Measuring fish condition: an evaluation of new and old metrics for three species with contrasting life histories

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2018-0076.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	02-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Wuenschel, Mark; National Marine Fisheries Service - NOAA, McElroy, W. ; Integrated Statistics Inc. Oliveira, Kenneth; UMASS Dartmouth, Department of Biology McBride, Richard; National Marine Fisheries Service - NOAA
Keyword:	ENERGETICS < General, REPRODUCTION < General, PHYSIOLOGY < General, LIPIDS < General, BIOMETRICS < General
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)

Measuring fish condition: an evaluation of new and old metrics for three species with
contrasting life histories

Mark J. Wuenschel^{1*}, W. David McElroy², Kenneth Oliveira³, and Richard S. McBride¹

¹ Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. ²Integrated Statistics Inc. Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. Under contract to: Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA ³Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth.

Mark.Wuenschel@noaa.gov, Dave.McElroy@noaa.gov, Koliveira@umassd.edu,

Richard.McBride@noaa.gov

Corresponding author: Mark J. Wuenschel, e-mail Mark.Wuenschel@noaa.gov. Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. Phone (508)-495-2276, Fax (508)-495-2258.

Abstract

Measuring fish condition should link ecosystem drivers with population dynamics, if the underlying physiological basis for variations in condition indices are understood. We evaluated traditional (K, Kn, hepatosomatic index, gonadosomatic index, energy density and percent dry weight of muscle [%DWM] and liver [%DWL]) and newer (bioelectrical impedance analysis [BIA] and scaled mass index [SMI]) condition indices to track seasonal cycles in three flatfishes– winter (3 stocks), yellowtail (3 stocks), and summer flounder (1 stock) –with contrasting life histories: in habitat, feeding, and reproduction. The %DWM and %DWL were

good proxies for energy density ($r^2 > 0.96$) and more strongly related to K, Kn and SMI than to BIA metrics. Principal component analysis indicated many metrics performed similarly across species; some confounded by size, sex, and maturity along PC1, others effectively characterizing condition along PC2. Stock differences were along PC1 in winter flounder, reflecting different sizes across stocks, whereas in yellowtail flounder differences occurred along PC2 related to condition. These comparisons, within and across species, highlight the broad applicability of some metrics and limitations in others.

Introduction

The physiological health and energetic status of fishes is increasingly evaluated for a broad range of purposes; as a proxy for reproductive output (Marshall et al. 1999; 2003, 2006), to assess responses to biological interactions (Marshall et al. 2004; Cade et al. 2008), ecosystem change (Choi et al. 2004), as prey to higher trophic levels (Renkawitz et al. 2015), to categorize life history types within a population (Larsen et al. 2017), and to inform population status for management (Blackwell et al. 2000; Brosset et al 2017; Morgan et al. 2018) and conservation (Stevenson and Woods 2006). Depending on the intended purpose (e.g. single species energy content and reproductive potential, or multi-species ecosystem indicators) the desired characteristic of the metric chosen will vary. The concept of overall ‘health’ of an organism is somewhat ambiguous, and considered to be an integration of many factors acting at the sub-organism level that are more clearly defined and quantifiable (e.g. fat content, RNA/DNA, hemoglobin concentration, etc.). The goal of defining the ‘best’ states of condition in individuals and populations, and deviations from this optima, has been a pursuit of fisheries biologists for many years, and approaches can generally be classified as either morpho-physiological (e.g.

weight at a given length, hereafter referred to as morphological) or physiological-biochemical (Shulman and Love 1999). To be useful, methods should 1- characterize functional features of organisms or populations, 2- encompass the range of variability in the process examined, 3- be representative of the population, and 4- be easily measured under field conditions (Shulman and Love 1999). While physiological-biochemical methods have generally been more informative, they require more effort and are impractical for field sampling and large sample sizes. Numerous methods have been proposed to relate the physiological health (state of wellbeing, condition) of fishes based on physiological-morphological characteristics, with the premise that heavier or fatter is better (Le Cren 1951; Ricker 1975; Hayes and Shonkwiler 2001). The morphological approach offers ease of collection, but still needs to be validated to some functional feature (e.g. energy content), and often fails to accurately reflect nutritional condition of individual fishes.

The nondestructive nature of morphological indices is appealing, especially if they can be related to variables such as fat or energy content that are much more difficult to measure. Simple metrics such as Fulton's K relate the weight of an individual to that predicted from the cube of their length; $K = W/L^3$. Though Fulton's K has been in use for many years (Nash et al. 2006), problems related to the assumption of weight scaling as a cube of length have been demonstrated (Cone 1989). Since the exponent of the weight-length relation deviates from 3 in most species, the calculated Fulton's K is dependent on size, invalidating comparison of values from samples or individuals of different lengths. The relative condition factor (K_n) does not assume a length exponent, but fits a length-weight relationship to the available data (sample or population). Individual K_n is then calculated as the observed weight/predicted weight based on length (Le Cren 1951); thus, a value of 1 indicates 'average' condition. One disadvantage of K_n is that

given its 'relative' nature, when additional data is added a new predictive regression is required which can change prior individual K_n values (e.g. with additional sampling or data, what was considered 'average' condition may now be shown to be above or below average). This 'internal' (study-specific) aspect of calculating K_n also makes it difficult to compare values across studies. The relative weight index (W_r ; Blackwell et al. 2000) addresses this reliance on an internally estimated length-weight relation by using a standard equation for each species (i.e. a 'global mean') and allows cross study comparison. W_r has been applied more commonly for freshwater species, where it may be impractical to develop length-weight relations for every pond or lake. More recently, Peig and Green (2009) proposed use of a scaled mass index (SMI), which is based on the central principle of scaling. Compared to other (more traditional) morphometric condition indices, the SMI was shown to be a better predictor of fat and energy reserves in a variety of organisms (small mammals, birds and snakes), and has since been applied to a broader range of animals including amphibians (MacCracken and Stebbings 2012) and fishes (Camizuli et al. 2014; Maceda-Veiga et al. 2014; Morita et al. 2015; Masse et al. 2016).

In addition to advances in analytic methods for morphological condition indices, new technologies have emerged and been applied to quantify physiological condition, including total body electrical conductivity (TOBEC; Scott et al. 2001), bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA; Cox and Hartman 2005), and the fatmeter (Crossin and Hinch 2005; Davidson and Marshall 2010; Schloesser and Fabrizio 2017). Both TOBEC and BIA operate on the principle that the conductivity of an organism is determined mainly by its lean tissues (Scott et al. 2001). BIA has been applied successfully to estimate whole body fat and energy in fish in several studies (Cox

and Hartman 2005; Cox and Heintz 2009; Hafs and Hartman 2011), but less successful in other studies (Pothoven et al. 2008; Garner et al. 2012; Klefoth et al. 2013; Dibble et al. 2017).

Regardless of condition index chosen, there is a need to relate any index at different stages in a fish's life to standard physiological variables (e.g. fat or energy density, Davidson and Marshall 2010; Schloesser and Fabrizio 2017). For example, because condition indices, whether morphometric or derived from physical [bioelectrical] properties, may reflect different aspects of an individual's physiology and may be affected differently by both the reproductive state (on an annual cycle; Robards et al. 1999) and reproductive mode of a given species. This study focuses on three species with different life histories, particularly in terms of reproduction, which is energetically demanding and likely to affect fish condition.

Teleosts display a wide diversity in reproductive modes (Smith and Wootton 2016), and energy allocation strategies for reproduction that can be generalized along a continuum from capital to income breeding types that may be a response to seasonal cycles of food availability (McBride et al. 2015). The high fecundity of many fishes (as compared to other vertebrates) requires that fishes devote a large percentage of surplus energy to reproduction. Even within species that spawn multiple seasons in their lifetime (i.e. iteroparous) ovary mass may exceed 40% of total body mass in the period leading up to spawning. Such substantial buildup and release of gametes is energetically demanding and often requires mobilization (depletion) of stored energy from other parts of the body (e.g. soma, liver). Therefore, in addition to the gonad, the soma, liver, and viscera or mesentery may also fluctuate in response to reproductive condition. Since some of these body components function as energy storage; the seasonal cycles of build-up and depletion

is often temporally offset from that of reproductive output. These internal dynamics within individual fish can confound efforts to assess condition from measures of whole body weight. Therefore, even if the weight of the gonad is accounted for in measures of condition based on weight, the seasonal energetic cycle related to spawning (and/or feeding) may not be fully accounted for in analyses of condition from length-weight data.

Here, we measured biochemical measures of condition, as well as morphological and electrical indices, for 3 species. The three species – winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*), yellowtail flounder (*Limanda ferruginea*), and summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) – were chosen because of their contrasting habitat use, feeding modes, and reproductive patterns (Table 1). In terms of habitats, winter flounder is structured as three populations that reside in estuaries, shelf areas, and offshore banks from New Jersey northward; yellowtail flounder is structured in three populations that reside in the New England offshore shelf areas and offshore banks, and summer flounder is a single population distributed in coastal waters and along the shelf in the warm temperate zone, from the Carolinas to Georges Bank. Stock-specific growth, maturity, and fecundity have been reported in both winter (McBride et al. 2013; McElroy et al. 2013) and yellowtail flounder (Klein-MacPhee 2002a; McElroy et al. 2016). Winter flounder is an example of an extreme capital breeder that ovulates an entire annual fecundity at once (i.e. total spawner – Press et al. 2014; McBride et al. 2015; McElroy et al. 2013). Winter flounder spawn benthic eggs and are also unusual in that they spawn in estuaries, but also in nearshore waters (DeCelles and Cadrin 2011; Fairchild et al. 2013), and on Georges Bank (Klein-MacPhee 2002a). Yellowtail flounder is another boreal/temperate species, similar to winter flounder. Although yellowtail flounder fecundity is determinate and oocyte development is group synchronous, they

ovulate and release pelagic eggs in batches and remain in offshore shelf waters. Both winter and yellowtail flounder are benthivores (Link et al. 2002; Smith and Link 2010), feeding primarily on invertebrates (polychaetes, Gammarids, and Anthozoans). Summer flounder also inhabits coastal/shelf habitats, but has a more southerly distribution (temperate-subtropical) and with extensive seasonal movements from coastal waters to the outer continental shelf (Klein-MacPhee 2002*b*). In contrast to winter and yellowtail flounder, summer flounder have asynchronous oocyte development, continuing to recruit and produce batches of eggs throughout a protracted spawning season; therefore, annual fecundity is indeterminate (Merson et al. 2000). This reproductive pattern is characteristic of income breeders (McBride et al. 2015). Summer flounder attain larger sizes, exhibit more pronounced sex-dimorphic growth, and feed on higher trophic-level prey including fish and squid (Link et al. 2002; Smith and Link 2010; Wuenschel et al. 2013*a*).

Consequently, winter and summer flounder are at opposite ends of the reproductive spectrum in regard to energy allocation (capital, total spawner vs. income, batch spawner) with yellowtail flounder intermediate between these two ends of this spectrum. Therefore, variation across seasons and among species provides contrast in multiple measures of physiological condition. The objectives of this study were to measure a suite of morphological and physiological-biochemical properties of these three species with different energy allocation strategies to determine inter-relations between the various measures of condition, and therefore the suitability of easily measured ‘proxies’ to accurately estimate physiological condition. The diversity of life history traits exhibited by these three species encompasses that for a wide range teleosts, so the conclusions drawn from them should be broadly applicable.

