Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
Journal canadien des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques

Effects of hatchery supplementation on abundance and productivity of natural-origin Chinook salmon: two decades of evaluation and implications for conservation programs

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2016-0344.R3
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Venditti, David; Idaho Department of Fish and Game Kinzer, Ryan; Nez Perce Tribe, Department of Fisheries Resources Management Apperson, Kimberly; Idaho Department of Fish and Game Barnett, Bruce; Idaho Department of Fish and Game Belnap, Matthew; Idaho Department of Fish and Game Copeland, Timothy; Idaho Department of Fish and Game Corsi, Matthew; Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Tardy, Kurt; Shoshone-Bannock Tribes
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	N/A
Keyword:	SUPPLEMENTATION, SALMON < Organisms, CONSERVATION < General, ABUNDANCE < General, PRODUCTIVITY < General

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Effects of hatchery supplementation on abundance and productivity of natural-origin Chinook salmon: two decades of evaluation and implications for conservation programs

David A. Venditti, Ryan N. Kinzer, Kimberly A. Apperson, Bruce Barnett, Matthew Belnap, Timothy Copeland, Matthew P. Corsi, and Kurt Tardy

D.A. Venditti, B. Barnett, and T. Copeland¹ Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 1414 E. Locust Lane, Nampa, Idaho 83686, USA.

R.N. Kinzer Nez Perce Department of Fisheries Resource Management, P.O. Box 1942, McCall, Idaho 83638, USA.

K.A. Apperson Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 555 Deinhard Lane, McCall, Idaho 83638, USA.

M. Belnap Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 99 Highway 93 North, Salmon, Idaho 83467, USA.

M.P. Corsi² Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 3316 16th Street, Lewiston, Idaho 83501, USA.

K. Tardy Shoshone-Bannock Tribes Fisheries Department, P.O. Box 306, Fort Hall, Idaho 83203, USA.

Key words: Supplementation, Salmon, Conservation, Abundance, Productivity

Corresponding author: David A. Venditti (e-mail: david.venditti@idfg.idaho.gov)

¹ Present address: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 600 S. Walnut Street, Boise, Idaho 83707, USA.

² Present address: Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 2885 West Kathleen Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83815, USA.

1 **Abstract:** Hatchery supplementation has been developed to conserve salmonid populations and
2 provide fisheries. We evaluated supplemented and reference Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
3 *tshawytscha*) populations prior to, during, and after supplementation ceased for 22 years in two
4 major drainages in Idaho, USA. Basin-level analyses showed supplementation increased
5 abundance at some life stages, but effects did not persist into the post-supplementation phase and
6 had no apparent influence on productivity. Natural-origin juvenile abundance increased during
7 supplementation but results for adults were ambiguous. After supplementation ceased,
8 abundance and productivity in supplemented and reference populations returned to their pre-
9 supplementation relationships. Intensive analyses of supplemented populations with weirs
10 showed abundance increased at some life stages with the addition of female spawners. However,
11 the rate of increase varied with female origin (natural > supplementation $\geq$ non-treatment
12 hatchery) and effects diminished through the life cycle. Based on these findings, we provide
13 guidance for conservation programs. Supplementation alone is not a panacea because it does not
14 correct limiting factors, which must be addressed to achieve population levels capable of
15 sustaining ecological function and harvest.

16 **Introduction**

17 There have been widespread declines in Atlantic and Pacific salmon populations in recent
18 decades (Parrish et al., 1998; Lichatowich 1999; McClure et al. 2003; Chaput 2012). Hatchery
19 supplementation programs have been developed as one means to address declines and conserve
20 natural-origin (natural hereafter) populations (Naish et al. 2008). Supplementation is defined as
21 the use of artificial propagation to maintain or increase natural abundance while maintaining the
22 long-term productivity of the target population (RASP 1992). However, debate remains on
23 whether or not supplementation can achieve that goal (ISAB 2003; Fraser 2008).

24 Typically, hatchery fish do not perform as well as natural fish when spawning in natural
25 habitats, which may affect response of the natural population to supplementation (Araki et al.
26 2008; Buhle et al. 2009). There may be genetic effects such as reduced reproductive fitness
27 (Araki et al. 2009; Chilcote et al. 2011) due to domestication selection in hatcheries (Hindar et
28 al. 1991; Kostow 2004) as well as ecological effects (Flagg et al. 1995; Nickelson 2003).
29 Competition and behavioral differences between hatchery and natural salmonids have also been
30 observed (Berejikian et al. 1997, 1999, 2000; Weber and Fausch 2003). The key question is
31 whether the increased abundance of spawners provided by supplementation offsets the costs to
32 productivity (i.e., the rate at which the population replaces itself) incurred by adding hatchery
33 fish into the population.

34 There is a pressing need for a broad evaluation of supplementation as a population-level
35 management technique (ISAB 2003; Fraser 2008). Most published evaluations of
36 supplementation are on a single population and often do not include reference populations to
37 establish a proper standard for measuring supplementation effects (but see Scheuerell et al.
38 2015). Further, the long-term effects of a supplementation program cannot be assessed as long as

the program is operational; effects on population productivity can best be determined after supplementation ceased. Therefore, it remains unclear if the anticipated abundance benefits of supplementation are sufficient to surmount the potential reduction in productivity and if these changes persist after supplementation is stopped.

To address critical uncertainties regarding the long-term demographic effects of supplementation, we evaluated the results of a multi-generational study with multiple supplemented and reference populations prior to, during, and after supplementation. Our objectives were to: 1) evaluate the effects of supplementation on natural juvenile and adult abundance, 2) evaluate the effects of supplementation on natural productivity, and 3) evaluate the abundance response to the addition of supplementation and non-treatment hatchery (NTH) females relative to natural females in order to develop guidance for managers. The first two objectives were analyzed using all supplemented and reference populations within a basin. The third objective was evaluated using a subset of supplemented populations where weirs provided more precise estimates of the number of spawning females by origin.

Methods

Study Area

The study area encompassed the Clearwater River and Salmon River basins (hereafter Clearwater basin and Salmon basin), which includes all currently accessible stream-type Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) spawning habitats in Idaho. The basins include portions of the Idaho Batholith, the Middle Rockies, and the Northern Rockies ecoregions (McGrath et al. 2002; Kohler et al. 2013). Water quality is high and substrates range from sand and small gravels to cobbles and large boulders. Winters are harsh and growing seasons are short

(45-100 d). The area is relatively dry with average annual precipitation (primarily snowfall) ranging from 31 cm to 203 cm. Locations where data was collected for the study encompassed a variety of conditions and were widely distributed throughout anadromous salmonid habitat in Idaho (Fig. 1). The lower extent of the study area was Lower Granite Dam (LGR), which is the first hydroelectric facility encountered by seaward emigrating smolts. Smolt passage at LGR was used to represent their exit from freshwater rearing areas.

There are two types of hatchery broodstocks within the study area, local and localized. In most of the Salmon River basin (including all hatcheries used in this study), hatchery broodstocks were founded with local fish. In the Clearwater basin, Chinook salmon were functionally extirpated following the construction of Lewiston Dam in 1929. Naturally-reproducing Chinook salmon populations were re-established there after the dam was removed in 1973. After this time, localized Clearwater basin hatchery stocks were founded from a nearby hatchery in the Snake River basin (Howell et al. 1985; Bowles and Leitzinger 1991). Shortfalls in localized broodstock collections in Clearwater basin hatchery programs are still occasionally addressed with eyed-egg transfers from this facility.

Study Design

The study was designed as a three-phase evaluation including supplemented and reference populations. Phase 1 (1992-1995) provided baseline data on the abundance and productivity of all study populations in the absence of supplementation. The first supplementation broodstocks were also spawned in the hatcheries during this phase. The return of their progeny (i.e., supplementation adults) marked the end of Phase 1. Phase 2 (1996-2007) was the supplementation phase of the program. During 1996-2002, returning supplementation adults were passed upstream of weirs to spawn along with natural adults or incorporated into the

86 next generation of supplementation broodstocks. From 2003-2007, supplementation continued
87 but broodstock creation was discontinued. In Phase 3 (2008-2014), supplementation was halted,
88 which provided an opportunity to quantify the abundance and freshwater productivity of
89 supplemented and reference populations to determine the legacy of supplementation on natural
90 populations (see online supplementary material, Fig. S1 for a detailed timeline).

91 There were 13 supplemented and 14 reference populations included in the study, which
92 encompassed the stream reaches thought to be most productive and hence most important to
93 overall production in Idaho (Fig. 1). The Clearwater basin contained nine supplemented and four
94 reference populations, and the Salmon basin included four supplemented and 10 reference
95 populations. Population designation (i.e. supplemented or reference) was not randomly assigned.
96 Populations selected to receive supplementation were typically in close proximity to existing
97 hatcheries for ease of access to facilities, broodstock collection, juvenile rearing, and juvenile
98 releases. While this may have some bearing on our findings, this was a necessary compromise to
99 maintain the integrity of our reference populations and reflects the reality that supplementation
100 will often operate concurrently with harvest mitigation programs. For a complete account of the
101 study design and data collection methodologies see Bowles and Leitzinger (1991), Lutch et al.
102 (2005), and Venditti et al. (2015a).

