Quantifying $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ temporal stability and spatial heterogeneity for use in tracking fish movement

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	cjfas-2018-0124.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Ciepiela, Lindsay; Wyoming Coop Research Unit, University of Wyoming, Walters, Annika; U.S. Geological Survey, Wyoming Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071 USA.
Keyword:	Machine Learning, Strontium isotope ratio, Isoscapes, Otolith microchemistry
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Quantifying ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr temporal stability and spatial heterogeneity for use in tracking fish movement

Lindsay R. Ciepiela^{1*} and Annika W. Walters²

¹Wyoming Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071 USA

²U.S. Geological Survey, Wyoming Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Department of Zoology and Physiology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071 USA.

*Corresponding author

Corresponding author: Lindsay Ciepiela (lrciepie@gmail.com)

Draft

Abstract

The specificity and accuracy of inferred fish origin and movement relies on describing spatial heterogeneity and temporal stability of environmental signatures. But the cost and logistics of sample collection often precludes the complete quantification of environmental signature temporal stability and spatial heterogeneity. We used repeated sampling, and a novel approach, Bayesian Ridge Regression (BRR), to quantify the temporal stability and spatial heterogeneity of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, respectively. We explained 86% of observed variation in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ using a BRR model and estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ throughout the Upper North Platte River Basin with high accuracy (± 0.00106). Year to year variation in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures ranged from 0.00007 to 0.00073 (SD), while seasonal variation ranged from 0.00091 to 0.00134 (SD). We then assessed the specificity and discussed the accuracy of inferring movement using three scenarios of described spatial heterogeneity. Our results indicate reliable inference of fish movement requires comprehensive quantification of spatial heterogeneity and temporal variation in environmental signatures.

Key words: isoscapes, machine learning, otolith microchemistry, strontium isotope ratio

Introduction

Fish move 10-1000's of kilometers to satisfy physiological requirements such as spawning, feeding, and growth (Gross et al. 1988; see Binder et al. 2011). Knowing fish origin, their migration routes, and the habitats associated with their growth and reproduction is fundamental to the successful conservation of desired species, and the control of undesired

species (Munro et al. 2005; Olden et al. 2006; see Cooke et al. 2012). As such, considerable effort has been put forth to develop techniques that allow for the tracking of fish movement (Lucas and Baras 2000). Studies that have used artificial tagging techniques, such as passive integrated transponder tags, radio transmitters, and VIE marks have contributed to our understanding of fish spatial ecology (Achord et al. 2007; Hutchison et al. 2008). Yet our understanding of fish movement has been limited due to logistical difficulties in tagging juvenile fish, recovering long distance migrants, and monitoring movement through time (Young et al. 1997; Kanno et al. 2014).

Over the last two decades, technological advances in methods for analyzing otolith microchemistry has allowed researchers to overcome many of the limitations of conventional tagging techniques for assessing fish movement (see Elsdon et al. 2008). By analyzing environmental signatures across a fish's otolith and matching signatures to surface water environmental signatures, researchers can reconstruct the environmental history of all life stages of fishes over large spatial and temporal scales. Studies that use otolith microchemistry have identified essential habitat for juvenile fish (e.g. Dorval et al. 2005; Brown 2006), characterized within population life history diversity (e.g. Zlokovitz et al. 2003; Hodge et al. 2016), and identified the source of invasive species (e.g. Munro et al. 2005; Whitledge et al. 2007).

Otolith microchemistry has increased our understanding of fish movement dynamics and habitat needs, but is not without its own set of assumptions and limitations (Elsdon et al. 2008). Studies that use otolith microchemistry to trace movement are typically based on, at least, two key assumptions – 1. detectable differences in surface water environmental

signatures occur on a scale relevant to fish movement and 2. surface water environmental signatures are temporally consistent. While these assumptions are well recognized, budget and logistical constraints often limit full quantification of spatial and temporal variation in environmental signatures, especially given competing demands of a study (e.g. fish and water collections). Failure to capture environmental variation can influence the accuracy (i.e. the true stream the fish was occupying is identified) and spatial resolution of inferred movement histories. Therefore, there is a need to quantify the temporal stability of environmental signatures and develop cost effective methods to quantify the spatial variation of environmental signatures.

In freshwater environments water strontium isotope ratios ($^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$) are a useful environmental signature for reconstructing environmental histories of fishes (Gibson-Reinemer et al. 2009). $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ measured in otoliths are tightly correlated with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ measured in ambient freshwaters (Barnett-Johnson et al. 2008; Muhlfeld et al. 2012). $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in freshwaters, and thus otoliths, are directly influenced by underlying watershed geology, with variation in rock type, age, and weathering rates leading to spatial heterogeneity of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (Bataille and Bowen 2012; Bataille et al. 2014). Because $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures are tightly correlated with bedrock geology, modeling techniques that estimate $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ from bedrock geology and landscape variables are a promising, cost effective technique to quantify $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity across a watershed (Hegg et al. 2013). For example, Brennan et al. (2016) successfully used dendritic network models to estimate strontium values within the Nushagak Basin, Alaska with high accuracy and spatial resolution.

