recommended stocking levels for red pine in the region (i.e. maximum stocking or “A-line” from stocking chart for red pine [Benzie, 1977]). Red pine is a relatively shade intolerant species, requiring significant exposure to light for regeneration (Gilmore & Palik 2006). If repeated summer burning or mechanical shrub cutting were subsequently followed by regeneration harvests, such as variants of the shelterwood method, we expect that regeneration of both red and white pine would improve markedly (D’Amato et al. 2012), although shoot blight infection may limit successful recruitment of established red pine seedlings (Ostry et al. 2012). White pine is not affected by these fungal pathogens, suggesting that natural regeneration of white pine may be a more attainable goal in these systems as long as that species is represented in the canopy as a seed source.

523

524

Draft

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Robert Buckman for envisioning and establishing this study in 1959 as well as Doug Kastendick and many other scientists and technicians at the US Forest Service-Northern Research Station for collecting and maintaining inventory records throughout the duration of the study. Valuable field assistance in 2014 was provided by Bryten Felix. Discussion and comments from members of the University of Minnesota Silviculture and Applied Forest Ecology Lab have greatly improved the scope and direction of this work. Scott Weyenberg, Jessica Miesel, and Laura Kenefic provided comments on an earlier draft of this article that greatly clarified and strengthened this work. Funding which made this work possible was provided by the USFS Northern Research Station and USFS State and Private Forestry Evaluation Monitoring Program. Additional financial support was provided by the University of Minnesota Department of Forest Resources through the Henry Hansen Forest Ecology Fellowship and Catherine Hill Fellowship in Forest Resources and the Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station.

Literature Cited

- Ahlgren, I. F., & Ahlgren, C. E. (1960). Ecological effects of forest fires. *The Botanical Review*, 26(4), 483-533.
- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 19(6), 716-723.
- Alban, D. H. (1977). Influence on soil properties of prescribed burning under mature red pine. *USDA Forest Service Research Paper No. NC-139*
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2013). lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. R package version, 1(4)
- Benzie, J. W. (1977). Red pine in the north central states (Vol. 33). Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station.
- Bowles, M.L., Jacobs, K.A. and Mengler, J.L. (2007). Long-term changes in an oak forest's woody understory and herb layer with repeated burning¹. *The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*, 134(2), pp.223-237.
- Bradford, J. B., & Palik, B. J. (2009). A comparison of thinning methods in red pine: consequences for stand-level growth and tree diameter. *Canadian journal of forest research*, 39(3), 489-496.
- Brockway, D. G., & Lewis, C. E. (1997). Long- term effects of dormant- season prescribed fire on plant community diversity, structure and productivity in a longleaf pine wiregrass ecosystem. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 96(1-2), 167-183. doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(96)03939-4
- Buckman, R. E. (1964). Effects of prescribed burning on hazel in Minnesota. *Ecology*, 45(3), 626-629.

- 565 Cook, J. E., Jensen, N., & Galbraith, B. (2008). Compositional, cover, and diversity changes
566 after prescribed fire in a mature eastern white pine forest. *Botany*, 86(12), 1427-1439.
- 567 Curtis, R. O., & Marshall, D. D. (2000). Technical note: Why quadratic mean diameter? *Western*
568 *Journal of Applied Forestry*, 15(3), 137-139.
- 569 D'Amato, A. W., Segari, J., & Gilmore, D. (2012). Influence of site preparation on natural
570 regeneration and understory plant communities within red pine shelterwood systems.
571 *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 29(2), 60-66.
- 572 Dickmann, D. I. (1993). Management of red pine for multiple benefits using prescribed fire.
573 *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 10(2), 53-62.
- 574 Dovčiak, M., Reich, P. B., & Frelich, L. E. (2003). Seed rain, safe sites, competing vegetation,
575 and soil resources spatially structure white pine regeneration and recruitment. *Canadian*
576 *Journal of Forest Research*, 33(10), 1892-1904.
- 577 Drobyshev, I., Goebel, P. C., Hix, D. M., Corace, R. G., & Semko-Duncan, M. E. (2008).
578 Interactions among forest composition, structure, fuel loadings and fire history: A case study
579 of red pine-dominated forests of Seney National Wildlife Refuge, upper Michigan. *Forest*
580 *Ecology and Management*, 256(10), 1723-1733.
- 581 Dumas, S., Neufeld, H.S. and Fisk, M.C. (2007). Fire in a thermic oak-pine forest in Linville
582 Gorge Wilderness Area, North Carolina: importance of the shrub layer to ecosystem
583 response. *Castanea*, 72(2), pp.92-104.
- 584 Fischer, W. C., Miller, M., Johnston, C. M., & Smith, J. K. (1996). Fire effects information
585 system: user's guide. DIANE Publishing.

