The Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD Trial: Design, organization and patient recruitment
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BACKGROUND: There are no published studies that have assessed whether adding long-acting beta 2-agonist bronchodilators and/or inhaled steroids to chronic therapy with tiotropium would provide additional clinical benefit to patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

METHODS: The Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD Trial is a randomized, prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-centre trial funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research that has been designed to determine which combination of inhaled medications will most effectively prevent exacerbations and optimize disease-specific quality of life in patients with COPD. The trial is the first to evolve from the Canadian Thoracic Society Clinical Trials Group. The study will randomize 432 patients with moderate to severe COPD to one of three parallel treatment arms for 52 weeks: tiotropium and fluticasone/salmeterol; tiotropium and salmeterol; or tiotropium and placebo inhaler. The participants will be allowed to use salbutamol as required throughout the trial period.

OUTCOMES: The primary outcome measure is the proportion of patients in the three treatment groups who experienced a respiratory exacerbation within 52 weeks of randomization. Other outcomes that will be assessed over the 52-week trial period will include: changes in disease-specific quality of life and changes in dyspnoea, health care use and changes in lung function. A pharmacoeconomic analysis will also be performed to evaluate the cost of these therapies.

RESULTS: The study commenced recruitment in October 2003. It is currently operating at 22 centres across Canada and has randomized 137 patients during the first four months of recruitment. Recruitment is scheduled to continue until April 2005 or until 432 patients have been randomized.

CONCLUSION: The present randomized, placebo-controlled trial offers a unique opportunity to answer the question, what is the best combination of inhaled medications to use for COPD patients? It is hoped that optimal use of inhaled medications will improve patient health and quality of life, reduce patient respiratory exacerbations, and ultimately, reduce health care resource use.
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L'essai sur le traitement optimal canadien du MPOC : La conception, l'organisation et le recrutement des patients

HISTORIQUE : Aucune donnée publiée n'a permis d'évaluer si l'ajout de bronchodilatatateurs à béta-2-agonistes à longue durée d'action ou de stéroïdes en aérosol à un traitement chronique au tiotropium procurera des bénéfices cliniques supplémentaires aux patients atteints d'une maladie pulmonaire obstructive chronique (MPOC) de modérée à grave.

MÉTHODOLOGIE : L'essai sur le traitement optimal canadien du MPOC est un essai multicentrique prospectif à double insu, aléatoire et contrôlé contre placebo financé par les Instituts de recherche en santé du Canada et conçu pour déterminer quelle association de médicaments en aérosol préviendra avec le plus d'efficacité les exacerbations et optimisera la qualité de vie reliée à la maladie chez les patients atteints d'une MPOC. L'essai est le premier à essayer de l'ensemble des essais cliniques de la Société canadienne de thoracologie. Il faudra recruter, de manière aléatoire, 432 patients atteints d'une MPOC modérée à grave dans l'un des trois groupes randomisés suivant les traitements de 52 semaines : tiotropium et fluticasone-salmeterol, tiotropium et salmeterol, ou tiotropium et placebo. Les participants seront autorisés à utiliser du salbutamol, au besoin, tout au long de l'essai.

ISSUES : La mesure d'issue primaire correspond à la proportion de patients des trois groupes qui a présenter une exacerbation respiratoire au cours des 52 semaines d'aléatoire. D'autres issues seront évaluées pendant la période d'essai de 52 semaines, y compris les modifications à la dyspnée, le recours au système de santé et les changements de fonction pulmonaire. Une analyse pharmacoeconomique sera également exécutée pour évaluer le coût de ces traitements.

RÉSULTATS : Le recrutement pour l'essai a commencé en octobre 2003. Il est entrepris dans 22 centres du Canada, et 137 patients ont été choisis au hasard au cours des quatre premiers mois du recrutement, qui se poursuivra jusqu’en avril 2005 ou jusqu'à ce que 432 patients aient été retenus au hasard.

CONCLUSION : Le présent essai aléatoire et contrôlé contre placebo offre une occasion unique de répondre à la question : « Quel est la meilleure association de médicaments en aérosol pour les patients atteints de MPOC ? » On espère que l'usage optimal de médicaments en aérosol améliorera la santé et la qualité de vie des patients et réduira leurs exacerbations respiratoires ainsi, au bout du compte, que le recours aux ressources de santé.
Most patients with moderate or severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) experience chronic progressive dyspnea that is not alleviated by short-acting beta-agonists. Given this fact, it is not surprising that many patients are treated with multiple inhaled medications in an attempt to optimize their lung function and minimize symptoms (1). According to the Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS) COPD Guidelines (2), the goals of pharmacological therapy should be to control symptoms, improve health status and reduce the frequency of COPD exacerbations.

