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Abstract

Cows were fed ration for 9 weeks containing 5, 48, 201 and 822µg/kg ergot alkaloids. The objective was to evaluate the impact of ergot consumption in beef cow-calf operations. Ergot alkaloids up to 822µg/kg did not alter the weight of peripartum and postpartum beef cows ($P=0.93$) or nursing calves ($P=0.08$), rectal temperature ($P=0.16$) or plasma prolactin concentrations ($P=0.30$) at moderate ambient temperatures. Ergot did not influence the time (>1ng/mL; $P=0.79$) or the progesterone concentration ($P=0.38$) at the time of first postpartum rise or the size of the first (14±0.6mm; $P=0.40$) and second (13±0.5mm; $P=0.41$) follicles to ovulate. The maximum size of the first postpartum corpus luteum (CL) was 4mm larger in the 822µg/kg ergot group compared with the control ($P=0.03$) for the first ovulation postpartum, but not for the second ($P=0.11$). There was no effect of ergot exposure on the number of days until the appearance of the first (43±4 days; $P=0.95$) or second (52±4 days; $P=0.98$) CL postpartum. Ergot alkaloid concentrations up to 822 µg/kg did not affect pregnancy rates ($X^2=0.36$). In conclusion, ergot alkaloid exposure for 9 weeks to concentrations as high as 822µg/kg did not alter performance in pregnant and postpartum beef cattle at moderate ambient temperatures.
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Animal productivity and performance are important for livestock producers to maximize economic return. Animal consumption of ergot alkaloids may cause a range of effects including but not limited to, convulsions, gangrene, hyperthermia, agalactia and reduced weight gain and feed intake (Burrows and Tyrl 2012; Carson 1977; Klotz 2015; McMullen and Stoltenow 2002). Animals grazing endophyte-infected tall fescue (*Lolium arundinaceum*) or consuming grain contaminated with *Claviceps* spp. will likely encounter ergot alkaloids, potentially causing adverse effects.
The vasoconstrictive effects of the ergot alkaloids, and reduced blood flow may affect hormonal control involving reproduction, digestion and the central nervous system as well as nutrient delivery and metabolism (Strickland et al. 2012). Decreased circulating prolactin with increasing ergot alkaloid concentrations suggest a subclinical effect (Stamm et al. 1994). For this reason, decreased prolactin is considered a sensitive indicator of exposure and is commonly used for this purpose (Klotz 2015).

The alkaloids produced in fescue, which are commonly found in the United States, differ from those produced in grain infected by *C. purpurea* (Canty et al. 2014). While the clinical manifestations and effects of ergotism and fescue toxicosis are similar (Yates et al. 1985), most studies have focused on fescue rather than grain infected by *C. purpurea*.

The Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA 2015) has set 2-3 mg/kg as the recommended tolerance concentration of ergot alkaloids in cattle feed. The basis for this recommendation is unclear. However, we have speculated it to be based primarily on the clinical effects such as gangrene which can be viewed excessive, if subclinical disease such as decreased animal productivity and performance are considered. Clinical effects of ergot alkaloids have been documented at concentrations as low as 0.473 mg/kg, which is below the Canadian guidelines (Craig et al. 2015).

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of low-concentration ergot consumption (*C. purpurea*) in cow-calf operations and the recovery from exposure. The endpoints examined included calf and cow weights, rectal temperature, prolactin and progesterone concentrations and ovarian function. Ergot exposure in pre- and postpartum beef
cows was hypothesized to decrease both cow and calf weights, decrease cow prolactin concentrations, increase cow rectal temperatures and increase the time for the cows to return to normal cyclicity.

**Materials & Methods**

**Grain collection and feed preparation**

Contaminated ergot wheat screenings were collected from a seed cleaning plant in Weyburn, Saskatchewan using a sampling spear to ensure representative sample collection.

Treatment pellets were created from the ergot-contaminated wheat screenings by the University of Saskatchewan’s Canadian Feed Resource Centre in North Battleford, Saskatchewan. Three types of ergot contaminated pellets at concentrations including 221, 731 and 2981 µg/kg were formulated for the study. Control pellets containing normal background ergot concentrations (18 µg/kg) were purchased from CO-OP Feeds in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. All pellets were comprised of barley, oat hull, canola and wheat screenings which were formulated to meet the nutritional requirements of the beef cows when fed in combination with the remainder of the total mixed ration.

