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Abstract

Background: Advanced cancer brings substantial physical and psychosocial challenges that may contribute to emotional distress and diminish wellbeing. In this study, we present preliminary data concerning the effectiveness of a new brief individual psychotherapy, Managing Cancer And Living Meaningfully (CALM), designed to help individuals cope with this circumstance.

Aim: To test the feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of CALM to reduce emotional distress and promote psychological wellbeing and growth. Design: CALM is a brief, manualized, semi-structured individual psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer. This study employed a phase 2 intervention-only design. The primary outcome was depressive symptoms and the secondary outcomes were death anxiety, attachment security, spiritual wellbeing and psychological growth. These were assessed at 3 months (t1) and 6 months (t2). Multilevel regression was used to model change over time. Setting/participants: Fifty patients with advanced or metastatic cancer were recruited from the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Canada. Results: Thirty-nine patients (78%) were assessed at baseline, 24 (48%) at t1, and 16 (32%) at t2. Analyses revealed reductions over time in depressive symptoms, beta = -0.13, CI.95 (-0.23, -0.022), and death anxiety, beta = -0.23, CI.95 (-0.40, -0.061), and an increase in spiritual wellbeing, beta = 0.14, CI.95 (0.026, 0.26). Conclusions: CALM may be a feasible intervention to benefit patients with advanced cancer. The results are encouraging despite attrition and small effect sizes, and support further study.
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Introduction

Metastatic cancer is associated with numerous challenges, including multiple physical symptoms and progressive physical deterioration. These may trigger fears about dependency and the loss of autonomy, mortality and threat to the values that shape meaning in life. Disease burden and a variety of psychological and social factors have been associated with depression and demoralization, death anxiety, and the loss of a sense of meaning and purpose. While patients near the very end of life may be focused on life closure and death preparation, those with longer expected survival must balance the strains of illness and impending mortality with the goals of living in the present, a phenomenon that has been termed “double awareness.”

In a longitudinal, mixed methods prospective study of patients with metastatic gastrointestinal and lung cancer with an expected survival of 12-18 months, more than one quarter of these individuals reported clinically significant depressive symptoms. We have proposed that these symptoms may be understood as a final common pathway of distress within the context of impending mortality, emerging in response to the interaction of disease-related, individual and psychosocial factors. Prominent amongst these are the physical burden of disease, a relative lack of attachment security (i.e. confidence about the availability of supportive relationships and the capacity to make use of them for emotional support), self-esteem, and sense of meaning and faith.
Depressive symptoms and other forms of distress in advanced cancer, such as that related to dying and death, have been found to adversely affect quality of life, compliance with medical treatment, distress in caregivers and appropriate health care utilization. Unfortunately, distress may often go undetected and untreated in cancer patients. In order to improve these low rates of detection and treatment, routine computerized distress screening has been widely recommended as a standard in cancer care. Its benefit inevitably depends upon the systematic availability of effective interventions that follow detection. However, standardized and validated individual interventions tailored to alleviate distress in this unique population are limited. There is evidence that patients with advanced cancer tend to prefer psychological treatments over psychopharmacological ones. The former have the potential not only to relieve distress but also to promote psychological wellbeing and growth in attachment security and spiritual wellbeing, the latter referring to feelings of meaning, peace and faith. Although group therapy for cancer patients has received considerable attention in the literature, individual psychotherapy is preferred by patients with advanced disease and is often more feasible to deliver because sessions can be flexibly tailored to individual needs, taking into account other clinic appointments and fluctuations in health status.

To address the gap in evidence-based, brief individual therapies designed for this population, we developed a manualized psychotherapeutic intervention to alleviate distress and to promote psychological wellbeing and growth in patients with metastatic cancer. This psychotherapy, entitled CALM (Managing Cancer And Living Meaningfully), was designed to
address the specific problems and risk factors that affect mental health in this circumstance.\textsuperscript{2,3,11} Although many psychotherapeutic modalities have been used to treat distress (e.g. cognitive behavioral therapy and interpersonal therapy), positive outcomes and sustained improvement may be most likely when treatment is also directed at etiological and pathogenic factors.\textsuperscript{36} A conceptual model of the outcomes targeted by CALM and their interrelationships in the context of advanced cancer are shown in Figure 1.