103 The goal for creating supplementation broodstocks was to spawn adults from local
104 (Salmon basin) or localized (Clearwater basin) hatchery stocks with natural adults from local
105 populations within the basins (i.e., create integrated broodstocks). We achieved this goal in the
106 Salmon basin, where endemic stocks remained. Extremely low natural escapement in the
107 Clearwater basin during Phase 1 precluded the use of natural adults in the broodstocks, so we
108 used a dedicated portion of the existing hatchery stocks for supplementation. When

differentially-marked supplementation adults began returning in 1996, a portion was brought into the hatcheries and crossed as above to create the next generation of supplementation broodstock and a portion was released to spawn naturally.

A supplementation target was set to avoid swamping the natural population with potentially maladapted hatchery fish (Bowles and Leitzinger 1991; RASP 1992), which was a novel innovation at the time. Supplementation adults were not to exceed the number of natural adults; thus a 1:1 target ratio of supplementation to natural spawners was set, which would double the spawning population. Overall, the average achieved female ratio was 0.72:1, although supplementation rates were more variable in the Clearwater than Salmon basin (Fig. 2). Estimated numbers of fish by sex and origin spawning in study streams can be found in Venditti et al. (2015a) and the reports cited therein.

Non-treatment hatchery adults included harvest mitigation stock adults that escaped into study reaches in all populations as well as supplementation adults that strayed into reference populations. Adults from mitigation broodstock programs in both basins were not intentionally released into study reaches but were readily distinguishable by an adipose fin clip. These fish can produce offspring, so it was necessary to distinguish the effect of this unintentional *de facto* supplementation from that of the dedicated supplementation program. We surveyed for NTH fish in all streams because weirs were either not present or were not 100% efficient. In the Clearwater basin, NTH adults averaged 37.4% (SD = 35.4%) and in the Salmon basin 5.5% (SD = 14.4%).

Spawning ground surveys were conducted annually in all study populations to measure spawning escapement (i.e., redd counts) and to collect biological data from carcasses. In streams where weirs were present, all adults passed were marked to allow escapement estimates from

recovered carcasses. Detailed methodologies are presented in Venditti et al. (2015a). Surveys were assumed to include all available spawning habitat in all streams. Most reaches were surveyed three or more times with ground counts. Exceptions to multiple pass surveys included remote streams or those too large to survey effectively on foot. We surveyed these populations with a single pass ground count, aerial count, or combination that coincided with peak spawning activity along with targeted carcass collections. Biological data from Chinook salmon carcasses provided estimates of hatchery fraction (i.e., supplementation and NTH adults), age structure, and sex ratio. Spawning ground surveys for this study were completed through 2014.

We estimated the number of juveniles emigrating from spawning reaches and survival to LGR using data from rotary screw traps (RSTs) on 18 streams during Phase 1 and Phase 2 and on 15 streams during Phase 3. A sub-sample of juveniles captured at RSTs were implanted with passive integrated transponder (PIT; Prentice et al. 1990) tags systematically by cohort over the tagging season (see Copeland et al. 2014) and released upstream from the trap. Recaptured fish were used to estimate cohort abundance at the trap (Steinhorst et al. 2004), and tag detections at Snake and Columbia river hydroelectric facilities were used to estimate survival to LGR using a Cormack-Jolly-Seber model and SURPH software (Lady et al. 2013). Juvenile monitoring for this study ended in July, 2014, with the final emigrants of the 2012 cohort.

Our index of the abundance of juveniles from spawning reaches and smolts at LGR included only fall and spring emigrants at the traps. We used this index because traps were not operated during the summer prior to 1998. We excluded the summer period in this analysis to maintain consistency over time and between populations. For smolts at LGR, this index was the sum of the products of the emigration and survival estimates for emigrants passing the traps during these two time periods. Omitting summer emigrants likely had little effect on the number

of smolts at LGR, because survival of this group was consistently lower than the other groups (see Venditti et al. 2015b).

Analytical Methods

We performed two sets of complementary analyses: a basin-level analysis and an intensive analysis of supplemented populations with weirs. Data from the Clearwater and Salmon basins were analyzed separately in both sets of analyses. Each set encompassed the entire life cycle from eggs (indexed by redd count) to returning adults (i.e., the cohort was the experimental unit). We use model selection methods for inference on each life stage and take a weight of evidence approach to compare across life stages. Basin-level analyses were completed using data from all streams within a basin to establish the effects of supplementation with respect to the reference populations. Intensive analyses allowed us to take advantage of the greater precision provided by weirs to better estimate the population response to supplementation and develop guidance for supplementation programs. Notations for variables and coefficients of interest used in the analyses are defined in Table 1.

Basin-Level Analyses of Abundance and Productivity

We developed six *a priori* hypotheses implemented as alternative models (Table 2) to infer the effect of supplementation and NTH adults (both sexes including jacks) on abundance and productivity measures. Analyses of abundance and productivity use the same model set with appropriate changes in the response variable. Abundance was examined at four different life stages: redds (an index of egg production), naturally-produced emigrants at RST, naturally-produced smolts at LGR, and natural progeny redds. Point estimates for emigrants at RST and

smolts at LGR were used without incorporating associated error. Progeny redds are those produced by natural females in the generation following supplementation. Productivity was examined at three stages: emigrants at RST per redd, smolts at LGR per redd, and natural adult progeny per parent redd. In the productivity analyses, redds are used as a surrogate for number of female parents. The basin-level models used the expected value for reference streams as the evaluation point to judge supplementation effects during Phases 2 and 3 (Fig.3).

The global model contains all the hypothesized fixed terms required to estimate mean expected changes in supplemented populations across the three study phases while accounting for natural changes in response variables using reference populations. We adapted Piepho and Ogutu's (2002) method, which allows the inclusion of indicator and continuous variables in a unified analysis. We used indicator variables to map observations from reference and supplemented populations to the appropriate study phases and continuous variables to account for differing levels of supplementation and the effect of NTH adults. The model that includes all potential variables is

$$\ln(y_{ijt} + 1) = \mu + \beta_1 \text{Phase1} + \beta_2 \ln(T_{jt} + 1) + \beta_3 \text{Phase3} + \beta_4 S + \beta_5 \sin^{-1} \sqrt{H}_{jt} + g_i + r_{j(i)} + a_t + \epsilon_{ijt}$$

(Equation 1).

Note that Equation 1 is the global model and all other models examined were nested within it, and that the effects of T_{jt} and H_{jt} can be positive or negative. The NTH adult variable (H_{jt}) was included in the model as a nuisance variable to control for *de facto* supplementation, and inference on the effect of NTH fish should be based on the intensive analysis.

To statistically block and account for known variation contained within the data and random noise ($\epsilon_{ijt} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$), additional error terms were included in all candidate models as random effects,

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &\sim N(0, \sigma_g^2), \\ r_{j(i)} &\sim N(0, \sigma_r^2), \\ a_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_a^2), \end{aligned}$$

where g_i is a random term for geographic group i based on proximity and geology within basins (see online supplementary material, Table S1), $r_{j(i)}$ is a random term for population j within geographic group i , and a_t is a random term for cohort t . The $r_{j(i)}$ term also statistically blocks for differences across populations, allowing for a valid assessment of supplementation on an average stream. Estimated variation across geographic groups, populations within geographic groups, year, and unexplained noise equal σ_g^2 , σ_r^2 , σ_a^2 and σ^2 , respectively.

We fit each *a priori* model using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation in R (R Core Team 2014) using “lme4” (Bates et al. 2014) and ranked them with a modified Akaike information criterion (AICc; Akaike 1973; Burnham and Anderson 2002). After each model was ranked from the lowest to highest AICc, the difference in AICc values (ΔAICc) from the top ranked model was calculated (see online supplementary material Tables S2-S45²), along with model probabilities to assess model selection uncertainty (Burnham and Anderson 2002). After models were ranked with ML methods, each model was then re-fit using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) to estimate coefficients and account for the biased ML variance estimates (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Symonds and Moussalli 2011; Littell et al. 2006).

Multi-model inference was performed by averaging across the full model set using ML based model probabilities and the REML coefficients. Including all models in the set shrank averaged coefficients towards zero, providing a conservative coefficient estimate that includes

model uncertainty in the confidence intervals (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Grueber et al. 2011). Parameter (point) estimates were used to estimate the direction of the effect (positive or negative), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to determine the strength of inference (strong or weak). The CIs are used as a guide to how reliable the point estimates are, not as an accept or reject criterion. We define strong inference when 95% CIs do not overlap zero and weak inference when they do (Burnham and Anderson 2002; Bolker et al. 2009).