- 586 Fraver, S. P. & Palik, B. J. (2012). Stand and cohort structures of old- growth *Pinus resinosa*-
587 dominated forests of northern Minnesota, USA. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 23(2), 249-
588 259. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01348.x
- 589 Frelich, L. E. (2002). In Cambridge University Press (Ed.), *Forest dynamics and disturbance*
590 *regimes : Studies from temperate evergreen-deciduous forests*. Cambridge University Press.
- 591 Frissell Jr, S. S. (1973). The importance of fire as a natural ecological factor in Itasca state park,
592 minnesota. *Quaternary Research*, 3(3), 397-407.
- 593 Gilmore, D. W., & Palik, B. J. (2006). A revised managers handbook for red pine in the North
594 Central Region.
- 595 Glitzenstein, J. S., Streng, D. R., Masters, R. E., Robertson, K. M., & Hermann, S. M. (2012).
596 Fire-frequency effects on vegetation in north Florida pinelands: another look at the long-
597 term Stoddard Fire Research Plots at Tall Timbers Research Station. *Forest Ecology and*
598 *Management*, 264, 197-209.
- 599 Guyette, R., Gallagher, T., Palik, B., Dey, D., Stambaugh, M. (2015) Early fire history at the
600 Cutfoot Experimental Forest near Grand Rapids, Minnesota. Preliminary report for USDA
601 Forest Service.
- 602 Heinselman, M. L. (1973). Fire in the virgin forests of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area,
603 Minnesota. *Quaternary Research*, 3(3), 329-382.
- 604 Henning, S. J., & Dickmann, D. I. (1996). Vegetative responses to prescribed burning in a
605 mature red pine stand. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 13(3), 140-146.
- 606 Lenth, R. V. (2013). *Lsmeans: Least-squares means*. R Package Version, 2.
- 607 McCune, B., & Medford, M. J. (1999). *PC-ORD v. 4.14. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological*
608 *Data*. MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, OR.

- 609 McRae, D. J., Lynham, T. J., & Frech, R. J. (1994). Understory prescribed burning in red pine
610 and white pine. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 70(4), 395-401.
- 611 Melvin, M. (2012). National prescribed fire use survey report. Coalition of Prescribed Fire
612 Councils Inc.
- 613 Methven, I. R. (1973). Fire, succession and community structure in a red and white pine stand.
614 Inf. Rep. PS-X-43. Chalk River, ON: Department of Environment, Canadian Forest Service.
- 615 Methven, I. R., & Murray, W. (1974). Using fire to eliminate understory balsam fir in pine
616 management. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 50(2), 77-79.
- 617 Miller, M. (2000). Fire autecology. *Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora*. General
618 Technical Report RMRS 42(2), 9-34.
- 619 Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (2003). Field guide to the native plant communities
620 of Minnesota: The Laurentian mixed forest province. Ecological Land Classification
621 Program, Minnesota County Biological Survey, and Natural Heritage and Nongame
622 Research Program. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- 623 Montgomery, R. A., Palik, B. J., Boyden, S. B., & Reich, P. B. (2013). New cohort growth and
624 survival in variable retention harvests of a pine ecosystem in Minnesota, USA. *Forest
625 Ecology and Management*, 310, 327-335.
- 626 Neumann, D. D., & Dickmann, D. I. (2001). Surface burning in a mature stand of *Pinus resinosa*
627 and *Pinus strobus* in Michigan: Effects on understory vegetation. *International Journal of
628 Wildland Fire*, 10(1), 91-101.
- 629 Nowacki, G. J., & Abrams, M. D. (2008). The demise of fire and “mesophication” of forests in
630 the eastern United States. *Bioscience*, 58(2), 123-138.

