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Abstract
Climate warming and sea ice decline are expected to increase fish population movements in the circumpolar Arctic, including across the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA). Wanting information on present distribution, habitat uses, barriers to dispersal, and population connectivity along the Northwest Passage (NWP) hinders the management of fish in the North American Arctic. Pelagic trawl, bottom trawl and ichthyoplankton net collections from the US Beaufort Sea to Baffin Bay between 2005 and 2017 are used to map fish distribution along the NWP and identify potential zoogeographic barriers. In the Kitikmeot (southern CAA), the combination of shallow depths, sub-zero temperatures and slow water circulation may represent a physical barrier reducing the dispersal of marine fish between western and eastern regions. In contrast, the Parry Channel (northern CAA) may exemplify a disappearing sea ice barrier as climate warming unfolds and allow new genetic exchanges.
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**Introduction**

In the ocean, identifying barriers to dispersal and patterns of population connectivity is often challenging. Yet, understanding how populations are connected is crucial to manage fisheries resources and to predict the response of marine ecosystems to climate change and other anthropogenic disruptions (e.g. Gerber et al., 2014, Carvalho and Hauser, 1994). Habitat fragmentation is one of the main types of physical barriers, and can create population discontinuities even on short geographical distances (e.g. Blanco Gonzalez et al., 2016). In Arctic seas, sea ice acts as a barrier to the dispersal of many marine mammal species, and a diminishing ice cover results in increased hybridization between subspecies and closely related species (Kelly et al., 2010).

Warmer temperatures and reduced ice cover, by favoring the northward range expansion of boreal fish species, are expected to increase the interchange of fish populations between the Atlantic and the Pacific via the Northwest and Northeast Passages (Wisz et al., 2015). The Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA) connects the Pacific-influenced waters of the Beaufort Sea with the Atlantic-influenced waters of Baffin Bay (Fig. 1a) and will be at the forefront of this interchange. However, our knowledge of fish population structure, habitat use and larval ecology for the region is limited (Fortier et al., 2015). For instance, despite its dominance of the pelagic fish assemblage (Benoit et al., 2008) and its pivotal role in arctic marine ecosystems (Welch et al., 1992), the different habitat uses of Arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*) and their relative importance remain debated. Immature Arctic cod colonize anfractuosities in the ice pack (e.g. David et al., 2015) and sometimes form dense surface schools in shallow embayments (e.g. Welch et al., 1992, Matley et al., 2013, Drost et al., 2014). In the North American Arctic, mature individuals concentrate over the continental slope in the relatively warm Atlantic waters at depth > 200 m (e.g. Benoit et al., 2008, Parker-Stetter et al., 2011, Geoffroy et al., 2011).

Shallow regions of the Kitikmeot (e.g. Queen Maud Gulf, max. depth ca. 100 m, Fig. 1a), may represent a suboptimal habitat for mature Arctic cod and some barrier to dispersal and gene fluxes across the CAA. Dispersal could be possible further north through the deeper western Parry Channel (Fig. 1a). Yet, extreme environmental conditions in the central and northern Archipelago, including a frequent ice cover, low SSTs and absence of freshwater input may shorten the hatching season and limit the early survival of Arctic cod (Bouchard...
and Fortier, 2008, Bouchard and Fortier, 2011, Bouchard et al., 2017). The genetic population structure of Arctic cod, showing a phylogenetical break between the Beaufort Sea and Baffin Bay (R.J. Nelson, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, unpublished data), also suggest the presence of barriers to dispersal in the CAA. Several benthic arctic fish of the families Stichaeidae, Cottidae, Liparidae, Agonidae and Ammoditidae, typical of shallow waters (Fortier et al., 2015), are less mobile as adults than the pelagic Arctic cod and depend on their planktonic larvae for dispersal. The harsh environment of the central and northern CAA may also reduce connectivity among populations of these species.

