Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
 Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques

Does behavioural thermoregulation underlie seasonal movements in Lake Erie walleye?

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2017-0145.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Raby, Graham; University of Windsor, Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research Vandergoot, Christopher; US Geological Survey Hayden, Todd; US Geological Survey Faust, Matthew; Ohio Department of Natural Resources Kraus, Richard; US Geological Survey, Great Lakes Science Center Dettmers, John; Great Lakes Fishery Commission Cooke, Steven; Carleton University Zhao, Yingming; Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Fisk, Aaron; University of Windsor, Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research Krueger, Charles; Michigan State University, Fisheries and Wildlife
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	N/A
Keyword:	CLIMATE CHANGE < General, EVOLUTION < General, Spatial ecology, Movement ecology, Intraspecific variation

SCHOLARONE™
 Manuscripts

**Does behavioural thermoregulation underlie seasonal movements in
Lake Erie walleye?**

Graham D. Raby^{1*}, Christopher S. Vandergoot², Todd A. Hayden³, Matthew D. Faust², Richard
T. Kraus⁴, John M. Dettmers⁵, Steven J. Cooke⁶, Yingming Zhao^{1,7}, Aaron T. Fisk¹, Charles C.
Krueger⁸

1 – Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON,
Canada

2 – Sandusky Fisheries Research Station, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Sandusky, OH,
USA

3 – Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University, Hammond Bay Biological
Station, 11188 Ray Road, Millersburg, MI, USA

4 – Lake Erie Biological Station, Great Lakes Science Center, US Geological Survey, Sandusky,
OH, USA

5 – Great Lakes Fishery Commission, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

6 – Fish Ecology and Conservation Physiology Laboratory, Department of Biology and Institute
of Environmental Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada

7 – Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Aquatic Research and Monitoring
Section, Wheatley, ON, Canada

8 - Center for Systems Integration and Sustainability, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife,
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

*corresponding author: graham.d.raby@gmail.com, +1 613-818-2061.

Abstract

Thermoregulation is presumed to be a widespread determinant of behaviour in fishes, but has not often been investigated as a mechanism shaping long-distance migrations. We used acoustic telemetry and animal-borne thermal loggers to test the hypothesis that seasonal migration in adult walleye in Lake Erie is size- and/or sex-specific and related to behavioural thermoregulation. Female walleye migrated out of the warm, shallow western basin earlier than did males, and were 1.8 times more likely to be detected on acoustic receivers in the deeper and cooler eastern basin. The few fish that remained in the western basin were restricted to a smaller range of higher temperatures ($>20^{\circ}\text{C}$) than those that migrated to the central and eastern basins ($\sim 16\text{--}21^{\circ}\text{C}$). However, temperature records from walleye in the central basin were nearly indistinguishable from those in the eastern basin, suggesting thermal preferences alone could not explain migration to the eastern basin. As such, our effort to understand the mechanisms that cause migratory behaviours has generated mixed evidence on the role of temperature, and that factors like foraging opportunities may have synergistic roles in the migration.

47 **Introduction**

48 Understanding why animals migrate is fundamental to ecology, evolution, and to the
49 conservation of migratory species and their habitat (Wilcove and Wikelski 2008). Migration can
50 be driven by a variety of variables such as foraging opportunities or participation in spawning
51 aggregations (Bowlin et al. 2010). Among the most important variables in the life of ectotherms
52 is environmental temperature. Temperature is an important dimension of habitat (Hutchison and
53 Maness 1979) and, for fishes, has been referred to as an ecological resource because the
54 efficiency with which fishes dynamically adjust their thermal habitat via locomotory movement
55 (i.e., behavioural thermoregulation) can affect their fitness through bioenergetic costs and
56 benefits (Magnuson et al. 1979). Behavioural thermoregulation is often thought of as happening
57 on smaller scales than what would be classified as long distance migration, particularly among
58 freshwater fishes; often involving vertical movements (in thermally stratified waters) or
59 horizontal movements of a few meters or kilometers (e.g., Baird and Krueger 2003; Sims et al.
60 2006).

61 Walleye (*Sander vitreus*) of Lake Erie are one of the most economically valuable
62 populations of freshwater fish in North America (Schmalz et al. 2011; Kayle et al. 2015). The
63 majority of Lake Erie's walleye spawn on rocky offshore reefs or in the tributaries of the lake's
64 shallow western basin in early spring (March-April; Vandergoot et al. 2010). Based on long-term
65 mark-recapture studies, many adult walleye migrate out of the western basin after spawning
66 (Wang et al. 2007; Vandergoot and Brenden 2014); a behaviour that is believed to be driven, at
67 least in part, by behavioural thermoregulation (Kershner et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2007;
68 Vandergoot and Brenden 2014). The western basin is warm and typically isothermal, averaging
69 ~24 °C during the summer (June-September; Mortimer 1987), which is above what is considered

thermally optimal for adult walleye (20-23 °C; Hokanson 1977; Barton and Barry 2011). Summer surface temperatures are 2-3 °C cooler in the central and eastern basins than in the western basin; these basins also become thermally stratified in the summer (Mortimer 1987, Kershner et al. 1999). As a result, a bioenergetics model predicted that western basin fish that migrate to the central basin should achieve higher growth rates given the same food intake; these migrating fish theoretically spend more of the summer at or near their thermal optima for growth as compared with fish that remain in the warmer western basin (Kershner et al. 1999). In addition, the central basin is shallower than the eastern basin, and in most years, this situation leads to seasonal hypoxia in the hypolimnion (Burns et al. 2005; Conroy et al. 2011), reducing the volume of thermally optimal habitat that walleye may occupy. Currently, a lack of information exists on whether walleye remain in marginal habitats of the central basin or move to more suitable habitats in the eastern basin. Fishery-dependent recaptures of walleye in the eastern basin were more common in large females, suggesting a size- and/or sex-specific migration pattern (Wang et al. 2007), which may have been driven by a preference for lower temperatures as compared with males and small females.

The notion that larger fish may prefer, or perform better in, colder water than do small fish has support in the literature (Lafrance et al. 2005; Daufresne et al. 2009; Morita et al. 2010), although the physiological mechanisms that lead to thermal performance curves being body size-dependent remain a subject of vigorous debate (Ohlberger 2013; Lefevre et al. 2017). In contrast, few examples of sex-specific thermal preferences exist in the literature, and these seem to suggest females prefer warmer waters than do males (Johansen and Cross 1980; Swain 1997), the opposite of what has been suggested for adult walleye in Lake Erie (Wang et al. 2007). For many ectotherms, some degree of sexual size dimorphism exists, with females growing to larger

sizes than do males – conventionally explained by correlations in females between body size, fecundity, and lifetime reproductive fitness (i.e., Darwin's fecundity advantage model; Shine 1988). Any trends relating to migration patterns and temperature preference in large female walleye may therefore simply be related to body size, rather than sex. As body size increases in ectotherms, both across and within species, lower thermal optima for growth and a behavioural preference for lower temperatures typically occur (Lafrance et al. 2005; Daufresne et al. 2009; Morita et al. 2010). As a result, we hypothesized that large adult walleye in Lake Erie behaviourally down-regulate their body temperature by eastward migration from the western basin to deeper (eastern basin mean depth 24.4 m; 18.5 m in central basin) and cooler habitats (Wang et al. 2007).