**Ergot alkaloid extraction and measurement**

Feed samples were evaluated for ergot alkaloid concentration using an extraction procedure followed by high performance liquid chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry analysis (HPLC-MS/MS) on an Agilent 1100 HPLC system with a Micromass Quattro Ultima Pt mass
spectrometer operated in positive mode. An Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 narrow bore 2.1 x 150 mm, 5 µm p/n 993700-902 column was used. Ergot extraction and analysis was carried out as described previously in Chapter 2 section 2.3.1 (Grusie et al. 2017). Five gram samples of ground feed were extracted for 10 minutes using a 25 mL volume of 85/15 solvent (85% acetonitrile 15% 10 mM ammonium acetate, v/v). To clean the matrix, 50 mg Agilent Bondesil-PSA 40 µm was mixed with 1 mL of the filtered extraction. The solution (400 µL) was transferred to an Agilent auto-sampler vial with insert and placed into the HPLC auto-sampling tray. The total ergot alkaloid concentration was determined by summing the six ergot alkaloids, ergosine, ergocornine, ergocristine, ergocryptine, ergotamine and ergometrine.

**Experimental design and animal husbandry**

This study was approved by the University Committee on Animal Care and Supply before experimentation. Animals in this experiment were cared for in accordance to the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal care (Olfert et al. 1993) under the University of Saskatchewan Animal Care Protocol 20140044. Animals were monitored using a humane intervention scoring system developed for the study. The scoring system monitored food and water intake, appearance and behaviour (pain and distress), vital signs and vasoactive and neurological signs.

Thirty-six pregnant Hereford cross beef cows (576 kg ± 109) were selected based on projected calving date at the University of Saskatchewan Research Farm. Cows were randomly assigned to treatment groups including, control \( (n = 10) \), low \( (n = 10) \), high \( (n = 10) \), and very high \( (n = 6) \)
ergot alkaloid concentrations. Each of the groups were housed in an outdoor pen for a minimum of 2 weeks before the start of the study. During this period, the animals were acclimated to the new surroundings and introduced to the control pellet ration. Following birth, the calves remained in the same pen as their mothers.

Exposure to the contaminated feed began in April 2015 for a 9-week period and the study concluded at the end of August 2015. The experiment was designed to include 2 weeks of clean ergot-free (control) pellet consumption (wk -2 and wk -1) to collect baseline measurements on the cows. During the following 9 weeks (wk 0 to wk 8), the animals were fed their designated ergot-contaminated pellets. For weeks 9 and 10 (wk 9, wk 10) the animals were returned to the control pellets. During the final 7 weeks (wk 11 to wk 17) the animals were housed on a grass mix pasture. Pellets were not consumed during the final 7-week period. In retrospect analysis of the calving dates the calving took place between weeks -2 and 5 of the study) n= w-2=9, w-1=5, w0=5, w1=5, w2=3, w3=2, w4=4, w5=1) (Figure 1).

Due to the large number of animals and practical considerations, the study was divided into 2 data collection days. Blood samples and other assessment endpoints from control and low groups were collected on Mondays, the high and very high groups were collected on Thursdays. On the collection day, the calves were separated from the cows.

**Diets and feeding procedure**

Animals were targeted to consume 2% of their body weight (dry matter basis) during the study. The diets were based on the average weight of the animals in each of the groups. The diets
consisted of 8.5 kg of dry chopped hay (grass/alfalfa mix), 2 kg of barley for energy and 3.5 kg of experimental pellets. The total average daily intake as fed was 14 kg per animal representing a total daily intake on a dry matter basis of 12.7 kg. Feeding of the pellets was done under observation and all animals consumed approximately the same quantity of pellets. Physical facilities did not allow for individual feeding.

The targeted total daily intake of ergot alkaloids for each of the 4 groups based on the total mixed ration was 0 (control), 50 (low), 200 (high) and 800 µg/kg (very high) on a dry matter basis. To obtain these intake amounts in the animals, the control animals received 3.5 kg of the clean pellets, the low exposure animals received 2.7 kg of the 221 µg/kg total ergot alkaloid pellets and 0.8 kg of the clean pellets, the high exposure animals received 3.5 kg of the 731 µg/kg total ergot alkaloid pellets and the very high exposure animals received 3.5 kg of the 2981 µg/kg total ergot alkaloid pellets.

To minimize animal handling, the animals were group fed. The pellets for all the cows in each group were hand mixed and spread along a feed trough to reduce any feed competition between the cows in the morning. In addition, 70 g of 1:1 (calcium to phosphorous) mineral per animal was sprinkled on top of the distributed pellets. The chopped hay was spread along the trough using a tractor with a weigh scale and the barley was spread on top of the hay for each group in the afternoon to prevent selective consumption of feed type by the cattle.