In the present study, we document larval and adult fish assemblages along the Northwest Passage and explore possible barriers to dispersal of fish populations between western and eastern regions. In particular, we test the hypothesis that the Kitikmeot represents a zoogeographical barrier for Arctic cod, a key species in arctic marine ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Study areas

The Northwest Passage links the Beaufort Sea to Baffin Bay and is composed of two main waterways: (1) the Parry Channel which runs from M’Clure Strait to Lancaster Sound in a relatively straight line, and (2) the Kitikmeot formed of complex coastlines and heterogeneous bathymetry from the Amundsen Gulf to Lancaster Sound, via M’Clintock Channel or Peel Sound (Fig. 1a). Both waterways are relatively contrasted in terms of fish habitats. The Parry Channel is deep (max. of ~700 m), generally ice covered and receive very little coastal freshwater. The Kitikmeot is shallower (< 100 m in the southern waterways of Dease Strait and Queen Maud Gulf and < 350 m in the northern waterways of Larsen Sound, M’Clintock Channel and Peel Sound), has longer ice-free seasons and receives freshwater from numerous rivers. For the analyses, the general study area was divided into four areas: the Western Arctic, the Parry Channel, the Kitikmeot and the Eastern Arctic, each of them composed of several geographical regions (Fig. 1a).

Oceanographic data

Data collected with a SBE-911 plus® conductivity, temperature and depth probe (CTD) deployed from the research icebreaker CCGS Amundsen at 11 stations along the Northwest Passage from the Amundsen Gulf to Baffin Bay in July-August 2015 were used to illustrate
the water masses in the study area. Atlantic Water masses were distinguished with the 0°C
isotherm at depths > 200 m (Carmack and Macdonald 2002).

Ichthyoplankton sampling
Ichthyoplankton samples were collected between 2005 and 2017 from the Amundsen at
different stations over the study area (Fig. 1b). A Double Square Net (DSN) carrying two 1-
m² aperture nets (mesh size 500 µm and 750 µm) was deployed obliquely at maximum
sampling depths of ca. 90 m (or 10 m above the bottom at shallower stations) and at a ship
speed of 2 knots. The duration of the DSN tow averaged 14.6 ± 4.6 minutes (mean ± standard
deviation) and varied between 4.3 to 36.7 minutes. Larval and juvenile fish were sorted out
and individually preserved in 95% ethanol. Back in the laboratory, all individuals were
identified to the family. Catch per unit effort (CPUE in fish min⁻¹) were calculated by
dividing the number of larval and juvenile fish from each family by the DSN deployment
duration. To account for the intense mortality occurring in larval fish populations during their
first few months in the plankton, ichthyoplankton abundances were estimated separately for
collection dates in July-August and September-October. The CPUE values were then
averaged for each region.

Adult fish sampling
Pelagic fish were sampled with an Isaacs-Kidd Midwater Trawl (IKMT, rectangular 9-m²
mouth aperture net with mesh size of 11 mm in the first section and 5 mm in the last section)
deployed between June and October from 2014 to 2017 (Fig 1c). The IKMT was lowered in
the mesopelagic sound scattering layer visible on the scientific echosounder of the ship.
Demersal and benthic fish were sampled with a benthic beam trawl (headline = 4.27 m,
footrope = 4.27 m, 9.5-mm codend mesh) (Fig. 1c). The IKMT and the benthic beam trawl
were towed at 2-3 knots for 10 to 20 min. We determined sampling duration for both s of
trawls using the total period during which the trawl was stabilized at the sampling depth (i.e.
winch stopped, constant cable length), with the assumption that a limited amount of fish was
collected during lowering and retrieval of the trawls. Sampling depth was estimated using
cable length and its angle on the horizon for the IKMT, and a cable length corresponding to
2.5 times the bottom depth for the benthic beam trawl. All adult fish were identified to the
family before being frozen at -20°C. CPUE values were averaged for each region.