CALM addresses four main domains that are relevant to patients with advanced disease: symptom control and communication with health care providers; self-concept and relations with close others; spiritual wellbeing and the values and beliefs that provide meaning and purpose in life; and preparing for the future, sustaining hope, and facing mortality. This approach provides support and reflective space for the processing of thoughts and emotions and facilitates the resolution of practical and existential questions that face individuals with metastatic disease. It shares features with manualized supportive expressive,\textsuperscript{37-42} cognitive existential,\textsuperscript{43,44} and meaning-centered\textsuperscript{45} group psychotherapies applied to patients with advanced and terminal disease.

The purpose of the present study was to examine the feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of CALM. We recruited individuals with advanced or metastatic cancer to pilot test recruitment, treatment and follow-up procedures prior to the initiation of a large randomized controlled trial (RCT) of CALM. We hypothesized that there would be a reduction in depressive symptoms and
death anxiety over time, and an increase in attachment security, spiritual wellbeing and psychological growth. The primary outcome was depressive symptoms, with the remaining outcomes being secondary.

Methods

Setting, Participants and Procedure

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the University Health Network. Recruitment occurred from January 2009 to May 2011 at the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, part of University Health Network, in Toronto, Canada. Psychosocial services are provided by psychiatrists, social workers, psychologists and spiritual care experts at the Princess Margaret. Referral to psychosocial oncology occurs in approximately one third of patients with advanced cancer, of whom one third are seen in psychiatry or psychology consultations. The majority of psychosocial care involves brief supportive interactions and instrumental assistance, without delivery of psychotherapy. The palliative care program consists of a 12-bed acute palliative care unit, an inpatient consultation service and outpatient pain and palliative care clinics. Although symptom distress is routinely addressed by palliative care staff, they are not trained to deliver specialized psychotherapeutic interventions.

The study was a phase 2 trial involving an intervention-only design. Our reporting is consistent with the Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs (TREND) guidelines amended for this design. The primary outcome was depressive symptoms and the
secondary outcomes were death anxiety, attachment security, spiritual wellbeing and psychological growth. Eligible patients were at least 18 years of age; had a confirmed diagnosis of Stage IV cancer, or Stage III lung cancer due to its short prognosis; did not have cognitive impairment documented in their medical chart; were sufficiently fluent in English to provide informed consent and to participate in the intervention; and were interested in individual psychotherapy to assist in their coping with disease. A research assistant approached potential participants during routine visits to clinics in Medical Oncology or Psychosocial Oncology at the Princess Margaret. The research assistant described the intervention to patients and obtained written informed consent from those who agreed to participate in this study. Participants were then asked to give basic medical and demographic information and to complete self-report questionnaires at the start of therapy (t0) and at 3 months (t1) and 6 months (t2). One hundred forty-one patients were identified for approach concerning study participation, of whom 50 (35%) consented (see Figure 2). No demographic data is available concerning those who did not consent.

**Intervention**

Managing Cancer And Living Meaningfully (CALM) is a brief, manualized, semi-structured, individual psychotherapy designed for patients with advanced cancer to relieve distress and promote wellbeing and growth.\(^{34,35}\) It was developed from empirical data, clinical observations, and the foundations of relational,\(^{48}\) attachment\(^{49}\) and existential\(^{50}\) theory. CALM includes 3-8 individual sessions delivered over 6 months with each session lasting 60 minutes. The sessions
address four domains: 1) symptom management and communication with health
care providers; 2) changes in self and relations with close others; 3) spiritual wellbeing, or the
sense of meaning and purpose; and 4) preparing for the future, sustaining hope and facing
mortality. All domains are explored with every patient, but discussion of each domain varies
based on the concerns most relevant to each person. Each participant’s primary caregiver (i.e.
spouse or partner, adult son or daughter) is offered the opportunity to participate in one or
more of the therapy sessions, as deemed appropriate by the therapist and with the patient’s
permission. Caregivers provided informed consent prior to participating in therapy sessions.

CALM was delivered by 6 master's level social workers, 2 psychiatrists, and 1 oncologist in the
Department of Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care at the Princess Margaret Cancer
Centre. Each therapist had over 5 years of experience working with cancer patients prior to
CALM training. Therapists were deemed competent to provide CALM after attending a four-
hour training session and receiving 15 hours of case supervision from the clinician investigators
who developed the intervention (GR and SH). Continuing weekly group supervision sessions for
case formulation and discussion ensured treatment adherence and skill development
throughout the course of study.

