Canadian Journal of Chemistry
Revue canadienne de chimie

Sintered Polymeric Binders for Li-ion Battery Alloy Anodes

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	cjc-2017-0728.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jan-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Hatchard, Timothy; Dalhousie University, Chemistry Fielden, Ryan; Dalhousie University, Chemistry Obrovac, Mark; Dalhousie University, Chemistry
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue?:	Dalhousie
Keyword:	lithium-ion batteries, alloy negative electrodes, silicon alloys, electrode binders

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Sintered Polymeric Binders for Li-ion Battery Alloy Anodes

T.D. Hatchard¹, R.A. Fielden¹, and M.N. Obrovac^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S., B3H 4R2 Canada

²Department of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S.,

B3H 4R2 Canada

* - corresponding author: mnobrovac@dal.ca

Draft

Abstract

The cycling performance in Li half cells of Si alloy electrodes with polyvinylidene fluoride or polyimide binders were evaluated after the electrodes were cured at temperatures below and above the binder carbonization temperature. After carbonization, the cycling performance of electrodes using polyvinylidene fluoride binder improved considerably, but still suffered from capacity fade. Electrodes with carbonized polyimide binder had superior performance and showed no capacity fade after 100 cycles. The superior performance of carbonized polyimide electrodes is thought to be related to polyimide's high carbon yield upon heating, resulting in the formation of a uniform carbon coating on alloy surfaces. These results suggest that new high performance binders for alloy electrodes might be derived by the carbonization of polymers with a high carbon yield that lead to the formation of uniform carbon coatings on alloy particles.

1. Introduction

A promising avenue to achieve the goals of lower cost and higher energy density in Li-ion cells is the use of alloy anodes based on abundant materials, such as Si or Sn. Si and Sn alloys can result in high energy density gains when implemented in Li-ion cells.¹ The drawback of these materials, is their large volume expansion upon lithiation, which is 280% for pure Si.¹⁻³ This volume expansion causes cracking and pulverization of the electrode, and disruption of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), which leads to capacity loss and failure of the cell. It also can result in mechanical failure of the electrode, requiring the use of special polymer binders.¹

It has been found that binders that perform well in alloy coatings have certain specific properties. These are: good adhesion to both the alloy particles and current collector, complete surface coverage of the alloy particles to reduce electrolyte decomposition, and the ability to tolerate volume expansion by stretching or self-healing to maintain the structural integrity of composite coatings.^{1,4-11} It has been suggested that good surface coverage of the binder is required to form an "artificial SEI layer", which minimizes further SEI formation, thus reducing irreversible capacity.⁸ It has also been shown that electrically conducting polymer binders that fully encapsulate the alloy particles not only provide the benefits described, but also form a conductive matrix that leads to improved cycling by maintaining particle electrical contact.^{12,13} Recently, we have suggested that electroactive binders such as polyimide or phenolic resin undergo full reduction to hydrogen containing carbons when lithiated to 0 V.^{9,10} The resulting electrode, now an alloy/carbon composite with no polymer binder, has excellent cycling

performance. Apparently the hydrogen containing carbon serves as a conductive binder and, in addition, provides additional capacity to the electrode. This effect has been recently confirmed by Yoon et al.¹⁴ We suspect that most conductive binders that have been proposed are reduced during lithiation and work in a similar manner.

Since binders that undergo electrochemical reduction to carbon perform well, this naturally begs the question as to whether a thermally carbonized binder would perform equally well. Carbonized binders have been used for structural materials, for instance in carbon-carbon composites. Hassan et al. have reported the use of sintered PVDF as an effective binder for a Si nanoparticle electrode.¹⁵ In this study, the use of carbonized polymers as high performance binders in alloy electrodes will be explored using carbonized aliphatic PVDF and aromatic polyimide (PI) binders.