Model results are in log space, so for ease of interpretation and to provide managers an estimated change in population demographics from supplementation, it was necessary to back-transform averaged coefficients. A proportional change in a Phase 2 population response variable is given by X^{β^2} , where X is the proportional change in the number of supplementation females passed. Although different values of X can be used, for our purposes we arbitrarily selected an X = 1.25 to represent a 25% increase in the average number of supplementation females passed (Clearwater basin = 39 females, Salmon basin = 76 females). To examine the Phase 3 shifts caused by supplementation, a proportional difference between average supplemented and reference streams is given by e^{β^4} .

We assessed model performance in two ways. First, for each ranked model, the proportion of variance explained by the fixed and random terms (conditional R^2) was calculated along with the proportion explained by just the fixed effects (marginal R^2) to decompose the variation (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013). We report conditional and marginal R^2 values for the top ranking model. Second, we examined model fit and assumption violations for independence, homogeneity, serial correlation and normality by visually inspecting residuals from global models using diagnostic plots (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

Intensive Analyses of Supplemented Populations with Weirs

To develop guidance for supplementation programs, the abundance response to the addition of supplementation and NTH females relative to natural females was evaluated. For this analysis we used data collected during Phase 2 in supplemented populations with weirs ($N = 8$, see Fig. 1). These studies were completed at the same four life stages examined with the basin-level analyses. Weir control allowed for a more accurate and precise comparison of female origins with respect to their effect on population response at each life stage; because reference populations were not a consideration here, we were able use a better metric of abundance for this analysis. Similar to Objectives 1 and 2, a common model set was fit to estimate female spawner contribution to abundance at each life stage by switching the response variable. Evidence of assumption violations were not observed in the residual plots including serial correlation or multicollinearity.

To estimate the rate of change in abundance attributed to spawners of different origin, we began with the global model (Equation 2) written as:

$$\ln(y_{jt} + 1) = \mu + \beta_1 \ln(N_{jt}^F + 1) + \beta_2 \ln(T_{jt}^{Ratio} + 1) + \beta_3 \ln(H_{jt}^{Ratio} + 1) + r_j + a_t + \epsilon_{jt},$$

(Equation 2)

where y_{jt} is the response variable of redds, naturally-produced emigrants at RST, naturally-produced smolts at LGR or natural progeny returns to the weir for population j in cohort t . The term β_1 is the proportional increase in the response variable due to a proportional increase in natural females spawning. Change in abundance due to an increase in supplementation and NTH females was estimated with β_2 and β_3 , respectively. Estimating the change in abundance attributed to different supplementation ratios provides a tool for future weir management, because populations are supplemented with respect to natural abundance rather than fixed

amounts. Hence we use a ratio here, so please note the change from the basin level analysis. Also note that β_1 is not directly comparable to β_2 or β_3 .

We formed four *a priori* hypotheses and implemented them as alternative models to explain the variation in the data (Table 3). The second and third hypotheses include natural and either supplementation or NTH female terms, respectively, indicating only two origins contribute to abundance changes. The fourth hypothesis predicts that the variation in abundance is explained solely by natural females.

To understand the connection between the related parameter estimates expressed in different scales (i.e., β_1 versus β_2), we modeled the effect of varying supplementation ratios at different female abundance levels using model-averaged coefficients from the intensive analyses. We allowed total female abundance to range from 10 – 660 individuals, which was approximately the observed range of the data. We set supplementation ratio inputs (T_{jt}^{Ratio}) at 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0, which encompassed the majority of achieved rates (Fig. 2). Then, after applying the fixed supplementation ratios to total females, our natural female inputs (N_{jt}^F) ranged from 3 – 660 individuals. We set the NTH female ratio (H_{jt}^{Ratio}) to zero to focus interpretation on the effect of supplementation. Comparison of β_2 and β_3 is straightforward and is not incorporated into this visualization. Expected model results were then back-transformed from the log-scale and plotted to visualize the average predicted response in real values.

Results

Basin-Level Analyses of Abundance and Productivity

Mean expected abundance at all four life stages in both basins generally increased across study phases for both reference and supplemented populations (Fig. 4). Abundance increases

were typically larger in supplemented populations during Phase 2. During Phase 3, mean expected abundance in reference and supplemented populations returned to approximately their Phase 1 relationship (i.e., $\beta_4 \approx 0$; Fig. 4). However, in the Clearwater basin, mean expected smolt abundance did not change among study phases and adult progeny declined in supplemented populations from Phase 2 to Phase 3. In the Salmon basin, increases with study phase were more consistent. However, variability around the mean expected abundances was high, much of which was due to stable differences among the study populations (Fig. 4).

In the Clearwater basin during Phase 2, estimates of the supplementation effect on abundance decreased across the four life stages (Fig. 5). There was strong inference for a positive supplementation effect in total redds, although the effect was small. This was the only life stage where the CI did not overlap zero. Increasing average adult female supplementation by 25.0% would increase redds by 3.9% on average. Point estimates also indicated increases in emigrants at RST and smolts at LGR, although the inference was weak. With a 25% increase in supplementation rate, emigrants at RST would increase by 2.5% and smolts at LGR would increase by 2.3%, slightly less than the mean expected increase for redds. The point estimate for adult progeny return indicated a negative effect with weak inference, which would result in a 2.4% decrease if female supplementation increased by 25.0%.

In the Clearwater basin during Phase 3, abundance estimates were similar between supplemented and reference populations, except for abundance of adult progeny. However, the width of the CI about the adult progeny abundance estimate shows it is imprecise. This effect was estimated to reduce adult progeny by 83.5% relative to the Clearwater basin reference populations.

In the Salmon basin during Phase 2, estimates of the supplementation effect and CIs at all life stages indicate positive effects with strong inference (Fig. 5). All point estimates again decreased through the life cycle. Increasing adult female supplementation by 25.0% would increase redds by 3.9%. For the same increase in adult female supplementation rates, mean expected abundance increase was slightly lower at the emigrant at RST, smolt at LGR, and adult progeny life stages with 2.9%, 3.2%, and 2.7% increases, respectively. The smallest supplementation effect occurred at the life-cycle evaluation point furthest in time from the supplementation action, natural adult progeny returns.

During Phase 3 in the Salmon basin, estimated post-supplementation effects were similar across all life stages. Parameter estimates for redds, emigrants at RST, and smolts at LGR were positive with weak inference and negative with weak inference for adult progeny (Fig. 5).

Mean expected productivity estimates predominantly decreased during the study in supplemented and reference populations although there was much variability among populations (Fig. 6). The mean expected number of emigrants at RST per redd in both basins and population types declined sharply from Phase 1 to Phase 2 and remained similar between Phase 2 and Phase 3. Mean expected number of smolts at LGR per redd followed the same pattern except reference populations in the Salmon basin declined linearly from Phase 1 to Phase 3. In the Clearwater basin, adult progeny per redd declined from Phase 1 to Phase 3 in both supplemented and reference populations. In the Salmon basin, adult progeny per redd increased from Phase 1 to Phase 2 and then declined in Phase 3 for both population types (Fig. 6). In general, populations in Phase 3 experienced the lowest productivity for all life-stages in both Clearwater and Salmon basins during the course of the study.

In the Clearwater basin during Phases 2 and 3, estimates of the supplementation effect on productivity were close to zero. During both phases, point estimates were positive with weak inference for emigrants per redd and smolts per redd, and negative with weak inference for adult progeny per redd (Fig. 7). During phase 2, increasing the average supplementation rate by 25% resulted in less than a 1.0% increase in productivity measured as emigrants per redd and smolts per redd and a 1.3% reduction measured as adult progeny per redd. In Phase 3, a 25% increase in supplementation rate increased emigrants per redd and smolts per redd by 1.0% and 4.1%, respectively, and reduced adult progeny per redd by 9.5% relative to reference populations.

In the Salmon basin, the supplementation effects on productivity were negative in Phases 2 and 3 at all life stages. All inferences were weak except for the Phase 2 adult progeny per redd estimate (Fig. 7). In Phase 2, increasing adult female supplementation by 25% would reduce productivity by 0.4%, 1.1%, and 1.5% for emigrants at RST per redd, smolts at LGR per redd, and adult progeny per redd, respectively. Estimated Phase 3 supplementation effects on emigrants at RST per redd, smolts at LGR per redd, and adult progeny per redd suggest productivity would decrease by 3.0%, 1.0% and 9.5%, respectively.

The point estimates for the effect of NTH adults spawning in study populations were positive for abundance measures and negative for productivity measures in both basins. Confidence interval interpretation yields strong inference for increases in redd abundance with additional NTH adults in both basins and reductions in smolts at LGR per redd productivity in the Clearwater basin and adult progeny per redd productivity in the Salmon basin (Fig 8). All other inferences are weak.