The goal of this study was to determine whether behavioural thermoregulation plays a role in long-distance eastward migrations some walleye make after spawning in Lake Erie. Using acoustic telemetry and animal-borne temperature loggers, we tested three predictions: 1) large females migrate farther east than do males or small females, 2) large females select cooler temperatures during summer than do small females and males, and 3) the timing of post-spawning departure from the western basin occurs at a threshold water temperature, which varies by date according to the annual warming pattern of the lake. The latter prediction (3) was included because the relationship between the timing of migration and water temperature (Trepanier et al. 1996; Sims et al. 2004) is relevant to the hypothesis that thermoregulation is a driving force behind the migration. For Lake Erie walleye, recent efforts to improve population assessments and spatial resource management would benefit from an improved understanding of size, sex, or population-specific movements across fishery quota areas (Kayle et al. 2015). More

generally, this study provides insights about migratory phenotypes expressed by a freshwater teleost with potential application in predicting responses to climatic change.

Methods

Fish capture and telemetry tagging

All fish capture, handling, and surgery methods followed best practices for animal care and fish surgery (e.g., Wagner et al. 2011) and have been described in depth elsewhere (Hayden et al. 2014). Walleye ($N = 758$ fish) were captured at four spawning areas in early spring (March–April) from 2011 through 2015 (Fig. 1): the Detroit River (2014, 2015), Maumee River (2011, 2012, 2014), Niagara Reef (2014), Toussaint Reef (2013, 2014), and Sandusky River (2014, 2015). For management purposes, these spawning aggregations are considered part of the western basin walleye population (Ryan et al. 2003). Fish were captured by electrofishing gear in tributaries or using 1–2 hour gill net sets on open-water reefs and then held in large flow-through tanks at the capture site (either on adjacent land or onboard a large vessel) for processing and tagging. Walleye implanted with acoustic transmitters also received an external tag (i.e., t-bar anchor tags, internal anchor tags, or loop tags [Floy Manufacturing, Seattle, WA, USA]), to facilitate reporting of recaptures by commercial or recreational fisheries. Fish were measured (total length, mm) and the three leading dorsal spines were removed from each fish to estimate age via annual growth increments (Isermann et al. 2003). For each year and location, sampling targeted equal numbers of males and females throughout the spawning period. Efforts were also made to tag a representative distribution of fish sizes (see Fig. S1). Overall, 360 females and 398 males were implanted with acoustic transmitters (model V16-4H; 16 mm diameter, 86 mm long, 24 g; estimated battery life = 3.67 years, power output = 158 dB, Vemco, Halifax, NS, Canada)

outfitted with an iButton thermal logger (DS1921Z; resolution = 0.125°C, accuracy $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, Maxim Integrated Products, Sunnyvale, CA, USA set to record every 4 h for 341 days = 2048 records) attached to the non-transmitting end of the transmitter with a waterproof coating (Plasti Dip, Performix Brand, Blaine, MN; Donaldson et al. 2009). Each transmitter was programmed to emit a unique 69 kHz code at random time intervals ranging between 60 and 180s (mean = 120s) to reduce the likelihood of collisions with transmissions made by other nearby acoustic tags.

Acoustic telemetry tracking

Movements of tagged fish were determined using a network of 69 kHz acoustic receivers (Vemco, Bedford, NS, Canada) installed at spawning areas and at other key points throughout Lake Erie and the corridor between lakes Erie and Huron (Fig. 1). Although tagging began in 2011, many sections of the receiver network were not installed until mid-2013. As a result, analyses for this paper (see *Data analyses*, below) focused on the movements of walleye in 2014 and 2015 when relatively full spatiotemporal receiver coverage of Lake Erie occurred. Some receivers were seasonally moved to different locations to meet the objectives of several studies but in general, receivers were deployed to capture walleye migrations throughout the lake (video showing receiver deployment places and times - <https://youtu.be/vQrDQYQTRI>).

Identification of surviving fish

To avoid the influence of natural, tagging-induced, or fishing mortality on analyses of seasonal movements in 2014 and 2015, fish were only included if they survived until the end of the calendar year (or beyond). This included some fish that were tagged in 2013 or earlier (and survived through 2014, 2015, or both), or fish tagged in either study year (i.e., 2014 and 2015),

which were required to be at liberty and alive from the time they were tagged through to January 1st of the following year (2015-01-01 or 2016-01-01), or later. Fish were assumed to have survived the calendar year if they were detected on January 1 or later in a way that made it evident that the fish was likely to be alive. On the other hand, some fish died at or near receiver stations, which was evident based on a year or more of continuous or intermittent detections at the same receiver. Fish were also included if they were recaptured in fisheries after September 15th of either of the two study years; a time late enough in the year deemed sufficient to assess a fish's propensity for temperature-driven migration (see online supplement for further detail).

Timing of outmigration from the western basin

Timing of outmigration of fish from the western basin was compared to water temperatures at 2 m depth at 13 locations throughout the western basin of Lake Erie (Fig. S2). To quantify post-spawning outmigration timing from the western basin, we fitted Kaplan-Meier (K-M) time-to-event functions with 95% confidence intervals (using the package 'survival' in R; Therneau 2015). A departure event was defined as a fish moving east from the western basin line (Fig. 1), after having been inside the western basin during the spawning period (see online supplement for further details on how this was assessed). To assess whether two or more survival curves were statistically different, we used the 'survdif' function (R package: 'survival'). These analyses focused on fish that spawned and were released west of the western basin receiver line (Fig. 1), which included Toussaint Reef and Niagara Reef (grouped for analyses), the Maumee River, and the Detroit River. Sandusky River fish were excluded from this portion of the analyses because this river empties into the central basin. The total number of fish used from these stocks was $N=137$ fish in 2014 and $N=73$ fish in 2015. Sixty fish were present in both the 2014 and 2015

datasets; therefore, these data were not independent. For the time-to-event analyses the datasets were analyzed separately by year in most cases; both years were pooled for data visualizations (e.g., for comparing sexes).

Analyses of the extent of eastward migration

Based upon post-spawning detection histories (up to December 31), each fish was classified by its most distant detection into four categories: i) detected in the eastern basin, ii) detected in the east-central basin (including on the central basin line), iii) detected in the west-central basin, or iv) no detections outside of the western basin (termed western basin residents; Fig. 1). The detection efficiency for the western basin receiver line (1 km between adjacent receivers) was 99.7%, for the central basin line (5.6 km spacing), 83.9%, and for the eastern basin line (2.5 km spacing), 100% (see online supplement for further detail). We further specified that western basin residents were those that remained inside the western basin until October 1st or later. By comparison, fish migrating out of the western basin were those detected east of the western basin receiver line before October 1 *and* after the spawning period. For simplicity and because so few fish remained inside the western basin ($N = 8$ in each of the two years), these residents were pooled with west-central basin migrants for statistical analyses. Two fish migrated into Lake Huron (one in each year), and were excluded from the analyses.