2. Experimental

2.1 Electrode preparation.

Electrode coatings were made by mixing 3M L-20772 V6 Si alloy, hereafter called V6, (3 μm , from 3M Co., St. Paul, MN) and graphite (SFG6L Timcal), binder and carbon black (C-ENERGY Super C 65, Timcal) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous 99.5%) in a 60/28/2/10 V6/SFG6L/SuperC/binder weight ratio. Binders used were polyvinylidene fluoride resin (PVDF, HSV 900 Kynar®), and aromatic polyimide (PI, purchased as a 20 % solution of polyamic acid in NMP, HD Microsystems). One PVDF electrode was formulated to have double the amount of binder, resulting in a 60/28/2/20 V6/SFG6L/SuperC/binder weight ratio. Electrode slurries were mixed for one hour in a Retsch PM200 planetary mill at 100 rpm with four

1 ½” tungsten carbide balls and then spread onto copper or nickel foil with a 0.004” doctor
2 blade. Coatings were dried in air at 120°C for one hour. After drying in air, circular disks
3 with an area of 1.9 cm² were punched from the electrode coatings to be used as cathodes
4 in Li half cells. These disks were then heated to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C/minute in
5 flowing Ar and held for 3 hours. Exhaust gas from the tube furnace was flowed through
6 an oil bubbler and then through a water bubbler containing calcium carbonate chips in
7 order to neutralize any HF produced during the annealing of films containing PVDF.
8 After cooling, the disks were transferred to an Ar filled glove box without air exposure.
9 Alloy containing electrodes are designated here with the notation
10 alloy/graphite/[binder](heating temperature), for example: alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C).
11 The specific capacities of these alloy containing electrodes are reported here in terms of
12 the total electrode coating mass.

13 Binder only electrodes were made by spreading 20 % (wt) slurries of PVDF or PI
14 in NMP on Cu foil with a 0.004” doctor blade. Coatings were dried in air at 120°C for
15 one hour. After drying in air, circular disks with an area of 1.9 cm² were punched from
16 the electrode coatings to be used as cathodes in Li half cells. Some of these disks were
17 then heated to 300 °C or 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C/minute in flowing Ar and held for 3
18 hours. Tube furnace exhaust was treated as described above. TiN/PI electrodes were
19 prepared with an 83/17 v/v ratio by the same method as described in Reference.⁹ The
20 specific capacities of such electrodes are reported here in terms of the mass of binder as
21 measured after heating at 120°C.

22 2.2 Electrode Structural Analysis

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of unheated and heated binder powders were collected with a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer equipped with a diffracted beam graphite monochromator, scintillation detector, and a Cu K-alpha radiation source. Polyamic acid powder was obtained by heating a 20 % solution of polyamic acid in NMP (HD Microsystems) in air at 120°C overnight and grinding the resulting solid residue. The resulting powder was heated in flowing argon for 3 hours at 300 °C or 600 °C. Heated PVDF powder was obtained by heating PVDF as received under flowing argon for 3 hours at 600 °C. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed using a Hitachi S-4700 Field Emission SEM.

2.3 Coin cell preparation.

The disks that were previously cut from the electrode coatings and heated under Ar, as described above, were used as working electrodes in Li half cells. Typical active material loadings were about 1.8 – 2.0 mAh/cm² for V6/graphite electrodes. Coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box. Lithium metal foil was used as the counter electrode. Two layers of Celgard 2301 were used as separators. 1M LiPF₆ in a solution of ethylene carbonate, diethyl carbonate and monofluoroethylene carbonate (1M LiPF₆ in EC/DEC/FEC; 3:6:1 by volume, BASF) were used as the electrolyte. Coin cells were cycled using a Maccor Series 4000 Automated Cycler. V6/graphite electrodes were cycled with potential limits of 0.005 – 1.5 V for the first cycle with a rate of C/10 and a C/40 trickle discharge at 0.005 V. Subsequent cycling was performed between 0.005 – 0.900 V at a rate of C/4 with a C/20 trickle discharge at 0.005 V. Binder only and binder/TiN electrodes were cycled between limits of 0.005 – 2.0 V at a rate of C/25 with a C/50 trickle discharge at 0.005 V.