Animals had ad libitum access to water and a CO-OP 2:1 Beef Cattle Range Mineral – Block (Saskatoon SK, Canada). The animals were administered 3 mL of Vétoquinol Vitamins AD-500, a
mix of vitamin A (500,000 IU/mL), D (75,000 IU/mL) and E (5 IU/mL), during the acclimation period before the start of the study.

**Animal weights**

Animals were weighed weekly approximately 1 hour after receiving their designated pellets. Any calves older than 4 days of age were run through the chute system and weighed. If a calf was younger than 4 days their weight was obtained on the subsequent week on the appropriate collection day.

Cows were weighed after the calves were moved. Pre-partum cow weights were adjusted for fetal and conceptus weight according to the Nutrient Requirements for Beef Cattle (NRC 2000).

A baseline weight was calculated to compare the weight change between the animals. This calculation was done by averaging the weights of the first 2 weeks before the study (wk -1 and wk -2). This weight was considered as the baseline value (100 %). A gain or loss of weight will result in a value greater than 100 % or less than 100 %, respectively.

**Rectal temperatures**

Rectal temperatures were recorded as the cows were weighed using a digital rectal thermometer. The temperature was taken twice to ensure a correct reading. In the case that the two readings were different, a third temperature was taken to determine the average reading. To compare rectal temperature between animals a baseline value was calculated for each animal in the same manner as the baseline weight values.
**Blood collection**

Blood was collected from the jugular vein of the cows at the same time they were weighed and 1 hour after receiving the experimental pellets. Approximately 20 mL of blood was collected. The side from which the blood was taken was alternated weekly to minimize vascular damage. Collection was done using 18-gauge needles and green-grey collection tubes with heparin separators (BD Vacutainer). The blood collection took approximately 2 hours in total. Following collection, blood samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 9,000 x g at room temperature. Plasma was collected in 5 mL storage vials creating 2 aliquots per animal. The plasma aliquots were stored at -20°C until further analysis.

**Prolactin measurement**

The prolactin concentration was determined using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at the University of Saskatchewan Endocrine Lab in the Western College of Veterinary Medicine following the manufacturer’s procedure. The ELISA kits used were prolactin bovine 96-well plates (Catalog # CEA846BO) purchased from Cedarlane Labs (Burlington, ON, Canada). The detection range for this kit was 2.47-200 ng/mL and the sensitivity was less than 0.98 ng/mL. The kits intra- and inter-assay CVs were 11% and 26%, respectively. To compare prolactin concentrations between animals a baseline value was calculated (in the same manner as baseline weights) for each animal.

**Progesterone measurement**
Progesterone concentrations were determined via radioimmunoassay (RIA) at the University of Saskatchewan Endocrine Lab at the Western Collage of Veterinary Medicine using ImmuChem Coated Tube Progesterone $^{125}$I RIA Kits (Catalog # 07-270102; ICN Pharmaceuticals Inc.) following previously used techniques (Pfeifer et al. 2009). The detection range for the assay was 0.15-20 ng/mL with a sensitivity of 0.02 ng/mL. The intra- and inter-assay CVs were 9% and 12%, respectively.

**Ovarian parameters**

All animals in the very high group ($n = 6$) along with the first six animals to calve in the control group were examined twice weekly (Monday and Thursday) starting approximately 2 weeks post-calving using Color Doppler and B-mode ultrasonography. Color-mode, which detects blood flow, was used to confirm the presence of a corpus luteum (CL). A linear 7.5 MHz trans rectal ultrasound probe was used with the MyLabFive ultrasound system (Indianapolis, IN). Ultrasound examinations took place after blood collection was completed for the day. Animals to be examined were gathered and ran through the locking chute system where video segments of both the left and right ovaries were recorded for further analysis. Immediately after all the examinations were conducted for that day, the recorded video segments were analyzed using the MyLabFive system. For each ovary, all follicles >4 mm and the corpus luteum (CL; if present) were drawn onto a recording sheet. The sizes in mm of each of the follicles and the CL were measured using the MyLabFive system program and recorded with the drawing. Ultrasound examinations continued twice weekly for all selected cows until two consecutive ovulations (i.e. a follicle was replaced by a CL) were detected. Once all ultrasound examinations
were completed, the drawings were used to backtrack from the appearance of the CL to determine which specific follicle ovulated.

**Pregnancy rates**

Bulls were placed with the cows on week 9 of the experiment and removed on week 15. All cows were checked for the presence of a fetus 17 weeks after the bulls were introduced to the cows (wk 26 of the experiment). Physical palpation and ultrasound were used to confirm pregnancy.