Over the past several years, numerous studies have been published that have demonstrated that treatment of patients with COPD with inhaled tiotropium (3-5), inhaled salmeterol (6-8) or inhaled steroids/long-acting beta-agonist combination products (9-12) improves dyspnea and quality of life, and decreases exacerbation rates relative to placebo (9). However, there are no studies that have assessed whether therapy with a long-acting beta-agonist plus a long-acting anticholinergic agent would provide greater clinical benefits than therapy with a long-acting anticholinergic agent used alone. Similarly, there are no studies that have assessed whether the addition of an inhaled steroid/long-acting beta-agonist combination product to therapy with a long-acting anticholinergic agent would provide greater benefits than therapy with a long-acting anticholinergic agent used alone.

The additive benefits of combination bronchodilator therapy have been demonstrated in clinical trials that combined the short-acting bronchodilators salbutamol and ipratropium bromide or the long-acting beta-agonist salmeterol with ipratropium bromide (13-15). Because beta-agonists and anticholinergics work through different mechanisms to cause bronchodilation, it makes theoretical and intuitive sense that therapy with both medicines might produce an additional benefit compared with therapy with one alone. However, for many patients, therapy with tiotropium along with inhaled short-acting beta-agonists when necessary, may be all the medication that is required for the control of symptoms. Furthermore, there are safety issues (side effects associated with chronic use of long-acting beta-agonists and inhaled steroids) and economic issues (additional costs of these medications) that would argue against routine inhaled medication polypharmacy.

The purpose of the Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD Trial is to determine what combination of inhaled medications is best to use in patients with moderate to severe COPD. It is hoped that optimal use of inhaled medications will improve patient health and quality of life, reduce patient respiratory exacerbations and, ultimately, save money for the health care system.

Randomization

Patients are randomized after informed consent is obtained. The randomization process consists of a computer-generated random listing of the three treatment allocations blocked by variable groups of nine or twelve and stratified by site. Randomization is administered through the central allocation of a randomization schedule and is coordinated by the Ottawa Health Research Institute (Ottawa, Ontario).

Patient selection

Inclusion criteria were chosen to conform to current American Thoracic Society and Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guideline definitions of COPD (17). Those patients with moderate or severe COPD who have had at least one exacerbation of COPD in the last 12 months requiring antibiotics and/or oral steroids are included. Patients must be at least 35 years of age, have a history of at least 10-pack years of smoking, have documented chronic airflow obstruction with a forced expiratory volume in 1 s/forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC) ratio of less than 0.70 and a postbronchodilator FEV₁ of less than 65% of predicted.

The exclusion criteria include patients with a history of asthma, a history of chronic congestive heart failure with known severe left ventricular dysfunction, those using chronic oral prednisone, those with known hypersensitivity or intolerance to tiotropium, Advair 250 or salmeterol, and those who have had a recent COPD exacerbation requiring oral or intravenous antibiotics or steroids within the previous 28 days (these patients must wait until they have been off prednisone or antibiotics for 28 days before entering into the study). Also, patients with a history of severe glaucoma or severe urinary tract obstruction, previous lung transplant or lung volume reduction surgery, diffuse bilateral bronchiectasis and those who are pregnant or breastfeeding are being excluded.

Primary outcome measure

The primary outcome measure is the proportion of patients in the three treatment groups who experienced a respiratory exacerbation...
within 52 weeks of randomization. Respiratory exacerbations is defined as per the 2000 Aspen Lung Conference Consensus definition (18), as "a sustained worsening of the patient's respiratory condition, from the stable state and beyond normal day-to-day variations, necessitating a change in regular medication in a patient with underlying COPD". For operational purposes, an acute change in regular COPD medications is defined as physician-directed acute use of oral or intravenous steroids, or oral or intravenous antibiotics. The study will monitor patients for exacerbations via monthly telephone calls and via patient visits at four, 20, 36 and 52 weeks after randomization.

It has been suggested that patients who experience frequent exacerbations of COPD (more than two per year) are at higher risk for death, and that these patients experience greater declines in health-related quality of life than those who have fewer exacerbations (19). Furthermore, medical editorials (20,21) that support the use of Advair 250 or salmeterol for COPD do so on the basis that these drugs may decrease COPD exacerbation rates. This end point is also an important economic outcome because patients who have respiratory exacerbations generate higher health care costs for physician visits, hospitalizations and medications (22).