Hydroacoustic data
Hydroacoustic data were collected between 16 July and 2 October 2014 along the CCGS Amundsen’s route across the Northwest Passage using a multifrequency echosounder (sampling and processing details in Bouchard et al., 2017). To cover the regions not visited in 2014, acoustic data for the same period of the year were used for Viscount Melville Sound (7 to 11 September 2013), M’Clure Strait (1 to 8 September 2015) and M’Clintock Channel (22 to 23 September 2015). Acoustic signal of fish were discriminated from that of zooplankton using the difference in Mean Volume Backscattering Strength (i.e. minimum and maximum thresholds of $\Delta MVBS_{120-38}$ were respectively set to -10 and 5 dB; Benoit et al., 2010; Geoffroy et al., 2016). The Nautical Area Scattering Coefficient ($s_A$ in unit $m^2 \text{nmi}^{-2}$; Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005) of fish was integrated along the transects to document their spatial distribution with a horizontal resolution of 0.25 nautical miles. In addition, we calculated averaged profiles of $s_A$ with a vertical resolution of 5 m to compare the vertical distribution of pelagic fish within each area.

Results

Water masses

Atlantic water was present at depths > 200 m at both ends of the Northwest Passage, with $T > 0^\circ \text{C}$ and $S > 34.6$ in the Amundsen Gulf, and $T > 0^\circ \text{C}$ and $S > 34$ in Baffin Bay (Fig. 2). In the Kitikmeot, water masses were fresher ($S < 34$) and sub-zero temperatures prevailed at depths > 20 m. The surface layer above 20 m was generally warmer ($> 2^\circ \text{C}$), but remained around $1^\circ \text{C}$ in Barrow and Victoria straits.

Ichthyoplankton assemblages and abundances

In July-August, Gadidae dominated (69-100%) larval fish assemblages in all regions except Coronation Gulf, Dease Strait and Queen Maud Gulf (Fig. 3a). These regions of the Kitikmeot were characterized by very low number of Gadidae but relatively high number of larvae from other families. These three regions together accounted for only 2% of the Gadidae, but 60% of the Agonidae, 61% of the Cottidae, 87% of the Stichaeidae and 92% of the Ammoditidae collected between 2005 and 2017 over the entire study areas. Larval Gadidae, mostly comprised of Arctic cod (Bouchard and Fortier, 2011, Bouchard et al., 2016), were found in high densities in the eastern Parry Channel and Peel Sound (Fig. 3a).
As expected from high mortality during larval life in marine fish, juvenile fish abundances were approximately one order of magnitude lower in September-October than the abundances of larval fish in July-August (Fig. 3b). In September-October, Gadidae dominated the ichtyoplankton assemblages (68-98%) everywhere except in four regions of the Kitikmeot (Fig. 3b). Compared to other regions, Queen Maud Gulf had one of the lowest abundance of juvenile Gadidae in September-October, but ranked first for the abundance of Stichaeidae, Cottidae, Ammoditidae and Agonidae (Fig. 3b).

**Adult fish assemblages and abundance**

Pelagic fish were more abundant in Lancaster Sound and the eastern area (North Water Polynya and Baffin Bay) than in the western area (Beaufort Sea) and the Kitikmeot (Fig. 4a). In the Kitimeot, shallow depths or ice cover prevented deploying the pelagic trawl most of the time, and only one deployment was made. This cast in Queen Maud Gulf yielded no fish (Fig. 4a). Gadidae dominated the pelagic fish assemblages in all regions sampled (48-100%).

Benthic fish were abundant in the western regions, Parry Channel, Larsen Sound, and the eastern regions south of Nares Strait (CPUE > 2.5 fish min\(^{-1}\), Fig. 4b). In contrast, abundances were the lowest in the southern Kitikmeot (CPUE < 0.5 fish min\(^{-1}\), Fig. 4b). Cottidae were abundant and dominated the assemblages in M‘Clure Strait, Larsen Sound and Baffin Bay (49-65%). Zoarcidae were abundant and dominated the assemblages in Barrow Strait, Lancaster Sound and North Water Polynya (48-51%). Gadidae were abundant in the Canadian Beaufort Sea and Viscount Melville Sound, but not in the Kitikmeot and in intermediate abundance elsewhere (Fig. 4b). The lowest abundance of Gadidae (CPUE = 0.05 Gadidae min\(^{-1}\)) was found in Queen Maud Gulf, representing 32, 19, and 13 times less cod than in the Canadian Beaufort Sea, the North Water Polynya and Baffin Bay, respectively.

