Twenty-four hour noninvasive ventilation in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: A safe alternative to tracheostomy
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BACKGROUND: Almost all patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) eventually develop respiratory failure. Once 24 h ventilation is required, either due to incomplete effectiveness of nocturnal noninvasive ventilation (NIV) or bulbar weakness, it is common practice to recommend invasive tracheostomy ventilation; however, noninvasive daytime mouthpiece ventilation (MPV) as an addition to nocturnal mask ventilation is also an alternative.

METHODS: The authors’ experience with 12 DMD patients who used 24 h NIV with mask NIV at night and MPV during daytime hours is reported.

RESULTS: The mean (± SD) age and vital capacity (VC) at initiation of nocturnal (only) NIV subjects were 17.8±3.5 years and 0.90±0.40 L (21% predicted), respectively; and, at the time of MPV, 19.8±3.4 years and 0.57 L (13.2% predicted), respectively. In clinical practice, carbon dioxide (CO2) levels were measured using different methods: arterial blood gas analysis, transcutaneous partial pressure of CO2 and, predominantly, by end-tidal CO2. While the results suggested improved CO2 levels, these were not frequently confirmed by arterial blood gas measurement. The mean survival on 24 h NIV has been 5.7 years (range 0.17 to 12 years). Of the 12 patients, two deaths occurred after 3.75 and four years, respectively, on MPV; the remaining patients continue on 24 h NIV (range two months to 12 years; mean 5.3 years; median 3.5 years).

CONCLUSIONS: Twenty-four hour NIV should be considered a safe alternative for patients with DMD because its use may obviate the need for tracheostomy in patients with chronic respiratory failure requiring more than nocturnal ventilation alone.
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Almost all patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) eventually develop respiratory failure. This initially occurs at night (1,2) and has been shown to improve with the use of nocturnal noninvasive ventilation (NIV) (3-5). In fact, the incidence of cardiac insufficiency as a cause of mortality may be more common as a consequence of effectively correcting respiratory failure (6-8). Unfortunately, even with appropriate NIV adjustments and vigilant clinical follow-up, hours of night-time ventilation alone may be insufficient and daytime hypercapnea develops (9). Often, the clinical course also includes impairment in swallowing and, as a result of this combination, the traditional approach is to introduce tracheostomy ventilation (10). There is some controversy regarding this approach, however, because noninvasive alternatives to tracheostomy have been demonstrated to be effective by a number of investigations involving this population (4,6,9,11). A recent retrospective review compared the clinical complications of tracheostomized patients with DMD with those who were entirely NIV supported and found airway complications to be more frequent, with a greater requirement for institutional care in individuals with a tracheostomy (12). In this study (10 patients on NIV, eight on tracheostomy), mortality rate, age, vital capacity (VC) and cardiac function at death were found to be similar (12). Furthermore, a review of attitudes of home-ventilated patients (13) indicated a regret at not being offered noninvasive alternatives before tracheostomy. While tracheostomy ventilation has always been advised when required, the Rehabilitation Centre of The Ottawa Hospital (TOH) (Ottawa, Ontario) has provided noninvasive ventilatory alternatives to patients with neuromuscular disease since 1993. Twenty-four hour NIV is the combination of night-time ventilation using a noninvasive mask interface with the addition of daytime volume mouthpiece ventilation (NIV/MPV) once clinical indicators suggest the need for more than nocturnal ventilatory support alone. Adding MPV rather than continued daytime nasal ventilation allows the face to be free from breath stack as desired. Instead of simply recommending a tracheostomy when an arbitrary number of hours of NIV was required, each
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Nocturnal NIV was provided with bilevel devices (BiPAP S/T and Simmetry; Respiration, USA) or volume-cycled ventilators (LP6 Plus and LP10; Covidiem, USA and LTV 1000; Pulmonetics, USA). Back-up rates were provided during bilevel ventilation using the pressure-control mode with a set inspiratory and rise time. Daytime MPV was provided with an LP6/10 or LTV, each with a 15 mm angled mouthpiece (Malinco-R-Puritan-Bennett, USA) or a length of tubing (Tygon, Saint gobain Performance Products, USA) with tidal volumes (Vt) and rate set to patient comfort.

All patients initiating NIV/MPV were treated in the outpatient clinic without hospital admission. No patient was excluded from MPV as a result of bulbar impairment. No DMD patient, yet encountered, has been unable to retain the mouthpiece or Tygon tubing as a result of weakness. Each patient and their caregivers were taught to perform airway clearance techniques including LVR, MAC techniques and mechanical insufflation-exsufflation (MI-E). Patients were encouraged to integrate these airway clearance techniques into their daily routine and use their chair-mounted ventilators to perform regular LVR using the mouthpiece.