Adjudication of the primary outcome
A full report, including physician and/or hospital records, describing the circumstances of each suspected exacerbation will be prepared by study personnel. The assembled data from the suspected exacerbation visit will be presented to an Adjudication Committee for review. The Adjudication Committee will be blinded to patient allocation.

Secondary outcomes will include
• Changes in disease-specific, health-related quality of life for the three treatment groups over the 52-week study period assessed by the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (23).
• Changes in dyspnea over the 52-week study period assessed by the Baseline and Transitional Dyspnea Indexes (24) and by the dyspnea domain of the Chronic Respiratory Disease Index Questionnaire (25).
• The number of exacerbations that result in urgent visits to a health care provider or emergency department.
• The number of exacerbations that result in hospitalization for COPD.
• Total number of hospitalizations (all cause).
• The time to first exacerbation.
• The mean/median number of exacerbations per patient in each treatment group over the 52-week period.
• Absolute and relative changes in the FEV₁ and FVC over the 52-week period.
• Premature discontinuation of study medication, for reason of adverse effects or lack of efficacy, as judged by the patient's physician.
• Patient respiratory symptoms – collected daily using a revised East London Diary Card (19).

Pharmacoeconomic analysis
A pharmacoeconomic analysis evaluating the costs of these therapies will also be performed. The objectives of the economic analysis will be to estimate the cost of treating a COPD exacerbation for the three proposed treatment strategies; perform a cost minimization analysis by comparing the costs for the three treatment strategies; and conduct a cost-effectiveness analysis. The cost-effectiveness analysis will be assessed in terms of the cost per life year gained and quality-adjusted life year gained; and the analysis will be conducted from a health care system perspective. The cost assessment of treatment strategies will include only physician visit, hospitalization and drug costs, because these are assumed to be the major cost drivers for COPD treatment.

Sample size
For the purposes of the sample size calculation, it was assumed that the proportion of patients who would experience an exacerbation within 52 weeks in the placebo arm would be 55%. This assumption was based on previous studies (9,11) of patients with moderate to severe COPD that have shown exacerbation rates varying from 1.30 to 1.87 exacerbations/patient/year in the placebo-treated arm.

The Trial Steering Committee determined that a 20% difference in one-year relapse proportions between the tiotropium and placebo group with either the tiotropium and Advair 250 or tiotropium and salmeterol groups would be the minimal clinically significant difference that would be important for the study to detect. Assuming an 18% absolute risk reduction between tiotropium and placebo versus tiotropium and salmeterol, an alpha error of 0.025 and a beta error of 0.20, 130 patients per arm or 390 subjects in total are required. To allow for a 5% noncompliance rate (Lachin formula [26]), a total sample size of 432 subjects or 144 per arm is required. A sample size of 144 per arm provides 89% power to detect a difference between the second primary comparison (tiotropium and placebo versus tiotropium and Advair 250).

Statistical analysis
The principal intention-to-treat analysis of the exacerbation proportions in the three treatment groups will be conducted using an unadjusted χ². If the analysis shows a significant difference among the three treatment groups, two pairwise comparisons will be performed to compare the tiotropium and placebo arm with each of the other two treatment arms. The study is not designed nor powered to compare the difference between tiotropium and salmeterol versus tiotropium and the fluticasone/salmeterol combination product (this comparison would require 9000 patients). Continuous outcome measures, including changes in the quality of life scores, will be analysed using multivariate repeated measures ANOVA. Kaplan-Meier survival curves will be used to describe the probability of remaining exacerbation-free in the two treatment arms. One interim analysis will be performed by the study Data Safety Monitoring Board once 50% of patients have been accrued to determine if the experimental therapy is beneficial or hazardous.

Management of the study
The Trial Coordinating Centre is located at the Ottawa Health Research Institute, Clinical Epidemiology Unit. The Coordinating Centre will monitor recruitment and implement quality assurance policies, as well as develop and maintain the study database.
The Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD study is designed to answer the question: “What is the best combination of inhaled medications to use for COPD patients?” As a corollary, the study will also help validate proposed treatment algorithms contained in the CTS COPD Guidelines (2). Ultimately, the results of the trial will be applied to ensure that optimal treatment will be given at the lowest cost and lowest risk of potential drug toxicity. It is hoped that the optimal use of inhaled medications will improve patient health and quality of life, reduce patient respiratory exacerbations and, ultimately, reduce the use of health care resources.

The Trial Steering Committee consists of the Chair, the trial statistician/methodologist and the other six respirologist members of the Steering Committee. The Steering Committee is overseeing all aspects of the trial. Specifically, the Committee will develop or modify all policies regarding the daily operations of the trial; be responsible for the writing of all publications; may also develop modifications to the design, execution and analysis of the trial; and have ultimate responsibility for data management and quality assurance.