Methods

Sample sources

The collections used here are part of ongoing efforts to investigate and monitor the reproductive biology of three flatfish species – winter flounder, yellowtail flounder, and summer flounder – and some aspects of this research have been reported previously (McElroy et al. 2013, 2016; Press et al. 2014). The present analysis includes samples collected using bottom trawls from several sources; the Northeast Fishery Science Center (NEFSC) Northeast Cooperative Research Branch's (CRB) Study Fleet and other CRB field research, the Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) bottom trawl survey, the NEFSC Ecosystems Surveys Branch bottom trawl survey, and the University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography (URI) bottom trawl survey (summarized in Table 2). These sources allowed coverage of portions of the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank and Southern New England stocks of winter and yellowtail flounder, and the single stock of summer flounder. Immediately after capture, fish were iced down and transported to the laboratory for processing. Intensive monthly sampling of all three species (from seven fishery stocks) was conducted from December 2009 until spring 2011; after which collections were focused on the reproductive season of each stock in order to conserve resources. Summer flounder collections ceased after winter 2013, but sampling for the other species continued (2014-2016), targeted toward the period just prior and through peak spawning for each stock.

Sample processing

First, BIA was performed on fish in the lab using the Quantum X Body Composition analyzer (RJL Systems Inc.). Electrical resistance and reactance between pairs of electrodes placed in the dorsal musculature of the eyed side were measured while the fish was on a nonconductive measuring board with moveable electrode holders (Fitzhugh et al. 2010). Each electrode pair included a signal emitting and a signal detecting electrode spaced 10 mm apart. Leads from the device were attached directly to steel dissecting pins set to penetrate a depth of 5 mm. At the start of each sampling session, the resistance and reactance of a standard resistor (500 ohm) connecting directly to the electrodes was measured to ensure all electrical connections were correct and readings were within tolerance (resistance, 500.0 ± 5.0 ohms; reactance 0.0 ± 3.0 ohms). The following anatomical landmarks were used for electrode placement; anterior electrode placed dorsal to the pectoral fin, midway between lateral line and dorsal margin; posterior electrode set aligned with dorsal fin insertion at the caudal peduncle, midway between the lateral line and dorsal margin. From these measured electrical properties, additional bioelectrical properties were calculated (Table 3) following Cox and Hartman (2005), Hafs and Harman (2011), and Stolarski et al. (2014).

The following measures were obtained; total length (± 1 mm), total weight (± 0.1 g), sex, maturity, liver weight (± 0.001 g), and gonad weight (± 0.001 g). Maturity was assessed macroscopically following the criteria outlined in Burnett et al. (1989), O'Brien et al. (1993), McBride et al. (2013), and initially assigned to six classes: immature, developing, ripe, running ripe, spent, and resting. For the present analysis, ripe, and running ripe were combined as ripe. Gonadosomatic (GSI) and hepatosomatic (HSI) indices were calculated as $100 * ([\text{gonad or liver}] \text{ weight} / (\text{body weight} - [\text{gonad or liver}] \text{ weight}))$, respectively (Wootton 1990). For a subset of individuals of

each species additional samples of liver (mean = 7.194, SD = 3.741 g wet weight) and epaxial muscle (mean = 7.617, SD = 3.865 g wet weight) tissue were removed to determine the water content (and percentage dry weight).

Liver and epaxial muscle tissue samples were dried at 60 °C to a constant weight, and the percent dry weight (%DW; unitless) of muscle and liver tissue was calculated as $\%DW = 100 \times (\text{dry weight} / \text{wet weight})$. A subset of these samples ($n = 266$) across a range of seasons, sex, maturity classes, and percentages of dry weight for each tissue type and species was selected for proximate composition analysis. Proximate composition of subsamples of dried tissue were analyzed gravimetrically (following Morley et al. 2012), using Soxhlet extraction apparatus with petroleum ether as the solvent to determine lipid content, and then re-drying to a constant weight to determine lipid-free weight. The lean (lipid-free) sample was then combusted in a muffle furnace at 500 °C for 5 h, cooled to ambient temperature, and re-dried to constant weight to determine ash content. Preliminary analysis indicated 5 h combustion time was sufficient for the size and composition of these samples (K. Oliveira unpub. data). The lean tissue weight was assumed to be protein; phospholipids and carbohydrates are minor fractions in fish tissue (Love, 1980). Liver energy density (LED kJ g^{-1}) and muscle energy density (MED) were calculated per gram wet weight using the energy equivalent values for lipid (39.54 kJ g^{-1}) and protein (23.64 kJ g^{-1}) (Henken et al. 1986; Morley et al. 2012). Total liver energy (TLE) was then calculated by multiplying the energy density (measured directly or estimated from ED vs. %DW relationships) by the total liver weight.

Morphometric condition indices

Fulton’s K of individual fish was calculated as $K = W_i/L_i^3$, where W_i and L_i are the observed individual fish weight and length. Relative condition (K_n) was calculated as $K_n = \text{observed mass}/\text{predicted mass } W_i/aL_i^b$, where W_i and L_i are as above, and a and b are species-specific regression constants obtained from the overall length weight relationships using pooled data (Table 4). Because sample coverage varied over the course of the study (with later years focused only on the few months leading up to spawning), we developed length-weight equations from the first ~2 years of collections when (near) monthly samples were obtained. This approach captured the seasonal cycle in weight at length (albeit for only 1-2 spawning seasons) while reducing potential bias due to the predominance of fish in pre-spawning condition in the more recent years.

We calculated the scaled mass index (SMI); following Peig and Green (2009) who applied the Thorpe-Lleonart model of scaling (Lleonart et al. 2000) to length-mass:

$$SMI = M_i \left[\frac{L_0}{L_i} \right]^{b_{SMA}}$$

Where M_i is body mass and L_i is length of individual i , b_{SMA} is the scaling exponent obtained from the species –specific standardized major axis regression of $\ln M$ vs. $\ln L$; L_0 is an arbitrary reference length chosen for standardization; and SMI is the predicted body mass of individual i standardized to L_0 (Table 4). For the reasoning above for K_n , we used data from the first ~2 years of sampling to estimate length-weight parameters. As in other studies, we set L_0 to the arithmetic mean length sampled for each species.

Data analysis

The significance of seasonal variation in physiological measures and morphological condition indices was assessed using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test since the monthly data had unequal variances (Levene's test, $P < 0.05$) and/or non-normal distributions (Shapiro-Wilk, $P < 0.05$) in all cases. Pearson correlation coefficients between the various morphological, physiological, and biochemical measures (summarized in Table 3) were calculated and visualized for each species using the corrplot package in R (Wei and Simko 2016). Linear regressions of the relationships between tissue (muscle and liver) energy density and tissue percent dry weight were developed for each species.

Multiple morphometric and physiological measures were collected on each specimen, increasing the likelihood of i) redundant (covarying, correlated) variables and ii) high type I error if all combinations were analyzed. Therefore, to evaluate relationships between the multiple morphological and physiological measures recorded for each specimen (i.e. multivariate data) we applied ordination methods to reduce the numbers of axes to capture the main trends in the data. We used principal component analysis (PCA) to explore patterns between morphological, biological, and physiological variables measured for each species. This method is descriptive in nature, and considered an appropriate method to explore structure in the observed variation in the data and allowed us to determine what aspects of 'condition' were captured by each of the indices, thus serving as a validation tool without any a priori assumptions regarding response vs. explanatory variables. The PCA was run using the correlation matrix of the scaled data (unit variance) for all of the continuous variables, and sex, reproductive phase, and stock were included as supplemental (categorical) variables. Individuals missing data for any of the variables were removed prior to analysis. For each species, PCA was performed using the

FactoMineR package (Le et al. 2008) in R statistical software, with results visualized using Factoextra (Kassambra and Mundt 2016). The categorical variables are not used in forming the principal components, therefore the unbalanced nature of our sampling with respect to sex, reproductive phase, or stock did not introduce bias. The categorical variables were ‘mapped’ onto the PCA space and categories that were characteristic of each principal component were identified (dimdesc function in FactoMineR). The number of principal components retained was based on visual evaluation of the Scree plots of variation explained, and interpretability of the loadings.

Results

Fish were analyzed from a broad geographic region, encompassing portions of 3 fishery stock areas for winter flounder and yellowtail flounder, however sample sizes were lower from Georges Bank for both (Fig 1.). Summer flounder samples were almost entirely from the Southern New England portion of the stock, but were from both inshore in summer and deeper offshore areas during the colder months.

Condition Metrics

The physiological measures varied across species and seasons, reflecting differential reproductive modes and energy allocation strategies (Fig. 2). In all cases, observed variation in physiological measures across months was significant (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.001$; Table S1). Winter flounder showed extreme variation in GSI through the year, approaching 40% just prior and during spawning in winter. Yellowtail flounder, a spring spawner, showed a notably large seasonal variation in GSI, but to a lesser degree than winter flounder. Summer flounder,

primarily a fall spawner in this region, demonstrated the least magnitude variation in GSI, with values ranging from <2% in the non-spawning period and at maximum <10% during spawning. The relative size (median 1-2% of fish mass) and seasonal variation in size of the liver (HSI) was similar across species, however the composition of the liver (%DWL) followed distinct seasonal patterns in all species and summer flounder had 5 to 10% higher peak %DWL. Winter flounder exhibited less variation in %DWL than either other species with a range of only 10-12%. Seasonal cycles in muscle composition (%DWM) were also evident for all three species, with winter and yellowtail flounder having stronger seasonal patterns while summer flounder had higher %DWM and lower individual variation. Peaks in %DWM and %DWL occurred in the non-spawning period for winter and yellowtail flounder. Summer flounder exhibited two peaks for %DWM and particularly %DWL, one occurring in winter and one in summer.

The three morphometric condition indices generally captured seasonal changes in fish condition related to spawning seasonality, showing lowest values immediately following spawning and recovering thereafter (Fig. 3). As for physiological measures, in all cases the observed variation in morphometric condition indices across months, although subtle as compared to individual variation, was significant (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.001$; Table S1). The seasonal signal was strongest in winter flounder and weakest in summer flounder. For each species, the patterns observed for K and Kn were similar, while values of SMI indicated more extreme low values for winter and yellowtail flounder. During the spawning seasons for both winter and yellowtail flounder, there were more individuals identified as outliers with low SMI. The patterns in phase angle (PA) were more ambiguous and did not closely follow patterns in K, Kn, and SMI for

winter and yellowtail flounder except for the low values in July for yellowtail flounder. For summer flounder, the PA followed the patterns in morphometric indices.

Overall, the observed seasonal patterns in both physiological and morphometric condition indices for winter and yellowtail flounder, generally showed a single peak and valley, whilst in summer flounder there was indication of 2 peaks occurring through the year for many measures. Winter flounder exhibited larger variation than the other species in measures influenced by muscle mass; whereas yellowtail and summer flounder demonstrated larger variation in liver metrics than winter flounder. Within monthly summary boxplots, variation in both physiological and condition indices was large, reflecting the diversity of sexes and reproductive phases sampled. This variation was greater in winter and yellowtail flounder, where the monthly aggregates include fish from multiple stocks with differing peak spawning periods (see Fig. S1).