Measures

Medical and demographic data were obtained from participants at recruitment and also
extracted from medical charts.
Depressive symptoms were measured using the validated Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9),^51^ a 9-item scale that has been widely used in cancer.^52^ The scale produces a summed score ranging from 0-27, with higher scores indicating greater depressive symptoms.

Spiritual wellbeing was measured using the 12-item Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Spiritual Wellbeing Scale (FACIT-Sp-12), validated for use in cancer.^26^ This instrument assesses aspects of spiritual wellbeing, including a sense of meaning and purpose, feelings of peace and harmony, and feelings of comfort and strength derived from one’s faith or spiritual beliefs. The scale generates an overall spiritual wellbeing score that may range from 0-48. Higher values indicate greater wellbeing.

Death anxiety was measured using the 15-item Death and Dying Distress Scale (DADDS), which was designed and validated for use in advanced cancer.^4^ This scale asks individuals to rate how distressed they felt over the past two weeks about specific thoughts or concerns that may be experienced by patients with life-threatening illness. The items capture anxieties about the loss of time and opportunity, the process of dying and death, and the anticipated impact of the patient’s death on others. Scores may range from 0-75, with higher scores indicating greater distress about death and dying.
Attachment security in close relationships was assessed using the 16-item Modified Experiences in Close Relationships scale (ECR-M16), validated in advanced cancer. It has two subscales, one of which assesses anxious attachment (i.e. fear of abandonment) and the other avoidant attachment (i.e. defensive independence and discomfort with closeness). Subscale scores may range from 8-56, with higher scores indicating greater attachment anxiety or avoidance.

Psychological growth over the preceding 6 months was measured using the 21-item Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), previously used in cancer to assess positive psychological changes that occur following trauma. The PTGI provides a total score based on the perception of new possibilities and spiritual change, and growth in inner strength, relations with others, and greater appreciation of life following trauma. Scores may range from 0-105, with higher values indicating greater growth.

Statistical Analysis
To determine whether there were significant changes in outcomes over time, multilevel modeling was used to predict the trajectory of each variable as a function of the number of months since the start of CALM therapy. An advantage of multilevel models over traditional repeated measures analyses is that they can utilize data from subjects with missing longitudinal assessments in the estimation of effects and can accommodate differences in the timing of assessments. In our models, patient was specified as the higher level with repeated measures as the lower level. Patient intercepts were set as random effects, but the effect of time was not
because preliminary model fitting indicated that there was negligible variation. Estimates of change over time corrected for initial baseline scores.

**Results**

Of 50 patients consented, 41 (82%) provided demographic information (see Table 1). Figure 2 provides participation details and assessment completion rates. The t1 assessment was taken on average 3.6 months, $SD = 0.75$, after the t0 assessment and the t2 assessment 7.2 months, $SD = 1.4$, after t0. At the t1 assessment, 26 of 50 patients (52%) were lost to follow-up, and at the t2 assessment, 34 of 50 patients (68%) were lost to follow-up.

See Table 1 for information about the number of CALM sessions received by patients. Thirty-eight percent of the sample received 3-5 sessions, and 20% of patients received 6-8 sessions. Greater frequency of CALM sessions was associated with study completion at t2, $F(1,37) = 9.22$, $p = 0.004$. Individuals who completed the study had a mean of 5 CALM sessions, $SD = 1.4$, compared to a mean of 3 sessions, $SD = 1.9$, for those who did not complete the study.

Caregivers attended CALM therapy sessions with the patient in 13 out of 50 cases, or 26% of the sample.

In Table 2, we present the means of each outcome by assessment. See Table 3 for the initial estimates of the variation found at the patient level and the level of the repeated assessments before entry of the explanatory effect of time. For most variables, there was more variation
between patients than within patients in their repeated measures. The exception was death anxiety, where 52% of the variation was attributable to within-patient changes in repeated assessments.

Table 4 describes the regression results in which outcomes were regressed on time. There were statistically significant changes in depressive symptoms, death anxiety and spiritual wellbeing. The largest effect was found for death anxiety, which declined by about one and one third points per month. Depressive symptoms declined by about one quarter of a point each month and spiritual wellbeing increased by about half a point each month. The magnitude of the standardized regression estimates indicate that these are small effect sizes. There remained significant residual variance unexplained by the effect of time in the repeated measurement of death anxiety, residual = 126; depressive symptoms, residual = 5; and spiritual wellbeing, residual = 20, all $p$’s < 0.0001.