The top model explained a large proportion of the variance in abundance and productivity (Table 4). Conditional R^2 averaged 0.577 for the Clearwater basin and 0.661 for the Salmon

basin datasets and ranged from 0.169-0.832 and 0.531-0.837, respectively. However, the amount of variation explained by fixed effects (marginal R^2) was small compared to the total variance explained (conditional R^2) for each model. Examining the Clearwater basin datasets, marginal R^2 averaged 0.118 and ranged from a low of 0.035 for smolts at LGR to a high of 0.327 for smolts at LGR per redd data. Marginal R^2 values in the Salmon basin averaged 0.076 and ranged from a low of 0.018 for smolts per redd to a high of 0.127 for smolts at LGR. Using the average variation explained across all response variables, fixed effects accounted for a small proportion of all explained variation: 0.205 (0.118/0.577) for the Clearwater basin and 0.115 (0.076/0.661) for the Salmon basin.

Intensive Analyses of Supplemented Populations with Weirs

Abundance estimates at all life stages increased with the addition of female spawners in both basins, but effects tended to diminish through the life cycle (Fig. 9). Point estimates for natural females indicated positive effects with strong inference on the abundance of redds, emigrants at RST, and smolts at LGR, and positive effects with weak inference on adult progeny in both basins. Point estimates for supplementation females suggested positive effects on all life history stages in the Salmon basin but only for redds in the Clearwater basin, with strong inference for redd abundance in the Salmon basin, and weak at all other life stages in both basins. Non-treatment hatchery females had point estimates indicating small positive effects on all life stages, except for emigrants at RST in the Clearwater basin, which was negative. Confidence intervals for all NTH female estimates indicated weak inference (Fig. 9). The point estimates of NTH females were smaller in the Clearwater basin compared to the Salmon basin.

Supplementation ratio influenced predicted abundance measures, assuming no non-treatment hatchery females escaped (Fig. 10). For example, supplementing the average population in the Salmon basin at a 1:1 ratio at a natural abundance of 200 females spawners (thus 400 total females spawning) would produce more emigrants than the un-supplemented population but not as many as that population with 400 natural females spawning. At a particular female escapement, the number of progeny produced was predicted to be smaller as the supplementation ratio increased, although adding supplementation females did have a benefit. As total female escapement increased, the abundance of redds, emigrants at RST, and smolts at LGR continued to increase, but the rate of increase declined as the supplementation ratio became larger.

There was one exception; there was little or no relationship between natural adult progeny and supplementation ratio in either basin (Fig. 10, bottom right panel). In the Clearwater basin, estimated increases were less than 1.0% for a 25.0% increase in either natural females, or supplementation ratio. Estimates for the Salmon basin were slightly larger, with the largest effect of a 5.3% increase in adult progeny for a 25.0% increase in the supplementation ratio.

The models used in the intensive analyses of supplemented populations with weirs again explained a large proportion of the variance in the data, particularly for the freshwater life stages, but here the fixed effects (marginal R^2) were more important. This was likely due to the increased accuracy and precision of adult escapement estimates provided by the weirs. In the Clearwater basin, marginal R^2 values averaged 0.515 and ranged from 0.693 for emigrants at RST to 0.001 for adult progeny. Marginal R^2 values for the Salmon basin datasets averaged 0.492 and ranged from 0.904 for redds to 0.065 for adult progeny (Table 5). This indicates that

fixed effects are important predictors of abundance during the freshwater portion of the life cycle, but random effects drive adult returns.

Discussion

Supplementation resulted in increased abundance in Phase 2 that did not persist into Phase 3 and productivity costs were low. Redd counts increased across both basins from Phase 1 to Phase 2, but they increased more in supplemented than in reference populations. This observed abundance effect diminished through the life cycle and the trend was consistent across basins. We observed similar effects of NTH adults on redd abundance (i.e., egg production), but positive effects were not observed in juvenile life stages or in adult progeny. After supplementation ceased, abundance and productivity at each life stage in supplemented and reference populations returned to their Phase 1 relationships with one exception (discussed below), suggesting supplementation did not have lasting effects on abundance or productivity. Random effects (population, geographic group, and year) explained the majority of the variation in all models, suggesting factors outside the study design (e.g., hydroelectric development, ocean conditions, local habitat conditions) have a much greater influence on abundances and productivity than factors under the control of supplementation programs (e.g., number of juveniles or adult females released, supplementation ratio, or broodstock type).

The study design was robust enough to allow inference on small supplementation and post-supplementation effects. The target was to double the number of female spawners, but the range of supplementation ratios achieved provided statistical power to estimate population response as supplementation ratio changed. Finally, the phases were of sufficient duration to detect supplementation effects and the return to their original relationships. The inferences made

here are conservative and general but inter-population variability shows supplementation should be customized to the target population.

Evaluation at multiple points throughout the life cycle is important to understand or explain mechanisms (Dorner et al. 2013). Natural adult progeny represent the ultimate response desired, but estimates of supplementation effects on other life stages provide important insight into the mechanisms behind what was observed. For example, supplementation did not increase the abundance of natural adults, but natural juvenile abundances were increased along with minimal productivity costs. The life cycle evaluation suggests the failure of supplementation to increase adult abundance in the Clearwater basin occurred after smolt emigration.

Likewise, addressing supplementation across two large basins allows for greater generality of inference. We observed common results across basin and life stages, suggesting our results have applicability to other systems where supplementation occurs. The Clearwater and Salmon basins represent contrasting examples of how supplementation programs operate in the Pacific Northwest. The Clearwater basin represents how supplementation was viewed at the inception of this project (early 1990s), whereas the deliberate incorporation of natural adults as done in the Salmon basin was an innovation. Over the course of the study, the state of the science has evolved from the Clearwater example towards the Salmon basin example.

Basin-level Analyses of Abundance and Productivity

The strongest response to supplementation observed here was the immediate production of eggs (as indexed by redds) resulting from passage of females into spawning reaches. During supplementation, we observed positive effects on abundance across all life stages in the Salmon basin but only on redd production in the Clearwater basin. Response of the reference populations

showed that abundance increased in all study populations across phases but, on average, the increase was greater in supplemented populations during Phase 2.

During Phase 3, the persistent effects of supplementation were generally small with weak inference with one notable exception. We observed a negative effect with strong inference on the abundance of adult progeny in the Clearwater basin, even though the effects at other life stages were negligible. We attribute this finding to a large increase in redd abundance in the two reference populations with the highest abundances and a low proportion of NTH adults in these two populations during 2013 and 2014. Concurrently, we had to exclude two low-productivity reference populations during Phase 3 because we lacked age data to assign adult progeny to cohort. Regardless, this result is inconsistent with other responses observed across phases, basins, and life stages and is not supported by the productivity results.

Abundance increases in response to supplementation are commonly observed. Sharma et al. (2006) found that supplementation of a Coho salmon (*O. kisutch*) population on the Washington (USA) coast increased total adult return and was necessary to maximize adult production unless freshwater and ocean conditions were optimal. The authors concluded that supplementation could slow declines and provide increased capacity for recovery. Chum salmon (*O. keta*) populations in the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Hood Canal increased in abundance since supplementation programs were initiated in the 1990s (PNPTT and WDFW 2014). Supplementation of steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in the Hamma Hamma River (Washington, USA) increased redd abundance without reducing production of natural fish (Berejikian et al. 2008). In the Yakima River, supplementation increased spring Chinook salmon redd counts 126% since supplementation began, compared to a 52% increase in an unsupplemented reference population (Fast et al. 2015).

In the Snake River basin, supplementation programs have had smaller effects on abundance. Scheuerell et al. (2015) did a large-scale analysis of 22 spring/summer Chinook salmon populations across the Salmon, Grande Ronde, and Imnaha river basins and found that spawner densities increased 0%-8% relative to un-supplemented years. We found similar effects of supplementation: boosting female abundance by 25% resulted in a change in progeny redds of -2.4% to +2.7%. Some evaluations concluded that supplementation sustained a population when returns were critically low but did not result in rebuilding populations (e.g., Cleary et al. 2014; Gallinat and Ross 2015).

All productivity effects were essentially the same in both basins, but only adult progeny per parent redd in the Salmon basin was precise enough for strong inference during Phase 2. The mean expected number of emigrants at RST per redd and smolts at LGR per redd were nearly identical between supplemented and reference populations. Confidence intervals were much wider for Phase 3 parameter estimates relative to Phase 2 because we estimated Phase 3 parameters based on fewer years with a concurrent reduction of the number of populations in the analyses due to non-study-related management actions.

Non-treatment hatchery adults had a negative effect on population productivity across all phases. Effects in the Clearwater basin were greatest on smolts at LGR per redd. In the Salmon basin, the effect of non-treatment adults was greatest on adult progeny in the next generation. Five of the six life-stage-by-basin productivity parameters estimated were negative and the effect on adult progeny per redd in the Clearwater basin was virtually zero. While the point estimates had wide CIs, there was an overall pattern of negative effects of non-treatment adults at all life stages. These findings are consistent with other studies that have shown that non-endemic stocks

(Araki et al. 2007) or those with a history of hatchery rearing (Fleming et al. 2002; Huntingford 2004) typically underperform in the natural environment relative to those of natural origin.