Correlations

Correlations between physiological and morphometric condition indices within each species revealed several commonalities (Figs. 4, 5, 6). The three morphometric condition indices (K, Kn, SMI) had strong positive correlations with each other, except for SMI of Summer flounder. In all species, both K and Kn had weak positive correlations with physiological measures, including %DWL, %DWM, TLE, GWEIGHT, LWEIGHT, GSI, HSI. For SMI, correlations with these same physiological measures ranged from weakly positive in yellowtail, weakly positive and weakly negative in winter flounder, to no relation or strongly negative in summer flounder. The electrical properties calculated from BIA measures were strongly correlated to each other, but also were strongly correlated to measures of size (TLENGTH, TWEIGHT, GFWEIGHT) and

properties that co-varied with size (GWEIGHT, LWEIGHT). The %DWL and %DWM were generally correlated with each other, but none of the morphometric, biological, or BIA measures were strong predictors of %DW in these tissues. The TLE was strongly correlated to %DWL, HSI and LWEIGHT.

For each species, strong relations were observed between the percentage dry weight of liver and muscle, and the energy content of those tissues (Fig. 7, Table 5). Analysis of variance indicated significant main effect of species on the relationships between energy density and percent dry weight of liver ($P < 0.001$), but the interaction between species and %DWL was not significant ($P = 0.083$). Similarly, there was a significant species effect (ANOVA, $P < 0.001$) on the relationship between energy density of muscle and %DWM, but the interaction term was not significant ($P = 0.465$). Species specific regressions are presented in Table 5. Despite differences in regression intercepts, the data largely overlapped across species, however the range of observed values in %DWM differed; summer flounder %DWM was never observed below 18.8%.

PCA summaries

The PCA analyses indicated similar influence (i.e. redundancy) of several variables across species (Table 6). For each species the first principal component was strongly correlated to direct measures of fish size (e.g. TLENGTH, TWEIGHT, GFWEIGHT, LWEIGHT, GWEIGHT) as well as size-dependent (i.e. not standardized to detector length) BIA measures (e.g. RS, CPF, ZS, RP, ZP, XC, and XCP; Figs. 8, 9, and 10). Across species, the second principal component was generally related to various measures of condition (K, Kn, SMI, BMI, PA). The third and fourth

principal components were significantly correlated with several variables, however, they generally explained low amounts of variation (5.7-10.8).

For winter flounder, the first principal component axis explained 48.7% of the observed variance, and was highly correlated to several measures associated with size (Table 6). The second PC explained an additional 17.6% and was influenced by the morphometric condition indices (K, Kn, SMI). The third PC explained 10.8% of the variation, and was most related to three BIA measures (PADL, REACT, and PA). Finally, the fourth PC explained 7.2% of the variance, and was related to %DWM, %DWL, and GSI. Visualizations of data grouped by qualitative variables (reproductive phase, sex, stock) revealed the following; immature individuals were grouped on the left of PC 1 (associated with smaller size), developing individuals were generally higher along PC2 (higher condition) while spent fish were lower on this axis (i.e. lower condition; Table 6, Fig. 8). Sex did not show as strong effects, but did show some influence related to fish size (PC1), i.e. higher values associated with the larger size reached by females. The stock effect was largely along PC1, driven by the larger size of the individuals in the GB stock (Fig. 11).

For yellowtail flounder, the first PC explained 42.1% of the overall variation, and was also highly correlated to measures associated with size (Table 6). The second PC explained an additional 23.8% of the variation, and was influenced primarily by morphometric and BIA condition metrics (K, Kn, SMI, BMI, PA, and PADL). The third PC explained an additional 10.8% of the variation, but except for PADL the correlations with individual variables were weaker. The fourth PC explained 7.9% of the variation and was influenced by %DWL and GSI.

Visualizations of the data by qualitative grouping variables revealed the following; immatures and males grouped to the left on PC1 (smaller sized), and spent fish were generally lower on PC2 (i.e. lower condition; Table 6, Fig. 9). Stock differences in yellowtail flounder were stronger along PC2 (i.e. condition) than PC1; the GB stock had more variable and lower condition, particularly for larger fish (higher PC1), and more SNE fish had higher condition (Fig. 11).

For summer flounder, the first PC explained 57.2% of the observed variation in the data, and was again dominated by measures associated with size (Table 6), but also included K. The second PC explained an additional 13.4% of the variance and was related to condition measures Kn, SMI and K. The third PC explained 7.9% variance, and was most strongly associated with PA and REACT. The fourth PC explained only 5.7 % of the variation and was positively correlated with GSI in contrast to the other 2 species. Visualizations of the data by qualitative grouping variables revealed the following; immature and male individuals were on the left of axis 1, (smaller size), and spent fish were slightly lower on axis 2 (low condition; Table 6, Fig. 10). Regional (stock) effects were not evaluated for summer flounder.

Discussion

Herein we document large variation in the physiological state of individuals both within and across species. Variation occurred seasonally and across sexes, and was due in part to species-specific reproductive and energy allocation patterns. Additional variation was evident across stocks, reflecting large scale environmental drivers on growth, feeding, and energetics. Morphological indices (based on external and internal characteristics) captured large scale seasonal differences in condition at the organism level, but were less predictive of bio-chemical

condition at the sub-organism level (e.g. energy density of muscle and liver). Some intermediate measures, with respect to ease of collection, such as the percentage dry weight of tissue, were good predictors of tissue energy density. Bioelectrical measures generally performed poorly at both the organism and sub-organism scales. Principal Component Analyses provided a comprehensive summary of the inter-relations between various condition measures, and how these relationships varied across these three species with very different life histories providing insights that are applicable to many other species. A more detailed description of the variation in fish condition that occurs at various levels (sub-organism, organism, population), the ability of old and new metrics to capture this variation, and therefore the conclusions and advice that can be drawn from them follows.

Seasonal patterns of condition in relation to reproductive strategy and energy allocation

Seasonal cycles in physiological and morphometric variables were evident, even when considering that the summary boxplots (Figures 2, 3) combined sexes and stocks. Variation in spawning season among stocks is well documented for both winter flounder (McBride et al. 2013; McElroy et al. 2013; Press et al. 2014) and yellowtail flounder (McElroy et al., 2016). Aggregating data by month across multiple years, stocks, sexes, and maturity stages likely contributed to the large amount of individual variability in GSI, HSI and percent dry weight of liver and muscle and dampened the seasonal patterns. Variations among species were also evident, because the reproductive strategies of these three flatfishes follow different processes of energy acquisition, storage, and depletion.

Of the three species studied here, winter flounder underwent the widest seasonal ranges in condition, with significant storage and depletion of energy from muscle tissue. This has been

434 previously documented, with greater variation reported for more northern populations (Plante et
435 al. 2005; Wuenschel et al. 2009). There was limited seasonal variation in liver tissue composition
436 of winter flounder, in contrast to the other two species. Starvation of captive winter flounder was
437 found to result in depletion of a hypodermal lipid layer along the midline, and produced water
438 content levels in the muscle tissue higher (88-95%) than any observed in wild fish in the current
439 study (Maddock and Burton 1994). The importance of the seasonal timing of feeding and fish
440 condition to reproductive participation has been demonstrated in captive experiments on winter
441 flounder, with poor condition inducing skipped spawning (Burton and Idler 1987; Burton 1994).
442 The characteristics of winter flounder spawning, particularly as a determinate, high fecundity,
443 and total spawner during winter, require this species to utilize its energy reserves ('capital')
444 extensively and results in the strong seasonal cycles in condition and %DWM.

445
446 Yellowtail flounder also undergo a wide range of condition changes seasonally, and showed
447 more individual and seasonal variation in the percent dry weight of liver (and to a lesser degree
448 HSI). Yellowtail flounder also had more individuals at the low end of the %DWM distributions
449 (outliers and lower 25% percentile) over more months. This species was comparable in its
450 patterns to winter flounder, but utilized liver reserves to a greater extent than winter flounder.
451 Dwyer et al. (2003) reported laboratory-reared yellowtail flounder had higher levels of HSI and
452 lipids in the muscle and liver than wild fish, which they attributed to efficient absorption from
453 the diet and rapid deposition in tissues; therefore, the observed seasonal patterns in the current
454 study likely reflect changes in food quality and/or feeding intensity. In addition, the energy usage
455 of both muscle and liver reserves may reflect its 'capital' pattern of reproductive energy usage,
456 but it may be somewhat intermediate compared to the other two species in using both liver and

muscle extensively. The species has been shown to exhibit variable levels of down-regulation of fecundity in the period just prior to spawning (McElroy et al. 2016), which may be dependent on available energy reserves (i.e. liver and muscle).

Disease may also play a role in the broad range of %DWL observed among yellowtail flounder. The protozoan parasite *Ichthyophonus* sp. was recently reported in the Georges Bank stock of yellowtail flounder (Huntsberger et al. 2017) and we occasionally observed macroscopic lesions associated with infection (nodules of firm white cysts on the surface of the liver and other peritoneal organs) in all stocks. It is possible the greater variation in percent dry weight of liver in yellowtail flounder is caused by varying infection intensities, with encysted parasites affecting the percentage dry weight and energy content of the liver tissue sample. Interestingly, the relationship between percentage dry weight of liver and liver energy density for yellowtail flounder had a lower r^2 value than the other two species, however it was still highly correlated ($r^2 = 0.96$, Table 4). Infection by *Ichthyophonus* sp. can spread to other tissues, including muscle and heart, and it is considered to be debilitating and/or lethal in yellowtail flounder (Huntsberger et al. 2017). Therefore, it is possible that variation in liver condition of yellowtail is reflective of systemic infection in other parts of the body. Although not measured for these samples, increased infection prevalence and intensity may explain some of the low outliers observed for yellowtail flounder in K, K_n , %DWL, and %DWM, which were more common in this species than the other two. This underscores the potential importance of infection and its impacts on growth, reproduction, and condition particularly given the low population status and limited recovery of several of the yellowtail flounder stocks (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2017).

Compared to the other two species, summer flounder exhibited a higher condition with less seasonal variation in percent dry weight of muscle, except for winter flounder in fall. Summer flounder had higher percent dry weight of liver, which varied over two distinct cycles per year, first peaking in February then again in July-August. Two peaks in liver and muscle condition could be related to the following; 1) summer flounder undergo significant seasonal migrations, moving offshore and south in winter, and 2) in addition to the main spawning season occurring in the fall, summer flounder (particularly larger/older individuals) are known to also have a more limited spawning in the spring (evidenced by higher GSI in some individuals during March-April, Figure 2). Ingressing larvae collected north of Cape Hatteras were mostly fall spawned, while those south of Cape Hatteras were mostly spring spawned, however some overlap in each region was evident (Able et al. 2011). Migration and seasonal prey availability likely plays a larger role influencing the bi-modality of liver and muscle energy, by late April and early May summer flounder had moved to inshore waters and condition readily improved in subsequent months. The more limited change in muscle energy in fall and greater use of liver reserves (more reflective of short term changes) is consistent with the characterization of this species having ‘income’ type reproductive energy allocation pattern.