The objectives of this research were to describe the temporal stability of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, develop a modeling technique to estimate $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, and assess the specificity (i.e. the number of tributaries a fish is assigned too) and accuracy (i.e. whether a fish is assigned to its true stream of origin) of inferring fish movement at different levels of quantified $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial variation. We quantified $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ temporal stability through repeated sampling of water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. We used a machine learning algorithm, Bayesian Ridge Regression (BRR), to estimate water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures based on bedrock lithology and landscape processes. We then compared our results to those obtained using the spatial stream network (SSN) modeling technique presented in Brennan et al. (2016). Finally, we used the above data to examine implications of inferring fish movement at different levels of quantified $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial variation.

Materials and Methods

Study site

We developed $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ models using surface water samples and associated bedrock geology and landscape covariates from 17 perennial tributaries and the main-stem of the North Platte River in the upper 3,600 km² of the Upper North Platte River (UNPR) Basin (Figure S1). The North Platte River originates at the confluence of Little Grizzly and Grizzly creeks in Colorado, USA and flows northwest into Wyoming through the Saratoga Valley where its tributaries drain the Medicine Bow Mountains to the east and the Sierra Madre Mountains to the west. Snow-melt is the main water source during peak springs flows while ground water inputs maintain base-flows throughout the fall and winter.

The Sierra Madre and Medicine Bow mountains are geologically similar. Both ranges contain a major shear zone, the Cheyenne belt, which separates the oldest Archean rocks to the north from the younger igneous and metamorphic rocks to the south (Taucher et al. 2013). Notably, the Medicine Bow Mountains, unlike the Sierra Madre Mountains, contain a thick band of metasedimentary rocks that form the Snowy Range (Taucher et al. 2013).

Surface water samples

We collected water samples at 59 locations between June and October 2015 (Figure 1, Figure S1). Each of the 17 tributaries had one to five collection locations and the North Platte River main-stem had nine collection locations. We limited our sampling locations to watersheds greater than six km² and stratified collection locations longitudinally along tributaries to encompass variation in underlying geology and stream network dynamics.

We collected water samples in 250 ml Nalgene high-density polyethylene bottles and stored samples in a ziplock bag. Within 48 hours of collection, we filtered samples through a 0.45 µm sterile syringe filter into a 125 ml Nalgene high-density polyethylene bottle. Samples were transported to the University of Wyoming and refrigerated until analysis. To evaluate error in field collection and filtration methods we collected six of the samples as field triplicates. At each triplicate sampling location we took a blank sample using ultrapure water. We pre-cleaned all sample bottles and filtration equipment by washing equipment in a 1.2M HCl acid bath for at least 12 hours followed by three ultrapure water rinses.

We assessed year to year variation of strontium isotope ratios by comparing water samples collected during August base-flows in 2009, 2014, and 2015 at one site each on French Creek, Big Creek, Douglas Creek, and the Encampment River and three sites on the North Platte

River. We assessed seasonal variation of strontium isotope ratios by comparing water samples collected at one site each on French and Big creeks in June, August, and October 2015 and May 2016. Our May sampling event occurred during snow-melt dominated spring run-off and our October sampling event occurred during ground-water dominated base-flows, with the June and August sampling events occurring during the transition between run-off and base-flow. We quantified seasonal variation in French and Big creeks because they represented the range of seasonal variation we expected throughout the basin. French Creek drains the Medicine Bow Mountains and Big Creek drains the Sierra Madre Mountains.

⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr analysis

The 2009 water samples were collected by Wyoming Game and Fish Department and analyzed for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and [Sr] at the University of California, Davis, Interdisciplinary Center of Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS). Two of 14 water samples were ran as duplicates. Duplicate sample analysis revealed an average $\pm$ 2SD of 0.0001. The NIST SRM987 standard (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr = 0.71034 ± 0.00026 95% confidence interval; www.nist.gov) was used to monitor machine drift. During analysis, average within-run internal error was ± 0.000026 2SE.

We sent water samples from 2014-2016 to the University of Utah, Department of Geology and Geophysics, Strontium Isotope laboratory for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and [Sr] analysis where they were analyzed using methods outlined in Brennan et al. (2015). Briefly, water samples were analyzed for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios using multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICPMS; Thermo Scientific, High Resolution NEPTUNE, Bremen, Germany) with on-line purification system (using Sr-spec resin, Eichrom) for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr analysis of aqueous solutions. Long-term replicability of the NIST SRM987 (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr = $0.71034 \pm .00026$ 95% confidence

interval; www.nist.gov) is $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.71030 \pm 0.00004$ (2 SD) (Brennan et al. 2015). During water sample analysis the weighted daily average of the NIST SRM987 ratio was 0.71029 ± 0.000033 (average 2 SD, $n=5$). Field triplicate analysis revealed an average $\pm 2\text{SD}$ of 0.000055.

For comparison of water samples between labs we normalized all samples to the NIST SRM987 standard using the following equation:

$$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \text{ normalized} = \left(\frac{S_p}{S_m} \right) \times ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr},$$

Where S_p is the published standard value, and S_m is the average measured standard value.