2.4 Thermogravimetric analysis.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TG 209 F3 (Netzsch) in Ar. Samples of the dried binders were placed in Al₂O₃ cups and then loaded into the heating chamber. The chamber was purged with Ar to remove any air before heating. Heating rate was 5 °C/min to a maximum temperature of 600 °C.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the TGA curves of polyamic acid and PVDF. PVDF is stable at temperatures up to about 420 °C. Above 400 °C PVDF decomposes, resulting in a rapid mass loss, with only about 20 % of the initial mass remaining at 600 °C. This behavior is typical of aliphatic polymers, and results in the formation of hydrogen containing carbons as a residue,¹⁶ as confirmed by XRD below. The TGA curve for polyamic acid shows that it undergoes an initial rapid mass loss, at about 150 °C - 300 °C, which is the nominal temperature at which polyamic acid imidizes to form PI. This weight loss can be attributed to the evaporation of water, which is a product of the imidization reaction. The PI formed is then stable to just over 500 °C. Above this temperature a second rapid mass loss begins that is ongoing at the 600 °C end point of the experiment, corresponding to the thermal carbonization of PI.

Figure 2(a-d) show XRD patterns of PVDF and PI binders heated at the same temperatures used to make the electrode coatings in this study. The XRD pattern of PVDF at 120°C (Figure 2(a)) is indicative of crystalline ordering of the polymer. After heating to 600°C (Figure 2(b)) the XRD pattern is consistent with a hard carbon with

single layer stacking, as has been observed previously.¹⁶ The XRD pattern of PI at 300°C (Figure 2(c)) is typical of a disordered polymer with some short range ordering. After heating to 600°C, the XRD pattern (Figure 2(d)) is also typical of a hard carbon with single layer stacking.¹⁶

In order to directly measure the electrochemistry of cured and sintered PI and PVDF in a composite electrode, electrode coatings were made with these binders and inactive TiN powder, which was used as an inactive conductive filler to take the place of active material. As described in Reference 9, this allows binders to be studied in the same environment as they exist in composite electrode coatings without electrochemical interference from active materials. Figure 2 shows the potential vs. capacity curve of PI and PVDF binders in these electrodes. All capacities are calculated with respect to the mass of the binder initially used to prepare the electrodes and are not corrected for the weight loss that occurs during heating. This was done to compare irreversible capacities in a meaningful way. In addition, cell makers would typically measure capacities as a function of the amount of materials added during electrode preparation.

Figure 3(a-c) shows the potential vs. capacity curves of TiN/PVDF electrodes after heating to 120 °C, 300 °C, and 600 °C, respectively. PVDF is essentially electrochemically inactive at 120 °C and 300 °C. However the irreversible capacity at 300 °C is increased. At 600 °C the TiN/PVDF electrode has considerable irreversible and reversible capacity. We suspect that the increase in irreversible capacity with increasing temperature is related to the formation of Cu₂O on the surface of the current collector foil, despite efforts of maintaining an inert atmosphere. Indeed, the first lithiation potential vs. capacity curve is very similar to that reported for Cu₂O by

1 Grugeon et al., in which Cu_2O is first reduced in a plateau extending from about 1.2 V -
2 1.5 V followed by a lower potential plateau at about 0.8 V which was ascribed to capacity
3 from SEI formation.¹⁷ To provide further evidence that this increase in capacity is related
4 to the current collector, the Cu foil current collector of the TiN/PVDF electrode was
5 replaced by Ni foil (also shown in Figure 3(c)) which is less susceptible to oxidation.
6 This results in the disappearance of the high potential plateau and the irreversible
7 capacity is much reduced. Therefore, we ascribe much of the first discharge capacity of
8 the PVDF electrode that was heated at 600 °C to the oxidation of the Cu current collector.
9 The increased capacity shown in the Ni current collector is likely related to the residual
10 carbon from the decomposition of PVDF.