**Statistical analysis**

Animal variables were compared by calculating their change from baseline (wk -2 and wk -1) as described above. The change from baseline data during and following treatment was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics 23 (Armonk, NY). A $P$-value of < 0.05 was considered a statistical difference. One-way ANOVAs were used to determine statistical differences between the treatment groups for cow weights, calf weights, rectal temperatures, prolactin and progesterone concentrations and time until first progesterone rise. T-tests were used to analyze ovarian follicle size, CL size and days to CL appearance. A chi-squared analysis was used to determine pregnancy rate differences. Weekly data for cow weights, calf weights, rectal temperatures, and prolactin concentrations were analyzed using the proc mixed model repeated measures procedure of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The model included analysis of the ergot treatment effect (Tx), the difference between during treatment and after treatment effect (D vs. A) and the interaction between the treatment and during vs.
after effects (Tx * D vs. A). Calving month was integrated into the analysis as a covariate. P-values of < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results

For analysis, four animals were excluded from the study. One cow was removed from the control group as she was found to be nonpregnant during the study. One cow in the low ergot group died in week one. Post-mortem confirmed the death was caused by uterine perforation by the fetal feet which was unrelated to ergot treatment. The remaining two cows were removed from the high group. One cow from the high ergot group was removed due to nerve injury during parturition and the death of the calf. The second cow-calf pair was removed from the high group due to calving almost a full month later than the cohorts. With these changes, the number of animals in the control group was reduced to 9, the low group was reduced to 9, and the high group was reduced to 8. The number remained unchanged in the very high group at 6 cows.

**Feed analysis, animal data and ambient temperatures**

The feed components along with the actual ergot concentrations are shown in Table 1.

The mean raw data for cow weight, calf weight, rectal temperature and prolactin are shown in Table 2. Data compared to baseline values can be found in Tables 3, 4 and 5 and Figures 2b, 3b, 4b, and 5b. Weekly data can be found in Figures 2a, 3a, 4a, and 5a.
The ambient temperature during the ergot feeding period (wk 0 to wk 8) was moderate ranging from 5 to 29°C with an average temperature of 21°C. The temperature after the ergot feeding period (wk 9 to wk 17) was also moderate ranging from 15 to 30°C with an average temperature of 23°C.

**Cow weights**

Cow weights were not affected by ergot treatment during \( (P=0.93) \) or after \( (P=0.47) \) the exposure period (Table 3). Furthermore, weekly cow weights showed no treatment \( (P=0.89) \) or time (during vs. after ergot treatment; \( P=0.17 \)) effect (Figure 2a) after accounting for calving month \( (P=0.02) \). Percent weekly cow weights (percent of baseline measurements) showed a treatment effect \( (P=0.002) \) and an effect during vs. after \( (P=0.05) \) ergot treatment periods (Figure 2b). Based on the Tukey’s adjusted post-hoc comparisons, the very high ergot group (104.38 ± 0.57%) had greater percent body weight change from baseline (averaged over during and after treatment period) compared to control (104.01 ± 0.34%) and low ergot (102.94 ± 0.33%) groups \( (P<0.05) \). The high (103.95 ± 0.43%) ergot group had a greater percent body weight than the low ergot group, but had a lower value than the control group \( (P<0.05) \). The high and very high groups did not differ; similarly, control and low ergot did not differ from each other.

**Calf weights**

Calf weights were not affected by ergot treatment during \( (P=0.08) \) or after \( (P=0.61) \) the exposure period (Table 4). Weekly and percent calf weights were not affected by ergot treatment effect \( (P=0.53 \) and 0.62, respectively), but did produce an effect for time (during vs.
after ergot treatment periods; \( P=0.01 \) and 0.04; Figure 3). Overall, calves were growing throughout the study (caving month \( P=0.08 \) and 0.03) but there was no differential effect of treatment (treatment*during vs. after interaction \( P=0.89 \) and 0.87).

**Rectal temperatures**

Cow rectal temperatures were found to be similar for all treatment groups both during (\( P=0.16 \)) and after (\( P=0.07 \)) the ergot treatment period. Weekly rectal temperature data exhibited an interaction between treatment and time (during vs. after treatment periods; \( P<0.001 \); Figure 4a). Weekly rectal temperatures compared to baseline measurements displayed no interaction between treatment and during vs. after (\( P=0.11 \)) nor a treatment (\( P=0.37 \)) or during vs. after effect (\( P=0.52 \)) (Figure 4b).