- 631 Nyamai, P. A., Goebel, P. C., Hix, D. M., Corace, R. G., & Drobyshev, I. (2014). Fire history,
632 fuels, and overstory effects on the regeneration-layer dynamics of mixed-pine forest
633 ecosystems of eastern Upper Michigan, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 322, 37-47.
- 634 Ostry, M. E., Moore, M. J., Kern, C. C., Venette, R. C., & Palik, B. J. (2012). Multiple diseases
635 impact survival of pine species planted in red pine stands harvested in spatially variable
636 retention patterns. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 286, 66-72.
- 637 Pederson, N., Varner, J. M., & Palik, B. J. (2008). Canopy disturbance and tree recruitment over
638 two centuries in a managed longleaf pine landscape. *Forest Ecology and*
639 *Management*, 254(1), 85-95.
- 640 Pelc, B. D., Montgomery, R. A., & Reich, P. B. (2011). Frequency and timing of stem removal
641 influence *Corylus americana* resprout vigor in oak savanna. *Forest ecology and*
642 *management*, 261(1), 136-142.
- 643 Perala, Donald A.; Alban, David 1993. Allometric biomass estimators for aspen-dominated
644 ecosystems in the upper Great Lakes. Research Paper NC-314. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Dept. of
645 Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station
- 646 Pyne, S. J. (1982). *Fire in America. A cultural history of wildland and rural fire*. Princeton
647 University Press.
- 648 Roberts, M. R. (2004). Response of the herbaceous layer to natural disturbance in North
649 American forests. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 82(9), 1273-1283.
- 650 Royo, A. A., & Carson, W. P. (2006). On the formation of dense understory layers in forests
651 worldwide: Consequences and implications for forest dynamics, biodiversity, and
652 succession. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 36(6), 1345-1362.

- 653 Ryömä, R., & Laaka-Lindberg, S. (2005). Bryophyte recolonization on burnt soil and logs.
654 Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 20(S6), 5-16.
- 655 Scherer, S. S., D'Amato, A. W., Kern, C. C., Palik, B. J., & Russell, M. B. (2016). Long-term
656 impacts of prescribed fire on stand structure, growth, mortality, and individual tree vigor in
657 Pinus resinosa forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 368, 7-16.
- 658 Tappeiner, J. C. (1971). Invasion and development of beaked hazel in red pine stands in northern
659 Minnesota. *Ecology*, 514-519.
- 660 Tappeiner, J. C. (1979). Effect of fire and 2, 4-D on the early stages of beaked hazel (*Corylus*
661 *cornuta*) understories. *Weed Science*, 162-166.
- 662 Van Wagner, C. (1963). Prescribed burning experiments: Red and white pine. In Canada
663 Department of Forestry Publication No. 1020. 27 p.
- 664 Van Wagner, C. (1970). Fire and red pine. Proceedings of the Tall Timbers Fire Ecology
665 Conference. pp. 211-219.
- 666 Waldrop, T. A., White, D. L., & Jones, S. M. (1992). Fire regimes for pine- grassland
667 communities in the southeastern United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 47(1-4),
668 195-210.
- 669 Waldrop, T. A., & Goodrick, S. L. (2012). Introduction to prescribed fires in southern
670 ecosystems. Science Update SRS-054. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture
671 Forest Service, Southern Research Station. 80 p.
- 672 Weisberg, S. (2014). Applied linear regression (4th edition ed.) John Wiley & Sons.
- 673 Weyenberg, S. A., & Pavlovic, N. B. (2014). Vegetation dynamics after spring and summer fires
674 in red and white pine stands at Voyageurs National Park. *Natural Areas Journal*, 34(4), 443-
675 458.

- 676 White, D. L., Waldrop, T. A., & Jones, S. M. (1990). Forty years of prescribed burning on the
677 Santee fire plots: effects on understory vegetation. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-69. Asheville, NC:
678 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station. p.
679 51-59
- 680 Young, T. P., & Pepper, E. (2010). "Recalcitrant understory layers" revisited: arrested succession
681 and the long life-spans of clonal mid-successional species. Canadian journal of forest
682 research, 40(6), 1184-1188.

Draft

Tables

Table 1. Repeated measures analysis of variance results for aboveground woody biomass and stem density of woody shrubs and pine regeneration (>15 cm tall, <9.1 cm DBH) at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA.

	Aboveground woody biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)											
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>			<i>Pinus strobus</i>			<i>Pinus resinosa</i>			<i>all species</i>		
	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Season	74.16	2	<0.001	20.71	2	<0.001	3.19	2	0.059	27.76	2	<0.001
Frequency	34.01	2	<0.001	12.69	2	<0.001	1.71	2	0.206	20.83	2	<0.001
Year	292.77	5	<0.001	5.61	5	<0.001	3.69	5	0.004	93.37	5	<0.001
Overstory Basal Area	0.35	1	0.553	0.01	1	0.931	0.01	1	0.929	0.02	1	0.879
Season × Frequency	12.86	2	<0.001	5.86	2	0.009	1.45	2	0.257	4.62	2	0.022
Season × Year	82.36	10	<0.001	7.71	10	<0.001	3.13	10	0.002	38.83	10	<0.001
Frequency × Year	59.88	10	<0.001	4.77	10	<0.001	1.49	10	0.153	23.08	10	<0.001
Season × Frequency × Year	5.78	10	<0.001	2.77	10	0.005	1.47	10	0.162	4.88	10	<0.001

