Canadian Journal of Plant Science
Revue canadienne de phytotechnie

Cereal Rye Cover Crop Suppresses Winter Annual Weeds

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Plant Science</i>
Manuscript ID	CJPS-2017-0267.R1
Manuscript Type:	Short Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Werle, Rodrigo; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Agronomy and Horticulture Burr, Charles; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, West Central Research and Extension Center Blanco-Canqui, Humberto; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Agronomy and Horticulture
Keywords:	Weed suppression, Integrated weed management, Interspecific competition, Cereal rye cover crop

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

Cereal Rye Cover Crop Suppresses Winter Annual Weeds

Rodrigo Werle^{1,*}, Charles Burr¹, and Humberto Blanco-Canqui²¹ *West Central Research and Extension Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, North Platte, NE 69101*² *Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583** Corresponding author: rodrigo.werle@unl.edu**ABSTRACT**

Cover crop (CC) adoption has increased in North America corn and soybean production areas. The objective was to evaluate the impact of cereal rye CC on winter annual weeds. Cereal rye reduced winter annual weed density and biomass by >90% at time of spring termination, showing potential as a component of an integrated weed management program.

KEY WORDS: cereal rye cover crop, weed suppression, integrated weed management, interspecific competition.

INTRODUCTION

Cover crops (CCs) are increasing in popularity in corn (*Zea mays* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) production areas of North America (2016 SARE/CTIC). Cover crops are grown during periods of the year when cash crops are absent, potentially protecting the soil from water and wind erosion, increasing soil organic C, and reducing N leaching (Appelgate et al. 2017; Blanco-Canqui et al. 2015). Cereal rye (*Secale cereale* L.) has become a common CC option as it is winter hardy and relatively easy to establish into standing corn and soybean or after corn and soybean harvest. It can also produce a great amount of biomass, and seeds are generally less expensive compared to other CC species (Appelgate et al. 2017; Hayden et al. 2012). When planted as part of a CC mix, cereal rye tends to predominate over other CC species and thus research has demonstrated that CC mixtures do not often provide measurable benefits beyond a productive CC monoculture (Appelgate et al. 2017).

Winter annual weeds are species that typically emerge in the fall and senesce by late spring or early summer (Werle et al. 2014). Winter annual weeds have become prolific in North American agriculture as a result of the increased adoption of conservation tillage practices (e.g.; lack of fall and/or spring tillage allow these small-seeded species to establish and reproduce; Swagata et al. 2009). Winter annual weeds can act as alternative hosts for major pests, including the soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera glycines*, SCN; Venkatesh et al. 2000). Once they reproduce, winter annual weeds can be an annual problem as their seeds may last several years in the seedbank. To reduce problems associated with winter annual weeds, farmers can apply herbicides in late fall or early spring. Herbicides applied in the fall can satisfactorily control winter annual weeds and be more beneficial compared with an early spring application, especially during wet years, by allowing farmers to better spread their workload over time

(Hasty et al. 2004). During late spring, herbicide application may not result in the desired control if the weeds are at an advanced growth stage. Some winter annual weeds have evolved resistance to herbicides commonly applied in the fall or early spring (e.g., glyphosate-resistant horseweed (*Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronquist); Heap 2017), which makes the management of such species difficult and costly.

Given the increased number of herbicide-resistant weeds and lack of new herbicides (Heap 2017), it is important that herbicide usage be minimized and alternative strategies sought as part of an Integrated Weed Management approach. Cover crops can suppress weeds (e.g., reduce weed density and biomass) directly by competing for space, light, water and/or nutrients, and indirectly by releasing allelopathic compounds and acting as a physical barrier for weed establishment. Hayden et al. (2012) reported that CCs can be an effective strategy for weed suppression in the winter and spring. When planted late in the summer or in the fall, the life cycle of cereal rye directly overlaps with the life cycle of winter annual weeds; thus, a well-established stand of cereal rye could suppress winter annual weeds, replacing the need for a herbicide application in the fall or early spring. Our hypothesis is that fall-seeded cereal rye CC can reduce winter annual weed density and biomass when compared to winter fallow (no cereal rye CC). Thus, the objective of the study was to evaluate the impact of cereal rye CC planted after corn silage harvest on winter annual weed density and biomass in the spring.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Winter annual weed density (plant m⁻²) and biomass (g m⁻²) data were collected prior to spring herbicide burndown treatment from a cereal rye CC research project established at the West Central Research and Extension Center (hereafter referred as WCREC; 41.09° N 100.78°