$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ models

We built and compared $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ BRR and SSN models and used the BRR model to build a $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isoscape of the UNPR Basin for watersheds greater than six km². More details on BRR and SSN models will follow in later sections. Prior to modeling, we split the data into development and validation datasets. To establish our validation dataset we randomly selected eight tributaries and then randomly selected one sampling location on each of the eight tributaries. The other 51 observations served as the development dataset.

The SSN model required a GIS referenced landscape network that incorporated information on stream source, flow direction, confluences and stream outlets. Both modeling approaches required establishing and summarizing watershed bedrock lithology and landscape covariates at observation and estimation locations across the UNPR Basin. To establish stream network observation and estimation locations, build the landscape network, and summarize watershed covariates, we obtained a publicly-available stream network of the UNPR Basin from the NorWeST stream temperature prediction effort (<http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/boise/AWAE/projects/NorWeST.html>; Isaak 2016). For both

modeling approaches we used the mid-point of each one km stream segment in the NorWeST stream network as estimation locations. We selected the midpoint of the NorWeST stream segment that our observation points were located on to serve as our observation locations; this resulted in a maximum shift in observed sampling location of one half km, but allowed us to incorporate covariates (i.e. slope and precipitation) embedded in the NorWest stream network.

To build the landscape network and delineate the upstream watershed area for observation and estimation locations, we obtained U.S. Geological Survey digital elevation models (DEMs; <https://viewer.nationalmap.gov>) and integrated these with the NorWeST stream network using the Spatial Tools for the Analysis of River Systems (STARS) toolbox in ArcGIS 10.2 (Peterson and Ver Hoef 2014).

Lithology and landscape covariates - We used the STARS toolbox to calculate the percent area of each rock type located upstream of every estimation and observation point along the stream network. Predominant lithology designation was obtained from the Preliminary Integrated Geologic Map Databases for the United States (<https://mrddata.usgs.gov/geology/state/>; Stoesser et al. 2005). In addition to lithology we selected four landscape covariates (local relief, percent glaciated, average slope, and accumulated precipitation) to include in our models (for variable descriptions see Text S1; Mears 2001; McKay et al. 2012; Brennan et al. 2016)

Bayesian Ridge Regression - We used the development dataset and the BRR algorithm with ten-fold cross validation in the R version 3.3.0 (R Core Team 2016) caret package (Kuhn 2017) to develop two sets of models (initial and candidate). BRR algorithms use supervised learning (Olden et al. 2008), through k-fold cross validation, to model the relationship between

inputs and known outputs (Kuhn 2008). Because the BRR algorithm, like many machine learning algorithms, rely on supervised learning to tune model parameters, final model parameters will vary slightly with each run of the BRR algorithm. To quantify how this variance impacts model output we ran the BRR algorithm 1,000 times using covariates from the best-performing model. We then ran the development and validation datasets through the 1,000 model iterations and calculated the standard deviation of the r^2 between modeled and observed $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and the standard deviation of the residual standard error. Also important to note is that the BRR algorithm in the caret package requires centered and scaled predictors, therefore we scaled and centered all input variables prior to modeling (Kuhn 2008).

Our initial model set ($n=4$) incorporated predominant lithology found at greater than 5%, 10%, 20% or 25% in the watershed as covariates. The candidate model set ($n=9$) included all lithology covariates from the best performing initial model and different combinations of landscape covariates (i.e. local relief, percent glaciated, average slope, and accumulated precipitation).

To select the best performing model we applied all the models to the validation dataset and estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ at the eight validation locations. We then regressed observed $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ against estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and assumed the best performing model was the one that maximized the goodness of fit between observed and estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (for a complete list of candidate models see Tables S1-S2; for example code see Text S2).

We used the best performing model in the candidate model set to estimate $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ at estimation locations in the stream network. We assumed model error was equal to the

standard error of the absolute difference between observed and estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of the validation dataset.

Spatial Stream Network Model - To compare the relative performance of the BRR model to that of a SSN model we developed a $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ SSN model. We used the development dataset and the SSN package (Ver Hoef et al. 2014) in R version 3.3.0 (R Core Team 2016) to develop two sets of models (initial and candidate). SSN model development largely followed the methods outlined in Brennan et al. (2016). SSN models use a generalized linear mixed model framework that incorporates linear spatial relationships to model the relationship between inputs and known outputs (Peterson et al. 2013).

Similar to above, our initial model set ($n=4$) incorporated predominant lithology found at greater than 5%, 10%, 20% or 25% in the watershed as covariates. We ran the initial SSN model set with an exponential tail-up (flow connected) autocovariance function. The candidate model set ($n=7$) included all lithology covariates from the best performing initial model and different combinations of landscape covariates and autocovariance functions. We did not include accumulated precipitation because this information was embedded in the SSN spatial weighting scheme. We used AIC (Akaike information criterion) to compare models and select the top model, in each model set (Tables S3-S4).

Similar to Brennan et al. (2016) we based spatial weights of the SSN on the product of modeled [Sr] (see Brennan et al. 2016 for methods to model [Sr]), and accumulated precipitation. Unlike in Brennan et al. (2016) we only considered exponential tail-up (flow-connected) and Euclidian autocovariance functions for all candidate models because we did not observe spatial correlation for flow-unconnected pairs (Figure S2; Peterson et al. 2013).