Extent of eastward migration was inferred from estimates of the probability of detection in each area of the lake. These probabilities were modeled with three separate binomial logistic regressions, one for each detection outcome: western half of the lake, east-central basin, and eastern basin (as defined above). In the regressions, we assessed effects of sex, size (continuous predictor), and age (treated as a continuous predictor; range 3-14). Age was not quantified for a

small number of individuals; models were re-run with the full sample of fish in cases where age was not significant or included in final models. Model selection was achieved using AIC with the stepAIC function in R (package: MASS, Venables and Ripley 2002, see online supplement for additional details).

Modeling animal-borne temperature measurements during summer

To evaluate the prediction that females and large fish would occupy colder waters than would males and smaller fish, we focused on summer (June 21 – September 21), when the lake was thermally stratified and the greatest range of environmental temperatures was likely to be present (both vertically and horizontally across the lake). Thus, summer is when sex- or size-specific behavioural thermoregulation would be expected to be evident, particularly if fish were motivated to seek lower temperatures. Data were downloaded from $N=89$ temperature loggers available, but only $N=61$ had records that extended into summer. These loggers recorded temperature every 4 h for each fish. Unlike the analyses of fish movements, these analyses ultimately included data during 2013-2015. We modelled summer temperature time series with generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs, using package ‘mgcv’ in R; Wood 2017). Time of day, day of year, sex, and size were included as explanatory variables, individual fish ID was included as a random effect, and a temporal autocorrelation structure was used. Modeling and diagnostics followed procedures described by Zuur et al. (2009; see online supplement for further detail).

Results

Timing of post-spawning migration

230 Walleye departed Lake Erie's western basin following a similar schedule in 2014 and 2015
231 ($X^2_{1,209} = 0.5$, $P = 0.47$). The thermal profile of the western basin when this outmigration
232 occurred was also similar between years (Fig. 2 right panel). Fish began departing the western
233 basin in mid-April and departures continued at a relatively steady pace through mid-June (Fig. 2
234 right panel). In both years, by the time the western basin warmed to 20°C, most of the tagged
235 walleye had departed (~70% in 2014, ~80% in 2015), and once the western basin reached the
236 upper end of the optimal thermal range (thought to be 23°C, Barton and Barry 2011, ca. June 20-
237 July 1) no additional outmigration was observed (a total of eight fish remained in the western
238 basin in each year, see '*Extent of eastward migration*', below). An absolute temperature
239 associated with outmigration could not be identified because fish departed at a relatively similar
240 rate across a wide range of absolute temperatures (~ 8-20 °C; Fig. 2). When all western basin
241 stocks were pooled, females departed the western basin earlier than did males (2014: $X^2_{1,136} =$
242 22.1, $P < 0.001$, 2015: $X^2_{1,72} = 8.8$, $P = 0.003$, both years pooled in Fig. 2 middle panel). The
243 main difference occurred during the early part of the migration period; more females left the
244 western basin than did males in April and early May (Fig. 2 left panel). This difference between
245 sexes was mostly driven by fish tagged on or near the western basin reef complex, where the
246 median departure dates for females were 17 and 24 days earlier than for males in 2014 and 2015,
247 respectively (not shown graphically; 2014: $X^2_{1,100} = 25.4$, $P < 0.001$, 2015: $X^2_{1,50} = 16.1$, $P <$
248 0.001). Outmigration from the western basin tended to begin ~2 weeks later for fish from the
249 Maumee and Detroit rivers than in reef-spawning fish (2014: $X^2_{1,136} = 14.2$, $P < 0.001$, 2015:
250 $X^2_{1,72} = 8.2$, $P = 0.004$). Because outmigration timing was stock and sex-specific, our ability to
251 further differentiate size effects was limited to western basin reef fish in 2014 (55 males, 45
252 females). Two size groups for each sex (small and large) were defined based on the median

length (for females, 676.3 cm TL, for males, 572 cm). No size difference in outmigration timing was detected for males ($P = 0.55$), but small females tended to leave the western basin later than large females ($X^2 = 5.4$, $P = 0.02$, Fig. S3).

Extent of the eastward migration

The extent and characteristics of post-spawning eastward migration among western Lake Erie walleye stocks was similar during 2014 and 2015. For example, the percentage of fish that migrated to each of the four zones (western basin, west-central basin, east-central basin, eastern basin) was nearly identical between the two years (Fig. 3). The majority of fish migrated into the eastern half of the lake, with 26% overall (Fig. 3) being detected on the eastern basin receiver line (Fig. 1) or further east. Only two fish were detected moving north into Lake Huron – one Maumee River fish in 2014 and a Detroit River-tagged fish in 2015. Among the 119 fish included in the 2015 sample, 76 (64%) were also part of the 2014 sample ($N = 168$ total fish in 2014). Sixty-two of these 76 fish (82%) migrated to the same zone in both years, indicating some coarse-level longitudinal fidelity to summer residence areas. Fourteen of 76 fish were assigned a different eastward migration zone in 2015 than in 2014. Among these 14 fish, each between-year change was to an adjacent zone (e.g., switched from east-central basin to eastern basin), except for one fish from the Sandusky River that reached the eastern basin in 2015 despite remaining in the west-central basin in 2014. Neither year nor tagging location had any effect on which part of the lake a fish was assigned to (binary logistic regressions; $P > 0.2$ for stock and year for all three locations; and a lower AIC value using a null model in each case), so these variables were left out of subsequent analyses of the factors driving the eastward migration.

The likelihood of fish reaching the east-central basin (“CBE” in Fig. 1) was unaffected by all variables in 2014 (all $P > 0.4$), with lower AIC scores for a null model than models with any combination of predictor variables. In 2015, the best model included a term for sex ($P = 0.03$), which offered a marginal improvement over a null model (i.e., McFadden $R^2 = 0.04$), while sex was not significant in a model combining data from both years ($P = 0.14$). Likewise, sex, size, age, and associated interactions were not significant predictors of the likelihood of fish remaining in the western half of the lake (“CBW” + “WB” in Fig. 1) – either by analyzing each year separately or by combining years (all $P > 0.3$). We therefore focused on presenting models examining the likelihood of fish being detected on eastern basin receivers.

A numerically greater proportion of females were detected on eastern basin receivers than were males in both years (Fig. 3), but no evidence existed for an effect of size or an interaction between size and sex on migration to the eastern basin. Sex had a significant effect on the likelihood of fish reaching the eastern basin both years ($P = 0.047$ for the 2014 model; $P = 0.038$ for the 2015 model; Table S2). Total length and its interaction with sex were insignificant predictors of arrival at eastern basin receivers for both years. With both years combined, the effect of sex was the sole variable in the best model, but the sex term was not significant ($P = 0.07$; Table S1). Nevertheless, although sex had a significant effect for each year (separately), the models predicting likelihood of migration to the eastern basin using sex as a variable offered a negligible improvement over null models (2014 - McFadden $R^2 = 0.02$; 2015 - McFadden $R^2 = 0.03$). Thus, while females were detected in the eastern basin at a higher rate than were males (33% vs. 18%), sex was a statistically weak predictor of an individual fish’s likelihood of reaching the eastern basin (Table S2).