In contrast to the trend in abundance, population productivity declined across phases in reference and supplemented populations. That is, per capita contribution to juvenile life stages and next-generation adults decreased even as total abundance increased in the study populations. Two non-exclusive potential mechanisms could explain these results. The first is that these populations may be operating at or near density dependence in the core habitats in which they are spawning (Walters et al. 2013; Boe and Crump 2015). The spatial distribution of spawning in the study area expands slowly with abundance (Isaak and Thurow 2006), which may lead to juveniles that emerge and forage together in a relatively small and unproductive space (see Walters and Juanes 1993). The second potential mechanism is that behavioral or genetic effects in hatchery fish may reduce their fitness in natural habitats relative to natural fish (McLean et al. 2003; Araki et al. 2008; Christie et al. 2014), thus reducing productivity.

Studies of productivity or individual reproductive success generally show negative effects of hatchery fish on the spawning grounds and similar results are often found in supplementation evaluations. In general, reproductive performance of hatchery fish in natural environments is less than that of natural fish, although individual study results may be highly variable (Araki et al. 2008; Hess et al. 2012). Productivity of natural salmon and steelhead trout populations in the Pacific Northwest tended to be reduced in the presence of hatchery fish on the spawning grounds and this effect was not related to type of broodstock (i.e., integrated or mitigation) or duration of exposure (Chilcote et al. 2011). Sharma et al. (2006) found an asymptotic relation of smolts to spawners such that natural productivity (smolts/spawner) declined as proportion of supplementation spawners increased.

The literature suggests a tradeoff between the number of supplementation spawners and the demographic benefit that they provide to a natural population (Oosterhout et al. 2005; Fraser 2008), an observation consistent with our findings (Fig. 10). Our results show more adult progeny per redd in reference populations versus supplemented in Phase 2 and Phase 3 although differences are small and bounds overlap zero except during Phase 2 in the Salmon basin. Buhle et al. (2009) found that the density-dependent effects of hatchery Coho salmon were five times greater than for natural fish and that population productivity decreased as juvenile releases and adult escapement of hatchery fish increased. When implementing a supplementation program, managers should expect productivity reductions as abundance is increased and the tradeoff of cost versus benefit should be built into the management plan.

Intensive Analyses of Supplemented Populations with Weirs

Some interesting patterns emerged when we addressed the effects of female origin on population abundance. Natural females were more effective than supplementation females (Fig. 10). Given the relationship between supplementation and NTH females (Fig. 9), natural females were also more effective than NTH females. These patterns were similar across life stages and basins, although effects attenuated through the life cycle. Additional supplementation and mitigation hatchery females had almost no effect on production in the Clearwater basin, except for the effect of supplementation females on redds. In the Salmon basin, the abundance of both supplementation and NTH females corresponded to changes in production across life stages. All positive effects disappeared or declined by the return of adult progeny in both basins, highlighting the influence of out-of-basin factors.

The primary difference between basins with respect to these findings is in broodstock origin. Supplementation in the Clearwater basin was done using localized, harvest mitigation broodstocks. Therefore, one should expect the supplementation effect should be the same as that of NTH females. Further, Clearwater basin populations continue to see high proportions of hatchery fish on the spawning grounds. Even so, we found that natural spawners in the Clearwater basin had a greater effect on abundance than supplementation or NTH females. Salmon basin hatcheries in this study were founded with local stocks (Howell et al. 1985; Bowles and Leitzinger 1991). Thus, in the Salmon basin, both supplementation and NTH females should have a greater degree of local adaptation compared to stocks in the Clearwater basin, which may facilitate a more rapid population response to improved ocean and migratory conditions. Interestingly, across both basins the greatest effect in all life stages resulted from passage of natural females above the weir. The consistency in the results of the intensive studies across life stages corroborates observations made in the basin-level examinations.

Our modelling exercise showed that the stock-recruit relationship is maximized in an all-natural population and becomes progressively depressed as the population shifts toward increasing supplementation similar to Buhle et al. (2009), although this effect is undetectable over the full adult-to-adult life cycle (Fig. 10). That is, additional fish were produced but not as quickly as T_{jt}^{Ratio} increased (Fig. 10, redd, emigrant and smolt panels). Although the number of NTH females was held at zero in the model, the slope of this relationship will decline faster in their presence. The number of natural adult progeny returns increased slightly in the Salmon basin but this increase was not observed in the Clearwater basin (Fig. 10, adult progeny panel).

Models used in the intensive analyses performed better than those used in the basin-level analyses. In the intensive analyses, the conditional R^2 averaged approximately 83% for both

basins versus 58% in the Clearwater basin and 66% in the Salmon basin in the basin-level analyses. Here we were able to measure the population inputs directly (females of all origins upstream of weirs), and this reduced the amount of unexplained variance and provided better resolution on the actual supplementation effect. For redds, emigrants at RST, and smolts at LGR, marginal R^2 explained a large fraction of the total variance observed. However, fixed effects explain almost none of the total variance for adult progeny (0.1% in the Clearwater basin and 6.5% in the Salmon basin). Out-of-basin factors take over once fish pass LGR, because survival past this point is low and highly variable (Copeland et al. 2014; Schaller et al. 2014). This explains, in large part, why supplementation gains in this study were ephemeral.

The smaller scale intensive studies corroborated the basin-level analyses. The general pattern of the intensive study results was consistent between basins and was also consistent with results from the basin-level analyses. The effect of the proportion of NTH adults on population abundance and productivity is consistent between analyses and the results of the intensive analysis support our interpretation of the basin-level analysis. We also observed that out-of-basin effects had a dramatically larger effect than supplementation on the abundance of adult progeny, even though supplementation almost doubled the number of spawning females. This concordance of results provides a strong weight of evidence that demographic supplementation in natal habitats (as implemented here) as a stand-alone tool will contribute little to recovery, but can provide short-term demographic benefits. New individuals were created most effectively by additional natural fish but passing supplementation fish did increase population abundance, at least within the spawning and rearing habitat.

For supplementation to be an effective conservation tool, managers must balance the tradeoff of increased spawner abundance against genetic fitness costs (Fraser 2008). Theory

suggests that the hatchery and natural populations are at a different fitness optima and gene flow between the two will reduce the fitness of the natural population (Ford 2002). Therefore, genetic risks to the natural population should be reduced if the genetic similarity between hatchery and natural fish is high. Further, with continued introgression of mitigation hatchery stocks into natural populations (as in the Clearwater basin), it is unlikely local adaptation will occur. A key uncertainty is how quickly populations recover from the influx of hatchery fish with a different fitness optimum (Venditti et al. 2013). Until we have a better understanding of this process, questions regarding the long-term genetic effects of supplementation will remain.

Guidance for Supplementation Programs

Environmental legislation has been enacted in various countries to prevent species from becoming threatened or endangered and to guide the management and recovery of listed species (e.g., the U.S. Endangered Species Act, Canada's Species at Risk Act, the Berne Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife, and Australia's Natural Habitats and the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act of 1999). The first goal of a recovery program is a level of population viability warranting removal from legal protection. Higher-level goals might include sustainable harvest and ecological function. Supplementation is intended to be one of the tools by which managers might achieve those goals (e.g., Kline and Flagg 2014; Fast et al. 2015). As implemented by this study, supplementation failed to achieve even the lowest of the recovery goals. Supplementation alone cannot reverse population declines without concurrent efforts to rectify the factors ultimately responsible. However, we have shown positive results, indicating that supplementation can be useful for specific objectives and with sufficient guidance

For supplementation to be a useful management tool its proper uses must be defined and its implementation must be improved based on monitoring and research findings. We make four conclusions from this research: 1) population increases are more effectively generated by increasing the number of natural fish than by other means (e.g., habitat restoration); 2) supplementation ratios should be tied to risk of recruitment failure (e.g., by use of sliding scales); 3) when risk of recruitment failure is low, investigate alternative ways to minimize density effects and maximize the effectiveness of supplementation fish; 4) whenever possible, supplementation should be implemented using a broodstock integrated with the natural population to minimize fitness costs. Supplementation needs to be part of an integrated life-cycle strategy that addresses all limiting factors, especially to allow sustainable harvest. Given what we have learned, there are at least three goals appropriate for supplementation programs: 1) to maintain smolt production during low escapements, 2) to seed unoccupied or restored habitats, and 3) to restore or maintain harvest opportunity concurrent with population recovery. Supplementation programs need to be customized to the intended target populations. Within the overall supplementation goal of maintaining or increasing abundance without decreasing long-term productivity (RASP 1992), there is a continuum of strategies available based on degree of genetic and demographic risk. These range from population rescue (Kline and Flagg 2014) through captive rearing (Venditti et al. 2013; Stark et al. 2014) to augmentation with an integrated broodstock (HSRG 2009, 2014). The supplementation strategy employed should be guided by population status and management goals, and the strategy should include triggers for stopping supplementation when these are achieved.