229 *Inferred fish origin*

230 Using $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ samples, and the BRR $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isoscape we developed three scenarios of
231 described spatial heterogeneity to examine the implications of inferring fish origin at increasing
232 scales of described spatial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ heterogeneity. In scenario A we used one $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ sample
233 from each of the 17 UNPR tributaries (collected near the confluence). In scenario B we
234 increased the described spatial heterogeneity by incorporating all 48 $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ samples collected
235 at one to five locations in the 17 tributaries. In scenario C we, again increased the described
236 spatial heterogeneity, and used estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values from the BRR strontium isoscape in
237 the 17 tributaries. For all scenarios we defined each site by a uniform distribution. In scenarios
238 A and B the width of the uniform distribution was equal to the maximum observed year to year
239 variability ($\pm$ SD 0.00073). In scenario C the width of the uniform distribution was equal to the
240 BRR model error. BRR model error was equal to the standard error of the absolute difference
241 between observed and estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of the validation dataset ($\pm$ 0.00106). We did not
242 explicitly incorporate temporal variation in scenario C because model error was larger than the
243 observed temporal variation thus overwhelming temporal variation.

244 We then created a hypothetical otolith $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ dataset (100, equally spaced, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$
245 values across the strontium gradient), and assessed the number of tributaries each sequenced
246 $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ value overlapped with for the three scenarios of described spatial heterogeneity. We
247 considered a site, and thus a tributary, as a potential fish origin if the hypothetical otolith value
248 fell within the bounds of a site's uniform distribution.

Results

⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr temporal variation

We observed both seasonal and year to year variation in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, with greater seasonal variation than year to year variation (Figure 2). In French and Big creeks the maximum observed difference in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr between seasons (0.00318 and 0.00214, respectively) was higher than between years (0.00081 and 0.00127, respectively). Year to year variation in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr signatures was largest for Big Creek (0.71422 ± 0.00073) and smallest for the North Platte River (0.71207 ± 0.00006). The average standard deviation in strontium isotope ratios between seasons was 0.00134 while the average standard deviation in strontium isotope ratios between years was 0.00031. When samples from the North Platte River were excluded, the average standard deviation between years increased to 0.00047.

⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr models

Bayesian Ridge Regression - The top BRR model for estimating ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr throughout the UNPR Basin included all rock types found at greater than 10% in any watershed and accumulated precipitation (Figure 1; Table S2). In this model the covariates explained 86.85% (SD 0.03) of the variation in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the development dataset. The r² between modeled and observed ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the development and validation datasets was 0.93 (SD 0.0006) and 0.90 (SD 0.0056) and the residual standard error was .00098 (SD .00004) and .00173 (SD .00004), respectively (Figure 3). Model error was equal to ± 0.00106.

Spatial Stream Network Model - The top SSN model for estimating ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr throughout the UNPR Basin included all rock types found at greater than 10% in any watershed and average slope (Table S4). In this model the fixed effects explained 79% of the variation in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and

the tail-up autocovariance explained 20% of the variance. Using the best model the r^2 between the modeled and observed $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of the development and validation datasets was 0.74 and 0.89 and the residual standard error was 0.00203 and 0.00228, respectively (Figure 3). Model error was equal to ± 0.00086 .

Inferred fish origin

Increasing described strontium spatial heterogeneity revealed large longitudinal variation within tributaries and large overlap in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ between tributaries (Figure 4). Accounting for temporal and longitudinal variation along tributaries increased the number of tributaries a hypothetical fish overlapped in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures (Figure 4). In scenario A, we accounted for temporal variation but did not account for longitudinal variation and fish shared a $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signature with 0-4 tributaries. In scenario B, we accounted for both temporal and longitudinal variation and fish shared a $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signature with 0-7 tributaries. In scenario C we used continuous estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ to quantify longitudinal variation and fish shared a $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signature with 0-10 tributaries. In scenario C we did not explicitly account for temporal variation because model error was greater than observed temporal variation and absorbed the influence of temporal variation on the accuracy of inferred fish movement.

Discussion and Conclusions

$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ temporal variation

We observed higher site specific $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ seasonal variation than site specific $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ year to year variation, as was also seen by Kennedy et al. (2000) and Crook et al. (2017). But the degree of temporal stability varied by orders of magnitude from stable to unstable across watersheds. Kennedy et al. (2000) reported that the largest seasonal difference in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$

observed at any one site in the Connecticut River Basin, Vermont was 0.00035. The largest seasonal difference we observed was 0.00318, in French Creek. The largest seasonal difference Crook et al. (2017) reported was 0.05155 on the Edith River in the wet-dry tropics of northern Australia. Crook et al. (2017) suggested changes in the source water (ground vs. runoff) between the two extreme wet-dry seasons likely drove observed extreme seasonal variability. We hypothesize a similar mechanism is driving our observed seasonal variability. The UNPR Basin is snowmelt driven with snowmelt runoff contributing to most flow in the spring and ground water dominating flow during the fall and winter (Miller et al. 2014). Future studies should work to understand the relationship between temporal stability and variation in water inputs across climatic and geologic settings to identify regions where repeated sampling, to quantify temporal stability, is mandated and where assumptions of temporal stability hold true.

⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr models

Developing ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr models to create stream ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr isoscapes is a new and developing research area. Our BRR modelling approach and the SSN modelling approach, presented in Brennan et al. (2016) and applied in Brennan and Schindler (2017), to building ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr isoscapes are, to date, the most accurate models to estimate ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr patterns in streams. But, until now, large uncertainty remained in the generalizability and accuracy of these approaches across geologic formations and geographic locations because they had not been applied outside of the Nushagak Basin.

Our results indicate publicly available stream network and lithology data can be used by researchers to build generalizable SSN and BRR models that perform well when estimating ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr. Both models had high model performance (r^2 of BRR and SSN validation dataset

modeled versus observed ratios = 0.90 and 0.89, respectively). Brennan et al. (2016) obtained similar SSN model performance when estimating $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the Nushagak Basin, Alaska (r^2 of modeled versus observed ratios = 0.90). Both the BRR and SSN models estimated strontium isotope ratios with similar overall model error ($\text{BRR} = \pm .00106$; $\text{SSN} = \pm 0.00086$), however, model error was not consistent across the strontium gradient and the region of highest model error was not consistent between the two models. The BRR model error was highest at high $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values and the SSN model error was highest at intermediate $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values.

Differences in the magnitude of the model error across the strontium gradient and between the two modeling approaches was likely due to an interaction between the different statistical approaches and the geologic maps used. SSN models use a generalized linear mixed model framework that incorporates linear spatial relationships (Peterson et al. 2013) and BRR models use supervised learning (Olden et al. 2008) to model the relationship between inputs and known outputs. Because SSN models rely on linear spatial relationships they have the distinct advantage of estimating spatially explicit $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ estimation error (i.e. estimation locations closer to sampling locations will have smaller estimation error while estimation locations farther from sampling locations will have larger estimation error), an important aspect when partitioning out sources of variance to probabilistically infer fish movement (see Brennan and Schindler 2017). Therefore when modelers seek to partition out sources of variance we recommend developing a SSN model. When partitioning out sources of variance is not necessary we recommend developing a BRR model with the caret package (Kuhn 2017; for example code see Text S2), because it is computationally simpler as it does not require a GIS referenced landscape network and the modeling of $[\text{Sr}]$ prior to modeling $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. Because

developing a BRR model is simpler it may also serve as a powerful preliminary analysis tool to detect whether there is sufficient $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ variation to justify a large scale study.

Both modeling approaches relied on geologic maps that were created to provide standardized geologic data based on state-scale geologic lithology (Stoeser et al. 2005). These maps were not created to inform $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures, as such some lithology designations may have been too broad to inform underlying $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ variation. Hegg et al. (2013) found, when clustering rocks into five main rock type groups, that broad rock type classifications obscured underlying $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ variation in some watersheds. While BRR and SSN models do not require clustering rock types, an improvement over Barnett-Johnson et al. (2008) and Hegg et al. (2013), it is likely some rock groupings, like metasedimentary rocks, were still too broad to inform variation in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures, ultimately leading to the observed variable estimation errors.

The size of model error is currently the primary analytical limitation when using modeled $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ to describe $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity across a stream network. In watersheds that contain small differences between tributary signatures the model error associated with each estimation point may disguise true differences. Increasing the sample size and spatial representation of input data and using finer detailed bedrock geology maps would likely decrease model error within and between models. But, it is important to note, the degree to which decreasing model estimation error will improve described $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity and our ability to infer origin is not limitless. Irrespective of model error, described $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity will remain bounded by analytical precision and natural temporal variability. Additionally the spatial resolution of inferred fish movement is

fundamentally restricted by the natural spatial discreteness of environmental signatures (see Elsdon et al. 2008).

Inferred fish origin

Increasing described spatial heterogeneity of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures in the UNPR Basin likely increased the accuracy (the true stream of origin shared an overlapping signature with the fish) but decreased the specificity (many tributaries overlapped with the hypothetical fish's environmental signature) of a hypothetical fish's inferred movement. Using a single sample on each tributary to describe $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity resulted in a maximum of four tributaries overlapping with a hypothetical fish's $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signature. Without knowledge of longitudinal variation it would be tempting to assign the hypothetical fish to one of these four tributaries. But in a connected stream network, where fish can move freely throughout the network, it would be inaccurate to assume a fish stayed at the confluence of the tributary and thus inappropriate to exclude longitudinal variation. Incorporating observed and modeled longitudinal variation increased the maximum number of tributaries with overlapping $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures to seven and ten tributaries, respectively. Our results indicate neglecting to incorporate longitudinal variation may lead to specific but inaccurate inferred fish origin. Alarming, many otolith microchemistry studies have neglected to investigate spatial heterogeneity on multiple scales, thus failing to incorporate longitudinal variation (Elsdon et al. 2008). Elsdon et al. (2008) suggests using a nested sampling design to ensure variation in environmental signatures is described at the scale being investigated and there is a rapidly growing body of literature to support using a continuous approach to isotope based inferred

origin/movement (i.e. BRR and SSN) to improve the accuracy of inferred movement (Wunder 2010; Brennan and Schindler 2017), and our results highlight the importance of doing so.