Animal-borne temperature measurements during summer

The best model fit for walleye summer temperature data (Table 1) used three separate smoothing functions for day of the year (one for each year; Fig. S4) and included separate time-of-day smoothers based on the size class of the fish (Fig. S5). Size-specific time-of-day smoothers were initially fit using three size classes (small, medium, large) but the ‘medium’ and ‘large’ groups showed an identical diel pattern so were combined as one group, which further improved model fit (i.e., one smoother for ‘small’ fish [<525 mm] and one for average and large-sized fish [>525 mm]). The time-of-day smoothers revealed no diel pattern in temperature for small fish whereas the remaining fish tended to experience a subtle day-night differences in temperature ($\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ warmer during the day; Fig. S5). Controlling for day of the year and time-of-day patterns that arose (Fig. S5), no effects of size, sex, or their interaction were detected (all $P > 0.1$). The model explained only a modest portion of the overall variation in the iButton temperature data ($R^2 = 0.164$), which is perhaps unsurprising given the large range of temperatures available to walleye during this period, when most of the lake was thermally stratified (Fig. S6).

The effect of location (basin) could not be sufficiently assessed in these models because of poor temporal coverage and low sample sizes for the western and eastern basins (i.e., low numbers of fish with iButton data that could be matched to these locations across all levels of our smoothing functions). For example, only one iButton-retrieved fish was confirmed as having been continuously inside the western basin throughout summer, while the seven others that registered summer detections there were only confirmed to be in the western basin sporadically (based on detection on the western basin receiver line). Likewise, only three fish in the sample were confirmed as having spent time in the eastern basin during summer. As a result, inclusion of basin as a factor in models (using a smaller subset of the data for which basin is known) did

not improve model fit and the basin term was not significant ($P > 0.1$; Fig. 4). However, overall comparisons among basins (Fig. 4) revealed that, in the summer, fish in the western basin were generally restricted to the upper range of temperatures experienced by fish in the central and eastern basins. Only one iButton-retrieved fish was able to be confirmed as spending some time in the eastern basin in 2014, but its residency there was only for ~2 weeks in September so its data were pooled with the central basin data (Fig. 4 right panel). Two fish whose iButtons were retrieved were confirmed as spending their entire summer in the eastern basin in 2015. The median and maximum temperatures experienced by these two fish in the eastern basin were slightly lower than for fish in the central basin, but otherwise substantial overlap occurred (Fig. 4 left panel).

Discussion

The role of temperature in migratory behaviours

The hypothesis that walleye migrate from Lake Erie's western basin to its eastern basin due to a preference for colder water was not supported here. Although we had limited data on the temperature histories of fish that were confirmed via telemetry detections to be in the eastern basin during the summer, the thermal data logged by fish in the central basin show that fish there have access to and use a broad range of temperatures, likely similar to the range of water temperatures available. Moreover, fish in the central basin spent a considerable portion of their time at temperatures reported to be sub-optimal for walleye based on laboratory experiments (i.e., below 20°C; Barton and Barry 2011). The limited data from the eastern basin (Fig. 4) showed that median and maximum temperatures for fish that resided there during summer were slightly (0.5-1°C) cooler than in the other basins, but this subtle difference seems unlikely to be

reason alone to motivate fish to swim an extra 200+ km (round-trip) to the eastern basin. However, the possibility that thermal preference was a contributing factor cannot be ruled out. Our findings partially supported the prediction that large females migrate to the eastern basin more frequently than do smaller fish and males (Wang et al. 2007); females were about 1.8 times as likely than males to be detected in the eastern basin, but no effect of size was observed. However, large walleye were mostly females. For example, among the walleye tracked for this project (2011-2015), the median size was 567 mm (total length, min = 420 mm, max = 781 mm); 75% of females were above this median size whereas only 27% of males were longer than 567 mm.

Based on an examination of the cumulative temperatures histories of fish in different basins (Fig. 4), this study did not provide support for temperature as the reason for migration to the eastern basin, although it seems reasonable that outmigration from the western basin into the central basin could be related to water temperature (Wang et al. 2007). However, it is difficult to disentangle temperature from alternate hypotheses that themselves may be temperature-related. For example, some walleye may have pursued aggregations of soft-rayed forage fishes, like rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) and emerald shiner (*Notropis atherinoides*), into the central and eastern basins after spawning. These forage species are abundant in the eastern basin during summer while at the same time their abundances tend to be low in the western basin (Biesinger et al. 2017). Moreover, these same soft-finned species, along with round goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*), comprise the majority of walleye forage in the central and eastern basins during summer (Biesinger et al. 2017). Although they are generalist piscivores, previous evidence suggests walleye prefer soft-finned forage fishes over spiny rayed fish like yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) and white perch (*Morone americana*), two of the prey species that predominate in the

western basin during the summer (Knight et al. 1984; Hartman and Margraf 1992). Trawl surveys conducted by fisheries management agencies in Lake Erie do not occur with sufficient spatiotemporal coverage to enable a clear evaluation of whether certain forage fishes move from west to east during the summer. Sex-specific foraging preferences, which to our knowledge have not been studied in Lake Erie walleye, may also relate to why ours and a previous study (Wang et al. 2007) found that more females migrated to the eastern basin than do males. Females grow to significantly larger size than do males, and in the spring may have a body weight that is up to 25% gonads (*versus* 1-3% for males; Colby et al. 1979; Moles et al. 2008). As a result, females may, after reaching maturity, have a stronger incentive to maximize somatic growth and energy intake/storage (Henderson and Nepszy 1994).

Along with pursuit of foraging opportunities or cooler waters to the east, multiple reasons exist for adult walleye to avoid the western end of the lake during summer. For example, departure from the western basin may be selected for as a means of fish avoiding high conspecific density and competition (Hartman and Margraf 1992), and/or because it could reduce intra-specific cannibalism of young life stages (i.e., age 0-1 walleye). Moreover, the western basin and adjacent areas in the central basin are where most of the fishing pressure occurs in Lake Erie (Wills et al. 2016), which could act as a selective pressure against fish remaining resident there year-round. Negative aspects of habitat quality other than high fishing pressure and water temperatures also tend to predominate in the western basin or in the western half of the lake (e.g., harmful algal blooms, sediment pollution; Regier and Hartman 1973; Carter and Hites 1992; Michalak et al. 2013).