**Hydroacoustic densities**

Fish backscatter from the surface to 100 m was high (\(S_A > 10\ dB\ re\ 1m^2\ nmi^{-2}\)) in the US and Canadian Beaufort Sea, M‘Clure Strait, Viscount Melville Sound, Prince Regent Inlet, Lancaster Sound, North Water Polynya and Baffin Bay (Fig. 5a). Fish backscatter in the top 100 m was low (\(S_A < 10\ dB\)) in Coronation Gulf, Dease Strait, Queen Maud Gulf and Nares Strait (Fig. 5a). Below 100 m, fish backscatter was high (\(S_A > 10\ dB\)) in part of the US Beaufort Sea, M‘Clure Strait, Viscount Melville Sound, Lancaster Sound and the North Water Polynya (Fig. 5b). In the present study, different years were used to cover as much
waterways of the CAA as possible and give a large-scale overview of fish abundance in the
North American Arctic. Interannual variabilities obviously occur within the different regions.
However, our long-term dataset shows that most large-scale patterns are relatively stable in
time. Importantly for this study, the southern Kitikmeot had lower juvenile fish backscatter
than Beaufort Sea and Baffin Bay for all years studied between 2010 and 2017 (LeBlanc et
al. unpublished data). The vertical profiles further demonstrate that fish backscatter in the
first 100 m (likely from juvenile Arctic cod) was high in the western Arctic, Parry Channel
and the eastern Arctic, and lower in the Kitikmeot (Fig. 6). Fish backscatter between 250 and
400 m (likely from age-1+ Arctic cod) was also lower in the Kitikmeot than in the other
areas, and higher in the Parry Channel and the eastern Arctic (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The shallow and slow-flowing Kitikmeot: a barrier to fish dispersal

The striking paucity of Arctic cod in the southern Kitikmeot suggests that this area acts as a
barrier to dispersal between populations in the Beaufort Sea and Baffin Bay. Adult Arctic cod
generally avoid the top 100 m to escape predation by seals and, when possible, remain in the
relatively warm Atlantic layer located > 200 m (Benoit et al., 2010, Geoffroy et al., 2016).
The shallow and cold Kitikmeot, especially Dease Strait and Queen Maud Gulf (< 100 m),
does not contain Atlantic water and likely represents a suboptimal habitat for Arctic cod.
Furthermore, biomasses of the calanoid copepods *Calanus hyperboreus*, *C. glacialis* and
*Metridia longa* and of the amphipod *Themisto libellula*, which constitute most of the diet of
adult Arctic cod (McNicholl et al. 2016), are lower in the Kitikmeot than in the western and
eastern Arctic (G. Darnis, Université Laval, unpublished data). Low number of *Calanus*
copepods in the southern Kitikmeot also deprives polar cod larvae and juveniles from their
most energetic prey (Bouchard et al. 2016). The quasi absence of Arctic cod in this region
could also explain the absence of some of its predators. Belugas (*Delphinapterus leucas*),
narwhals (*Monodon monoceros*) and northern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*) are all absent
from the southern Kitikmeot region, but can be found in the eastern and/or western Canadian
Arctic (Parks Canada 2006; Wong et al., 2014). Adults Stichaeidae, Cottidae, Liparidae,
Zoarcidae and Agonidae were also uncommon in Coronation Gulf, Dease Strait and Queen
Maud Gulf, presumably due to the scarcity of zooplankton prey (G. Darnis, Université Laval,
unpublished data). Hence, the southern Kitikmeot may also act as a significant barrier to
dispersal for these benthic fish.
With the exception of Zoarcidae, which have demersal eggs and larvae, the pelagic early life stages are the main vector of dispersion for all fish captured during this study. In principle, the planktonic eggs, larvae and juveniles could thus contribute to significant connectivity between western and eastern populations via the southern Kitikmeot. However, the region is characterised by slow water circulation, which could greatly limit the potential for dispersion (Wekerle et al., 2013, Wang et al., 2012, Michel et al., 2006). The scarcity of adult fish in Coronation Gulf, Dease Strait and Queen Maud Gulf suggests that the larvae and juveniles collected in these regions have most likely hatched in the western Arctic and drifted slowly in the Kitikmeot with surface currents. Furthermore, convergent currents may retain fish larvae in Queen Maud Gulf as the maximal abundance of larvae and/or juveniles of four fish families was located in this region, despite hosting very few adults. Modelled (e.g. Wang et al., 2012) and observed (e.g. Leblond, 1980, Canadian Ice Service, 2012) currents in the CAA support this hypothesis (Fig. 1a). In contrast with the shallow southern Kitikmeot, some deeper regions of the northern Kitikmeot had high densities of polar cod larvae (Peel Sound) or juveniles (M’Clintock Channel), and although we cannot know their exact origin, we speculate that they originated from the Parry Channel.