	Density (no. stems ha ⁻¹)											
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>			<i>Pinus strobus</i>			<i>Pinus resinosa</i>			<i>all species</i>		
	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p-value</i>
Season	22.29	2	<0.001	8.40	2	0.002	2.06	2	0.150	22.46	2	<0.001
Frequency	6.20	2	0.008	6.59	2	0.006	1.15	2	0.337	5.51	2	0.012
Year	12.75	5	<0.001	11.57	5	<0.001	2.81	5	0.020	16.01	5	<0.001
Overstory Basal Area	0.41	1	0.525	0.01	1	0.922	0.06	1	0.802	0.33	1	0.566
Season × Frequency	8.62	2	0.002	2.96	2	0.074	0.87	2	0.433	8.68	2	0.002
Season × Year	14.00	10	<0.001	6.30	10	<0.001	2.46	10	0.011	17.38	10	<0.001
Frequency × Year	9.65	10	<0.001	4.36	10	<0.001	1.14	10	0.344	14.38	10	<0.001
Season × Frequency × Year	5.43	10	<0.001	2.39	10	0.014	1.10	10	0.371	7.72	10	<0.001

Table 2. Species displaying significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) Kendall's rank order correlations with axes of NMS ordination of woody understory (>15 cm tall, <9.1 cm DBH) community composition at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in northern Minnesota. *P*-values adjusted using false discovery rate method for multiple tests.

	Species	relationship		
		(+/-)	τ	<i>p</i> -value
Axis 1	<i>Amelanchier</i> spp.	–	-0.370	<0.001
	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	–	-0.264	0.007
	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	–	-0.245	0.010
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	–	-0.833	<0.001
	<i>Diervilla lonicera</i>	–	-0.372	<0.001
	<i>Cornus</i> spp.	–	-0.193	0.042
	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	–	-0.284	0.004
	<i>Rubus</i> spp.	–	-0.361	<0.001
	<i>Vaccinium angustifolium</i>	–	-0.400	<0.001
Axis 2	<i>Amelanchier</i> spp.	+	0.216	0.011
	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	+	0.348	0.001
	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	+	0.295	0.003
	<i>Alnus</i> spp.	–	-0.234	0.013
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	+	0.206	0.013
	<i>Diervilla lonicera</i>	+	0.224	0.020
	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	+	0.303	0.003
	<i>Rubus</i> spp.	+	0.283	0.003
	<i>Salix</i> spp.	–	-0.425	<0.001
	<i>Vaccinium angustifolium</i>	+	0.282	0.003

Table 3. Comparison of woody species diversity indices (+/- standard error) among burning treatments and an unburned control before burning (1959), end of burning (1969), and 44 years post burning (2014) at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. Letters denote significant differences among treatments within a given year at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an false discovery rate adjustment for multiple tests.

Shannon's Diversity ($\exp(H)$ or number of commonly-dominant species)							
	Control	Dormant Annual	Dormant Biennial	Dormant Periodic	Summer Annual	Summer Biennial	Summer Periodic
1959	2.3 (0.60)	1.8 (0.32)	2.3 (0.55)	2.0 (0.25)	2.2 (0.21)	2.3 (0.34)	2.4 (0.16)
1969	2.4 (0.58)a	3.4 (0.45)a	2.5 (0.44)a	2.8 (0.40)a	1.1 (0.09)b	2.7 (0.56)a	2.9 (0.21)a
2014	2.3 (0.57)	2.7 (0.23)	1.5 (0.10)	1.8 (0.26)	1.9 (0.33)	1.8 (0.30)	1.6 (0.28)
Evenness (E , 0-1 where 0 indicates unneven and 1 indicates even abundance of species)							
	Control	Dormant Annual	Dormant Biennial	Dormant Periodic	Summer Annual	Summer Biennial	Summer Periodic
1959	0.56 (0.15)	0.39 (0.13)	0.46 (0.16)	0.68 (0.14)	0.70 (0.14)	0.73 (0.05)	0.71 (0.09)
1969	0.53 (0.09)a	0.79 (0.05)ab	0.69 (0.05)ab	0.79 (0.08)ab	0.12 (0.12)c	0.85 (0.07)b	0.73 (0.06)b
2014	0.34 (0.08)	0.43 (0.05)	0.2 (0.03)	0.23 (0.05)	0.32 (0.08)	0.26 (0.08)	0.23 (0.07)
Richness (S or number of species)							
	Control	Dormant Annual	Dormant Biennial	Dormant Periodic	Summer Annual	Summer Biennial	Summer Periodic
1959	3.5 (0.65)	3.8 (0.25)	4.2 (0.85)	3.2 (0.63)	3.5 (0.65)	3.0 (0.41)	3.5 (0.29)
1969	4.5 (0.87)	4.5 (0.29)	3.8 (0.63)	3.8 (0.63)	1.2 (0.25)	3.2 (0.63)	4.2 (0.25)
2014	4.2 (1.60)	5.5 (1.76)	6.2 (1.44)	5.2 (1.31)	7.0 (0.71)	5.8 (1.93)	5.0 (1.78)