Lastly, we conducted a sub-analysis of only individuals who had completed at least 3 sessions of CALM therapy. The reductions over time in depressive symptoms, $p = 0.02$, and death anxiety, $p = 0.02$, were robust although the effect on spiritual wellbeing was not, $p = 0.06$.

**Discussion**

In this phase 2, intervention-only study with follow-up assessments at 3 and 6 months, we recruited a small sample of patients with advanced or metastatic cancer to test the feasibility
and preliminary effectiveness of CALM, prior to initiation of an RCT. Attrition was high, with only 58% of patients completing at least 3 sessions of CALM, 48% completing the t1 follow-up assessment, and 32% completing the t2 follow-up. Study completion was associated with longer duration of therapy, which may be because better physical health allowed patients to complete both clinical and research components of this study. The most common known reasons for loss to follow-up were death and physical decline, reported by 25% of lost participants at t1 and 70% of lost participants at t2. This attrition rate highlights the difficulty recruiting, treating and retaining a population with progressive physical impairment and a limited life expectancy. Efforts are now being made to recruit patients closer to the time of detection of metastases or of advanced disease. This may help to ensure that the intervention is delivered to patients who are facing the problems of advanced disease and impending mortality, but are still well enough to engage in, complete, and benefit from a brief course of outpatient psychotherapy.

Despite substantial attrition, we were able to detect small but statistically significant changes over time in the outcomes. Depressive symptoms and death anxiety were found to decline over time and spiritual wellbeing to improve. The impact on depressive symptoms in this population is notable in view of the usual increase in depressive symptoms that tends to occur in this population with closer proximity to death. The increase of spiritual wellbeing is compatible with the view that the relief of distress and the creation of reflective space contributed to a greater sense of meaning and peace. The largest effect was found for death anxiety. Despite its
relevance for such patients, death anxiety has rarely been studied as an empirical outcome in populations near the end of life. This omission may be due, in part, to the perceived difficulty assessing death-related fears and to the lack of specific measurement tools, such as the Death and Dying Distress Scale, tailored to the context of advanced cancer. Although encouraging, the patterns of change that we have observed following CALM therapy require confirmation in a randomized controlled study to be certain that they can be attributed to the effects of the intervention.

Overall, this phase 2 trial suggests that CALM is feasible to deliver to patients with advanced cancer and that benefit can be demonstrated using the selected quantitative outcomes. These findings complement previous qualitative data regarding the acceptability and impact of CALM. In that qualitative research, participants described CALM as a unique opportunity to process the experience of advanced cancer that gave permission to explore issues of death and dying. Participants reported that these discussions helped them to better plan for and manage illness-related needs and to resolve interpersonal problems that arose in the context of advanced disease. No risks or concerns were identified by patients in that study.

There are a number of limitations to this phase 2 study. The sample size was small and there was substantial loss to follow-up, which may have biased the regression estimates. The sample consisted of mostly Caucasian or European, English speaking, and relatively well-educated patients. The lack of randomization and a control group constrains our ability to ascertain
whether the observed changes over time can be attributed to CALM or to other factors.

Patients in this study were not selected based on elevated distress scores, which may have reduced the ability to show benefit on the outcomes. Those who did participate were motivated to some extent to discuss their thoughts and feelings and to desire help related to their illness.

**Conclusions**

CALM holds promise as a brief intervention to relieve distress and promote psychological wellbeing and growth in patients with advanced cancer. Its delivery requires training and ongoing supervision of psychosocial oncology clinicians to support them in this emotionally challenging work and to ensure treatment integrity. The preliminary results are encouraging and support the RCT being conducted at the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre in Toronto, Canada. Pilot trials of CALM are now underway, or in the planning phase, in Germany, Italy, Taiwan and the United Kingdom.

**What is already known about the topic?**

- Psychological distress is common in advanced disease
- Psychotherapeutic interventions may be effective in advanced disease, although most research has evaluated those in a group format
- Tailored individual interventions may have unique practical and clinical value in advanced disease, but research on such interventions has been lacking
What this paper adds?

- Managing Cancer and Living Meaningfully (CALM), a brief, manualized, individual psychotherapeutic intervention focused on four broad domains relevant to advanced cancer, can be successfully delivered to this population.
- Participation in CALM is associated at three and six-month follow-up with a significant reduction in symptoms of depression and death anxiety and with significant growth in spiritual wellbeing.