The ice-covered Parry Channel: a melting barrier between western and eastern Arctic fish populations?

According to the 1981-2010 climatology, M’Clure Strait and Viscount Melville Sound used to be heavily ice-covered areas where sea ice concentration rarely reached < 60%, and even then, just a few weeks annually (Canadian Ice Service, 2012; Canadian Ice Service, 2018). Until recently, the western Parry Channel thus represented a stretch of at least 700 km with high sea ice concentrations between the Beaufort Sea shore lead polynya and the Viscount Melville Sound polynya located at the confluence of M’Clintock Channel (Barber and Massom, 2007). However, considerably relaxed sea ice conditions were observed in the area in recent years. Since 2007, mean weekly sea ice concentration in summer dropped below 50% most years, and even reached < 10% in 2015 (Canadian Ice Service, 2018). The past conditions in western Parry Channel must have considerably limited – if not completely precluded – larval fish survival in the area, and acted as another dispersal barrier between western and eastern populations in the CAA. Indeed, the then permanent ice cover and absence of significant rivers in western Parry Channel mean that surface waters must have been around -1.8°C all year round, a temperature strongly compromising the growth and
survival of Arctic cod early life stages (Laurel et al., 2017, Fortier et al., 2006, Bouchard and Fortier, 2011) and most likely that of other fish species. The absence of polynyas, which play a key role for Arctic cod larvae by providing prey and the light necessary to hunt them, could have contributed to a very low larval survival in the area until recently (Bouchard and Fortier, 2008).

High densities of juvenile fish (i.e. high acoustic backscatter from 0 to 100 m) were recorded in M’Clure Strait (2015) and Viscount Melville Sound (2013). The abnormally low sea ice concentrations in western Parry Channel over the last decade may have favoured larval fish survival, hence weakening that zoogeographic barrier. M’Clure Strait and Viscount Melville Sound are sufficiently deep to contain Atlantic waters, and thus represent a possible habitat for Arctic cod, which explains why high abundance of adult fish were acoustically detected in the area (i.e. high acoustic backscatter from 100 to the bottom). Although low sample sizes imply precautious interpretation, Cottidae were also relatively abundant in the trawls. These fish may provide a local supply of pelagic larvae, which can potentially drift with the relatively strong surface currents flowing eastward when ice conditions allow them to survive (e.g. Wekerlele et al., 2013, Canadian Ice Service, 2012). In the future, larval dispersion through the Parry Channel over single or multiple seasons may result in new connection between the western at the eastern North American Arctic. Similarly to what happened during interglacial periods within the past few million years (Rose, 2005) and with marine mammals in the past decade (Kelly et al., 2010), the diminishing sea ice cover may be transforming the Arctic into a region of increased population connectivity and hybridization among fish.

Conclusion

For Arctic cod, the shallow Kitikmeot may represent a relatively immutable barrier to dispersal due to suboptimal habitat offered by low water depths, sub-zero temperatures and scarcity of prey. In addition, slow water circulation in the southern Kitikmeot may represent a barrier to dispersal for fish species with pelagic larvae. In contrast, the sea-ice barrier in the western Parry Channel may already be fading away with climate change. Unfavorable conditions for the survival of Arctic cod and other pelagic larvae may have greatly limited the connection between western and eastern populations until recently, but ice concentrations now < 50% in summer could allow new genetic exchanges through the northernmost waterway of the Northwest Passage.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Bathymetric maps showing a) a schematic of surface currents strength and direction (red arrows, adapted from Canadian Ice Service, 2012, Leblond, 1980, and Wang et al., 2012), the four areas and regional subdivisions used in the study; b) sampling locations for ichthyoplankton in July-August (circles) and September-October (squares); and c) sampling locations for benthic (circles) and pelagic fish (squares). The color scale indicates the number of times a location was sampled from 2005 to 2017.