Conclusions

The specificity, and likely the accuracy, of inferred fish origin jointly depended on the quantification of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ spatial heterogeneity (at a scale that is relevant to fish movement), and the spatial and temporal discreteness of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. The BRR model presented in this paper is a promising, novel approach to describing the full continuous spatial heterogeneity of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures and compares well with the SSN modeling technique presented in Brennan et al. (2016). Both BRR and SSN modeling techniques are not region specific and can be applied to any watershed.

Assigning fish to $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isoscapes, developed through BRR and SSN modeling approaches, represent a powerful improvement over classic assignment methods, such as those presented in Wells et al. (2003), which rely on cluster analysis for origin assignment. Describing the continuous spatial heterogeneity of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ not only alleviates potentially unnatural groupings created by clustering data it provides a detailed map of potential environmental signatures which can transfer to a detailed map of fish movement. But it is important to note that in watersheds like the UNPR Basin, where spatial discreteness in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures between tributaries is low, specificity of inferred fish origin will be low due to the error associated with estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values. Future studies should consider both the spatial and temporal discreteness of their watershed and work to describe the full spatial heterogeneity and temporal stability of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ prior to inferring fish origin.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge John Martin Fennell and Austin Nicoll for field assistance and Cliff Riebe for support with model development. This research was funded by Wyoming Game and Fish Department Grant 1002467 to A.W. Data used are listed in the supporting information. The author's declare no conflicts of interest. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Author's contributions

Both authors contributed to the conception and design of the study; L.C. collected and analyzed the data; both authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave approval for publication.

References

- Achord, S., Zabel, R.W., Zabel, W., and Sandford, B.P. 2007. Migration timing, growth, and estimated parr-to-smolt survival rates of wild Snake River spring–summer Chinook Salmon from the Salmon River Basin, Idaho, to the Lower Snake River. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **136**(1): 142-154. doi: 10.1577/T05-308.1.
- Barnett-Johnson, R., Pearson, T.E., Ramos, F.C., Grimes, C.B., and MacFarlane, R. B. 2008. Tracking natal origins of salmon using isotopes, otoliths, and landscape geology. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **53**: 1633-1642.
- Bataille, C.P., and Bowen, G.J. 2012. Mapping $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ variations in bedrock and water for large scale provenance studies. *Chem. Geol.* **304-305**: 39-52. doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.028.
- Bataille, C.P., Brennan, S.R., Hartmann, J., Moosdorf, N. Wooller, M.J., and Bowen, G.J. 2014. A geostatistical framework for predicting variability in strontium concentrations and isotope ratios in Alaskan rivers. *Chem. Geol.* 389: 1-15. doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.08.030.
- Binder, T.R., Cooke, S.J., and Hinch, S.G. 2011. Physiological specializations of different fish groups: fish migrations. *In* Encyclopedia of Fish Physiology: From Genome to Environment. Edited by A.P. Farrell. Volume **3**: 1921-1927. Elsevier Inc. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-1237-4553-8.00085-X.

- Brennan, S.R., Fernandez, D.P., Zimmerman, C.E., Cerling, T.E., Brown, R.J., and Wooller, M.J. 2015. Strontium isotopes in otoliths of a non-migratory fish (slimy sculpin): Implications for provenance studies. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **149**, 32-45. doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2014.10.032.
- Brennan, S.R., Torgersen, C.E., Hollenbeck, J.P., Fernandez, D.P., Jensen, C.K., and Schindler, D.E. 2016. Dendritic network models improve isoscapes and quantify influence of landscape and in-stream processes on strontium isotopes in rivers. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43** (10): 5043-5051. doi: 10.1002/2016GL068904.
- Brennan, S.R. and Schindler, D.E. 2017. Linking otolith microchemistry and dendritic isoscapes to map heterogeneous production of fish across river basins. *Ecol. Appl.* **27**: 363-377. doi:10.1002/eap.1474.
- Brown, J.A. 2006. Using the chemical composition of otoliths to evaluate the nursery role of estuaries for English sole *Pleuronectes vetulus* populations. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **306**: 269-281.
- Cooke, S.J., Paukert, C., and Hogan, Z. 2012. Endangered river fish: factors hindering conservation and restoration. *Endangered Species Res.* **17**: 179-191. doi: 10.3354/esr00426.
- Crook, D.A., Lacksen, K., King, A.J., Buckle, D.J., Tickell, S.J., Woodhead, J.D., Maas, R., Townsend, S.A., and Douglas, M.M. 2017. Temporal and spatial variation in strontium in a tropical river: implications for otolith chemistry analyses of fish migration. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **74**(4): 533-545. Available from

<http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/cjfas-2016-0153> [accessed 25
September 2017].

Dorval, E., Jones, C.M. Hannigan, R., and van Montfrans, J. 2005. Can otolith chemistry be used
for identifying essential seagrass habitats for juvenile spotted seatrout, *Cynoscion*
nebulosus, in Chesapeake Bay? Mar. Freshw. Res. **56**(5): 645-653. doi:
10.1071/MF04179.