Interpretation of morphological condition indices – what are they measuring?

The overall seasonal patterns provided contrast in multiple metrics at the organism and sub-organism scales, and by including all of these variables in the same multivariate analysis we were able to summarize relationships between them. PCA variables represented by vectors that point in the same direction have a similar response profile, convey similar meaning (i.e. may be redundant, whereby simpler measures are suitable proxies for more difficult ones). Condition

indices derived from morphological (external- e.g. length, weight; internal- e.g. liver or gonad weight) properties of fishes are widely used owing their ease of application; however the value of such indices to serve as reliable proxies for biochemical condition is often equivocal (Davidson and Marshall 2010; McPherson et al. 2011; Brosset et al. 2015; Sardenne et al. 2016; Morgan et al. 2018). For the three species investigated here, external morphological condition indices provided marginal direct estimates of measured biochemical condition (muscle and liver energy density). For both winter and yellowtail flounder, the PCA loadings for morphological (K, Kn, SMI) and biochemical (%DWM, %DWL) variables were both aligned with PC2, supporting use of these metrics to infer biochemical condition. One drawback of using Kn for monitoring studies is the need to recalculate LW regression to obtain the predicted weight, as new observations are added. In the present study we partially avoided this; the ‘new’ data we have added throughout the study was not seasonally balanced so we opted to avoid biasing of new LW equations and continued to use those determined during the first years of seasonally balanced samples. This modification has potential to shift the center of observation from 1, but we feel it still provides the interpretability we are after (i.e. fish greater than 1 are ‘above’ average). The biochemical variables were less strongly associated with PC2 for summer flounder, cautioning the use of K, Kn, or SMI to infer biochemical condition. Contrast in external morphological condition indices (e.g. Kn) has been identified as a prerequisite for significant correlations with biochemical indices. Lambert and Dutil (1997) found significant correlations for cod (*Gadus morhua*, Kn range 0.45 to 1.2), while correlations for tropical tunas were not significant (bigeye, skipjack, and Yellowfin tuna; Kn range 0.8-1.2) (Sardenne et al. 2016). Kn of winter and yellowtail flounder ranged widely across seasons (0.6 – 1.4; Fig. 3), and sampling over multiple years captured variation in condition to reasonably test relationships.

Even with this contrast, although the morphometric indices aligned with PC2 (i.e. condition), they performed poorly as single predictors of biochemical condition. Kn of summer flounder was more stable, but still exhibited seasonal variation (Kn 0.7-1.3) that was weakly correlated to biochemical condition. The biochemical condition indices evaluated here (muscle and liver energy density) may not capture the ‘overall’ condition given they are derived from specific body components; however, muscle and liver are major sites of energy storage in fishes.

Relationship between percentage dry weight of muscle and liver and energy density

As in other studies, we found strong relationships between the percentage dry weight and energy density of fish tissue, in this case for liver and muscle. Percent dry weight is well documented as a good predictor of energy density of whole fish (Hartman and Brandt 1995; Schreckenbach et al. 2001; Glover et al. 2010; Johnson et al. 2017) and fish tissue (Morley et al. 2012; Wuenschel et al. 2013b). While this relationship can vary across taxonomic groups and ontogenetic stages (Hartman and Brandt 1995; Wuenschel et al. 2006; Schloesser and Fabrizio 2015, 2017), the three flatfishes evaluated here were remarkably similar in energy density at a given percentage dry weight. One notable difference was the range in percent dry weight of muscle observed for each species, where summer flounder was found to maintain higher muscle energy (i.e. not deplete it) throughout the year, and especially following spawning. This finding is consistent with the assumption that summer flounder is largely an ‘income’ breeder, allocating ingested energy directly to reproduction during a protracted spawning period. The lipid composition of adult summer flounder prey (fish and squid) has a role in maintaining higher energy levels throughout the year compared to the other two flatfishes. Although the three species also had similar and strong relations in percentage dry weight of liver and the energy density of the liver,

a slight curvilinear effect was apparent. This implies less lipid (energy) is stored until a threshold level of percentage dry weight is reached, possibly reflecting changes in structural components in the liver. Liver glycogen and lipid levels of cultured yellowtail flounder were higher than wild fish, and females had more lipids in hepatocytes than males (Fahraeus-Van Ree and Spurrell, 2003). A much more pronounced bi-phasic relation has been shown in the muscle of bluefish (Morley et al. 2012).

Evaluation of alternatives to traditional morphometric condition indices

Given the limited utility of traditional morphological condition indices to capture changes in biochemical condition of fishes, various methods have recently been proposed, including the scaled mass index (Peig and Green 2009) and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA; Cox and Hartman 2005; Cox et al. 2011; Hartman et al. 2015). As in other applications (Peig and Green 2010; Maceda-Veiga et al. 2014), patterns in SMI were different than other condition metrics based on external morphology (e.g. K, Kn). In winter and yellowtail flounder, SMI was poorly correlated with all measures evaluated. The PCAs for these two species indicated low contribution of SMI to explaining variation in the data. While in summer flounder, SMI was negatively correlated with size (TLENGTH and other measures strongly related to TLENGTH, -GWEIGHT, LWEIGHT). The contribution of SMI to the summer flounder PCA was greater and significant, but was not strongly aligned with either PC1 or PC2, complicating interpretation.

In all three species K and Kn were significantly positively correlated with percentage dry weight of muscle and liver, but the relationships were generally weak ($r=0.4$ to 0.5) while SMI had very weak or insignificant correlations with these two measures. K, which has been shown to have

significant length bias was not strongly correlated with length in winter or yellowtail flounder, but was more strongly correlated for summer flounder (where a larger range in sizes was analyzed). This may be due in part to sources of material analyzed (predominately commercial gears) that collected a more limited size distribution. In addition, given the current low levels of the yellowtail and winter flounder stocks (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2017), larger sizes and older ages were not encountered and analyzed, which may have reduced our ability to detect differences due to size. For each species, the largest size analyzed herein was much lower than maximum sizes reported (Tables 1, 2; winter flounder 548 vs. 640 mm; yellowtail flounder 503 vs. 640 mm; summer flounder 736 vs. 940 mm). Due to potential for size bias in K , especially for fishes with dimorphic growth (including the three species studied here), comparisons should be limited to samples of similar size and sex.

Bioelectrical variables were poorly correlated with morphological condition metrics (K , K_n , SMI) in winter and yellowtail flounder. Phase angle, calculated from BIA measured resistance and reactance, has been positively related to body condition (Cox and Heintz 2009), weakly related to future gonadal investment (Wuenschel et al. 2013b) and may be sensitive to medium-term metabolic states (e.g., integrated over weeks).

The BIA for the three flounders studied here was collected in a standardized manner using an electrode holder assembly to minimize variation due to electrode stability, placement, and measurement of electrode distance. In contrast to other BIA studies, the data reported here were obtained from dead fish that were iced for varying periods, which has been shown to affect BIA readings (Cox and Heintz 2009; Cox et al. 2011). The resistance of Coho salmon remained stable

for more than 24 hr after death, while the reactance began to change within a few hours (Cox et al. 2011), therefore the BIA measures reported here from fish samples (~12 to 24 hr) after death likely do not accurately reflect values for those same fish when alive, potentially adding ‘noise’ and obscuring more positive relations. Knowing this potential limitation, we hoped a strong ‘signal’ given the wide range of condition would overcome the variation due to time after death, but this did not occur. Other aspects of sample quality were not affected in a similar manner, including the percentage dry weight analyses of liver and muscle, and gonad histology (see Press et al. 2014); BIA seems especially sensitive to ‘freshness’ of the sample.

Although BIA is reflective of somatic muscle energy of juvenile salmonids (Hanson et al. 2010), relations between muscle energy (muscle percent dry weight) and BIA measures were weak in the flatfishes examined here. Hartman et al. (2015) recently reviewed application of BIA in fish studies, noting successes and failures, with recommendations for obtaining accurate estimates of body composition in fish. One well documented potential limitation of BIA measures is the need to consider temperature effects on measured resistance and reactance (Hartman et al 2011; Hafs and Hartman 2015). In the present study all measurements were obtained in the lab, at a consistent temperature, so variation in temperature at time of measurement is not considered to be a significant source of error in our results. BIA measures have been shown to be sensitive to the freezing process (Cox 2015), and used as an indicator of previously frozen samples (Vidacek et al. 2008, 2012), which may have occurred for some of our samples while on deck during winter months and then transported on ice. In addition, our laboratory protocol included testing resistance and reactance through the needle electrodes for a known resistor wired with electrical clips directly connected to the needle electrodes. This ensured the unit and electrodes provided a

solid connection prior to each sampling session, and it is considered to be an improvement over other protocols that tested standard resistors but not through the entire needle electrode assembly. Studies have also noted experience as a potential source of error (Cox et al. 2011), which was minimized in our study where measures were made by few (<5) individuals, with substantial training, oversight, and overlap.

Bioelectrical variables were highly correlated with each other, and also with many size related measures, which can be problematic. Given the electrical properties were all calculated using the measured resistance and/or reactance, it is not surprising that many of these variables were strongly correlated with each other (see Dibble et al. 2017). Despite the inherent co-dependencies between these calculated BIA variables, studies have often included many likely redundant variables in regression models developed to predict body composition from BIA measures (e.g. Hafs and Hartman 2011; Hartman et al. 2011; Hafs and Hartman 2014; Stolarski et al 2014). In practice, when such co-dependencies exist in the underlying data, the parameter estimates and significance of given variables are unstable and change with the addition of ‘new’ data, limiting their predictive value. This may explain why different studies (even on similar/related species) have included different sets of BIA variables in predictive models (Cox and Hartman 2005; Rasmussen et al. 2012; Hafs and Hartman 2014). In general, the results of the PCA for each species indicated several BIA variables were strongly related to size (i.e. PC1) while others were more related to condition (i.e. PC2), but in each case BIA variables explained less or similar amounts of variation (shorter vectors in bi-plots and lower variable loadings, Table 5) than either traditional size metrics (along PC1), or condition metrics (along PC2). The energetic variables analyzed here were percentage-based (i.e. percentage dry weight of muscle

and liver tissue) and not whole body estimates (e.g. total body water, lipid, energy) which have generally been more successfully related to BIA (Hartman et al. 2015). As in other studies demonstrating low success of BIA to predict percentage based metrics (Pothoven et al. 2008; Calderone et al. 2011) our results suggest BIA is of limited value to estimate percent dry weight and energy content of muscle and liver in these three flatfish.