Table 4. Species displaying significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) Kendall's rank order correlations with the two first axes of NMS ordination of ground-layer community composition at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in northern Minnesota. *P*-values adjusted using false discovery rate method for multiple tests.

	Species	relationship (+/-)	τ	<i>p</i> -value
<u>Axis 1</u>	<i>Anenome quinquefolia</i>	+	0.416	0.049
	<i>Aster macrophyllus</i>	+	0.564	0.001
	<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i>	+	0.474	0.012
<u>Axis 2</u>	<i>Carex pennsylvanica</i>	–	-0.536	0.011
	<i>Moss</i> spp.	+	0.495	0.011

Draft

Table 5. Comparison of herbaceous species diversity indices (+/- standard error) among burning treatments and an unburned control 44 years post burning (2014) at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. No significant differences among treatments were observed at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an false discovery rate adjustment for multiple tests.

Treatment	Shannon's (<i>H</i>)	Evenness (<i>E</i>)	Richness (<i>S</i>)
Control	13.5 (0.72)	0.87 (0.02)	20 (1.15)
Dormant Annual	12.5 (0.96)	0.87 (0.03)	18 (1.03)
Dormant Biennial	12.3 (1.17)	0.87 (0.01)	18 (1.49)
Dormant Periodic	14.5 (0.94)	0.87 (0.02)	22 (1.71)
Summer Annual	9.7 (1.39)	0.78 (0.03)	18 (1.38)
Summer Biennial	11.0 (0.77)	0.81 (0.01)	19 (0.85)
Summer Periodic	12.8 (1.04)	0.84 (0.03)	20 (0.50)

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Stem density for hazel (row A), eastern white pine (row B), red pine (row C), and all woody species (row D) for a 50 year period at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. Burning treatments applied from 1960-1970. Letters indicate pairwise comparisons of burning season within a given fire frequency and year at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an false discovery rate adjustment. Control treatment is replicated within each frequency panel. Error bars are $\pm$ one standard error. Note scale differences among y-axes.

Figure 2. Aboveground biomass for hazel (row A), eastern white pine (row B), red pine (row C), and all woody species (row D) for a 50 year period at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. Burning treatments applied from 1960-1970. Letters indicate pairwise comparisons of burning season within a given fire frequency and year at $\alpha = 0.05$ following an false discovery rate adjustment. Control treatment is replicated within each frequency panel. Error bars are $\pm$ one standard error. Note scale differences among y-axes.

Figure 3. Proportional allocation of stem density (top row) and aboveground biomass (bottom row) in the woody understory at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. Burning treatments were applied 1960-1970. Species groups defined by fire sensitivity at maturity from Fire Effects Information System (Fischer et al. 1996).

Figure 4. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling ordination plot of woody understory composition (mean $\pm$ standard error) before burning (1959), end of active burning (1969), and 44 years post-burning (2014). Treatments with different letters denote significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$ after an false discovery rate adjustment for pairwise comparisons.

Figure 5. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling ordination plot of herbaceous understory composition (mean $\pm$ standard error) 44 years post-burning (2014). Treatments with different letters denote significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$ after an false discovery rate adjustment for pairwise comparisons.

Draft

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3.

Fig. 4.

Draft

Fig. 5.