Elsdon, T.S., Wells, B.K., Campana, S.E., Gillanders, B.M., Jones, C.M. et al. 2008. Otolith
chemistry to describe movements and life-history parameters of fishes: hypotheses,
assumptions, limitations and inferences. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. **46**: 297-330. doi:
10.1201/9781420065756.ch7.

Gibson-Reinemer, D.K., Johnson, B.M., Martinez, P.J., Winkelman, D.L., Koenig, A.E., and
Woodhead, J.D. 2009. Elemental signatures in otoliths of hatchery Rainbow Trout
(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): distinctiveness and utility for detecting origins and movement.
Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **66**(4): 513-524. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1139/F09-015>
[accessed 28 October 2017].

Gross, M.R., Coleman, R.M., and McDowall, R.M. 1988. Aquatic productivity and the evolution
of diadromous fish migration. Science, **239**(4845):1291-1293.

Hegg, J.C., Kennedy, B.P., and Fremier, A.K. 2013. Predicting strontium isotope variation and
fish location with bedrock geology: Understanding the effects of geologic heterogeneity.
Chem. Geol. **360-361**:89–98. Available from
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.10.010> [accessed 10 July 2015].

- 485 Hodge, B.W., Wilzbach, M.A., Duffy, W.G., Quinones, R.M., and Hobbs, J.A. 2016. Life history
486 diversity in Klamath River Steelhead. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **142**(2): 227-238. doi:
487 10.1080/00028487.2015.1111257.
- 488 Hutchison, M., Butcher, A., Kirkwood, J., Mayer, D., Chilcott, K., and Backhouse, S. 2008.
489 Mesoscale movement of small and medium-sized fish in Murray-Darling Basin. Available
490 from the Murray-Darling Basin Commission office, Canberra, ACT. Publ. 41/08.
- 491 Isaak, D., Nagel, D., Groce, M., Wenger, S., Peterson, E. et al. 2016. A thermal map for all
492 *Wyoming* streams [online]. Available from
493 https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/boise/AWAE/projects/stream_temp/publications.html
494 [accessed 11 August 2018].
- 495 Kanno, Y., Letcher, B.H., Coombs, J.A., Nislow, K.H., and Whiteley, A.R. 2014. Linking movement
496 and reproductive history of Brook Trout to assess habitat connectivity in a
497 heterogeneous stream network. *Freshw. Biol.* **59**(1): 142-154. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12254.
- 498 Kennedy, B.P., Blum, J.D., Folt, C.L., and Nislow, K.H. 2000. Using natural strontium isotopic
499 signatures as fish markers: methodology and application. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **57**:
500 2280-2292.
- 501 Kennedy, B.P., Klaue, A., Blum, J.D., Folt, C.L., and Nislow, K.H. 2002. Reconstructing the lives of
502 fish using Sr isotopes in otoliths. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **59**: 925-929. doi: 10.1139/F02-
503 070.
- 504 Kuhn, M. 2017. Caret: Classification and Regression Training. R package version 6.0-76.
505 Available from <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caret> [accessed 15 July 2017].

- 506 Kuhn, M. 2008. Building predictive models in R using the caret Package. J. Stat. Softw. **28**(5).
507 Available from <https://www.jstatsoft.org/index> [accessed 15 July 2017].
- 508 Lucas, M.C., and Baras, E. 2000. Methods for studying spatial behaviour of freshwater fishes in
509 the natural environment. Fish and Fisheries, **1**: 283-316. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-
510 2979.2000.00028.x.
- 511 McKay L., Bondelid T., Dewald T. Johnston, J., Moore, R., and Rea, A. 2012. NHDPlus Version 2:
512 User Guide. *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*. Available from
513 [https://s3.amazonaws.com/nhdplus/NHDPlusV21/Documentation/NHDPlusV2_User_G](https://s3.amazonaws.com/nhdplus/NHDPlusV21/Documentation/NHDPlusV2_User_Guide.pdf)
514 [uide.pdf](https://s3.amazonaws.com/nhdplus/NHDPlusV21/Documentation/NHDPlusV2_User_Guide.pdf) [accessed 21 January 2018]
- 515 Mears, Jr. B. 2001. Glacial records in the Medicine Bow Mountains and Sierra Madre of
516 southern Wyoming and adjacent Colorado, with a traveler's guide to their sites.
517 Wyoming State Geological Survey Public Information Circular 41. Laramie, Wyoming.
- 518 Miller, M.P., Susong, D.D., Shope, C.L., Heilweil, V.M., and Stolp, B.J. 2014. Continuous
519 estimation of baseflow in snowmelt-dominated streams and rivers in the Upper
520 Colorado River Basin: A chemical hydrograph separation approach. Water Resources
521 Research, **50**(8): 6986-6999. doi: 10.1002/2013WR014939.
- 522 Muhlfeld, S.R., Thorrold, S.R., McMahon, T.E., and Marotz, B. 2012. Estimating westslope
523 Cutthroat Trout (*Oncorhynchus clarkia lewisi*) movements in a river network using
524 strontium isoscapes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. **69**(50):906-915. Available from
525 <http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/f2012-033> [accessed 30 March
526 2015].