In contrast to our prediction, outmigration from the western basin appeared to be asynchronous and took place over a period of 6-8 weeks. This pattern contrasted with some

animal migrations in which nearly all individuals initiate migration within a short time frame (e.g., 2-4 weeks) in response to an environmental cue (e.g., Hagan et al. 1991; Orell et al. 2007). In both 2014 and 2015, outmigration was completed around the time when western basin temperatures exceeded the reported optimal range ($> 23^{\circ}\text{C}$; Barton and Barry 2011), which suggests that, for some walleye, temperature may have been a factor in the timing of outmigration. However, the rate at which fish departed appeared to remain consistent from $\sim 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ through $20\text{--}22^{\circ}\text{C}$. If a fish chooses to spend its limited post-spawning energy reserves on a 100+ km migration to the east and does so when the temperature is 15°C (as an example) *and* is doing so for thermal reasons, this implies the fish's thermal preference at that time is for temperatures below 15°C . Alternatively, the consistent warming throughout spring in the western basin could indicate to fish that supra-optimal temperatures are imminent. It is also possible that migratory behaviours or timing are learned or initiated through social interactions (e.g., young fish may follow older fish; Olson et al. 1978; Brown and Laland 2011), or that these behaviours have been genetically encoded by long-term selection pressures that favour migration.

We suspect that many walleye leave the western basin on a schedule that is relatively fixed to the time at which they complete spawning activity, which itself is thought to be linked to ice-out timing and water temperatures (Colby et al. 1979; Schneider et al. 2010). Our data showed that western basin reef-spawning males linger in the western basin longer than do females. Across their distribution, male walleye typically arrive at spawning areas before females do, and remain for a period of time after females have left (Colby et al. 1979). This behaviour may be adaptive because males can spawn several times within a season and with multiple mates; staying in the western basin provides this opportunity. In contrast, females typically release all of their eggs within hours (Colby et al. 1979). We favour these aspects of spawning

behaviour as a more likely explanation for the difference in departure timing between the sexes for reef-spawning fish than sex-specific behavioural thermoregulation.

Sex- or size-specific thermal preferences

Similar to previous findings with Maumee River walleye (Peat et al. 2015), we found no overall effect of sex or size on the thermal experiences of adult walleye in Lake Erie. As a result, we suspect that sex-specific behavioural thermoregulation was unlikely to be a primary reason why female walleye migrated to the eastern basin at a higher rate than did males. The animal-borne temperature data in our study were highly variable within most fish during summer (Fig. S6), most likely indicating that fish regularly moved through a thermocline, which in summer in Lake Erie can sometimes represent a change of more than 10°C for a vertical movement of only 3-4 m (Brandt et al. 2011). Our data do not rule out the possibility that large females tend to *prefer* colder water during summer months than do small males. Temperatures experienced by fish are likely often by-products of other behaviours unrelated to thermoregulation. The best ways to assess thermal optima or thermal preferences in the laboratory are (for the former) to measure temperature-specific growth rates (e.g., Khan et al. 2014) or, for the latter, to use a shuttle-box system (e.g., Konecki et al. 1995) that allows fish to behaviourally demonstrate their preferred temperature. To our knowledge, a shuttle-box experiment has not been performed using adult walleye, and growth rate experiments with adult walleye have only extended to waters as warm as 20°C (Kelso 1972). Nevertheless, no evidence for sex or size-specific behavioural thermoregulation occurred here or in a previous study (Peat et al. 2015), which lends confidence that our result was not a false negative.

Conclusion, future work, and significance

Collectively, our results supported the hypothesis that post-spawning emigration of Lake Erie walleye from the western basin was, at least partially, driven by temperature, but that future research into other factors (e.g., foraging) will be required to explain the extended migration that some fish made to the lake's eastern basin. Avoidance of high conspecific density and competition combined with foraging opportunities may be a particularly important covariates of outmigration from the western basin given that overall walleye abundance is highest in the west end of the lake based on netting surveys (Kayle et al. 2015).

Investigating mechanisms involved in the appearance or disappearance of migratory phenotypes is fundamentally challenging because these questions do not easily lend themselves to experimental manipulation. Lake Erie, like most large ecosystems, is heterogeneous in several ways, many of which might contribute to the development of migratory phenotypes. A more detailed investigation into differences among fish that seasonally migrate to different areas (e.g., growth rates, diet composition, thermal preferences) across years with contrasting thermal regimes could provide further insight into the selective advantages and disadvantages of different migratory behaviours as they relate to temperature. Future research could incorporate a comparative analysis of the role of other habitat variables known to be important to walleye habitat, like turbidity and light levels (Colby et al. 1979). Counterintuitively, while seasonal hypoxia in the hypolimnion of the central basin of Lake Erie may eliminate thermal refuge habitats, bioenergetics modeling indicated that concentration of prey in marginal habitats could increase suitability of the central basin for walleye (Brandt et al. 2011). This intriguing idea may, in part, explain why some walleye migrate to and remain in the central basin throughout summer. Lake Erie walleye may be a good system for such questions, given the apparent repeatability of

migratory behaviours and the relatively high long-term fisheries-recapture rates for our telemetry-tagged walleye (~30%), which can enable recovery of animal-borne data loggers (e.g., temperature, acceleration, depth) and analysis of growth patterns (otoliths). Such knowledge would aid in the larger effort to understand the causes, ontogeny, and functional effects of different migratory behaviours (Wilcove and Wikelski 2008; Bowlin et al. 2010; Lennox et al. 2016), each of which has important conservation implications (Caro 1999).

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully thank the staff at the Sandusky and Fairport Fisheries Research Stations (Ohio Department of Natural Resources), the Lake Erie Management Unit (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry), the Waterford Fisheries Station- West (Michigan Department of Natural Resources), the Lake Erie and Hammond Bay Biological Stations (United States Geological Service, Great Lakes Science Center), and the Lower Great Lakes Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office (United States Fish and Wildlife Service) for maintaining the acoustic receiver network throughout the duration of the study. Special thanks also to K. Murchie (Carleton University), J. Ives (Great Lakes Fishery Commission), S. Zahn Seegert (Great Lakes Fishery Commission) for their assistance in implanting the acoustic transmitters into fish in the field, and to C. Holbrook (Hammond Bay Biological Station) for providing study design recommendations. N. Nate (USGS, Hammond Bay Biological Station) provided data management support. L. Gutowsky provided useful advice on statistical modeling. The Great Lakes Fishery Commission distributed rewards for walleye harvested with thermal loggers. This work was supported by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission by way of Great Lakes Restoration

Initiative appropriations (GL-00E23010). Further support was provided by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, the University of Windsor (Canada and Ontario Agreement Research Funding 0749 to Zhao), and Ocean Tracking Network Canada. Fisk and Cooke were supported by the Canada Research Chairs program. All Great Lakes Science Center sampling and handling of fish during research are carried out in accordance with guidelines for the care and use of fishes by the American Fisheries Society (<http://fisheries.org/docs/wp/Guidelines-for-Use-of-Fishes.pdf>). This paper is Contribution 36 of the Great Lakes Acoustic Telemetry Observation System (GLATOS). Use of trade, product, or firm names does not imply endorsement by the United States Government.