**Prolactin concentrations**

Cow plasma prolactin concentrations were not affected by ergot treatment during (\( P=0.23 \)) or after (\( P=0.87 \)) the exposure period (Table 5). Weekly prolactin concentrations showed no treatment (\( P=0.38 \)) nor time effect (during vs. after \( P=0.71 \); Figure 5a). Weekly prolactin concentrations compared to baseline measurements also presented no treatment (\( P=0.43 \)) nor time effect (during vs. after \( P=0.63 \); Figure 5b).

**Progesterone measurements**

The number of weeks until first progesterone rise postpartum (Figure 6a) and the progesterone concentration at that first rise (Figure 6b) were monitored. A rise in progesterone was considered a concentration greater than 1 ng/ml. Both the number of weeks until first
progesterone rise postpartum ($P=0.79$) and the concentration at that first rise ($P=0.38$) were not effected by the ergot treatment.

**Ovarian measurements**

The largest follicle (Figure 7a), largest CL (Figure 7b) and days until CL was appearance (Figure 7c), were recorded by ultrasonography for the first and second ovulations postpartum. No differences were found for the largest follicle observed for the first ($P=0.40$) or second ($P=0.41$) ovulation postpartum between the control and very high ergot treatment groups. The size of the CL was found to be larger in the very high treatment group compared to the control group for the first ovulation ($P=0.03$), however, this difference was not apparent for the second ovulation ($P=0.11$). No differences were observed in the number of days until the appearance of the CL for the first ($P=0.95$) or second ($P=0.98$) ovulation comparing the control and very high treatment groups.

**Pregnancy rates**

Cows were checked for pregnancy 17 weeks (wk 26 of experiment) after bull exposure (removed on wk 15). There were no differences in pregnancy rates ($X^2 = 0.36$) between the ergot treatment groups; Control (7/9), low (8/9), high (8/8) and very high (6/6).

**Discussion**

This study examined the effects of ergot alkaloid consumption at concentrations up to 822 $\mu$g/kg (Total Mixed Ration) in pregnant and postpartum beef cattle to assess performance and reproductive endpoints during the exposure and recovery period.
The study determined that low-concentration ergot exposure to pregnant and postpartum beef cows did not alter weight gain of the cows or the calves. Ergot concentrations up to 822 µg/kg of total dry matter intake did not alter cow prolactin concentrations, rectal temperature or the return to postpartum cyclicity.

Calving month was incorporated into the weekly statistical analysis as a covariate in treatment effect; therefore, any statistical differences associated with calving month were not considered to be relevant in the discussion.

The findings indicate that feeding up to 822 µg/kg of total dry matter intake had no effect on cow weight gain during the early postpartum period. The interaction between treatment groups and calving month observed in the weekly weight data (Figure 2a) was most likely associated to the weight variation between the cows as this interaction disappeared when comparing the cows’ weights to their baseline values (Figure 2b). It should be noted the control group remained amongst the middle of the treatment groups indicating there was no dose-response relationship or trend related to ergot alkaloid consumption up to 822 µg/kg on weight gain. If ergot exposure had reduced weight gains, one would expect at minimum the very high ergot exposed treatment group to exhibit a reduced weight gain as the ergot exposure increased.

This finding is in contrast with Burfening et al. (1994) who found that average daily gain deceased linearly with ergot consumption from 0 to 1.6 percent of ergot in the diet. While it is difficult to determine the actual ergot alkaloid concentration in the cited study, it was likely much higher than the concentration used in the current study. Depending upon the feed type
and growth conditions, the 1.6 percent ergot content represents approximately 10,000 µg/kg alkaloid content. In the present study, if exposure concentrations had been increased by 10-fold, a linear decline may have been observed.

Most studies establishing reduced weight gain and intake as a consequence of ergot alkaloid consumption have been done using endophyte infected tall fescue (Foote et al. 2013; Koontz et al. 2015; Mahmood et al. 1994; Paterson et al. 1995). Estimated ergot concentrations in these studies range from approximately 5500 µg/kg to unknown concentrations of up to 75% infectivity of endophyte in pasture. Alkaloids produced by *C. purpurea* are expected to act in a similar fashion by interacting with the serotonergic receptors involved in the regulation of gut motility, thereby, negatively affecting the motility and passage rate through the gut (Klotz 2015). The lack of effect found in the present study may be due to the different alkaloid composition in the endophyte infected fescue compared to those found in *C. purpurea* or more likely related to the substantially lower ergot alkaloid concentration in the present study.