Recruitment to date
The study commenced recruitment in October 2003 at 10 centres across Canada. As of February 2004, 22 centres were participating (Table 1). The study involves investigators situated at every medical school in Canada, with the exception of Sherbrooke, Quebec. One hundred thirty-seven patients were randomized over the first four months of the study from October 2003 to February 2004. Figure 1 shows projected and actual recruitment rates for the study from October 2003 to February 2004. Although recruitment is on schedule, at least five more sites are planned to be added to meet scheduled recruitment timelines. Recruitment is scheduled to continue until April 2005 or until 432 patients have been randomized. The study will terminate once the last recruited patient has completed 12 months of follow-up.

DISCUSSION
The present study represents the first trial to evolve from the CTS Clinical Trials Group. The CTS Clinical Trials Group is made up of representatives from each of the major medical schools in Canada; its goal is to produce high-quality respiratory clinical trials.

There have been major challenges involved in launching The Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD Trial. The first and toughest challenge has been to secure a placebo product. Initially, we tried to have a placebo inhaler manufactured for the study, but discovered that the one company that manufactured placebo inhalers for commercial production had ceased producing their placebo product after the passage of The Kyoto Protocol. We next tried to enlist the help of the pharmaceutical company that manufactures Advair 250 and Serevent (GlaxoSmithKline, Canada). Although we tried to convince the company of the merits of providing us with a placebo that would match their Advair 250 and Serevent products, the company ultimately refused to supply our study with placebo inhalers. Thankfully, another pharmaceutical company agreed to supply us with placebo inhalers and blinding devices for our study without restrictions.

A second challenge has involved competition for patients. There are several other ongoing clinical trials in Canada currently recruiting COPD patients. Most of these other COPD trials are industry-sponsored, and these trials traditionally reimburse individual study sites at higher rates than Canadian Institutes of Health Research-sponsored trials. Thus, we have found that we are faced with competition for patients, and are at a distinct disadvantage because remuneration for our study is less than industry-sponsored studies. Thankfully, the study investigators involved in the present trial are committed to answering the study question and remain committed to the idea of pursuing respiratory clinical trials independent of industry.

There are several other ongoing clinical trials in Canada recruiting COPD patients. Most of these other COPD trials are industry-sponsored, and these trials traditionally reimburse individual study sites at higher rates than Canadian Institutes of Health Research-sponsored trials. Thus, we have found that we are faced with competition for patients, and are at a distinct disadvantage because remuneration for our study is less than industry-sponsored studies. Thankfully, the study investigators involved in the present trial are committed to answering the study question and remain committed to the idea of pursuing respiratory clinical trials independent of industry.

The Canadian Optimal Therapy of COPD study is designed to answer the question: “What is the best combination of inhaled medications to use for COPD patients?” As a corollary, the study will also help validate proposed treatment algorithms contained in the CTS COPD Guidelines (2). Ultimately, the results of the trial will be applied to ensure that optimal treatment will be given at the lowest cost and lowest risk of potential drug toxicity. It is hoped that the optimal use of inhaled medications will improve patient health and quality of life, reduce patient respiratory exacerbations and, ultimately, reduce the use of health care resources.

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physician</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Aaron</td>
<td>Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Anthony</td>
<td>Scarborough, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer Bailer</td>
<td>Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Berg</td>
<td>Sault Ste-Marie, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Bourbeau</td>
<td>Montreal, Quebec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Cowie</td>
<td>Calgary, Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Cox</td>
<td>Hamilton, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Fitzgerald, Jeremy Road</td>
<td>Vancouver, British Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Ford</td>
<td>Calgary, Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Fox</td>
<td>St John’s, Newfoundland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Goldstein</td>
<td>Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Hodder</td>
<td>Ottawa, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Hoffstein</td>
<td>Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francois Maltais</td>
<td>Quebec City, Quebec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DarcyMarciniuk</td>
<td>Saskatoon, Saskatchewan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvin Mayers</td>
<td>Edmonton, Alberta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David McCormack</td>
<td>London, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew McIvor</td>
<td>Halifax, Nova Scotia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis O’Donnell</td>
<td>Kingston, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Powell</td>
<td>Brampton, Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat Sharma</td>
<td>Winnipeg, Manitoba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noe Zamel, Kenneth Chapman</td>
<td>Toronto, Ontario</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Projected and actual recruitment rates for the study from October 2003 to February 2004. COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
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