Another important lesson was supplementation programs need to be adaptively managed. There are many sources of uncertainty regarding performance of salmon populations: a high

degree of natural variability in important processes (e.g., life stage survival), logistical difficulties in making relevant measurements, and imperfect understanding of influential factors. Therefore, monitoring is vital and learning should be an explicit management goal.

In summary, supplementation can be useful as part of an integrated management approach to maintain population abundance in the face of poor survival conditions. Post-supplementation results show that temporary benefits can be achieved while keeping ecological costs low (i.e., the supplemented populations were still resilient after supplementation ceased). The decision to intervene is primarily a policy decision. As such, policy makers need to consider the substantial resources required for an effective supplementation program and the strategic collaboration necessary with other recovery programs. Supplementation alone is not a panacea because it does not correct fundamental limiting factors that must be addressed to achieve population levels capable of sustaining ecological function and fishery opportunities.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the personnel at the state, tribal, and federal hatcheries involved with this program over the years for managing adult weirs, spawning and rearing fish, and all the “little things” that help make things go smoothly. Thanks are also due to many biologists, technicians, and field crews for collecting, organizing, and summarizing the data. Paul Bunn made the map in Fig. 1. Barry Berejikian, David Fast, Paul Kline, Jay Hesse and four anonymous reviewers provided valuable input to early versions of the manuscript. We would like to extend a special acknowledgement to Dr. Kirk Steinhorst for his years of statistical consultations and contribution to the project. Funding for this program was provided by the Bonneville Power Administration (Project Number 1989-098-00). Finally, we would like to thank the Northwest Power and Conservation Council for the instrumental role they have played in this program from its inception through completion.

References

- Akaike, H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. *In*, Petrov, B.N., and Csaki, F., editors. Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Budapest. Akadémiai Kiadó. pp. 267-281.
- Araki, H., Cooper, B., and Blouin, M.S. 2007. Genetic effects of captive breeding cause a rapid, cumulative fitness decline in the wild. *Science*, **318**(5847): 100-103. doi:10.1126/science.1145621.
- Araki, H., Cooper, B. and Blouin, M.S. 2009. Carry-over effect of captive breeding reduces reproductive fitness of wild-born descendants in the wild. *Biol. Lett.* **5**(5): 621-624. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2009.0315.
- Araki, H., Berejikian, B.A., Ford, M.J., and Blouin, M.S. 2008. Fitness of hatchery-reared salmonids in the wild. *Evol. Appl.* **1**(2): 342-355. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00026.x.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. 2014. lme4: Linear mixed effects models using Eigen and S4. R package version 1.1-7.
- Berejikian, B.A., Tezak, E.P., Flagg, T.A., LaRae, A.L., Kummerow, E., and Mahnken, C.V.W. 2000. Social dominance, growth, and habitat use of age-0 steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) grown in enriched and conventional hatchery rearing environments. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **57**(3): 628-636. doi: 10.1139/f99-288.
- Berejikian, B.A., Tezak, E.P., Schroder, S.L., Flagg, T.A., and Knudsen, C.M. 1999. Competitive differences between newly emerged offspring of captive-reared and wild coho salmon. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **128**(5): 832-839. doi:10.1577/1548-8659(1999)128<0832:CDBNEO>2.0.CO;2.

- 679 Berejikian, B.A., Tezak, E.P., Schroder, S.L., Knudsen, C.M., and Hard, J.J. 1997. Reproductive
680 behavioral interactions between wild and captively reared coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
681 *kisutch*). ICES J. Mar. Sci. **54**(6): 1040-1050. doi:10.1016/S1054-3139(97)80008-2.
- 682 Berejikian, B.A., Johnson, T., Endicott, R.S., and Lee-Waltermire, J. 2008. Increases in steelhead
683 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) redd abundance resulting from two conservation hatchery
684 strategies in the Hamma Hamma River, Washington. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **65**(4): 754-
685 764. doi:10.1139/f08-014.
- 686 Boe, S., and Crump, C. 2015. Evaluation of reestablishing natural production of spring Chinook
687 salmon in Lookingglass Creek, Oregon, using a local stock (Catherine Creek), annual
688 progress report. Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Lower Snake River
689 Compensation Plan CTUIR Project No. 475, FWS Agreement F14AC00013.
- 690 Bolker, B.M., Brooks, M.E., Clark, C.J., Geange, S.W., Poulsen, J.R., Stevens, M.H.H., and
691 White, J-S, S. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and
692 evolution. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, **24**(3): 127-135.
693 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.008.
- 694 Bowles, E., and Leitzinger, E. 1991. Salmon supplementation studies in Idaho rivers (Idaho
695 supplementation studies), experimental design. Idaho Department of Fish and Game
696 report to U.S. Department of Energy-Bonneville Power Administration. Contract No.
697 DE-B179-89BPO1466. Portland, Oregon.
- 698 Buhle, E.R., Holsman, K.K., Scheuerell, M.D., and Albaugh, A. 2009. Using an unplanned
699 experiment to evaluate the effects of hatcheries and environmental variation on
700 threatened populations of wild salmon. Biological Conservation, **142**(11): 2449-2455.
701 doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2009.05.013.

- 702 Burnham, K.P., and Anderson, D.R. 2002. Model selection and multi-model inference: a
703 practical information-theoretic approach. Springer Science and Business Media.
- 704 Chaput, G. 2012. Overview of the status of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in the North Atlantic
705 and trends in marine mortality. ICES J. Mar. Sci. **69**(9): 1538-1548. doi:
706 10.1093/icesjms/fss013.
- 707 Chilcote, M.W., Goodson, K.W., and Falcy, M.R. 2011. Reduced recruitment performance in
708 natural populations of anadromous salmonids associated with hatchery-reared fish. Can.
709 J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **68**(3): 511-522. doi: 10.1139/F10-168.
- 710 Christie, M.R., Ford, M.J., and Blouin, M.S. 2014. On the reproductive success of early-
711 generation hatchery fish in the wild. Evol. Appl. **7**(8): 883-896. doi:10.1111/eva.12183.
- 712 Cleary, P.J., Edwards, M., Wilson, I.P., Shoudel, E., and Bright, D. 2014. Evaluation of spring
713 Chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* supplementation in the Lostine River,
714 Oregon, 2012 annual report. Report to U.S Department of Energy, Bonneville Power
715 Administration. Project 1998-007-02, contract 00055021.
- 716 Copeland, T., Venditti, D.A., and Barnett, B.R. 2014. The importance of juvenile migration
717 tactics to adult recruitment in stream-type Chinook salmon populations. Trans. Am. Fish.
718 Soc. **143**(6): 1460–1475. doi:10.1080/00028487.2014.949011.
- 719 Dorner, B., Holt, K.R., Peterman, R.M., Jordan, C., Larsen, D.P., Olsen, A.R., and Abdul-Aziz,
720 O.I. 2013. Evaluating alternative methods for monitoring and estimating responses of
721 salmon productivity in the North Pacific to future climatic change and other processes: A
722 simulation study. Fisheries Research, **147**: 10-23. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.03.017.
- 723 Fast, D.E., Bosch, W.J., Johnston, M.V., Strom, C.R., Knudsen, C.M., Fritts, A.L., Temple,
724 G.M., Pearsons, T.N., Larsen, D.A., Dittman, A.H., and May, D. 2015. A synthesis of

- findings from an integrated hatchery program after three generations of spawning in the natural environment. *N. Am. J. Aquaculture*, **77**(3): 377-395.
doi:10.1080/15222055.2015.1024360.
- Flagg, T.A., Waknitz, F.W., Maynard, D.J., Milner, G.B., and Mahnken, C.V. 1995. Impact of hatcheries on native coho salmon populations in the lower Columbia River. Pages 366-375 in Schramm, H. L. Jr., and Piper, R. G. (Eds.) *Uses and effects of cultured fishes in aquatic ecosystems*. AFS Symposium 15. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Fleming, I.A., Agustsson, T., Finstad, B., Johnsson, J.I., and Bjornsson, B.T. 2002. Effects of domestication on growth physiology and endocrinology of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **59**(8): 1323-1330. doi:10.1139/f02-082.
- Ford, M.J. 2002. Selection in captivity during supportive breeding may reduce fitness in the wild. *Conservation Biology*, **16**(3): 815-825. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00257.x.
- Fraser, D.J. 2008. How well can captive breeding programs conserve biodiversity? A review of salmonids. *Evol. Appl.* **1**(4): 535-586. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00036.x.
- Gallinat, M.P., and Ross, L.A. 2015. Tucannon River spring Chinook salmon hatchery evaluation program, 2014 annual report. Report to US Fish and Wildlife Service. Lower Snake River Compensation Plan, FWS Agreement F14AC00010.
- Grueber, C.E., Nakagawa, S., Laws, R.J., and Jamieson, I.G. 2011. Multimodel inference in ecology and evolution: challenges and solutions. *J. Evol. Biol.* **24**(4): 699-711.
doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02210.x.
- Hess, M.A., Rabe, C.D., Vogel, J.L., Stephenson, J.J., Nelson, D.D., and Narum, S.R. 2012. Supportive breeding boosts natural population abundance with minimal negative impacts