RESULTS

The mean nocturnal bilevel inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP)/expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) pressures were 17/6 cmH2O (EPAP range 4 cmH2O to 13 cmH2O, IPAP range 12 cmH2O to 24 cmH2O) and the mean volume cycled ventilator volumes were 900 mL with a respiratory rate of 14/min. Data on specific back-up rates were available for bilevel devices. During daytime hours, patients on diurnal support used MPV (14,17-19) in the assist/control mode, Vt 800 mL to 1100 mL, respiratory rate 1/min (LTV) or 6/min (LP-6/10) with an inspiratory time of 1.2 s to 1.5 s. Patients easily triggered the ventilator with mouth pressure to obtain as frequent a Vt as desired. One patient required a temporary tracheostomy for anaphylaxis and upper airway obstruction but was later returned to MPV and his stoma was closed. Another patient was extubated and returned to 24 h NIV, including MPV in the intensive care unit, on day 5 after a laparotomy for gastric perforation and abdominal sepsis. None of the 12 patients has required a permanent tracheostomy.

Over the course of 85 patient-years of full-time NIV/MPV, three respiratory-related hospitalizations and two deaths occurred (Figure 1). One patient (patient 16) died while on 24 h NIV at 26 years of age due to a flu-like illness, dehydration and refusal to go to hospital. He was known to have moderate left ventricular impairment. Another patient (patient 23) died while on 24 h NIV at 20 years of age. He had extensive bilateral pneumonia and initially declined hospital admission or intubation. A remarkable observation in this patient was that in spite of severe hypoxemic respiratory failure, on mask ventilation alone, his PaCO2 remained normal, indicating normal alveolar ventilation. The third patient did not experience a complication as a result of MPV. During this evaluation, there were no adverse consequences of MPV such as accidental disconnections.

Years of MPV use by 12 patients is illustrated in Figure 1. The longest-living patient has used nocturnal NIV alone for 4.6 years with the addition of MPV for an additional 12 years. This patient continues to be successfully ventilated. Mean survival on MPV is 5.7 years (range 0.17 to 12 years).

All 12 patients began nocturnal NIV at a mean (± SD) of 17.8±3.5 years, with a Vc of 0.90±0.40 L (21% predicted); MPV was added when patients presented with symptoms of diurnal respiratory failure including dyspnea or tachypnea or for increasing daytime CO2. The mean VC of these 12 patients was 0.57 L (13.2% predicted) and the mean age was 19.8±3.4 years. During the period of NIV use followed by daytime MPV, a gradual loss of pulmonary function was observed (Figure 2), including a reduction in forced vital capacity from 17/6 cmH2O to 24 cmH2O (each P<0.002). To date, all but one patient has retained a measurable spontaneous VC in the range of 5% to 10% predicted.
DISCUSSION

Twenty-four hour NIV should be considered a safe alternative for patients with DMD because its use may obviate the need for tracheostomy in patients with chronic respiratory failure requiring more than nocturnal ventilation alone.

The present study illustrates that, when provided with an informed choice of tracheostomy or NIV, all patients with DMD and families chose 24 h NIV including MPV. This investigation also demonstrated that temporary invasive ventilation can be provided and successfully converted to full-time NIV including MPV. Furthermore, these results illustrate that, in the face of an increasing requirement for ventilatory support, the addition of daytime MPV results in continued survival in spite of further decline in VC and MIP. In fact, there was a stable or increasing average VC in the 12 patients on MPV, possibly due to improvements respiratory mechanics in patients introduced to LVR at the same time or able to perform more regular, autonomous LVR with the mouthpiece.

Twenty-four hour NIV is not a new approach to respiratory failure (4,20). Neuromuscular diseases, such as polio, have been managed in this fashion for decades, originally with negative-pressure body ventilators and, more recently, with positive pressure mask ventilation. During the polio era, it was well recognized that patients otherwise supported by iron lungs could be liberated from their tanks with positive pressure mouthpieces (21). Bach et al (4) and others have reported a large number of patients with neuromuscular diseases managed long beyond the point of respiratory failure with 24 h NIV. Even patients previously ventilated 24 h per day via a tracheostomy have been converted entirely to NIV/MPV (22).