An effect of time (i.e., during vs. after treatment) and calving month was found in both the weekly and weekly change from baseline calf weight data (Figure 3). All of the treatment groups exposed to ergot demonstrated increased weight gains in the calves and numerically the control calves had the least body weight at the end of the study. It was anticipated that ergot exposure in the cows would have resulted in reduced milk production (prolactin inhibition) and consequently reduced nutrition (milk) available for the calves; however, this parameter was not quantified in the current study. We acknowledge that the inability to undertake individual feeding is a limitation of the present study. Although we were not able to absolutely ensure
that each cow consumed equal amounts, in our assessment, the supervised feeding of treatment pellets was closer to individual feeding than pen feeding, and therefore, we treated the cows as experimental units. We would like to stress that the information provided in this manuscript provides some critical ground work for future studies and potential regulation changes despite the aforesaid limitation.

Prolactin has been functionally linked, together with other mechanisms, to the initiation and maintenance of milk secretion and mammogenesis (Fell et al. 1974; Houdebine et al. 1985). Decreased prolactin production in the lactating cow has the potential to negatively affect calf weight gain postpartum. Multiple studies have observed a decline in prolactin production in dairy cattle as a result of the consumption of ergot alkaloids (Carson 1977; Ilha et al. 2003; Munkvold et al. 1997; Paterson et al. 1995; Strahan et al. 1987). However, this effect was not observed in the current study. The difference related to the current study and past research may be attributed to the type of cow (i.e. dairy vs. beef), the source of ergot alkaloids (i.e. endophyte vs. C. purpurea) and/or the ergot alkaloid concentration. If the exposure to ergot by the cows had included treatment groups approaching 10,000 µg/kg, a negative impact on calf weight gain may have been observed. Milk production related to prolactin synthesis may be a more sensitive bioindicator of ergot exposure in high producing dairy breeds. Milk production was not evaluated in the present study.

Ergot alkaloids have the ability to cause arterial vasoconstriction thereby diminishing blood circulation (Seaman 1980; Shelby 1999; Strickland et al. 2009). Animals exposed to ergot alkaloids have been found to have a reduced ability to remove body heat particularly in hot
climates or retain body heat in cold climates (Carson 1977; Rhodes et al. 1991; Spiers et al. 2012; Strickland et al. 2009). Although an interaction between treatment and time (during vs. after) was found in the weekly cow rectal temperature data (Figure 4a), the values were within the normal body temperature range of 36.7 to 39.1°C for cows (Erickson et al. 2004). Furthermore, this interaction was not evident in the weekly change from baseline rectal temperature data (Figure 4b). Therefore, with interpretation based on both recorded rectal temperature and percent of baseline values, the anticipated dose-response hyperthermia with increasing ergot concentrations was not evident under the current ambient temperature conditions at the ergot alkaloid concentrations consumed in this study.

It is noteworthy that, the ambient temperature was approximately 21°C and no extreme environmental temperature conditions were encountered. Thermoregulation was unlikely to be altered under the moderate climatic conditions encountered in this study. This conclusion may not be valid under extreme cold conditions encountered in Canadian prairies during the winter or during the extreme hot weather in the summer in Southern United States.

The return to normal ovarian cyclicity in postpartum cows in a timely manner is important for livestock farmers to maximize economic returns. This study evaluated the time of first postpartum progesterone rise and the concentration, timing of first postpartum ovulation and size of the ovulatory follicle at that time to assess the impact of ergot exposure on the return to normal cyclicity in cows.

A progesterone concentration above 1 ng/mL is an accepted indication of the progression of the estrus cycle and the onset of ovarian activity (Díaz et al. 1986; Patterson et al. 1989).
Some researchers have demonstrated decreased progesterone concentrations in cattle with ergot alkaloid consumption (Jones et al. 2003; Mahmood et al. 1994; Poole et al. 2016), while other studies found no effect of ergot alkaloids on progesterone (Burke et al. 2001; Schuenemann et al. 2005). The present study supported the latter conclusion, there were no observed effect on either the time of first progesterone rise above 1 ng/mL (all treatment groups) or the time of first ovulation, ovulatory follicle size, and the first or second corpus luteum (control versus 822 µg/kg ergot alkaloid group). The present results relating to no effect on the follicle size or diameter of the CL are consistent with other studies (Ahmed et al. 1990; Jones et al. 2003; Seals et al. 2005).