Appendices

Table A1. Woody understory survey species list for (1959), end of burning (1969), and 44 years post burning (2014) at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA. * Fire Effects Information System Database found at: <http://www.feis-crs.org>

Species	frequency	treatments	life form	FEIS fire rating*
<i>Abies balsamea</i>	0.14	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB	tree	sensitive conifer
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	0.23	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Alnus spp.</i>	0.23	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SP	shrub	--
<i>Amelanchier spp.</i>	0.86	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	0.07	CC, DA, DB, SA, SP	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Celastrus scandens</i>	0.02	DP, SB	shrub	--
<i>Cornus spp.</i>	0.35	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	0.96	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Diervilla lonicera</i>	0.17	DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Fraxinus nigra</i>	0.01	DP	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Lonicera canadensis</i>	0.13	CC, DA, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Lonicera dioica</i>	0.05	CC, DB	shrub	--
<i>Lonicera hirsuta</i>	0.10	CC, DA, DB, DP, SB	shrub	--
<i>Parthenocissus vitacea</i>	0.02	DA, DP	vine	--
<i>Picea glauca</i>	0.01	SP	tree	sensitive conifer
<i>Picea mariana</i>	0.01	CC	tree	sensitive conifer
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	0.13	DA, DB, DP, SA, SB	tree	resistant conifer (pine)
<i>Populus grandidentata</i>	0.01	DB	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	0.01	DA	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Prunus pensylvanica</i>	0.02	DB	shrub	--
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	0.01	SA	tree	sensitive hardwood
<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	0.19	CC, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>	0.02	DA, DP	tree	resistant hardwood
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	0.23	CC, DA, DP, SB, SP	tree	resistant hardwood
<i>Rosa spp.</i>	0.10	CC, DA, DP, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Rubus spp.</i>	0.25	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Salix spp.</i>	0.50	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Sorbus decora</i>	0.01	DA	shrub	sensitive hardwood
<i>Symphoricarpos alba</i>	0.04	DA, DB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Vaccinium angustifolium</i>	0.32	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	shrub	--
<i>Viburnum lentago</i>	0.01	CC	shrub	--
<i>Viburnum rafinesquianum</i>	0.11	CC, DA, DB SA, SB	shrub	--

Table A2. Ground-layer plant survey species list 44 years post burning (2014) at the *Red Pine Prescribed Burning Experiment* in north-central Minnesota, USA.

Species	freque	treatments	lifeform
Anenome quinquefolia	1.00	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Apocynum androsaemifolia	0.39	CC, DA, SA, SB, SP	forb
Aralia nudicaulis	0.89	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Aster macrophyllus	1.00	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Aster spp.	0.14	DA, DB, SP	forb
Carex pensylvanica	0.21	DA, DB, DP, SA, SP	graminoid
Chimiphila umbellata	0.07	DA, SP	forb
Circea alpina	0.11	CC, DB, DP	forb
Clintonia borealis	0.89	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Convulvulus spithameus	0.07	SA, SB	forb
Cornus canadensis	0.29	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Dryopteris carthusiana	0.07	CC, DP	forb
Fragaria virginiana	0.54	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA, SB	forb
Gallium borealis	0.96	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Gallium triflorum	0.93	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Gaultherium procumbens	0.46	CC, DA, DB, DP, SB, SP	forb
Goodyera tessellata	0.14	CC, SA, SP	forb
grass spp.	0.07	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	graminoid
Helianthus spp.	0.07	DB, DP	forb
Hepatica americana	0.14	DA, DB, SA, SB	forb
Lathyrus ochroleucus	0.82	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Lathyrus venosus	0.11	DP, SA, SB	forb
Linnea boreale	0.46	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Lycopodium clavatum	0.11	CC, SB	forb
Lycopodium complanatum	0.07	CC, SP	forb
Maianthemum canadensis	1.00	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Monensis uniflora	0.36	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
moss spp.	1.00	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	moss/bryophyt
Osmorhiza longistylis	0.07	DB, DP	forb
Piptatheropsis pungens	1.00	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	graminoid
Polygala paucifolia	0.32	CC, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	forb
Pteridium aquilinum	0.86	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Pyrola americana	0.29	CC, DB, DP, SB, SP	forb
Rubus pubescens	0.89	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Sanicula marilandica	0.21	DP, sA, SP	forb
Streptopus roseus	0.64	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Taraxacum spp.	0.07	SA	forb
Thalictrum dioica	0.39	CC, DB, DP, SA, SB, SP	forb
Trientalis borealis	0.82	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Uvularia sessilifolia	0.71	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb
Vicia americana	0.07	DP, SP	forb
Viola spp.	0.54	CC, DA, DB, DP, SA,	forb