References

- Baird, O., and Krueger, C. 2003. Behavioral thermoregulation of brook and rainbow trout: comparison of summer habitat use in an Adirondack River, New York. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **132**: 1194–1206.
- Barton, B.A., and Barry, T.P. 2011. Reproduction and environmental biology. *In* *Biology, Management, and Culture of Sauger and Walleye. Edited by B.A. Barton.* American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. pp. 199–223.
- Biesinger, Z., Steinhart, G., Clapsadl, M., Deller, J., Briggs, A., Hessenauer, J.-M., Hosack, M., Kocovsky, P., MacDougall, T., Markham, J., Marson, D., Penton, P., and Weimer, E. 2017. Report of the Lake Erie Forage Task Group. *In* *Lake Erie Committee, Great Lakes Fishery Commission.*
- Bowlin, M.S., Bisson, I.-A., Shamoun-Baranes, J., Reichard, J.D., Sapir, N., Marra, P.P., Kunz, T.H., Wilcove, D.S., Hedenstrom, A., Guglielmo, C.G., Susanne, A., Ramenofsky, M., and

- Wikelski, M. 2010. Grand challenges in migration biology. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **50**(3): 261–279. doi:10.1093/icb/icq013.
- Brandt, S.B., Costantini, M., Kolesar, S., Ludsin, S.A., Mason, D.M., Rae, C.M., and Zhang, H. 2011. Does hypoxia reduce habitat quality for Lake Erie walleye (*Sander vitreus*)? A bioenergetics perspective. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **68**(5): 857–879. doi:10.1139/f2011-018.
- Brown, C., and Laland, K. 2011. Social learning in fishes. *In* *Fish Cognition and Behavior*. Edited by C. Brown, K. Laland, and J. Krause. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK. pp. 240–257. doi:10.1126/science.259.5101.1627.
- Caro, T. 1999. The behaviour-conservation interface. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **14**(9): 366–369. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01663-8.
- Carter, D.S., and Hites, R.A. 1992. Fate and transport of Detroit River derived pollutants throughout Lake Erie. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **26**(7): 1333–1341.
- Colby, P.J., McNicol, R.E., and Ryder, R.A. 1979. Synopsis of biological data on the walleye *Stizostedion v. vitreum* (Mitchill 1818). Rome.
- Daufresne, M., Lengfellner, K., and Sommer, U. 2009. Global warming benefits the small in aquatic ecosystems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**(31): 12788–12793.
- Donaldson, M.R., Cooke, S.J., Patterson, D.A., Hinch, S.G., Robichaud, D., Hanson, K.C., Olsson, I., Crossin, G.T., English, K.K., and Farrell, A.P. 2009. Limited behavioural thermoregulation by adult upriver-migrating sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) in the Lower Fraser River, British Columbia. *Can. J. Zool.* **87**(6): 480–490. doi:10.1139/z09-032.
- Hagan, J.M., Lloyd-evans, T.L., and Atwood, J.L. 1991. The relationship between latitude and the timing of spring migration of North American landbirds. *Ornis Scand.* **22**(2): 129–136.
- Hartman, K.J., and Margraf, F.J. 1992. Effects of prey and predator abundances on prey

- 528 consumption and growth of walleye in western Lake Erie. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **121**: 245–
529 260.
- 530 Hayden, T.A., Holbrook, C.M., Fielder, D.G., Vandergoot, C.S., Bergstedt, R.A., Dettmers, J.M.,
531 Krueger, C.C., and Cooke, S.J. 2014. Acoustic telemetry reveals large-scale migration
532 patterns of walleye in Lake Huron. *PLoS One* **9**(12): e114833.
533 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114833.
- 534 Henderson, B.A., and Nepszy, S.J. 1994. Reproductive tactics of walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum*)
535 in Lake Erie. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **51**: 986–997.
- 536 Hokanson, K.E.F. 1977. Temperature requirements of some percids and adaptations to the
537 seasonal temperature cycle. *J. Fish. Res. Board Canada* **34**(10): 1524–1550.
538 doi:10.1139/f77-217.
- 539 Hutchison, V.H., and Maness, J.D. 1979. The role of behavior in temperature acclimation and
540 tolerance in ectotherms. *Am. Zool.* **19**(1): 367–384.
- 541 Isermann, D.A., Meerbeek, J.R., Scholten, G.D., and Willis, D.W. 2003. Evaluation of three
542 different structures used for walleye age estimation with emphasis on removal and
543 processing times. *North Am. J. Fish. Manag.* **23**(2): 625–631. doi:10.1577/1548-
544 8675(2003)023<0625:EOTDSU>2.0.CO;2.
- 545 Johansen, H., and Cross, A. 1980. Effects of sexual maturation and sex steroid hormone
546 treatment on the temperature preference of the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters). *Can. J.*
547 *Zool.* **58**: 586–588.
- 548 Kayle, K., Oldenburg, K., Murray, C., Francis, J., and Markham, J. 2015. Lake Erie walleye
549 management plan 2015-2019. Available from
550 http://www.glfc.org/lakecom/lec/LEC_docs/position_statements/walleye_managment_plan.

- pdf.
- Kelso, J.R.M. 1972. Conversion, maintenance, and assimilation for walleye, *Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*, as affected by size, diet, and temperature. *J. Fish. Res. Board Canada* **29**: 1181–1192.
- Kershner, M.W., Schael, D.M., Knight, R.L., Stein, R.A., and Marschall, E.A. 1999. Modeling sources of variation for growth and predatory demand of Lake Erie walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum*), 1986-1995. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **56**(4): 527–538. doi:10.1139/cjfas-56-4-527.
- Khan, J.R., Pether, S., Bruce, M., Walker, S.P., and Herbert, N.A. 2014. Optimum temperatures for growth and feed conversion in cultured hapuku (*Polyprion oxygeneios*) - Is there a link to aerobic metabolic scope and final temperature preference? *Aquaculture* **430**: 107–113. Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.03.046.
- Konecki, J.T., Woody, C.A., and Quinn, T.P. 1995. Temperature preference in two populations of juvenile coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* **44**: 417–421. doi:10.1007/BF00008256.
- Lafrance, P., Castonguay, M., Chabot, D., and Audet, C. 2005. Ontogenetic changes in temperature preference of Atlantic cod. *J. Fish Biol.* **66**: 553–567. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2005.00623.x.
- Lefevre, S., McKenzie, D.J., and Nilsson, G.E. 2017. Models projecting the fate of fish populations under climate change need to be based on valid physiological mechanisms. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**(9): 3449–3459. doi:10.1111/gcb.13652.
- Lennox, R.J., Chapman, J.M., Souliere, C.M., Tudorache, C., Wikelski, M., Metcalfe, J.D., and Cooke, S.J. 2016. Conservation physiology of animal migration. *Conserv. Physiol.* **4**(1): 1–15. doi:10.1093/conphys/cov072.