Implications for practice, theory or policy

- The results of this phase II trial of CALM justify the undertaking of larger randomized controlled trials that are now underway in Canada and Europe.
- Pilot studies are needed and now underway in Canada, Europe and Asia to assess the feasibility of training therapists from multiple disciplines to deliver CALM in diverse clinical settings.
- CALM is a therapeutic intervention that has the potential for international application as a psychological dimension of early palliative care in patients with advanced disease.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or Percent (N)</th>
<th>Q1-Median-Q3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong> (N=41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>52 (12)</td>
<td>47-51-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness duration in years</td>
<td>3.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.72-1.5-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>76% (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/Common-law</td>
<td>68% (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>27% (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>49% (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate</td>
<td>20% (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>32% (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>24% (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western European</td>
<td>22% (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern European</td>
<td>10% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>7% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>24% (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecological</td>
<td>24% (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>10% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>10% (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary</td>
<td>7% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>7% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>7% (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td>2% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloma</td>
<td>2% (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosages of CALM therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>delivered to patients</strong> (N=50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero sessions</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One session</td>
<td>7 (14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two sessions</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three sessions</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four sessions</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five sessions</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six sessions</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven sessions</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight sessions</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mean outcome values (and standard deviations) by assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>t0 assessment&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>t1 assessment&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>t2 assessment&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>8.7 (6.0)</td>
<td>7.7 (5.0)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual wellbeing</td>
<td>29 (10)</td>
<td>33 (10)</td>
<td>31 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death anxiety</td>
<td>37 (17)</td>
<td>32 (17)</td>
<td>29 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant attachment</td>
<td>23 (9.7)</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>21 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious attachment</td>
<td>24 (10)</td>
<td>20 (9.3)</td>
<td>23 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological growth</td>
<td>57 (20)</td>
<td>61 (18)</td>
<td>61 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>n=39 with the exception of psychological growth, where n=38.
<sup>b</sup>n=24.
<sup>c</sup>n=15 with the exception of depressive symptoms, where n=16.
Table 3. Variance estimates at the patient level (i.e. between-patients) and at the level of repeated measures (i.e. within-patients).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Repeated Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual wellbeing</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death anxiety</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant attachment</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious attachment</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological growth</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. All estimates were significant at $p \leq 0.007$. 
Table 4. Regression estimates of the effect of time on each outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>beta</th>
<th>beta Cl_{0.95}</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.23, -0.022</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual wellbeing</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.026, 0.26</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death anxiety</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.40, -0.061</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant attachment</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.25, 0.017</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious attachment</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.21, 0.095</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological growth</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-0.12, 0.21</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B = unstandardized estimate; beta = standardized estimate.
Figure 1. Conceptual model of the outcomes targeted by CALM therapy and their interrelationships in the context of advanced cancer.

Note. Attachment security refers to confidence about the availability of supportive relationships and the capacity to make use of them for emotional support. Spiritual wellbeing refers to feelings of meaning, peace and faith.
Figure 2. Patient flow diagram.

- Identified for approach (n=141)
  - Excluded (n=91)
  - Declined to participate (n=48)
  - Did not meet inclusion criteria (n=35)
  - Could not contact (n=8)

- Consented (n=50)
  - Withdrawal (n=9)
  - Care moved to other hospital (n=2)
  - Lost interest (n=2)
  - Could not contact (n=5)

- Completed demographics (n=41)
  - Could not contact (n=2)

- Completed t0 assessment (n=39)
  - Lost at t0 but found for t1 assessment (n=1)
    - Lost to follow-up (n=16)
    - Died (n=2)
    - Withdrew due to physical decline (n=2)
    - Withdrew due to time constraints (n=1)
    - Withdrew from CALM (n=1)
    - Care moved to other hospital (n=1)
    - Could not contact (n=9)

- Completed t1 assessment (n=24)
  - Lost at t1 but found for t2 assessment (n=2)
    - Lost to follow-up (n=10)
    - Died (n=4)
    - Withdrew due to physical decline (n=3)
    - Disliked filling questionnaires (n=1)
    - Could not contact (n=2)

- Completed t2 assessment (n=16)