- 748 on fitness of a wild population of Chinook salmon. *Mol. Ecol.* **21**(21): 5236-5250.
749 doi:10.1111/mec.12046.
- 750 Hindar, K., Ryman, N., and Utter, F. 1991. Genetic effects of cultured fish on natural fish
751 populations. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **48**(5): 945-957. doi:10.1139/f91-111.
- 752 Howell, P., Jones, K., Scarnecchia, D., LaVoy, L., Kendra, W., Ortmann, D., Neff, C., Petrosky,
753 C., and Thurow, R. 1985. Stock assessment of Columbia River anadromous salmonids,
754 Volume 1: Chinook, coho, chum, and sockeye salmon stock summaries. Report to U.S.
755 Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration. Project 83-335, contract DE-
756 AI79-84BP12737.
- 757 HSRG (Hatchery Scientific Review Group). 2009. Columbia River hatchery reform project
758 system-wide report.
- 759 HSRG (Hatchery Scientific Review Group). 2014. On the science of hatcheries: an updated
760 perspective on the role of hatcheries in salmon and steelhead management in the Pacific
761 Northwest.
- 762 Huntingford, F.A. 2004. Implications of domestication and rearing conditions for the behavior of
763 cultivated fishes. *J. Fish Biol.* **65**(1): 122-142. doi:10.1111/j.0022-1112.2004.00562.x.
- 764 Isaak, D.J., and Thurow, R.F. 2006. Network-scale spatial and temporal variation in Chinook
765 salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) redd distributions: patterns inferred from spatially
766 continuous replicate surveys. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **63**(2): 285-296. doi:10.1139/f05-
767 214.
- 768 ISAB (Independent Scientific Advisory Board). 2003. Review of salmon and steelhead
769 supplementation. Northwest Power and Conservation Council. ISAB Report 2003-3.

- 770 Kline, P.A., and Flagg, T.A. 2014. Putting the red back in Redfish Lake, 20 years of progress
771 toward saving the Pacific Northwest's most endangered salmon population. *Fisheries*,
772 **39**(11): 488-500. doi:10.1080/03632415.2014.966087.
- 773 Kohler, A.E., Kusnierz, P.C., Copeland, T., Venditti, D.A., Denny, L., Gable, J., Lewis, B.A.,
774 Kinzer, R., Barnett, B., and Wipfli, M.S. 2013. Salmon-mediated nutrient flux in selected
775 streams of the Columbia River basin, USA. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **70**(3): 502-512.
776 doi:10.1139/cjfas-2012-0347.
- 777 Kostow, K.E. 2004. Differences in juvenile phenotypes and survival between hatchery stocks
778 and a natural population provide evidence for modified selection due to captive breeding.
779 *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **61**(4): 577-589. doi:10.1139/f04-019.
- 780 Lady, J., Westhagen, P., and Skalski, J.R. 2013. SURPH 3.5, Survival under Proportional
781 Hazards. University of Washington School of Aquatic and Fisheries Science. Prepared
782 for the Bonneville Power Administration, Project Number 1989-107-00.
- 783 Lichatowich, J. 1999. *Salmon without rivers, a history of the Pacific salmon crisis*. Island Press,
784 Washington, D.C.
- 785 Littell, R.C., Stroup, W.W., Milliken, G.A., Wolfinger, R.D., and Schabenberger, O. 2006. SAS
786 for mixed models. SAS Institute.
- 787 Lutch, J.J., Lockhart, J., Beasley, C., Steinhorst, K., and Venditti, D.A. 2005. An updated study
788 design and statistical analysis of Idaho Supplementation Studies. Project Numbers 1989-
789 098-00, 1989-098-01, 1989-098-02, and 1989-098-03. Idaho Department of Fish and
790 Game Report 05-35, Boise, Idaho.
- 791 McClure, M.M., Holmes, E.E., Sanderson, B.L., and Jordan C.E. 2003. A large-scale,
792 multispecies status assessment: anadromous salmonids in the Columbia River basin.

- 793 Ecological Applications, **13**(4): 964-989. doi:10.1890/1051-
794 0761(2003)13[964:ALMSAA]2.0.CO;2.
- 795 McGrath, C.L., Woods, A.J., Omernik, J.M., Bryce, S.A., Edmondson, M., Nesser, J.A.,
796 Sheldon, J., Crawford, R.C., Comstock, J.A., and Plocher, M.D. 2002. Ecoregions of
797 Idaho (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs):
798 Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,350,000).
- 799 McLean, J.E., Bentzen, P., and Quinn, T.P. 2003. Differential reproductive success of sympatric,
800 naturally spawning hatchery and wild steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) through the
801 adult stage. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **60**(4): 433–440. doi:10.1139/f03-040.
- 802 Naish, K. A., Taylor III, J. E., Levin, P. S., Quinn, T. P., Winton, J. R., Huppert, D., and Hilborn,
803 R. 2008. An evaluation of the effects of conservation and fishery enhancement hatcheries
804 on wild populations of salmon. Adv. Mar. Biol. **53**: 61-194. doi:10.1016/S0065-
805 2881(07)53002-6.
- 806 Nakagawa, S., and Schielzeth, H. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from
807 generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, **4**(2): 133-
808 142. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x.
- 809 Nickelson, T. 2003. The influence of hatchery coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) on the
810 productivity of wild coho salmon populations in Oregon coastal basins. Can. J. Fish.
811 Aquat. Sci. **60**(9): 1050-1056. doi:10.1139/f03-091.
- 812 Oosterhout, G.R., Huntington, C.W., Nickelson, T.E., and Lawson, P.W. 2005. Potential benefits
813 of a conservation hatchery program for supplementing Oregon coast coho salmon
814 (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) populations: a stochastic model investigation. Can. J. Fish.
815 Aquat. Sci. **62**(8): 1920-1935. doi:10.1139/f05-080.

- 816 Parrish, D.L., Behnke, R.J., Gephard, S.R., McCormich, S.D., and Reeves, G.H. 1998. Why
817 aren't there more Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **55**(Suppl. 1):
818 281-287. doi:10.1139/d98-012.
- 819 Piepho, H-P., and Ogutu, J.O. 2002. A simple mixed model for trend analysis in wildlife
820 populations. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, **7**: 350-
821 360. doi:10.1198/108571102366.
- 822 PNPTT and WDFW (Point No Point Treaty Tribes and Washington Department of Fish and
823 Wildlife). 2014. Five-year review of the Summer Chum Salmon Conservation Initiative
824 for the period 2005 through 2013: Supplemental Report No. 8, Summer Chum Salmon
825 Conservation Initiative - An Implementation Plan to Recover Summer Chum in the Hood
826 Canal and Strait of Juan de Fuca Region. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,
827 Olympia.
- 828 Prentice, E.F., Flagg, T.S., and McCutcheon, C.S. 1990. Feasibility of using implantable Passive
829 Integrated Transponder (PIT) tags in salmonids. American Fisheries Society Symposium
830 **7**: 317-322.
- 831 R Core Team. 2014. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
832 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 833 RASP (Regional Assessment of Supplementation Projects). 1992. Supplementation in the
834 Columbia Basin. U.S. Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration,
835 Portland, Oregon. Summary Report Series, Final Report, Project No. 85-62.
- 836 Schaller, H.A., Petrosky, C.E., and Tinus, E.S. 2014. Evaluating river management during
837 seaward migration to recover Columbia River stream-type Chinook Salmon considering

- the variation in marine condition. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **71**(2): 259-271.
doi:10.1139/cjfas-2013-0226.
- Scheuerell, M.D., Buhle, E.R., Semmens, B.X., Ford, M.J., Cooney, T., and Carmichael, R.W.
2015. Analyzing large-scale conservation interventions with Bayesian hierarchical
models: a case study of supplementing threatened Pacific salmon. Ecology and
Evolution, **5**(10): 2115-2125. doi:10.1002/ece3.1509.
- Sharma, R., Morishima, G., Wang, S., Talbot, A., and Gilbertson, L. 2006. An evaluation of the
Clearwater River supplementation program in western Washington. Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
Sci. **63**(2): 423-437. doi:10.1139/f05-228.
- Stark, E.J., Atkinson, E.J., and Kozfkay, C.C. 2014. Captive rearing for Chinook salmon
(*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): the Idaho and Maine
experiences. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, **24**(3): 849-880.
doi:10.1007/s11160-014-9346-x.
- Steinhorst, K., Wu, Y., Dennis, B., and Kline, P. 2004. Confidence intervals for fish out-
migration estimates using stratified trap efficiency methods. Journal of Agricultural,
Biological, and Environmental Statistics, **9**: 284-299. doi:10.1198/108571104X3325.
- Symonds, M.R.E., and Moussalli, A. 2011. A brief guide to model selection, multimodel
inference and model averaging in behavioural ecology using Akaike's information
criterion. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, **65**(1): 13-21. doi:10.1007/s00265-010-
1037-6.
- Venditti, D.A., James, C., and Kline, P. 2013. Reproductive behavior and success of captive-
reared Chinook Salmon spawning under natural conditions. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.
33(1): 97-107. doi:10.1080/02755947.2012.746244.