11 Figure 3(d,e) show potential vs. capacity curves of TiN/PI electrodes that were
12 heated at 300 °C and 600 °C, respectively. The potential vs. capacity curve of PI cured at
13 300 °C has large irreversible capacity, large reversible capacity, and large hysteresis; all
14 of which are typical of hydrogen containing carbons.¹⁸ We have suggested that PI
15 carbonizes during lithiation previously⁹ and this mechanism has been verified by Yoon et
16 al.¹⁴ After heating to 600°C the reversible capacity and polarization becomes reduced
17 and the potential vs. capacity curve is similar to that of heated PVDF, shown in Figure
18 3(c). This is consistent with hydrogen loss, which occurs when hydrogen containing
19 carbons are heated.¹⁸ The plateaus during the first discharge of the TiN/PI electrodes that
20 were heated to 600 °C also resemble those of the TiN/PVDF electrode heated to the same
21 temperature, which we attributed to the formation of Cu_2O . Also shown in Figure 3(e) is
22 a potential vs. capacity curve of the TiN/PI electrode coated on a Ni current collector and
23 heated to 600 °C. The high potential plateau related to Cu_2O reduction disappears when

1 a Ni current collector is used, but the first cycle capacity below 1 V remains high. This
2 may be due to higher hydrogen content in this aromatic carbon than PVDF heated to the
3 same temperature.

4 Figure 4(a-d) show SEM images of alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C),
5 alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C), alloy/graphite/PI(300°C), and alloy/graphite/PI(600°C)
6 electrodes, respectively. The PVDF binder is clearly visible in the
7 alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode (Figure 4(a)) as filaments bridging particles. At
8 600°C (Figure 4(b)), the PVDF is no longer visible. All alloy and graphite surfaces
9 appear smooth and pristine. This is consistent with the TGA results, showing that nearly
10 all of the PVDF decomposes to form gaseous components at this temperature. In
11 contrast, alloy particles in the alloy/graphite/PI(300°C) electrode (Figure 4(c)) are all
12 covered with a rough/granular layer of PI polymer. Despite the surface roughness, all
13 alloy particles were found to be completely coated with this PI layer, while the graphite
14 particles appear pristine. PI binder's ability to form a uniform coating on the alloy
15 particles is likely due to the strong ester-like bonds formed between PI and Si alloys.⁹
16 These are due to the reaction of carboxylic acid groups of poly(amic acid) with silanol
17 groups (Si-OH) on the surface of silicon alloys. At 600°C (Figure 4(d)) there is little
18 change. All graphite particle surfaces appear pristine, while a rough, but uniform coating
19 on the alloy particles is still visible.

20 The SEM and XRD results show that alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) coating is
21 likely a composite of the active particles with a small amount of carbon residue. This is
22 consistent with the findings of Hassan et al.¹⁵ However, no particles could be found that
23 showed any sign of carbon coating. This is likely because of the small amount of carbon

1 residue in the alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) due to PVDF's large mass loss during heating,
2 as indicated by TGA. In contrast, the alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) coating comprises
3 composite of active particles with a much greater carbon content. The amount of carbon
4 is sufficient to uniformly coat all of the alloy particles in the coating, as observed by
5 SEM.

6 Figure 5(a) shows the potential versus capacity plot of an
7 alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode. We have reported good cycling for the same
8 electrode formulation using PI, Phenolic Resin and LiPAA binders in previous
9 studies.^{9,10,19} The first discharge of the alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode is
10 comparable to that shown in Reference 19 using LiPAA binder. However, the
11 irreversible capacity is significantly higher, and even though only 2.5 cycles are shown,
12 there are clear signs of rapid capacity fade. Figure 5(b) shows the cycling performance of
13 this cell, and confirms that the cycle life is very poor, with little capacity remaining after
14 only ten cycles. This demonstrates the poor performance of alloy electrodes with PVDF
15 binder, which is well known.¹ This poor performance is likely due to the observed
16 morphology of PVDF (Figure 4(a)), which forms filaments in the coating, rather than
17 forming a uniform coating on the particles.¹