- 574 Magnuson, J., Crowder, L., and Madvick, P. 1979. Temperature as an ecological resource. *Am.*
575 *Zool.* **19**: 331–343. doi:10.1086/280210.
- 576 Michalak, A.M., Anderson, E.J., Beletsky, D., Boland, S., Bosch, N.S., Bridgeman, T.B.,
577 Chaffin, J.D., Cho, K., Confesor, R., Daloglu, I., Depinto, J. V, Evans, M.A., Fahnenstiel,
578 G.L., He, L., Ho, J.C., Jenkins, L., Johengen, T.H., Kuo, K.C., Laporte, E., Liu, X.,
579 McWilliams, M.R., Moore, M.R., Posselt, D.J., Richards, R.P., Scavia, D., Steiner, A.L.,
580 Verhamme, E., Wright, D.M., and Zagorski, M.A. 2013. Record-setting algal bloom in
581 Lake Erie caused by agricultural and meteorological trends consistent with expected future
582 conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**(16): 6448–6452.
583 doi:10.1073/pnas.1216006110.
- 584 Moles, M.D., Johnston, T.A., Robinson, B.W., Leggett, W.C., and Casselman, J.M. 2008. Is
585 gonadal investment in walleye (*Sander vitreus*) dependent on body lipid reserves? A
586 multipopulation comparative analysis. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **65**: 600–614.
587 doi:10.1139/f07-186.
- 588 Morita, K., Fukuwaka, M., Tanimata, N., and Yamamura, O. 2010. Size-dependent thermal
589 preferences in a pelagic fish. *Oikos* **119**: 1265–1271. doi:10.1111/j.1600-
590 0706.2009.18125.x.
- 591 Mortimer, C.H. 1987. Fifty years of physical investigations and related limnological studies on
592 Lake Erie, 1928–1977. *J. Great Lakes Res.* **13**(4): 407–435. Elsevier. doi:10.1016/S0380-
593 1330(87)71664-5.
- 594 Ohlberger, J. 2013. Climate warming and ectotherm body size - from individual physiology to
595 community ecology. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**(4): 991–1001. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12098.
- 596 Olson, D.E., Schupp, D.H., and Macins, V. 1978. An hypothesis of homing behavior of walleyes

- 597 as related to observed patterns of passive and active movements. *In* Selected coolwater
598 fishes of North America. *Edited by* L. Kendall. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.
599 pp. 52–57.
- 600 Orell, P., Erkinaro, J., Svenning, M.A., Davidsen, J.G., and Niemelä, E. 2007. Synchrony in the
601 downstream migration of smolts and upstream migration of adult Atlantic salmon in the
602 subarctic River Utsjoki. *J. Fish Biol.* **71**(6): 1735–1750. doi:10.1111/j.1095-
603 8649.2007.01641.x.
- 604 Peat, T.B., Hayden, T.A., Gutowsky, L.F.G., Vandergoot, C.S., Fielder, D.G., Madenjian, C.P.,
605 Murchie, K.J., Dettmers, J.M., Krueger, C.C., and Cooke, S.J. 2015. Seasonal thermal
606 ecology of adult walleye (*Sander vitreus*) in Lake Huron and Lake Erie. *J. Therm. Biol.* **53**:
607 98–106. Elsevier. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.08.009.
- 608 Regier, H.A., and Hartman, W.L. 1973. Lake Erie's fish community: 150 years of cultural
609 stresses. *Science* (80-.). **180**(4092): 1248–1255.
- 610 Ryan, P.A., Knight, R., MacGregor, R., Towns, G., Hoopes, R., and Culligan, W. 2003. Fish
611 community goals and objectives for Lake Erie. Ann Arbor, MI.
- 612 Schmalz, P., Fayram, A., Isermann, D., Newman, S., and Edwards, C. 2011. Harvest and
613 exploitation. *In* Biology, Management, and Culture of Walleye and Sauger. *Edited by* B.
614 Barton. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD. pp. 375–401.
- 615 Schneider, K.N., Newman, R.M., Card, V., Weisberg, S., and Pereira, D.L. 2010. Timing of
616 walleye spawning as an indicator of climate change. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **139**(4): 1198–
617 1210. doi:10.1577/T09-129.1.
- 618 Shine, R. 1988. The evolution of large body size in females : a critique of Darwin's "Fecundity
619 Advantage" model. *Am. Nat.* **131**(1): 124–131.

- 620 Sims, D.W., Wearmouth, V.J., Genner, M.J., Southward, A.J., and Hawkins, S.J. 2004. Low-
621 temperature-driven early spawning migration of a temperate marine fish. *J. Anim. Ecol.*
622 **73**(2): 333–341. doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00810.x.
- 623 Sims, D.W., Wearmouth, V.J., Southall, E.J., Hill, J.M., Moore, P., Rawlinson, K., Hutchinson,
624 N., Budd, G.C., Righton, D., Metcalfe, J.D., Nash, J.O.N.P., and Morritt, D. 2006. Hunt
625 warm, rest cool: bioenergetic strategy underlying diel vertical migration of a benthic shark.
626 *J. Anim. Ecol.* **75**: 176–190. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.01033.x.
- 627 Swain, D.P. 1997. Sex-specific temperature distribution of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides*
628 *platessoides*) and its relation to age and abundance. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **54**: 1077–1087.
- 629 Therneau, T. 2015. survival. Available from [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html)
630 [project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html).
- 631 Trepanier, S., Rodriguez, M.A., and Magnan, P. 1996. Spawning migrations in landlocked
632 Atlantic salmon: time series modelling of river discharge and water temperature effects. *J.*
633 *Fish Biol.* **48**(5): 925–936. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. doi:10.1111/j.1095-
634 8649.1996.tb01487.x.
- 635 Vandergoot, C.S., and Brenden, T.O. 2014. Spatially varying population demographics and
636 fishery characteristics of Lake Erie walleyes inferred from a long-term tag recovery study.
637 *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **143**(1): 188–204. doi:10.1080/00028487.2013.837095.
- 638 Vandergoot, C.S., Cook, H.A., Thomas, M.V., Einhouse, D.W., and Murray, C. 2010. Status of
639 walleye in western Lake Erie, 1985-2006. *Gt. Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep.* 69 - Status
640 walleye *Gt. Lakes Proc. 2006 Symp.*: 123–150.
- 641 Venables, W., and Ripley, B. 2002. R package “MASS” version 7.3 (2017-02-26).
- 642 Wagner, G.N., Cooke, S.J., Brown, R.S., and Deters, K.A. 2011. Surgical implantation

- 643 techniques for electronic tags in fish. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* **21**(1): 71–81.
644 doi:10.1007/s11160-010-9191-5.
- 645 Wang, H.-Y., Rutherford, E.S., Cook, H.A., Einhouse, D.W., Haas, R.C., Johnson, T.B.,
646 Kenyon, R., Locke, B., and Turner, M.W. 2007. Movement of walleyes in Lakes Erie and
647 St. Clair inferred from tag return and fisheries data. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **136**(2): 539–551.
648 doi:10.1577/T06-012.1.
- 649 Wilcove, D.S., and Wikelski, M. 2008a. Going, going, gone: Is animal migration disappearing?
650 *PLOS Biol.* **6**(7): e188. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060188.
- 651 Wilcove, D.S., and Wikelski, M. 2008b. Going, going, gone: Is animal migration disappearing?
652 *PLOS Biol.* **6**(7): e188. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060188.
- 653 Wills, T., Robinson, J., Faust, M., Gorman, A.M., Belore, M., Cook, A., Drouin, R.,
654 MacDougall, T., Zhao, Y., Murray, C., and Hosack, M. 2016. Report for 2015 by the Lake
655 Erie Walleye Task Group.
- 656 Wood, S. 2017. mgcv. Available from <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mgcv/index.html>.
- 657 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N.J., Saveliev, A. a, Smith, G.M., and Ebooks Corporation.
658 2009. *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Stat. Biol. Heal. (2007):
659 579 p. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-87458-6.