Available metrics to assess fish condition actually quantify different aspects of condition, therefore the optimal metric for any study will depend on the specific goals or objectives (e.g. single species energy content and reproductive potential, or multi-species ecosystem indicators). The life history (particularly reproductive strategy) and energy allocation (e.g. where are lipids stored) mode of a study species can also influence the utility of certain metrics, and in some cases several may need to be evaluated to identify the most appropriate. Fulton's K and relative condition K_n performed similarly, however K_n has advantages of being centered on 1 and is thus more easily interpreted. The scaled mass index was slightly more complicated to calculate (as compared to K and K_n) and provided little additional explanatory power to these simpler metrics in the correlation plots and the PCAs. In addition, while SMI tracked condition of winter and yellowtail flounders, its values for summer flounder confounded fish size and condition. Although some condition metrics offer simplicity, they may not reflect the same aspects of condition across species or life stages. Therefore, interpretation of simple morphometric indices is limited without validation to more specific indices (e.g. fat or energy content). BIA measures were not indicative of condition. Gonadosomatic or hepatosomatic indices are appropriate metrics of seasonal condition around reproductive seasons within a single stock but the scale tends to vary by species, complicating multi-species comparisons. The percentage dry weight is a

good proxy for whole body or tissue-specific energy density, and is an effective intermediate (in terms of logistics and cost) measure that can be tailored to species-specific energy allocation. K_n avoids potential size biases and performed the best consistently across the three very different species examined, implying suitability for single and multi-species, and ecosystem analyses. Consideration of multiple metrics, as done here, enables more robust interpretation of condition and reveals potential deficiencies in specific metrics.

List of figures/ Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Distributions of sample locations for winter, yellowtail, and summer flounder on the Northeast US continental shelf. Symbols scaled to sample sizes from each location, and stock regions indicated. The dark and light gray lines indicate the 50 and 200 m isobaths, respectively, and the dashed line indicates the EEZ boundary. Stock regions (Georges Bank, GB; Gulf of Maine GOM; Southern New England, SNE) apply to winter and yellowtail flounder.

Fig. 2. Monthly gonadosomatic index (GSI), hepatosomatic index (HSI), percent dry weight of liver (%DWL) and muscle (%DWM) of winter, yellowtail and summer flounder. Box plots indicate median (line within each box), 25th and 75 percentiles (box limits), extremes of the data determined as 1.5 * interquartile range (whiskers), and any outliers (circles). See Table 3 for variable descriptions.

Fig. 3. Monthly morphometric indices (K , K_n , SMI) and phase angle (PA) of winter, yellowtail, and summer flounder. Box plots indicate median (line within each box), 25th and 75 percentiles (box limits), extremes of the data determined as 1.5 * interquartile range (whiskers), and any

686 outliers (circles). See Table 3 for variable descriptions; SMI for each species calculated using L_0
687 = mean size collected for each species.

688 Fig. 4. Correlation matrix of energetic and morphometric variables for winter flounder (see Table
689 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations). The shape and color of the ellipses indicate the
690 strength and sign of correlations between pairs of variables. Non-significant correlations
691 ($P>0.05$) are indicated with an X.

692 Fig. 5. Correlation matrix of energetic and morphometric variables for yellowtail flounder (see
693 Table 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations). The shape and color of the ellipses indicate
694 the strength and sign of correlations between pairs of variables. Non-significant correlations
695 ($P>0.05$) are indicated with an X.

696 Fig. 6. Correlation matrix of energetic and morphometric variables for summer flounder (see
697 Table 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations). The shape and color of the ellipses indicate
698 the strength and sign of correlations between pairs of variables. Non-significant correlations
699 ($P>0.05$) are indicated with an X.

700 Fig. 7. Relationship between percentage dry weight of liver and muscle and energy density for
701 winter, yellowtail, and summer flounder. See Table 5 for regression parameters and test
702 statistics.

703 Fig. 8. Summary PCA plots for winter flounder, (a) screeplot, (b) variables bi-plot showing
704 contribution from each variable, (c), individuals plotted by reproductive phase, (d), individuals
705 plotted by sex. See Table 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations.

706 Fig. 9. Summary PCA plots for yellowtail flounder, (a) screeplot, (b) variables bi-plot showing
707 contribution from each variable, (c), individuals plotted by reproductive phase, (d), individuals
708 plotted by sex. See Table 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations.

709 Fig. 10. Summary PCA plots for summer flounder, (a) screeplot, (b) variables bi-plot showing
710 contribution from each variable, (c), individuals plotted by reproductive phase, (d), individuals
711 plotted by sex. See Table 3 for variable descriptions and abbreviations.

712 Fig. 11. PCA bi-plots showing stock effects for winter flounder (a) and yellowtail flounder (b).

713

714

Draft

715 Acknowledgments

716 This study was funded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
717 Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) and Cooperative Research Branch (CRB). Most
718 samples were provided by the CRB's Study Fleet. We appreciate the work of all the Study Fleet
719 staff (especially J. Hoey, J. Moser, M. Ball, D. St. Amand) and all the participating fishermen.
720 We thank all the staff members of these various programs: the officers and crew of the NOAA
721 research vessel H. B. Bigelow and the scientific staff of the Ecosystems Surveys Branch of the
722 NEFSC; J. King, M. Camisa, V. Manfredi, and M. Symanski of the MADMF; J. Collie and A.
723 Malek of URI. Finally, we thank E. Tholke, Y. Press, M. Winton, and J. Dayton (NEFSC) for the
724 contributions to data collection, protocol development; A. Aruda for proximate composition
725 analysis of tissue samples; Phil E. Speshel for inspiration; L. Smith, S. Gaichas, C. Legault, and
726 anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript. Mention of any products is for
727 descriptive purposes and does not indicate endorsement by NOAA Fisheries.

728

729 Literature cited

- 730 Able, K.W., Sullivan, M.C., Hare, J.A., Bath-Martin, G., Taylor, J.C., and Hagan, R. 2011.
731 Larval abundance of summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) as a measure of
732 recruitment and stock status. Fish. Bull. **109**(1): 68-78.
- 733 Blackwell, B.G., Brown, M.L., and Willis, D.W. 2000. Relative weight (Wr) status and current
734 use in fisheries assessment and management. Rev. Fish. Sci. **8**: 1-44.
- 735 Brosset, P., Fromentin, J.M., Menard, F., Pernet, F., Bourdeix, J.H., Bigot, J.L., Van Beveren, E.,
736 Roda, M.A.P., Choy, S., and Saraux, C. 2015. Measurement and analysis of small pelagic

- 737 fish condition: A suitable method for rapid evaluation in the field. J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
738 Ecol. **462**: 90-97.
- 739 Brosset, P., Fromentin, J.M., Van Beveren, E., Lloret, J., Marques, V., Basilone, G., Bonanno,
740 A., Carpi, P., Donato, F., Kec, V.C., De Felice, A., Ferreri, R., Gasparevic, D., Giraldez,
741 A., Gucu, A., Iglesias, M., Leonori, I., Palomera, I., Somarakis, S., Ticina, V., Torres, P.,
742 Ventero, A., Zorica, B., Menard, F., and Saraux, C. 2017. Spatio-temporal patterns and
743 environmental controls of small pelagic fish body condition from contrasted
744 Mediterranean areas. Prog. Oceanogr. **151**: 149-162.
- 745 Burnett, J., L. O'Brien, R. K. Mayo, J. A. Darde, and M. Bohan. 1989. Finfish Maturity
746 Sampling and Classification Schemes Used During Northeast Fisheries Center Bottom
747 Trawl Surveys, 1963-1989. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/NEC-76.
- 748 Burton, M.P., and Idler, D.R. 1987. An experimental investigation of the non-reproductive, post-
749 mature state in winter flounder. J. Fish Biol. **30(6)**: 643-650.
- 750 Burton, M.P.M. 1994. A critical period for nutritional control of early gametogenesis in female
751 winter flounder, *Pleuronectes americanus* (Pisces:Teleosti). J. Zool. London **233(3)**:
752 405-415.
- 753 Cade, B.S., Terrell, J.W., and Porath, M.T. 2008. Estimating fish body condition with quantile
754 regression. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. **28(2)**: 349-359.
- 755 Caldarone, E.M., MacLean, S.A., and Sharack, B. 2012. Evaluation of bioelectrical impedance
756 analysis and Fulton's condition factor as nonlethal techniques for estimating short-term
757 responses in postsmolt Atlantic salmon (*Salmo solar*) to food availability. Fish. Bull.
758 **110(2)**: 257-270.
- 759 Camizuli, E., Monna, F., Scheifler, R., Amiotte-Suchet, P., Losno, R., Beis, P., Bohard, B.,

- 760 Chateau, C., and Alibert, R. 2014. Impact of trace metals from past mining on the aquatic
761 ecosystem: A multi-proxy approach in the Morvan (France). *Environ. Res.* **134**: 410-419.
- 762 Choi, J.S., Frank, K.T., Leggett, W.C., and Drinkwater, K. 2004. Transition to an alternate state
763 in a continental shelf ecosystem. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **61(4)**: 505-510.
- 764 Cone, R.S. 1989. The need to reconsider the use of condition indices in fishery science. *Trans.*
765 *Am. Fish. Soc.* **118(5)**: 510-514.
- 766 Cox, M.K. 2015. Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis Measures of Body Composition and
767 Condition, and Its Sensitivity to the Freezing Process. *J. Aquat. Food Prod. Tech.* **24(4)**:
768 368-377.
- 769 Cox, M.K., and Hartman, K.J. 2005. Nonlethal estimation of proximate composition in fish. *Can.*
770 *J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **62(2)**: 269-275.
- 771 Cox, M.K., and Heintz, R. 2009. Electrical phase angle as a new method to measure fish
772 condition. *Fish. Bull.* **107(4)**: 477-487.
- 773 Cox, M.K., Heintz, R., and Hartman, K.J. 2011. Measurements of resistance and reactance in
774 fish with the use of bioelectrical impedance analysis: sources of error. *Fish. Bull.* **109(1)**:
775 34-47.
- 776 Crossin, G.T., and Hinch, S.G. 2005. A nonlethal, rapid method for assessing the somatic energy
777 content of migrating adult Pacific Salmon. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **134(1)**: 184-191.
- 778 Davidson, D., and Marshall, C.T. 2010. Are morphometric indices accurate indicators of stored
779 energy in herring *Clupea harengus*? *J. Fish Biol.* **76(4)**: 913-929.
- 780 DeCelles, G.R., and Cadrin, S.X. 2011. An interdisciplinary assessment of winter flounder
781 (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*) stock structure. *J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci.* **43**: 103-
782 120.