The success of long-term NIV is highly dependent on the regular performance of effective techniques of airway clearance (6,9,11,17,23). Some studies indicating a less than favourable outcome from NIV have been criticized for not including airway clearance strategies (24). It has been suggested that all investigations of NIV in patients with NMD should include details of techniques to improve cough and airway clearance (23); many recent outcome studies of NIV include such treatments (6,9,25). We recently demonstrated an improvement in the rate of decline of forced vital capacity in DMD as a result of regular LVR (26). Each of our patients was trained in LVR using a hand-held resuscitation bag, and their performance was visually demonstrated to them with numerical values and illustrations of their pulmonary function. Provision of MPV enabled adequate daytime ventilation as well as the regular, autonomous performance of LVR desired by the patient. These principles were reinforced at each clinical visit and by access to an educational website (26). Seventy per cent of our patients had an MI-E device (CoughAssist, Philips Healthcare, USA) device in their homes and the remainder were provided education in the use of the MI-E and a prescription for its use when necessary (27-29). The consistent use of LVR and the MI-E may have contributed to the long-term success of NIV and the small number of hospital admissions. While tracheostomy ventilation may be necessary in patients with DMD with severe impairment of oropharyngeal musculature or with cognitive impairment, most patients are quite capable of using MPV. Many centres would advocate the use of tracheostomy ventilation once levels of daytime CO2 begin to rise while on nocturnal NIV or when the daily requirement for mask ventilation reaches some arbitrary number of hours (eg, 16 h). This is likely due to unfamiliarity with 24 h NIV and in spite of current recommendations. The American Thoracic Society consensus statement and the Canadian Thoracic Society home ventilation guidelines suggest considering daytime MPV for patients with DMD (11,30). Tracheostomy ventilation offers an apparent degree of security for the patient; however, it is associated with a number of serious complications that may well offset any advantage provided by an artificial airway (4). Invasive ventilation has also been associated with a higher frequency of institutional care and this factor alone may be sufficient for a patient to decline a tracheostomy even if this results in death. In our experience, all of our patients with DMD have benefited from 24 h NIV/MPV as a means to ensure adequate ventilation while avoiding a tracheostomy. Tracheostomy should be considered "when contraindications or patient aversion to noninvasive ventilation are present, or when noninvasive ventilation is not feasible due to severe bulbar weakness or dysfunction" (11). MPV offers the patient and family effective management without surgical risk, long-term complexity and the additional nursing care required with tracheostomy management.

Tracheostomy ventilation can still be offered if and when necessary. One patient was referred from another centre while using nocturnal NIV with an arterial CO2 pressure of 75 mmHg and detailed recommendations for urgent tracheostomy surgery, gastrostomy placement, inpatient ventilation training and long-term home mechanical ventilation. Instead, this young man was seen in three outpatient visits with adjustments to his bilevel device, introduction of LVR and daytime MPV. Gas exchange was normalized, quality of life improved and no tracheostomy has been required, even seven years later.

Clear physiological advantages of MPV have also been demonstrated. Toussaint et al (9) recently reported the presence of a fatiguising pattern of breathing using a noninvasive estimation of the tension-time index in DMD patients who used night-time NIV but reported breathlessness in the late afternoon (31). Both the tension-time index and respiratory endurance against an inspiratory load were improved as a result of the introduction of only 2 h of MPV in the afternoon. Thus, it appears that the onset of daytime muscle fatigue is associated with symptoms of dyspnea and can be corrected with daytime MPV. It should be noted that in contrast to the Belgian studies (9,31), all patients in our care were managed entirely as outpatients with the attendant cost savings. Although it is common practice to admit such patients to hospital for a short period of accommodation or to perform sleep studies, investigators have demonstrated that the outcomes of outpatient-initiated NIV are similar to those achieved as inpatients without the added complexity and cost of inpatient care (32,33).

There may be concerns about aspiration risk with progressive bulbar weakness. These data represent all of our patients regardless of bulbar status. Patients with significant bulbar weakness were excluded from the population reported by Toussaint et al (9).

Both in our clinical experience and that of others, dysphagia may improve significantly with the introduction of MPV (9). The mechanism for the improvement in dysphagia is uncertain. It is possible that the reduction in work of breathing once MPV is initiated is associated with global improvements in muscle function. It is possible that there is also improvement in oropharyngeal muscle strength or endurance. It is also possible that the reduction in dyspnea that occurs during MPV allows for more comfortable swallowing and less anxiety during meals. It is recognized that adequate subglottic pressure is necessary to enable safe swallowing, a cause for difficulty in swallowing with
a tracheostomy in place (34). It is possible that with MPV and the consequent ability to breathstack and increase lung volumes and, presumably, subglottic pressure, that the swallowing mechanisms may improve and support better nutrition (35).

The present study had important limitations. All of the data were reviewed retrospectively and were collected during clinical care in a busy outpatient environment in which conditions could not be controlled. As a result, there are many missing data. Some information was also obtained from referring centres and quality could not be confirmed. Although a prospective approach would also improve the evidence, a randomized study could not be performed due to obvious ethical issues. The measurements of CO2 were obtained using three different methods and this strategy respects the patients’ desire not to undergo frequent arterial punctures. When combined with clinical evaluation, overnight oximetry and downloaded information from noninvasive devices, this is perfectly adequate for clinical care but was not used for statistical analysis.

All of the patients in the present study were cared for at home, not in an institution. While this is clearly associated with a better quality of life for the patient and a lower cost to the health care system, there is an enormous caregiving responsibility assumed by the family. As such, they each had dedicated family members who were ever-present to apply head gear, guarantee access to MPV and to provide effective, sometimes constant, airway clearance during respiratory tract infections or as a result of minor aspiration.
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Given the relatively short follow-up period, it is possible that a number of our DMD patients will eventually require and will choose tracheostomy ventilation due to the development of severe bulbar weakness and aspiration risk. However, to date, patients who have been advised to undergo tracheostomies in other centres have been very successful and, in 85 patient-years, full time NIV/MPV has ensured survival in the face of declining respiratory function beyond the point of respiratory failure in these patients with DMD. Strong consideration should be given to 24 h NIV/MPV for all patients with DMD rather than routine recommendation for tracheostomy.
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