Tables

Table 1. The importance of each of the two smoothing function terms (day of the year by year and time of day by size grouping), the size-specific variance covariate, and the correlation structure (Time | Animal ID) to the fit of our model predicting the thermal experience of walleye in Lake Erie during summer (June 21 – September 21). The terms in the table below are based on a comparison of models with and without each of the components listed using the ‘anova’ function in R. Terms for fish length, sex, and their interaction were all insignificant ($P > 0.05$) when added to the model, as was fish age. The approximate significance of the day of the year (by year) smoothing functions within the model were, for 2013: $F_{7,2} = 36.7$, $P < 0.001$; for 2014: $F_{7,2} = 17.7$, $P < 0.001$; for 2015: $F_{5,9} = 21.6$, $P < 0.001$. For the time-of-day smoothing functions, the approximate significance was $F_{7,5} = 76.7$, $P < 0.001$ (medium and large fish), and $F_{4,3} = 3.9$, $P < 0.001$.

Model term	<i>L</i> ratio	df	<i>P</i> -value
Day of the year (by year) smoothing function	321.4	7	< 0.001
Time of day (by size class) smoothing function	552.7	11	< 0.001
Size class (variance structure)	287.7	12	< 0.001
Time Animal ID (temporal correlation function)	2340.5	12	< 0.001
			Model $R^2 = 0.164$

Figure captions

Fig. 1: Bathymetric map of Lake Erie with receiver locations in 2015 (red circles) and all the locations (yellow triangles) at which fish were captured, tagged, and released throughout the study. The terms in bold italics denote the five migration zone to which fish were assigned for analyses, based on telemetry detections (corresponding to the data in Fig. 3).

Fig. 2: Kaplan-Meier survival curves based on the outmigration timing (left-axis) of western basin walleye (with 95% confidence intervals, shaded in light grey). The right panel includes the water temperature profiles for the western basin (right axis), which represent the mean $\pm$ one standard deviation based on the temperature data taken from 2 m depth at 13 locations (shown in Fig. S2) in the western basin using a thermal forecast model. The right panel is a comparison of all fish from both years (stocks/sexes pooled; $N = 137$ in 2014, $N = 73$ in 2015, with 60 of these 73 also present in the 2014 sample), while the left panel is a male-female comparison (both years, all stocks pooled).

Fig. 3: Sex-specific and overall broad-scale movement patterns for western Lake Erie walleye in 2014 ($N = 168$ fish) and 2015 ($N = 119$ fish) shown as the percentage of fish that migrated to each part of the lake. For the year-specific estimates, the estimated percentage of females that migrated to each area are shown in grey triangles while males are shown in black circles (with error bars). The error bars represent 95% confidence intervals for the estimates and were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method. Each panel provides the percentage of fish (within that sex/year group, or overall) that were determined to have migrated to each of the five migration zones shown in Fig. 1 (based on telemetry detections, see *Methods*).

703

704 **Fig. 4:** Box-and-whisker comparisons among Lake Erie's three basins of the visceral
705 temperature of walleye during summer (June 21 – September 21). Numbers along the bottom of
706 each boxplot indicate sample sizes (number of unique fish / total number of temperature
707 measurements). The thick horizontal line in the middle of each box denotes the median (middle
708 50% value), the lower and upper edges of each box correspond to the 1st and 3rd quartiles,
709 respectively (i.e., the box shows the middle 50% of the data distribution), and upper and lower
710 whiskers are 1.5× the interquartile range (or the most extreme high/low value, respectively, in
711 the dataset, whichever is closer to the median). Note that eastern basin was not distinguished
712 from the central basin in 2014 because only one fish in our iButton dataset was confirmed as
713 having been in the eastern basin in 2014, and its presence was restricted to September,
714 precluding a whole-summer comparison – the two basins were therefore pooled.

715

Fig. 1: Bathymetric map of Lake Erie with receiver locations in 2015 (red circles) and all the locations (yellow triangles) at which fish were captured, tagged, and released throughout the study. The terms in bold italics denote the five migration zone to which fish were assigned for analyses, based on telemetry detections (corresponding to the data in Fig. 3). A visual time series of receiver deployments for the system over the entire study period is available online as a video (https://youtu.be/_vQrDQYQTRI).

45x25mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 2: Kaplan-Meier survival curves based on the outmigration timing (left-axis) of western basin walleye (with 95% confidence intervals, shaded in light grey). The right panel includes the water temperature profiles for the western basin (right axis), which represent the mean $\pm$ one standard deviation based on the temperature data taken from 2 m depth at 13 locations (shown in Fig. S2) in the western basin using a thermal forecast model. The right panel is a comparison of all fish from both years (stocks/sexes pooled; $N = 137$ in 2014, $N = 73$ in 2015, with 60 of these 73 also present in the 2014 sample), while the left panel is a male-female comparison (both years, all stocks pooled).

177x113mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 3: Sex-specific and overall broad-scale movement patterns for western Lake Erie walleye in 2014 (N = 168 fish) and 2015 (N = 119 fish). For the year-specific estimates, estimated rates of migration for females are shown in grey triangles while males are shown in black circles (with error bars). The error bars represent 95% confidence intervals for the estimates and were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method. Each panel provides the percentage of fish (within that sex/year group, or overall) that were assigned, based on telemetry detections (see Methods), to each of the five migration zones shown in Fig. 1.

152x76mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 4: Box-and-whisker comparisons among Lake Erie's three basins of the visceral temperature of walleye during summer (June 21 – September 21). Numbers along the bottom of each boxplot indicate sample sizes (number of unique fish / total number of temperature measurements). The thick horizontal line in the middle of each box denotes the median (middle 50% value), the lower and upper edges of each box correspond to the 1st and 3rd quartiles, respectively (i.e., the box shows the middle 50% of the data distribution), and upper and lower whiskers are 1.5× the interquartile range (or the most extreme high/low value, respectively, in the dataset, whichever is closer to the median). Note that eastern basin was not distinguished from the central basin in 2014 because only one fish in our iButton dataset was confirmed as having been in the eastern basin in 2014, and its presence was restricted to September, precluding a whole-summer comparison – the two basins were therefore pooled.

203x135mm (300 x 300 DPI)