- 783 Dibble, K.L., Yard, M.D., Ward, D.L., and Yackulic, C.B. 2017. Does bioelectrical impedance
784 analysis accurately estimate the physiological condition of threatened and endangered
785 desert fish species? Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **146**: 888-902.
- 786 Dwyer, K.S., Parrish, C.C., and Brown, J.A. 2003. Lipid composition of yellowtail flounder
787 (*Limanda ferruginea*) in relation to dietary lipid intake. Mar. Biol. **143**(4): 659-667.
- 788 Fahraeus-Van Ree, G.E., and Spurrell, D.R. 2003. Structure of and energy reserves in the liver of
789 wild and cultured yellowtail flounder, *Limanda ferruginea*. Mar. Biol. **143**(2): 257-265.
- 790 Fairchild, E.A., Siceloff, L., Howell, W.H., Hoffman, B., and Armstrong, M.P. 2013. Coastal
791 spawning by winter flounder and a reassessment of Essential Fish Habitat in the Gulf of
792 Maine. Fish. Res. **141**: 118-129.
- 793 Fitzhugh, G.R., Wuenschel, M.J., and McBride, R.S. 2010. Evaluation of bioelectrical
794 impedance analysis (BIA) to measure condition and energy allocated to reproduction in
795 marine fishes. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **224**(1): 012137 doi:012110.011088/011742-
796 016596/012224/012131/012137.
- 797 Garner, S.B., van der Ham, J.L., Boswell, K.M., and Cowan, J.H. 2012. Use of Bioelectric
798 Impedance Analysis to Assess Total-Body Condition and Predict Energy Density in
799 Juvenile Atlantic Croaker. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **141**(4): 1117-1125.
- 800 Glover, D.C., DeVries, D.R., Wright, R.A., and Davis, D.A. 2010. Sample Preparation
801 Techniques for Determination of Fish Energy Density via Bomb Calorimetry: An
802 Evaluation Using Largemouth Bass. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **139**(3): 671-675.
- 803 Hafs, A.W., and Hartman, K.J. 2011. Influence of electrode type and location upon bioelectrical
804 impedance analysis measurements of brook trout. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **140**(5): 1290-
805 1297.

- 806 Hafs, A.W., and Hartman, K.J. 2014. Developing bioelectrical impedance analysis methods for
807 age-0 brook trout. *Fisheries Manag. Ecol.* **21**(5): 366-373.
- 808 Hafs, A.W., and Hartman, K.J. 2015. Development of temperature correction equations for
809 bioelectrical impedance analysis models for brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*. *J. Fish Biol.*
810 **86**(1): 304-316.
- 811 Hanson, K.C., Ostrand, K.G., Gannam, A.L., and Ostrand, S.L. 2010. Comparison and
812 Validation of Nonlethal Techniques for Estimating Condition in Juvenile Salmonids.
813 *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **139**(6): 1733-1741.
- 814 Hartman, K.J., and Brandt, S.B. 1995. Estimating energy density of fish. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*
815 **124**(3): 347-355.
- 816 Hartman, K.J., Margraf, F.J., Hafs, A.W., and Cox, M.K. 2015. Bioelectrical Impedance
817 Analysis: A New Tool for Assessing Fish Condition. *Fisheries* **40**(12): 590-600.
- 818 Hartman, K.J., Phelan, B.A., and Rosendale, J.E. 2011. Temperature effects on bioelectrical
819 impedance analysis (BIA) used to estimate dry weight as a condition proxy in coastal
820 bluefish. *Mar. Coast. Fish.* **3**: 307-316.
- 821 Hayes, J.P., and Shonkwiler, J.S. 2001. Morphological indicators of body condition: useful or
822 wishful thinking? *In* Body composition analysis of animals: a handbook of non-
823 destructive methods. *Edited by* J.R. Speakman. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
824 UK. pp. 8-38.
- 825 Henken, A.M., Lucas, H., Tijssen, P.A.T., and Machiels, M.A.M. 1986. A Comparison between
826 Methods Used to Determine the Energy Content of Feed, Fish and Feces Samples.
827 *Aquaculture* **58**(3-4): 195-201.
- 828 Huntsberger, C.J., Hamlin, J.R., Smolowitz, R.J., and Smolowitz, R.M. 2017. Prevalence and

- 829 description of *Ichthyophonus* sp in yellowtail flounder (*Limanda ferruginea*) from a
830 seasonal survey on Georges Bank. Fish. Res. **194**: 60-67.
- 831 Johnson, B.M., Pate, W.M., and Hansen, A.G. 2017. Energy Density and Dry Matter Content in
832 Fish: New Observations and an Evaluation of Some Empirical Models. Trans. Am. Fish.
833 Soc. **146**(6): 1262-1278.
- 834 Kassambara, A., and Mundt, F. 2016. Factoextra: Extract and visualize the results of multivariate
835 data analyses. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra>, r package version 1.0.3,
836 2016.
- 837 Klefoth, T., Skov, C., Aarestrup, K., and Arlinghaus, R. 2013. Reliability of non-lethal
838 assessment methods of body composition and energetic status exemplified by
839 applications to eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) and carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Fish. Res. **146**: 18-26.
- 840 Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002a. Righteye flounders, Family Pleuronectidae. In Bigelow and
841 Shroeder's fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Edited by B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee.
842 Smithsonian Institution. pp. 560-587.
- 843 Klein-MacPhee, G. 2002b. Sand flounders, Family Paralichthyidae. In Bigelow and Shroeder's
844 fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Edited by B.B. Collette and G. Klein-MacPhee. Smithsonian
845 Institution. pp. 551-560.
- 846 Lambert, Y., and Dutil, J.D. 1997. Condition and energy reserves of Atlantic cod (*Gadus*
847 *morhua*) during the collapse of the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence stock. Can. J. Fish.
848 Aquat. Sci. **54**(10): 2388-2400.
- 849 Larsen, D.A., Middleton, M.A., Dickey, J.T., Gerstenberger, R.S., Brun, C.V., and Swanson, P.
850 2017. Use of Morphological and Physiological Indices to Characterize Life History
851 Diversity in Juvenile Hatchery Winter-Run Steelhead. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **146**(4): 663-

- 852 679.
- 853 Le Cren, E.D. 1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and
854 condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). J. Anim. Ecol. **20**: 201-219.
- 855 Le, S., Josse, J., and Husson, F. 2008. FactoMineR: An R package for multivariate analysis. J.
856 Stat. Softw. **25**(1): 1-18.
- 857 Link, J.S., Bolles, K., and Milliken, C.G. 2002. The feeding ecology of flatfish in the northwest
858 Atlantic. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. **30**: 1-17.
- 859 Link, J.S., and Burnett, J. 2001. The relationship between stomach contents and maturity state
860 for major northwest Atlantic fishes: new paradigms? J. Fish Biol. **59**(4): 783-794.
- 861 Lleonart, J., Salat, J., and Torres, G.J. 2000. Removing allometric effects of body size in
862 morphological analysis. J. Theor. Biol. **205**(1): 85-93.
- 863 Love, R.M. 1980. The chemical biology of fishes: volume 2, advances 1968-1977 : with a
864 supplementary key to the chemical literature. Academic Press, London ; New York.
- 865 MacCracken, J.G., and Stebbings, J.L. 2012. Test of a Body Condition Index with Amphibians.
866 J. Herpetol. **46**(3): 346-350.
- 867 Maceda-Veiga, A., Green, A.J., and De Sostoa, A. 2014. Scaled body-mass index shows how
868 habitat quality influences the condition of four fish taxa in north-eastern Spain and
869 provides a novel indicator of ecosystem health. Freshwater Biol. **59**(6): 1145-1160.
- 870 Maddock, D.M., and Burton, M.P.M. 1994. Some effects of starvation on the lipid and skeletal
871 muscle layers of the winter flounder, *Pleuronectes americanus*. Can. J. Zool **72**: 1672-
872 1679.
- 873 Marshall, C.T., Needle, C.L., Thorsen, A., Kjesbu, O.S., and Yaragina, N.A. 2006. Systematic
874 bias in estimates of reproductive potential of an Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock:

- 875 implications for stock-recruit theory and management. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **63**(5):
876 980-994.
- 877 Marshall, C.T., Needle, C.L., Yaragina, N.A., Ajiad, A.M., and Gusev, E. 2004. Deriving
878 condition indices from standard fisheries databases and evaluating their sensitivity to
879 variation in stored energy reserves. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **61**(10): 1900-1917.
- 880 Marshall, C.T., O'Brien, L., Tomkiewicz, J., Koster, F.W., Kraus, G., Marteinsdottir, G.,
881 Morgan, M.J., Saborido-Rey, F., Blanchard, J.L., Secor, D.H., Wright, P.J., Mukhina,
882 N.V., and Bjornsson, H. 2003. Developing alternative indices of reproductive potential
883 for use in fisheries management: case studies for stocks spanning an information
884 gradient. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. **33**: 161-190.
- 885 Marshall, C.T., Yaragina, N.A., Lambert, Y., and Kjesbu, O.S. 1999. Total lipid energy as a
886 proxy for total egg production by fish stocks. Nature **402**: 288-290.
- 887 Masse, J., Sanchez, F., Delaunay, D., Robert, J.M., and Petitgas, P. 2016. A partnership between
888 science and industry for a monitoring of anchovy & sardine in the Bay of Biscay: When
889 fishermen are actors of science. Fish. Res. **178**: 26-38.
- 890 McBride, R.S., Somarakis, S., Fitzhugh, G.R., Albert, A., Yaragina, N.A., Wuenschel, M.J.,
891 Alonso-Fernandez, A., and Basilone, G. 2015. Energy acquisition and allocation to egg
892 production in relation to fish reproductive strategies. Fish Fish. **16**(1): 23-57.
- 893 McBride, R.S., Wuenschel, M.J., Nitschke, P., Thornton, G., and King, J.R. 2013. Latitudinal
894 and stock-specific variation in size- and age-at-maturity of female winter flounder,
895 *Pseudopleuronectes americanus*, as determined with gonad histology. J. Sea Res. **75**: 41-
896 51.
- 897 McElroy, W.D., Wuenschel, M.J., Press, Y.K., Towle, E.K., and McBride, R.S. 2013.

- 898 Differences in female individual reproductive potential among three stocks of winter
899 flounder, *Pseudopleuronectes americanus*. J. Sea Res. **75**: 52-61.
- 900 McElroy, W.D., Wuenschel, M.J., Towle, E.K., and McBride, R.S. 2016. Spatial and annual
901 variation in fecundity and oocyte atresia of yellowtail flounder, *Limanda ferruginea*, in
902 US waters. J. Sea Res. **107(1)**: 76-89.
- 903 McPherson, L.R., Slotte, A., Kvamme, C., Meier, S., and Marshall, C.T. 2011. Inconsistencies in
904 measurement of fish condition: a comparison of four indices of fat reserves for Atlantic
905 herring (*Clupea harengus*). ICES J. Mar. Sci. **68(1)**: 52-60.
- 906 Merson, R.R., Casey, C.S., Martinez, C., Soffientino, B., Chandlee, M., and Specker, J.L. 2000.
907 Oocyte development in summer flounder: seasonal changes and steroid correlates. J. Fish
908 Biol. **57(1)**: 182-196.
- 909 Morgan, M.J., Koen-Alonso, M., Rideout, R.M., Buren, A.D., and Parsons, D.M. 2018. Growth
910 and condition in relation to the lack of recovery of northern cod. ICES J. Mar. Sci. **75(2)**:
911 631-641.
- 912 Morita, S.H., Morita, K., Hamatsu, T., Chimura, M., Yamashita, Y., Sasaki, K., and Sato, T.
913 2015. Differential effects of the environment on the growth of arabesque greenling
914 (*Pleurogrammus azonus*): does rising temperature benefit young but not old fish?
915 Environ. Biol. Fish **98(3)**: 801-809.
- 916 Morley, J.W., Buckel, J.A., and Lankford, T.E. 2012. Comparing Multiple Predictors of Energy
917 Content in Juvenile Bluefish. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **141(4)**: 1109-1116.
- 918 Nash, R.D.M., Valencia, A.H., and Geffen, A.J. 2006. The origin of Fulton's condition factor -
919 Setting the record straight. Fisheries **31(5)**: 236-238.
- 920 Northeast Fisheries Science Center. 2017. Operational assessment of 19 Northeast Groundfish