Mahmood et al. (1994) suggested that animal age can alter the effect of ergot alkaloids on progesterone. Grazing endophyte infected tall fescue reduced progesterone in weaned heifers however, yearling heifers were not as sensitive to the ergot alkaloids. It is plausible that the source of the ergot alkaloids, dose, duration, and time of ergot exposure may all contribute to the varied observations reported in literature related to plasma progesterone concentrations. It is interesting to note that the preliminary results indicate pregnancy rates were not altered in the current study subsequent to ergot exposure. It should be noted that the limited number of animals used in this study makes it difficult to detect minor differences in pregnancy rates. A future study warranted with larger group sizes would be required to confirm or refute these preliminary findings. However, considering all of the measurements together (timing of first progesterone rise, ovulatory follicle and corpus luteum size, timing of first ovulation, pregnancy rates) it appears that the consumption of ergot alkaloids at concentrations up to 822 µg/kg for 8 weeks in peri-parturient and early postpartum period in beef cows does not impact
reproduction and return to cyclicity. This information is important for cattle producers as normal reproductive performance is necessary to keep cow-calf operations profitable. Delays in conception related to ergot alkaloids can be a major production loss. Ergot alkaloid concentrations up to 822 µg/kg appear to be acceptable in beef cattle feed without adverse reproductive effects.

Since no clinically relevant alterations were observed during the treatment period, the assessment of recovery from ergot exposure in cattle from a reproductive perspective could not be evaluated. The lack of alterations in the ‘after’ treatment period for 9 weeks suggests there are also no delayed effects associated with the consumption of ergot alkaloid concentrations up to 822 µg/kg.

At the present time, there is considerable controversy related to current tolerance or feed guidelines related to the consumption of ergot-contaminated feed by cattle. Since no effects were observed at concentrations approaching 822 µg/kg, tolerance guidelines based on reproductive performance, prolactin concentration or weight gain could be established near the 822 µg/kg value. This recommendation may vary under extreme climactic conditions or perhaps with dairy cattle, with more sensitive metabolic requirements.

In conclusion, this study was conducted to assess the potential loss of productivity and cow-calf production due to consumption of ergot alkaloids produced by *C. purpurea*. Three concentrations of ergot alkaloids which were evaluated at or below 822 µg/kg of total dry matter intake. Endpoints measured which were unaffected by ergot exposure included: cow weight, calf weight, rectal temperature, prolactin concentration, progesterone concentration.
and postpartum ovarian function. There was no impact on the overall performance of cow-calf production at moderate ambient temperatures.

Further studies should explore the effects of ergot alkaloids produced by *C. purpurea* above 822 µg/kg but less than the current Canadian guidelines under varying climatic conditions and duration of exposure. Modifications of the guidelines may be influenced based on this updated species-specific dose-response information.
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## Tables

**Table 1** Ration components and ergot concentration in each treatment diet. Animals were group fed daily.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total mixed ration (As feed)</th>
<th>Ergot concentration in the pellet (µg/kg)</th>
<th>Amount fed per animal daily (kg)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chopped grass hay</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control pellets</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low pellets</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pellets</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High pellets</td>
<td>2981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily intake as fed</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily intake dry matter</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergot alkaloid concentration in ration (µg/kg of dry matter intake)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Baseline (weeks -1 & -2 average; Mean ± SD) weights, rectal temperatures and prolactin concentrations of the animals prior to ergot treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Baseline measurements (weeks -1 &amp; -2 average)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cow weight (Kg ± SD)</td>
<td>Calf weight&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (Kg ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n = 9)</td>
<td>554 ± 123</td>
<td>48 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (n = 9)</td>
<td>595 ± 99</td>
<td>48 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (n = 8)</td>
<td>574 ± 91</td>
<td>48 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High (n = 6)</td>
<td>578 ± 131</td>
<td>49 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> calculated using the first weight after birth.
**Table 3** The mean cow weight expressed as a percent of baseline during and after ergot treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>During treatment (weeks 0 to 8)</th>
<th>After treatment (weeks 9 to 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent weight of baseline</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (&lt;i&gt;n = 9&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>103.7 1.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;i&gt;n = 9&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>103.0 2.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (&lt;i&gt;n = 8&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>102.9 3.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High (&lt;i&gt;n = 6&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>102.9 4.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Control = 5; Low = 48; High = 201; Very High = 822 µg/kg total daily ergot alkaloid consumption  
<sup>b</sup>Baseline = the average of w-1 and w-2, represented as 100%  
<sup>c</sup>One way Analysis of Variance, P=Probability of no treatment effect (SPSS)