Figure 2. Time-distance sections of temperature (top panel) and salinity (bottom panel) along the Northwest Passage in July-August 2015. Vertical lines indicate the location of the CTD profiles.

Figure 3. Ichthyoplankton assemblages and abundances collected between 2005 and 2017 in different regions of the North American Arctic in a) July-August and b) September-October. Number of stations sampled indicated above each bar. The category “Others” includes Clupeidae, Osmeridae, Pleuronectidae and unidentifiable.

Figure 4. Adult fish assemblages and abundances collected between 2005 and 2017 in different regions of the North American Arctic in a) the pelagic trawl and b) the benthic trawl. Number of stations sampled indicated above each bar. The category “Others” includes Cottidae and Macrouridae for the pelagic trawl and Anarhichadidae, Cyclopteridae, Macrouridae, Myctophidae, Psychrolutidae, Rajidae, Stomiidae and unidentifiable for the benthic trawl.

Figure 5. Bathymetric maps indicating integrated fish backscatter (SA in dB re 1 m² nmi⁻²) along the CCGS Amundsen’s route in 2013 (inside dashed circle), 2014 (outside dashed regions) and 2015 (inside dashed rectangles) for a) the top 100 m and b) the water column below 100 m.

Figure 6. Average profiles of fish backscatter (sA coefficient in units of m² nmi⁻²) along the CCGS Amundsen’s route in 2013, 2014 and 2015 in a) the western Arctic, b) the Parry Channel, c) the Kitikmeot and d) the eastern Arctic.
Figure 1. Bathymetric maps showing a) a schematic of surface currents strength and direction (red arrows, adapted from Canadian Ice Service, 2012, Leblond, 1980, and Wang et al., 2012), the four areas and regional subdivisions used in the study; b) sampling locations for ichthyoplankton in July-August (circles) and September-October (squares); and c) sampling locations for benthic (circles) and pelagic fish (squares). The color scale indicates the number of times a location was sampled from 2005 to 2017.
Figure 2. Time-distance sections of temperature (top panel) and salinity (bottom panel) along the Northwest Passage in July-August 2015. Vertical lines indicate the location of the CTD profiles.
a) July & August - Larvae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>CPUE (fish min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parry C.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitikmeot</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) September & October - Juveniles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>CPUE (fish min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parry C.</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitikmeot</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- **Gadidae**
- **Stichaeidae**
- **Cottidae**
- **Liparidae**
- **Ammodytidae**
- **Ammodytidae**
- **Agonidae**
- **Others**
Figure 4. Adult fish assemblages and abundances collected between 2005 and 2017 in different regions of the North American Arctic in a) the pelagic trawl and b) the benthic trawl. Number of stations sampled indicated above each bar. The category “Others” includes Cottidae and Macrouridae for the pelagic trawl and Anarhichadidae, Cyclopteridae, Macrouridae, Myctophidae, Psychrolutidae, Rajidae, Stomiidae and unidentifiable for the benthic trawl.
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Figure 5. Bathymetric maps indicating integrated fish backscatter ($S_A$ in dB re 1 m$^2$ nmi$^{-2}$) along the CCGS Amundsen’s route in 2013 (inside dashed circle), 2014 (outside dashed regions) and 2015 (inside dashed rectangles) for a) the top 100 m and b) the water column below 100 m.
Figure 6. Average profiles of fish backscatter ($s_A$ coefficient in units of $m^2 \text{ nmi}^{-2}$) along the CCGS Amundsen’s route in 2013, 2014 and 2015 in a) the western Arctic, b) the Parry Channel, c) the Kitikmeot and d) the eastern Arctic.