- 861 Venditti, D.A., Kinzer, R.N., Apperson, K.A., Barnett, B., Belnap, M., Copeland, T., Corsi,
862 M.P., Gross, W.T., Janssen, L., Santo, R., Tardy, K., and Teton, A. 2015a. Idaho
863 supplementation studies project completion report 1991-2014. Idaho Department of Fish
864 and Game, Boise, Idaho.
- 865 Venditti, D.A., Kinzer, R., Barnett, B., Apperson, K.A., Tardy, K., Corsi, M.P., Belnap, M., and
866 Bretz, C. 2015b. Idaho supplementation studies brood year 2012 synthesis report: August
867 1, 2012-July 31, 2014. Idaho Department of Fish and Game. Boise, Idaho.
- 868 Walters, A.W., Copeland, T., and Venditti, D.A. 2013. The density dilemma: limitations on
869 juvenile production in threatened salmon populations. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, **22**(4):
870 508-519. doi:10.1111/eff.12046.
- 871 Walters, C.J., and Juanes, F. 1993. Recruitment limitation as a consequence of natural selection
872 for use of restricted feeding habitats and predation risk taking in juvenile fishes. *Can. J.*
873 *Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **50**(10): 2058-2070. doi:10.1139/f93-229.
- 874 Weber, E.D., and Fausch, K.D. 2003. Interactions between hatchery and wild salmonids in
875 streams: differences in biology and evidence for competition. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*
876 **60**(8): 1018-1036. doi:10.1139/f03-087.

Table 1. Notation and descriptions of variables and fixed effect coefficients used statistical analyses.

Variable	Coefficient	Description
y_{ijt}		Abundance or productivity of the j th population in the i th geographic group ^a for cohort t .
	μ	Mean y_{ijt} for reference populations in Phase 2 in Equation 1. Mean y_{ijt} for all populations in Equation 2.
<i>Phase1</i>	β_1	Indicator variable for Phase 1. Coefficient is mean intercept adjustment for all populations between Phase 2 and Phase 1.
T_{jt}	β_2	Number of female supplementation fish spawning naturally during Phase 2. Coefficient is mean effect on cohort t in population j .
<i>Phase3</i>	β_3	Indicator variable for Phase 3. The coefficient is mean intercept adjustment for all streams between Phase 2 and Phase 3.
S	β_4	Indicator variable for supplemented populations in Phase 3. Coefficient is mean intercept adjustment for supplemented compared to reference populations.
H_{jt}	β_5	Proportion of spawners that were non-treatment hatchery adults. Coefficient is mean intercept adjustment for cohort t in population j .
N_{jt}^F	β_1	Number of natural females spawning. Coefficient is mean effect on cohort t in population j .
T_{jt}^{Ratio}	β_2	Ratio of supplementation females to natural females spawning. Coefficient is mean effect on cohort t in population j .
H_{jt}^{Ratio}	β_3	Ratio of non-treatment hatchery females to natural females spawning. Coefficient is mean effect on cohort t in population j .

^a Grouping of populations within a basin based on proximity and similar geology used to account for additional variance (see online supplementary material, Table S1).

Table 2. Six *a priori* hypotheses used in basin-level analyses to evaluate changes in abundance and productivity across the three study phases. Non-treatment hatchery fish are abbreviated NTH.

Model	Hypotheses	Fixed effects
1	Immediate and persistent supplementation effect, NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_2T_{jt} + \beta_3Phase3 + \beta_4S + \beta_5H_{jt}$
2	Immediate supplementation effect, NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_2T_{jt} + \beta_3Phase3 + \beta_5H_{jt}$
3	No supplementation effect, NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_3Phase3 + \beta_5H_{jt}$
4	Immediate and persistent supplementation effect, no NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_2T_{jt} + \beta_3Phase3 + \beta_4S$
5	Immediate supplementation effect, no NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_2T_{jt} + \beta_3Phase3$
6	No supplementation effect, no NTH adult effect	$\mu + \beta_1Phase1 + \beta_3Phase3$

Table 3. Four *a priori* hypotheses used in intensive analyses of supplemented streams with weirs to evaluate changes in abundance due to the origin of female spawners: natural, supplementation, and non-treatment hatchery.

Model	Hypotheses	Fixed effects
1	Abundance change detected from all three female origins	$\mu + \beta_1 N_{jt}^F + \beta_2 T_{jt}^{Ratio} + \beta_3 H_{jt}^{Ratio}$
2	Abundance change detected from natural and supplementation females	$\mu + \beta_1 N_{jt}^F + \beta_2 T_{jt}^{Ratio}$
3	Abundance change detected from natural and non-treatment hatchery females	$\mu + \beta_1 N_{jt}^F + \beta_3 H_{jt}^{Ratio}$
4	Abundance change detected only from natural females	$\mu + \beta_1 N_{jt}^F$

Draft

Table 4. Summary of model fit in the basin-level analyses. Conditional R^2 values represent the total variance explained and marginal R^2 values represent the proportion of variance explained by fixed effects.

Response	Clearwater basin		Salmon basin	
	Conditional R^2	Marginal R^2	Conditional R^2	Marginal R^2
Redd abundance	0.805	0.118	0.837	0.104
Emigrant abundance	0.662	0.044	0.645	0.119
Smolt abundance	0.671	0.035	0.531	0.127
Progeny redds	0.832	0.042	0.796	0.046
Emigrants per redd	0.169	0.169	0.550	0.020
Smolts per redd	0.327	0.327	0.659	0.018
Adult progeny per redd	0.570	0.093	0.611	0.098

Table 5. Summary of model fit in the analysis of supplemented populations with weirs. Conditional R^2 values represent the total variance explained and marginal R^2 values represent the proportion of variance explained by fixed effects.

Response	Clearwater basin		Salmon basin	
	Conditional R^2	Marginal R^2	Conditional R^2	Marginal R^2
Redd abundance	0.820	0.676	0.944	0.904
Emigrant abundance	0.760	0.693	0.819	0.569
Smolt abundance	0.881	0.688	0.715	0.428
Progeny abundance	0.896	0.001	0.800	0.065

Draft

Fig. 1. Location of supplemented and reference populations and associated sampling infrastructure within the Clearwater River and Salmon River basins. Supplemented populations with weirs included in the intensive analyses are indicated by a black dot within an open circle. Map created by Paul Bunn using ArcMap version 10.0 and ESRI redistributable data.

Fig. 2. Average annual supplementation ratios (supplementation:natural females) in supplemented populations in the Clearwater River and Salmon River basins.

Fig. 3. Hypothetical figure to illustrate interpretation of fixed effects in basin-level analyses. In this example, mean values of all populations in Phase 1 (when all populations were operating in the absence of supplementation) were lower than in Phase 2 and β_1 is negative. A positive response to supplementation (β_2) was observed in Phase 2 compared to the mean values in reference populations (μ). In Phase 3, mean values of reference populations were higher than in Phase 2 and β_3 is positive. Furthermore, mean values of supplemented populations were higher than reference populations in Phase 3, so β_4 is positive and a persistent effect of supplementation is inferred. For this example, there were no non-treatment hatchery adults present, therefore $\beta_5 = 0$.

Fig. 4. Mean expected abundance during each study phase at four life stages assuming an average number of adult supplementation females passed into supplemented populations relative to reference populations as estimated from model-averaged coefficients. Bold lines indicate overall basin averages, and thin lines are each population's average abundance by study phase.

Fig. 5. Model-averaged coefficient estimates and 95% confidence intervals for supplementation effects on abundance during Phase 2 and Phase 3 by life stage (β_2 and β_4 from Equation 1).

Fig. 6. Mean expected productivity during each study phase at four life stages assuming an average number of adult supplementation females passed into supplemented populations relative to reference populations as estimated from model-averaged coefficients. Bold lines indicate overall basin averages, and the thin lines are each population's average productivity by study phase.

Fig. 7 Model-averaged coefficient estimates and 95% confidence intervals for supplementation effects on productivity during Phase 2 and Phase 3 by life stage (β_2 and β_4 from Equation 1).

Fig. 8. Model-averaged coefficient estimates and 95% confidence intervals for effects of non-treatment hatchery adults on abundance and productivity by life stage (β_5 from Equation 1). Note: the coefficient values here are on different scales than in Figs. 5, 7, and 9 and cannot be directly compared.

Fig. 9. Model-averaged coefficient estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of natural females, supplementation ratio, and non-treatment hatchery adult ratio on abundance by life stage (β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 from Equation 2). Note: the coefficient values for natural origin females are on a different scale than the other two and cannot be directly compared.

Fig. 10. Mean expected change in abundance by life stage in the next generation relative to differing supplementation ratios, based on data from supplemented populations with weirs. Non-treatment hatchery females were assumed absent.