W; Cozad silt loam soil) in North Platte, NE and at a producer's field, 10 km east of North Platte (hereafter referred as Producer; 41.09° N 100.66° W; Wann fine sandy loam soil). The 30-yr annual average temperature and precipitation for the region is 9.3 °C and 514 mm, respectively (High Plains Regional Climate Center; hprcc.unl.edu). Treatments were comprised of cereal rye CC and winter fallow (no CC). The study was conducted as a randomized complete block design with three replications. Both sites were under irrigated no-till continuous corn. Cereal rye CC was drilled (19 cm row spacing) at 67 kg ha⁻¹ on October 17 at WCREC and at 94.1 kg ha⁻¹ on September 20, 2016 at Producer, shortly after corn silage harvest at both sites. On April 18, 2017, prior to cereal rye CC termination and winter annual weed burndown at both sites, four 0.25 m² quadrats were randomly placed within each plot of both treatments, weed species within each quadrat were identified and counted, weeds were clipped at the soil surface and combined as a single biomass sample per quadrat, oven-dried to constant mass at 60 °C, and weighed. Additionally, two 0.25 m² quadrats were randomly placed within each plot of the cereal rye CC treatment, cereal rye plants were clipped at the soil surface, oven-dried to constant mass at 60 °C, and weighed for CC biomass estimation at herbicide burndown application. ANOVA was performed using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). For the response variables winter annual weed density (plants m⁻²) and biomass (g m⁻²), experimental treatments (cereal rye CC and winter fallow [no CC]) and site (WCREC and Producer) were treated as fixed factors, whereas replication blocks were treated as random factor. Means were separated when the interaction or main effect was less than $P=0.05$. For the cereal rye biomass (g m⁻²) response variable, site (WCREC and Producer) was treated as fixed factor, whereas replication blocks were treated as random factor. Means were separated when the main effect was less than $P=0.05$. All response variable data were square root transformed prior to analysis in order to satisfy

Gaussian assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance. All results presented herein were originated from the same mixed model analysis. Backtransformed means are presented for ease of interpretation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Despite different cereal rye CC planting times at each site, aboveground cereal rye biomass yield on April 18, 2017 was similar at both sites ($P=0.444$). The amount of CC biomass was $376.6 \pm 20.1 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ at WCREC and $408.1 \pm 32.2 \text{ g m}^{-2}$ at the Producer field. There was no treatment by site interaction for the total weed density (weeds m^{-2} ; $P=0.152$). Thus, weed density was studied across both sites. Weed density between sites did not differ ($P=0.164$), but it differed between treatments ($P<0.001$; Figure 1). Across sites, cereal rye CC reduced weed density by 91% ($17 \pm 5 \text{ plants m}^{-2}$) when compared to winter fallow ($196 \pm 25 \text{ plants m}^{-2}$). There was a treatment by site interaction for the total weed biomass (g m^{-2} ; $P<0.001$). Cereal rye CC reduced weed biomass by 91% at WCREC ($10 \pm 4 \text{ g m}^{-2}$) and 95% at the Producer field ($19 \pm 5 \text{ g m}^{-2}$) relative to winter fallow (115 ± 11 and $407 \pm 39 \text{ g m}^{-2}$, respectively).

Different weed species with different growth rates and stages were observed in this study. Henbit (*Lamium amplexicaule* L.) and horseweed were the main species at WCREC, while shepherd's purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik.) and pinnate tansymustard (*Descurainia pinnata* (Walt.) Britt.) were the predominant species at the Producer's site. The weeds growing at the Producer's site were at advanced growth stage and large in size. Henbit is a smaller plant species and horseweed plants were starting to bolt at the sampling time at WCREC while shepherd's purse and pinnate tansymustard at the Producer field were at full size and flowering at sampling, which explains the large difference in biomass amount even though density was

similar at both sites. Nonetheless, winter annual weed density and biomass yield were reduced by at least 90% when cereal rye CC was present. Corroborating our findings, Hayden et al. (2012) also reported significant reduction (>90%) of winter annual weeds when cereal rye cover crop was present.