- 921 Stocks, Updated through 2016. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 17-
922 17. 259 p. doi:210.7289/V7285/RD-NEFSC-17-17.
- 923 O'Brien, L., Burnett, J., and Mayo, R.K. 1993. Maturation of nineteen species of finfish off the
924 Northeast coast of the United States, 1985-1990. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 113. p. 66.
- 925 Peig, J., and Green, A.J. 2009. New perspectives for estimating body condition from mass/length
926 data: the scaled mass index as an alternative method. *Oikos* **118**(12): 1883-1891.
- 927 Peig, J., and Green, A.J. 2010. The paradigm of body condition: a critical reappraisal of current
928 methods based on mass and length. *Funct. Ecol.* **24**(6): 1323-1332.
- 929 Plante, S., Audet, C., Lambert, Y., and De La Noue, J. 2005. Alternative methods for measuring
930 energy content in winter flounder. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* **25**(1): 1-6.
- 931 Pothoven, S.A., Ludsin, S.A., Hook, T.O., Fanslow, D.L., Mason, D.M., Collingsworth, P.D.,
932 and Van Tassell, J.J. 2008. Reliability of bioelectrical impedance analysis for estimating
933 whole-fish energy density and percent lipids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **137**(5): 1519-1529.
- 934 Press, Y.K., McBride, R.S., and Wuenschel, M.J. 2014. Time course of oocyte development in
935 winter flounder *Pseudopleuronectes americanus* and spawning seasonality for the Gulf of
936 Maine, Georges Bank and southern New England stocks. *J. Fish. Biol.* **85**(2): 421-445.
- 937 Rasmussen, J.B., Krimmer, A.N., Paul, A.J., and Hontela, A. 2012. Empirical relationships
938 between body tissue composition and bioelectrical impedance of brook trout *Salvelinus*
939 *fontinalis* from a Rocky Mountain Stream. *J. Fish Biol.* **80**(6): 2317-2327.
- 940 Renkawitz, M.D., Sheehan, T.F., Dixon, H.J., and Nygaard, R. 2015. Changing trophic structure
941 and energy dynamics in the Northwest Atlantic: implications for Atlantic salmon feeding
942 at West Greenland. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **538**: 197-211.
- 943 Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations.

- 944 Bull. Fish. Res. Board Can. No. 191.
- 945 Robards, M.D., Anthony, J.A., Rose, G.A., and Piatt, J.F. 1999. Changes in proximate
946 composition and somatic energy content for Pacific sand lance (*Ammodytes hexapterus*)
947 from Kachemak Bay, Alaska relative to maturity and season. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
948 **242**: 245-258.
- 949 Robins, C.R., and Ray, G.C. 1986. A field guide to Atlantic Coast fishes of North America.
950 Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- 951 Sardenne, F., Chassot, E., Fouche, E., Menard, F., Lucas, V., and Bodin, N. 2016. Are condition
952 factors powerful proxies of energy content in wild tropical tunas? Ecol. Indic. **71**: 467-
953 476.
- 954 Schloesser, R.W., and Fabrizio, M.C. 2015. Relationships among Proximate Components and
955 Energy Density of Juvenile Atlantic Estuarine Fishes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **144**(5): 942-
956 955.
- 957 Schloesser, R.W., and Fabrizio, M.C. 2017. Condition indices as surrogates of energy density
958 and lipid content in juveniles of three fish species. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **146**(5): 1058-
959 1069.
- 960 Schreckenbach, K., Knosche, R., and Ebert, K. 2001. Nutrient and energy content of freshwater
961 fishes. J. Appl. Ichthyol. **17**(3): 142-144.
- 962 Scott, I., Selman, C., Mitchell, P.I., and Evans, P. 2001. The use of total body electrical
963 conductivity (TOBEC) to determine body composition in vertebrates. In Body
964 composition analysis of animals: a handbook of non-destructive methods. Edited by J.R.
965 Speakman. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. pp. 127-160.
- 966 Shulman, G.E., and Love, R.M. 1999. Advances in marine biology - The biochemical ecology of

- 967 marine fishes - Introduction. *Adv. Mar. Biol.* **36**: 1-351.
- 968 Smith, B.E., and Link, J.S. 2010. The Trophic Dynamics of 50 Finfish and 2 Squid Species on
969 the Northeast US Continental Shelf. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS NE 216. Available
970 from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-
971 1026, or online at <http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/>. p. 640.
- 972 Smith, C., and Wootton, R.J. 2016. The remarkable reproductive diversity of teleost fishes. *Fish*
973 *Fish.* **17**(4): 1208-1215.
- 974 Stevenson, R.D., and Woods, W.A. 2006. Condition indices for conservation: new uses for
975 evolving tools. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **46**(6): 1169-1190.
- 976 Stolarski, J.T., Margraf, F.J., Carlson, J.G., and Sutton, T.M. 2014. Lipid and Moisture Content
977 Modeling of Amphidromous Dolly Varden Using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis. *N.*
978 *Am. J. Fish. Manage.* **34**(3): 471-481.
- 979 Vidacek, S., Medic, H., Botka-Petrak, K., Nezak, J., and Petrak, T. 2008. Bioelectrical
980 impedance analysis of frozen sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *J. Food Eng.* **88**(2): 263-
981 271.
- 982 Vidacek, S., Medic, H., Marusic, N., Tonkovic, S., and Petrak, T. 2012. Influence of Different
983 Freezing Regimes on Bioelectrical Properties of Atlantic Chub Mackerel (*Scomber*
984 *Colias*). *J. Food Process Eng.* **35**(5): 735-741.
- 985 Wei, T., and Simko, V. 2016. corrrplot: visualization of a correlation Matrix. R package version
986 0.77. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=corrrplot>.
- 987 Winton, M.V., Wuenschel, M.J., and McBride, R.S. 2014. Investigating spatial variation and
988 temperature effects on maturity of female winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes*
989 *americanus*) using generalized additive models. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **71**(9): 1279-

- 990 1290.
- 991 Wootton, R.J. 1990. Ecology of teleost fishes. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 992 Wuenschel, M.J., Able, K.W., and Byrne, D. 2009. Seasonal patterns of winter flounder
- 993 *Pseudopleuronectes americanus* abundance and reproductive condition on the New York
- 994 Bight continental shelf. J. Fish Biol. **74**(7): 1508-1524.
- 995 Wuenschel, M.J., Able, K.W., Vasslides, J.M., and Byrne, D.M. 2013a. Habitat and diet overlap
- 996 of 4 piscivorous fishes: variation on the inner continental shelf off New Jersey. Fish.
- 997 Bull. **111**(4): 352-369.
- 998 Wuenschel, M.J., Jugovich, A.R., and Hare, J.A. 2006. Estimating the energy density of fish: the
- 999 importance of ontogeny. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **135**(2): 379-385.
- 1000 Wuenschel, M.J., McBride, R.S., and Fitzhugh, G.R. 2013b. Relations between total gonad
- 1001 energy and physiological measures of condition in the period leading up to spawning:
- 1002 Results of a laboratory experiment on black sea bass (*Centropristis striata*). Fish. Res.
- 1003 **138**: 110-119.
- 1004
- 1005
- 1006
- 1007
- 1008

1 Table 1. Summary of life history characteristics for the three flatfishes studied.

	Winter flounder	Yellowtail flounder	Summer flounder
Spawning pattern	Total spawner	Batch spawner	Batch spawner
Spawning location	Estuaries and Ocean	Ocean	Ocean
Oocyte development	Group synchronous	Group synchronous	Asynchronous
Egg type	Benthic	Pelagic	Pelagic
Fecundity type	Determinate	Determinate	Indeterminate
Feeding	Benthivore	Benthivore	Piscivore
Energy allocation for reproduction *	Capital	Capital	Income-Capital
Feeding vs. reproduction †	Rester	Rester	Indeterminate
Median size (L_{50} ; cm) at maturity ‡	SNE: M 29 F 30 GOM: M 28 F 26 GB: M 26 F 34	SNE: M 20 F 26 GOM: M 27 F 27 GB: M 21 F 26	M 25 F 28
Median age (A_{50} ; yrs) at maturity ‡	SNE: M 3.3 F 2.6 GOM: M 3.3 F 3.9 GB: M 1.9 F 3.1	SNE: M 1.8 F 1.6 GOM: M 2.6 F 2.6 GB: M 1.3 F 1.8	M 2 F 2.5
Maximum size§ and age	64 cm 3.6 kg 15 yr	64 cm 1.5 kg 17 yr	94 cm 12 kg 12 yr
Seasonal movements	Limited (10's of km)	Limited (10's of km)	Extensive (100's of km)

2 Note: Stock regions (Georges Bank, GB; Gulf of Maine GOM; Southern New England, SNE)
3 and sex (Female, F; Male, M).

4 * sensu McBride et al. (2015)

5 † sensu Link and Burnett (2001)
6 ‡ Winton et al. (2014), O’Brien et al. (1993)
7 § Robins and Ray 1986
8 || Klein-MacPhee 2002*a, b*
9
10
11

Draft

Table 2. Summary of numbers and sizes of fish examined for the present analysis. (CP= Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Cooperative Research Branch (CRB) gear study, SF= CRB Study Fleet, BG=NEFSC Ecosystems Surveys Branch bottom trawl survey, MA = Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game Division of Marine Fisheries bottom trawl survey, RI= University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography bottom trawl survey). Totals (within each year and across all years) are indicated in bold. * indicates mean values used as L_0 in calculation of SMI .