18 Figure 5(c) shows the potential versus capacity curve for an
19 alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode. The first cycle potential vs. capacity curve of this
20 cell is similar to that of the cell in Figure 3(a), however there is additional capacity at the
21 beginning of the first discharge above 0.2 V. This is consistent with the additional
22 capacity observed when a TiN/PVDF electrode was heated to 600 °C, as shown in Figure
23 2(c). Despite this additional initial capacity, the total first cycle discharge capacity of the

alloy electrode heated at 600 °C is less than that of the electrode heated at 120 °C. We ascribe these effects to changes in the alloy structure, which results in capacity reduction upon heating to 600°C due to grain growth. The cycling performance of the alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode, shown in Figure 5(d) is much improved over the alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode, shown in Figure 5(a). This is consistent with the findings of Hassan et al., who attribute this effect to the formation of a conductive carbon coating on the active particles.¹⁵ Here, no such coating was observed and the electrode still has significant capacity fade, retaining only about 65 % of its initial reversible capacity after 100 cycles and poor coulombic efficiencies of less than 99.5 %.

Figure 6(a) shows the potential versus capacity curve for an alloy/graphite/PI(300°C) electrode. The first discharge potential vs. capacity curve of this electrode also has significant capacity above 0.2 V, which we ascribe to the reduction of PI binder, as previously reported.⁹ This is also consistent with the large first cycle PI reduction capacity shown in Figure 2(d). As we have reported previously,⁹ this electrode has excellent cycle life, retaining about 95 % of its initial capacity after 100 cycles and good coulombic efficiencies that exceed 99.8 % after cycle 40, as shown in Figure 4(b). This good cycling performance was attributed to the formation of conductive carbon produced by the reduction of PI during the first cycle.⁹ Figure 4(c) shows the potential versus capacity curve of an alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) electrode. Additional capacity above 0.2 V, compared to an alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode, is also present during the first discharge, which is consistent with the significant first discharge capacity of PI heated to 600 °C as shown in Figure 2(e). The alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) electrode has about 20 mAh/g less reversible capacity than the same electrode heated to 300 °C

1 shown in Figure 4(a), again because of the lowered alloy capacity at 600 °C, as discussed
2 above. Figure 4(d) shows the cycling performance of the alloy/graphite/PI(600°C)
3 electrode. The cycling performance is excellent with almost no capacity loss in 100
4 cycles. This is a significant improvement in the cycling performance compared to the
5 same electrode cured at 300 °C, which lost 5 % capacity in the same number of cycles.

6 The difference in cycling performance of the alloy/graphite electrode using
7 PVDF binder heated to 600 °C in Ar shown in Figure 5(d) compared to the electrode
8 made under the same conditions except with PI binder, shown in Figure 6(d) is striking.
9 The alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) performs much better than the alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C)
10 electrode. One reason for this performance might be related to the difference in mass loss
11 that results when PVDF and PI are heated, as shown in Figure 1. During carbonization at
12 600 °C, PVDF loses considerably more mass than PI. Therefore, very little residual
13 carbon remains when a PVDF electrode is heated to this temperature compared to a PI
14 electrode with the same formulation. As mentioned above, the additional carbon in the
15 heated PI electrode can uniformly coat the active particles. This may inhibit electrolyte
16 decomposition reactions and improve cycling.