These results indicate that cereal rye CC could be an effective component of an integrated winter annual weed management program while providing other desired ecosystem services (e.g., erosion control, soil organic C accumulation, reduction in N leaching). Moreover, the effective suppression of horseweed, a troublesome weed species, strongly suggests that incorporating cereal rye CC can be a potential management strategy to control herbicide-resistant weeds, a tremendous challenge in row crop production of North America (Heap, 2017). Despite the benefits, producers shall be mindful that cereal rye must be chemically or mechanically terminated prior to or at crop establishment before it produces seeds. Termination time will depend upon producer's main objective with the CC and the subsequent crop. In water-limited regions, late termination of cereal rye CC (e.g., after flowering stage) could reduce subsequent crop yield, particularly in years with precipitation below normal (Ruis et al., 2017).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the producer Michael Henry for allowing us to conduct the trial at his farm. Nikola Arsenijevic, Alexandre Rosa, Liberty Butts, and Devin Broadhead provided technical support during the study.

REFERENCES

- Appelgate, S.R., Lenssen, A.W., Wiedenhoeft, M.H., and Kaspar, T.C. 2017. Cover crop options and mixes for upper midwest corn–soybean systems. *Agron. J.* **109**:968–984
- Blanco-Canqui, H., Shaver, T.M., Lindquist, J.L., Shapiro, C.A., Elmore, R.W., Francis, C.A., and Hergert, G.W. 2015. Cover crops and ecosystem services: insights from studies in temperate soils. *Agron. J.* **107**:2449–2474.
- Hasty, R.F., Sprague, C.L., and Hager, A.G. 2004. Weed control with fall and early-preplant herbicide applications in no-till soybean. *Weed Technol.* **18**:887–892.
- Hayden, Z.D., Brainard, D.C., Henshaw, B., and Ngouajio, M. 2012. Winter annual weed suppression in rye-vetch cover crop mixtures. *Weed Technol.* **26**:818–825.
- Heap, I.M. 2017. The international survey of herbicide resistant weeds. [Online]. Available: <http://weedscience.org/> [29 Aug. 2017].
- Ruis, S., Blanco-Canqui, H., Ferguson, R.B., Jasa, P., and Slater, G. 2017. Can cover crop use allow increased levels of corn residue removal for biofuel in irrigated and rainfed systems? *BioEnergy Research* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-017-9858-z>
- SARE/CTIC. 2016. Annual report 2015-2016 cover crop survey [Online]. Available: <http://www.sare.org/Learning-Center/From-the-Field/North-Central-SARE-From-the-Field/2016-Cover-Crop-Survey-Analysis> [29 Aug. 2017].
- Swagata, B.B., Martin, S.W., Roberts, R.K., Larson, J.A., Hogan Jr., R.J., Johnson, J.L., Paxton, K.W., and Reeves, J.M. 2009. Adoption of conservation-tillage practices and herbicide resistant seed in cotton production. *Agbioforum* **12**:258–268.
- Venkatesh, R., Harrison, S.K., and Riedel, R.M. 2000. Weed hosts of soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera glycines*) in Ohio. *Weed Technol.* **14**:156–160.

Werle, R., Bernards, M.L., Arkebauer, T.J. and Lindquist, J.L. 2014. Environmental triggers of winter annual weed emergence in the midwestern United States. *Weed Sci.* **62**:83-96.

For Review Only

Figure caption

Figure 1. Winter annual weed density (A; plants m^{-2}) and biomass (B; g m^{-2}) in the winter fallow (no cover crop) and cereal rye cover crop treatment. Cereal rye was planted after corn silage harvest in the fall of 2016. Data were collected on April 18, 2017 at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln West Central Research and Extension Center (WCREC) in North Platte, NE and at the Producer's site (10 km east of North Platte).

For Review Only

A) Winter annual weed density

B) Winter annual weed biomass