			Winter flounder			Yellowtail flounder			Summer flounder	
Year	Source	N	Mean TL(min-max)	Months sampled	N	Mean TL(min-max)	Months sampled	N	Mean TL(min-max)	Months sampled
2009	CP	63	324.5 (226-485)	12	20	299.2 (237-348)	12	32	424.8 (232-679)	12
	SF	13	347.8 (312-401)	12	44	376.5 (332-432)	12	29	439.3 (384-591)	12
		76	328.5 (226-485)	12	64	352.3 (237-432)	12	61	431.7 (232-679)	12
2010	BG	10	336.1 (215-426)	10	31	379.1 (277-432)	10	2	457.0 (454-460)	10
	CP	148	351.1 (155-548)	3,4,10,11	157	327.1 (202-443)	3,4,10,11	23	426.0 (256-668)	4
	MA	75	210.1 (90-398)	5,9	31	309.5 (210-383)	5,9	15	317.5 (216-353)	9
	RI	43	243.3 (90-372)	1-4				7	314.3 (290-337)	8
	SF	674	335.6 (155-525)	1-3, 5-12	693	366.8 (232-487)	1-3, 5-12	376	491.1 (242-736)	1-3, 5-12
		950	324.0 (90-548)	1-12,	912	358.5 (202-487)	1-12,	423	478.3 (216-736)	1-12
2011	MA	101	256.1 (76-441)	5	86	299.4 (118-426)	5	3	271.0 (257-283)	5
	SF	348	361.6 (220-523)	1-5	431	366.3 (240-475)	1-6	513	469.5 (295-730)	1-5, 9-11
		449	337.9 (76-523)	1-5	517	355.1 (118-475)	1-6	516	468.3 (257-730)	1-5, 9-11
2012	MA	125	297.0 (137-452)	5	7	350.0 (314-433)	5			
	SF	268	374.9 (281-490)	1-5	270	368.7 (317-464)	3-5	399	496.6 (361-723)	3-4, 9-11
		393	350.1 (137-490)	1-5	277	368.2 (314-464)	3-5	399	496.6 (361-723)	3-4, 9-11
2013	MA	37	207.2 (101-349)	5	19	317.6 (219-427)	5			
	SF	260	375.9 (291-491)	1-5	379	368.6 (319-448)	3-5	87	516.3 (374-718)	3-4
		297	354.9 (101-491)	1-5	398	366.1 (219-448)	3-5	87	516.3 (374-718)	3-4
2014	SF	267	369.5 (295-493)	1-4	289	373.5 (304-457)	3-6			
		267	369.5 (295-493)	1-4	289	373.5 (304-457)	3-6			
2015	SF	179	363.4 (281-461)	1-4	389	377.4 (307-462)	2-5			
		179	363.4 (281-461)	1-4	389	377.4 (307-462)	2-5			
2016	SF	239	366.9 (296-469)	1-4	306	365.6 (299-502)	2-5			
		239	366.9 (296-469)	1-4	306	365.6 (299-502)	2-5			
ALL		2850	343.4* (76-548)		3152	364.0* (118-502)		1486	480.0* (216-736)	

17 Table 3. Summary of biological variables, morphometric indices, bioelectrical, and biochemical
18 variables analyzed. Units for each measure are indicated in parentheses if not unitless.

Measure	Abbreviation	Equation
Biological variables		
Total length (mm)	TLENGTH	Measured in mm
Total weight (g)	TWEIGHT	Measured in g
Gonad Free Fish Weight (g)	GFWEIGHT	TWEIGHT - GWEIGHT
Gonad Weight (g)	GWEIGHT	Measured in g
Liver Weight (g)	LWEIGHT	Measured in g
Gonadosomatic Index	GSI	$100 \bullet (GWEIGHT / (TWEIGHT - GWEIGHT))$
Hepatosomatic Index	HSI	$100 \bullet (LWEIGHT / (TWEIGHT - LWEIGHT))$
Morphometric Condition Index		
Fulton’s K	K	$10^5 \bullet TWEIGHT / TLENGTH^3$
Relative Condition	Kn	TWEIGHT / predicted mass
Scaled Mass Index	SMI	$M_i \left[\frac{L_0}{L_i} \right]^{b_{SMA}}$
Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis		
Resistance (ohms)	RESIS	Measured by Quantum X
Reactance (ohms)	REACT	Measured by Quantum X
Resistance in series (ohms)	RS	$DL^2 / RESIS$
Reactance in series (ohms)	XC	$DL^2 / REACT$
Resistance in parallel (ohms)	RP	$DL^2 / [RESIS+(REACT^2 / RESIS)]$
Reactance in Parallel (ohms)	XCP	$DL^2 / [REACT+(RESIS^2 / REACT)]$
Capacitance (Picofarads)	CPF	$DL^2 / \{ [1/(2 \bullet \pi \bullet 50000 \bullet RESIS)] [1 \bullet 10^{12}] \}$
Impedance in series (ohms)	ZS	$DL^2 / (RESIS^2 + REACT^2)^{0.5}$
Impedance in parallel (ohms)	ZP	$DL^2 / [RESIS \bullet REACT / (RESIS^2 + REACT^2)^{0.5}]$
Phase Angle (degrees)	PA	$Arctan(REACT / RESIS) \bullet 180 / \pi$
Standardized Phase Angle (degrees)	PADL	$DL \bullet [Arctan(REACT / RESIS) \bullet 180 / \pi]$
Body Mass Index (ohms)	BMI	$\{ [(RESIS^2 + REACT^2)^{0.5}] \bullet TWEIGHT \} / DL^2$
Biochemical		
Percent Dry Weight of Muscle	%DWM	$100 \bullet (dry\ mass / wet\ mass)$
Percent Dry Weight of Liver	%DWL	$100 \bullet (dry\ mass / wet\ mass)$
Total Liver Energy (kJ)	TLE	LWEIGHT • Liver energy density

19 Note: see text for explanation of predicted mass used to calculate Kn; DL is detector
20 length (distance between electrode pairs)

21

Table 4. Summary of non-linear regression models to determine parameters for calculation of K_n and standardized major axis regression model for parametrization of SMI. In parentheses are standard error for K_n parameter estimates and 95% C.I. for SMI parameter estimates.

		Intercept	Slope		
K_n	n	a	b		
Winter flounder	1732	1.340×10^{-5} (1.393×10^{-6})	2.989 (1.726×10^{-2})		
Yellowtail flounder	1522	2.749×10^{-6} (4.746×10^{-7})	3.192 (2.895×10^{-2})		
Summer flounder	1079	1.776×10^{-6} (1.758×10^{-7})	3.286 (1.544×10^{-2})		
SMI		Intercept	b_{SMA}	L_0	r^2
Winter flounder	1732	-11.738 (-11.846, -11.630)	3.0765 (3.0578, 3.0952)	343.4	0.983
Yellowtail flounder	1522	-12.928 (-13.155, -12.704)	3.2127 (3.1745, 3.2514)	364.0	0.943
Summer flounder	1079	-12.955 (-13.082, -12.829)	3.2402 (3.2197, 3.2609)	480.0	0.989

Table 5. Results of linear regressions for tissue energy density (liver or muscle) as a function of tissue percent dry weight (%DWL or %DWM). Estimates of parameters listed with std. error in parentheses.

	n	Intercept	Slope	Adj. r^2	Prob(> t)
Liver Energy Density					
Winter flounder	50	-4.67 (0.346)	0.402 (0.0112)	0.963	<0.001
Yellowtail flounder	42	-3.36 (0.341)	0.372 (0.0116)	0.962	<0.001
Summer flounder	43	-3.54 (0.297)	0.375 (0.00816)	0.981	<0.001
Muscle Energy Density					
Winter flounder	46	-0.294 (0.0728)	0.207 (0.00364)	0.986	<0.001
Yellowtail flounder	40	-0.156 (0.0872)	0.201 (0.00450)	0.981	<0.001
Summer flounder	45	-0.167 (0.107)	0.201 (0.00497)	0.974	<0.001

30 Table 6. Summary of significant variable loadings for the first four principle components for each species. Correlations greater than 0.6 are indicated
31 in bold. The qualitative variables listed are not included in forming the principal components, but how they relate to each PC is tested post-hoc.
32 Sample sizes for complete cases used in each analysis is indicated. (* indicates 0.001<p<0.05, all else p<0.001)

	Winter flounder n=1294				Yellowtail flounder n=1571				Summer flounder n=780			
PC	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
% exp. Var.	48.7	17.6	10.8	7.2	42.1	23.8	10.8	7.9	57.2	13.3	7.9	5.7
Variable	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.	corr.
K	0.21	0.85	-0.36	-0.10*	0.38	0.74	-0.42	-0.25	0.65	0.60	-0.27	-0.08*
Kn	0.22	0.85	-0.36	-0.10*	0.23	0.74	-0.49	-0.27		0.79	-0.38	
SMI	-0.14	0.83	-0.43	-0.08*	0.15	0.74	-0.51	-0.28	-0.64	0.61	-0.33	
TLENGTH	0.92	-0.12	0.25		0.86		0.39	0.14	0.97	-0.13*	0.10*	-0.08*
TWEIGHT	0.95	0.10*	0.16		0.92	0.23	0.20	0.06*	0.98		0.08*	-0.09*
GFWEIGHT	0.93		0.21	0.12	0.90	0.14	0.23	0.22	0.98		0.07*	-0.11*
GWEIGHT	0.72	0.31		-0.49	0.64	0.45	0.05*	-0.47	0.72	0.10*	0.15	0.59
LWEIGHT	0.87	0.33	0.09*		0.82	0.41	-0.06*	0.17	0.91	0.24		0.11*
GSI	0.48	0.36	-0.12	-0.65	0.43	0.47		-0.60	0.40	0.12*	0.12*	0.85
HSI	0.46	0.48	-0.08*	0.09*	0.51	0.48	-0.31	0.17	0.43	0.55		0.32
RESIS	-0.52	0.11*	0.47		-0.61	0.28	0.23	0.25	-0.70	0.09*	0.29	
REACT	-0.54	0.35	0.70		-0.58	0.59	0.41	0.12	-0.62	0.35	0.61	-0.07*
RS	0.97	-0.16		0.07*	0.95	-0.21	0.15		0.97	-0.14*		
XC	0.89	-0.30	-0.21	0.06*	0.68	-0.53	-0.26	0.05*	0.90	-0.28	-0.20	
RP	0.97	-0.19		0.06*	0.94	-0.26	0.11		0.97	-0.17		
XCP	0.89		0.31	0.07*	0.69	0.35	0.54	-0.06*	0.94		0.24	-0.10*
CPF	0.97	-0.16		0.07*	0.95	-0.21	0.15		0.97	-0.14*		
ZS	0.97	-0.18		0.06*	0.95	-0.23	0.13		0.97	-0.16		
ZP	0.90	-0.30	-0.20	0.06*	0.69	-0.53	-0.25	0.05*	0.91	-0.27	-0.18	
PA	-0.36	0.48	0.66		-0.40	0.70	0.47		-0.21	0.53	0.72	-0.13*
PADL	0.44	0.29	0.77	0.06*		0.68	0.68		0.69	0.21	0.59	-0.14*
BMI	0.06*	0.58	0.34	-0.24	-0.19	0.77	0.09*	0.12	0.45	0.40	0.19	-0.12*
%DWM	-0.20	0.47	-0.15	0.69	-0.06*	0.44	-0.37	0.53	0.37	0.55	-0.20	
%DWL	0.14	0.48	-0.15	0.68	0.19	0.41	-0.31	0.68	0.44	0.53	-0.22	-0.34
TLE	0.79	0.40	0.06*	0.25	0.67	0.43	-0.17	0.47	0.84	0.35		

33

34 Table 6 continued.

	Winter flounder n=1294				Yellowtail flounder n=1571				Summer flounder n=780			
PC	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
% exp. Var.	48.7	17.6	10.8	7.2	42.1	23.8	10.8	7.9	57.2	13.3	7.9	5.7
Qual. Factors	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2	r^2
REPPHASE	0.21	0.30	0.06	0.17	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.15	0.24	0.02*		0.52
SEX	0.07	0.01*		0.02	0.11	0.01*		0.02	0.07			0.01*
STOCK	0.09		0.08	0.08	0.12	0.27	0.01*	0.04	-	-	-	-

35

Draft

Draft

Fig. 1

190x152mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 2

157x181mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 3

157x181mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 4

157x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 5

157x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 6

157x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 7

301x150mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 8

157x130mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 9

157x130mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 10

157x130mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Fig. 11

203x125mm (300 x 300 DPI)