17 To see if the lack of residual carbon is the cause of the poor performance of the
18 PVDF electrode, an alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode was prepared with double
19 PVDF content, to compensate for its additional weight loss during heating, compared to
20 PI. An SEM image of this coating is shown in Figure 7. Some of the alloy is now coated
21 with carbon in this electrode. Therefore the increased amount of PVDF has resulted in
22 some of the alloy surfaces becoming carbon coated after heating. However the coating is
23 not complete as in the case of PI and some of the alloy surfaces still appear pristine. The

1 cycling performance of this electrode is shown in Figure 5(d). Increasing the PVDF
2 content does result in significant improvements in cycle life and coulombic efficiency.
3 However, the cycling performance of the alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode with
4 excess PVDF is still inferior to the alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) electrode. This is likely due
5 to PI's ability to uniformly coat the active material particles, which leads to a uniform
6 carbon coating upon heating. Even when the PVDF content is doubled, an insufficient
7 amount of carbon residue is present to completely coat the alloy particles.

8 From these results we speculate that the improved cycling due to carbonizing
9 alloy electrodes is from the formation of a carbon coating on the alloy particles. The
10 cycling is optimized when the carbon coating uniformly coats the alloy particles, leaving
11 no bare alloy surfaces in contact with electrolyte. Based on these conclusions, carbon
12 precursors, such as PI, that have a high carbon yield upon heating are ideal for forming
13 carbonized alloy electrodes with good cycling performance, since they are more likely to
14 form a uniform carbon coating on the alloy particles, compared to low carbon yield
15 polymers, such as PVDF.

17 4. Conclusion

18 It was found that heat treating electrode coatings with PI or PVDF binders to 600
19 °C in an inert atmosphere greatly improves their charge discharge cycling performance.
20 During this heat treatment these polymers decompose and carbonize. The cycle life of
21 cells made using electrodes with PVDF binder that were heated at 600 °C have greatly
22 superior cycle life compared to PVDF electrodes heated at temperatures below its
23 carbonization temperature. Even better cycling performance is obtained for Si alloy

1 electrodes with PI binder that were heated to 600 °C. The cycling performance of the Si
2 alloy electrodes with PI binder cells heated to 600 °C was also superior to Si alloy
3 electrodes with PI binder that were heated to 300 °C, and the irreversible capacity was
4 slightly reduced. The observation that binders work well after thermal carbonization is
5 further evidence that good binders, such as PI, become electrochemically reduced to form
6 hydrogen containing carbons.

7 It was speculated here that the superior performance of sintered PI binder
8 compared to sintered PVDF binder is due to PI's higher carbon yield during thermal
9 decomposition. As a result of this high carbon yield, a uniform carbon coating on the
10 alloy particles could be attained with PI binders after carbonizing the electrode. This
11 suggests that carbonizing aromatic carbons (soft carbon precursors) with high carbon
12 yield may result in binders for alloy electrodes with good cycling properties. We have
13 confirmed that this is true for sintered phenolic resin electrodes, which have a similar
14 carbon yield as PI and similar cycling performance. We plan to report on the relation of
15 carbon yield and cycling performance in a future publication.

17 **Acknowledgments**

18 The authors acknowledge funding from NSERC and 3M Canada under the
19 auspices of the Industrial Research Chair and Discovery grant programs. We also
20 acknowledge the support of the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Atlantic
21 Innovation Fund and other partners that fund the Facilities for Materials Characterization
22 managed by the Institute for Research in Materials at Dalhousie University.

References

- (1) Obrovac, M. N.; Chevrier, V. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 11444.
- (2) Obrovac, M. N.; Christensen, L. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2004**, *7* (5), A93.
- (3) Obrovac, M. N.; Christensen, L.; Le, D. B.; Dahn, J. R. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2007**, *154* (9), A849.
- (4) Li, J.; Christensen, L.; Obrovac, M. N.; Hewitt, K. C.; Dahn, J. R. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2008**, *155* (3), A234.
- (5) Hochgatterer, N. S.; Schweiger, M. R.; Koller, S.; Raimann, P. R.; Wöhrle, T.; Wurm, C.; Winter, M. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2008**, *11* (5), A76.
- (6) Bridel, J.-S.; Azaïs, T.; Morcrette, M.; Tarascon, J.-M.; Larcher, D. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2011**, *158* (6), A750.
- (7) Komaba, S.; Yabuuchi, N.; Ozeki, T.; Han, Z.; Shimomura, K.; Yui, H.; Katayama, Y.; Miura, T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 1380.
- (8) Komaba, S.; Shimomura, K.; Yabuuchi, N.; Ozeki, T.; Yui, H.; Konno, K. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115* (27), 13487.
- (9) Wilkes, B. N.; Brown, Z. L.; Krause, L. J.; Triemert, M.; Obrovac, M. N. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2016**, *163* (3), A364.
- (10) Hatchard, T. D.; Bissonnette, P.; Obrovac, M. N. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2016**, *163* (9), 2035.
- (11) Wang, C.; Wu, H.; Chen, Z.; McDowell, M. T.; Cui, Y.; Bao, Z. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5* (12), 1042.
- (12) Xun, S.; Song, X.; Battaglia, V.; Liu, G. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *160* (6), A849.