**Table 4** The mean calf weight expressed as a percent of baseline during and after ergot treatment of the cows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>During treatment (weeks 0 to 8)</th>
<th>After treatment (weeks 9 to 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent weight of baseline</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (&lt;i&gt;n = 9&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>136.5 23.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;i&gt;n = 9&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>147.5 20.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (&lt;i&gt;n = 8&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>162.6 19.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High (&lt;i&gt;n = 6&lt;/i&gt;)</td>
<td>158.4 20.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Control = 5; Low = 48; High = 201; Very High = 822 µg/kg total daily ergot alkaloid consumption  
<sup>b</sup>Baseline = the average of w-1 and w-2, represented as 100%  
<sup>c</sup>One way Analysis of Variance, P=Probability of no treatment effect (SPSS)
Table 5 The mean cow plasma prolactin concentration expressed as a percent of baseline during and after ergot treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Percent prolactin concentration of baseline</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Percent prolactin concentration of baseline</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Control = 5; Low = 48; High = 201; Very High = 822 µg/kg total daily ergot alkaloid consumption

*Baseline = the average of w-1 and w-2, represented as 100%

*C One way Analysis of Variance, P=Probability of no treatment effect (SPSS)
Figure Captions

Figure 1  Timeline of calving, relative to the initiation of ergot feeding, based on the experimental weeks for each animal. Control (n = 9) received 5 µg/kg, low (n = 9) received 48 µg/kg ergot, high (n = 8) received 201 µg/kg ergot and very high (n = 6) received 822 µg/kg ergot during ergot feeding.

Figure 2. Cow weights during (9 weeks) and after (9 weeks) ergot treatment feeding. Control (n = 9) received 5 µg/kg, low (n = 9) received 48 µg/kg ergot, high (n = 8) received 201 µg/kg ergot and very high (n = 6) received 822 µg/kg ergot during ergot feeding. All cows received 2 weeks of control diet and 7 weeks of pasture for the duration of the after treatment feeding. Weekly mean (±SE) cow weight (a) and percent cow weight change from baseline (b). (Mixed model repeated measures, SAS)

Figure 3  Calf weights during (9 weeks) and after (9 weeks) ergot treatment feeding to the cows. Control cows received 5 µg/kg, low cows received 48 µg/kg ergot, high cows received 201 µg/kg ergot, and very high cows received 822 µg/kg ergot during ergot feeding. All cows received 2 weeks of control diet and 7 weeks of pasture for the duration of the after treatment feeding. Control n = 9, low n = 9, high n = 8 and very high n = 6 once all calves were born. Baseline was calculated using the calves weight the first week after calving. Weekly mean (±SE) calf weights (a) and percent calf weight change from baseline (b). (Mixed model repeated measures, SAS)

Figure 4  Cow rectal temperatures during (9 weeks) and after (9 weeks) ergot treatment feeding. Control (n = 9) received 5 µg/kg, low (n = 9) received 48 µg/kg ergot, high (n = 8) received 201 µg/kg ergot, and very high (n = 6) received 822 µg/kg ergot during ergot feeding. Weekly mean (±SE) cow rectal temperatures (a) and percent cow rectal temperature change from baseline (b). (Mixed model repeated measures, SAS)

Figure 5  Cow plasma prolactin concentrations during (9 weeks) and after (4 weeks) ergot treatment feeding. Control (n = 9) received 5 µg/kg, low (n = 9) received 48 µg/kg ergot, high (n = 8) received 201 µg/kg ergot, and very high (n = 6) received 822 µg/kg ergot during ergot feeding.
feeding. Weekly mean (±SE) plasma prolactin concentrations (a) and percent plasma prolactin change from baseline (b). (Mixed model repeated measures, SAS)

**Figure 6** Weeks (± SD) until 1st rise (>1 ng/ml) of progesterone postpartum (a) and progesterone concentration (± SD) at 1st rise postpartum (b) of cows receiving 9 weeks of ergot treatment feeding (One-way ANOVA, SPSS). Control (n = 9) received 5 µg/kg, low (n = 9) received 48 µg/kg ergot, high (n = 8) received 201 µg/kg ergot and very high (n = 6) received 822 µg/kg ergot during the exposure period.

**Figure 7** Three ovarian parameters were compared between cows postpartum in the control (n = 6; 5 µg/kg) and the very high (n = 6; 822 µg/kg) ergot treatment groups. The parameters were observed for both the first and second ovulation. Largest diameter (± SD) measured of the ovulating follicle (A). Largest diameter (± SD) measured of the corpus luteum (B) and Number of days (± SD) until the corpus luteum was observed (C). (T-test, SPSS).
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