- (13) Wu, H.; Yu, G.; Pan, L.; Liu, N.; McDowell, M. T.; Bao, Z.; Cui, Y. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1943.
- (14) Yoon, T.; Chapman, N.; Nguyen, C. C.; Lucht, B. L. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2016**.
- (15) Hassan, F. M.; Chabot, V.; Elsayed, A. R.; Xiao, X.; Chen, Z. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (1), 277.
- (16) Zheng, T.; Liu, Y.; Fuller, E. W.; Tseng, S.; von Sacken, U.; Dahn, J. R. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1995**, *142* (8), 2581.
- (17) Grugeon, S.; Laruelle, S.; Herrera-Urbina, R.; Dupont, L.; Poizot, P.; Tarascon, J.-M. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2001**, *148* (4), A285.
- (18) Zheng, T.; McKinnon, W. R.; Dahn, J. R. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1996**, *143* (7), 2137.
- (19) Du, Z.; Dunlap, R. A.; Obrovac, M. N. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2014**, *161* (10), A1698.

Figure Captions

Figure 1 TGA curves of polyamic acid and PVDF collected at a heating rate of 5 °C/min under Ar gas.

Figure 2 XRD patterns of pure binder polymers heated to temperatures as indicated. A Lorentz polarization correction has been applied to the XRD patterns of PVDF

and PI heated to 600°C in (b) and (d), so that the carbon 002 peak can be plotted more accurately.

Figure 3 Potential vs. capacity curves of PVDF and PI binders in TiN/binder coatings after heating to different temperatures, as indicated. Solid and dashed lines are for coatings on Cu and Ni current collectors, respectively.

Figure 4 SEM images of alloy/graphite/binder coatings cured at different temperatures: (a) alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C), (b) alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C), (b) alloy/graphite/PI(300°C), and (c) alloy/graphite/PI(600°C). Arrows in (c) and (d) indicate alloy surfaces coated with a rough layer of (c) PI or (d) carbonized (PI).

Figure 5 (a) Potential vs. capacity and (b) cycling performance of an alloy/graphite/PVDF(120°C) electrode; (c) potential vs. capacity and (d) cycling performance of an alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode. These electrodes were all formulated to have 10 wt.% PVDF prior to heating. Also shown in blue in Figure 3(d) is the cycling performance of an alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode having 20 wt.% PVDF prior to heating.

Figure 6 (a) Potential vs. capacity and (b) cycling performance of an alloy/graphite/PI(300°C) electrode; (c) potential vs. capacity and (d) cycling performance of an alloy/graphite/PI(600°C) electrode.

1

2 Figure 7 SEM image of an an alloy/graphite/PVDF(600°C) electrode having 20 wt. %

3 PVDF prior to heating. The arrow in the figure indicates an alloy surface coated

4 with a rough layer of carbonized PVDF.

5

Draft

1 Figure 1

2

3

4

5

1 Figure 2
2
3

4
5
6

1 Figure 3

2

3

1 Figure 4
2
3

4

1 Figure 5

2

3

4

Figure 6

1 Figure 7

2

3