- Munro, A.R., McMahon, T.E., and Ruzycki, J.R. 2005. Natural chemical markers identify source and date of introduction of an exotic species: Lake Trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) in Yellowstone Lake. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **62**(1):79-87. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1139/f04-174> [accessed 15 October 2017].
- Olden, J.D., Poff, N.L., and Bestgen, K.R. 2006. Life-history strategies predict fish invasions and extirpations in the Colorado River Basin. *Ecol. Monogr.* **76**(1): 25-40. doi: 10.1890/05-0330.
- Olden, J.D., Lawler, J.J., and Poff, N.L. 2008. Machine learning methods without tears: a primer for ecologists. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 2008, **83**(2): 171-193.
- Peterson, E.E., Ver Hoef, J.M., Isaak, D.J., Falke, J.A., Fortin, M. et al. 2013. Modeling dendritic ecological networks in space: an integrated network perspective. *Ecol. Lett.* **16**(5): 707-719. doi: 10.1111/ele.12084.
- Peterson, E.E., and Ver Hoef, J.M. 2014. STARS: An ArcGIS toolset used to calculate the spatial information needed to fit spatial statistical models to stream network data. *J. Stat. Softw.* **56**(2). Available from <https://www.jstatsoft.org/index> [accessed 10 February 2017].
- R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from <https://www.R-project.org/> [accessed 15 June 2016].
- Stoeser, D.B., G.N. Green, L.C. Morath, W.D. Heran, A.B. Wilson et al. 2005. Preliminary Integrated Geologic Map Databases for the United States Central States: Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Missouri, Arkansas, and

- 549 Louisiana. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 1351. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston,
550 VA. Available from <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1351/> [accessed 11 August 2018].
- 551 Taucher, P., Bartos, T.T., Taboga, K.G., Hallberg, L.L., Clark, M.L. et al. 2013. Platte River Basin
552 water plan update groundwater study level I (2009-2013). Wyoming State Geological
553 Survey Publication. Prepared for Wyoming Water Development Commission. Laramie,
554 Wyoming.
- 555 Ver Hoef, J.M., Peterson, E.E., Clifford, D., and Shah, R. 2014. SSN: An R package for spatial
556 statistical modeling on stream networks. *J. Stat. Softw.* **56**(3). Available from
557 <https://www.jstatsoft.org/index> [accessed 17 March 2017].
- 558 Wells, B.K., Rieman, B.E., Clayton, J.L., Horan, D.L., and Jones, C.M. 2003. Relationship between
559 water, otolith and scale chemistries of Westslope Cutthroat Trout from the Coeur
560 d'Alene River, Idaho: The potential application of hard-part chemistry to describe
561 movements in freshwater. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **132**: 409-424.
- 562 Whitley, G.W., Johnson, B.M., Martinez, P.J., and Martinez, A.M. 2007. Sources of nonnative
563 centrarchids in the Upper Colorado River revealed by stable isotope and microchemical
564 analyses of otoliths. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **136**(5): 1263-1275. doi: 10.1577/T06-045.1.
- 565 Wunder, M.B. 2010. Using isoscapes to model probability surfaces for determining geographic
566 origins. *In* *Isoscapes: understanding movement, pattern, and process on earth through*
567 *isotope mapping. Edited by J. B. West, G. J. Bowen, T. E. Dawson, and K. P. Tu. Springer,*
568 *Berlin, Germany. pp. 251-270.*

Young, M.K., Wilkison, R.A., Phelps III, J.M., and Griffith J.S. 1997. Contrasting movement and activity of large Brown Trout and Rainbow Trout in Silver Creek, Idaho. Great Basin Naturalist, **57**(3):238-244.

Zlokovitz, E.R., Secor, D.H., and Piccoli, P.M. 2003. Patterns of migration in Hudson River striped bass as determined by otolith microchemistry. Fisheries Research, **63**: 245-259.
doi:10.1016/S0165-7836(03)00069-9.

Draft

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Surface water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ were estimated across the Upper North Platte River Basin using a Bayesian Ridge Regression model. Solid circles indicate sampling locations. This map was created using ArcGIS® software by Esri.

Figure 2. Surface water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ seasonal variation (A) was higher than surface water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ year to year variation (B).

Figure 3. Model performance of the Bayesian Ridge Regression model (A) and the spatial stream network model (B) were comparable. Observed vs. estimated $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values were plotted for both development and validation datasets. The solid lines are the 1:1 lines between observed strontium isotope ratios and estimated strontium isotope ratios.

Figure 4. Increasing the described spatial variation of surface water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (I and II, scenarios A-C) increased the number of tributaries a hypothetical fish shared an environmental signature with (III). Inferring fish movement using a single sample on each tributary (scenario A) resulted in high specificity (few tributaries overlapped with the hypothetical fish's environmental signature), but likely low accuracy (the true stream of origin was not identified). Inferring fish movement using on the ground sampling, that incorporated longitudinal variation (scenario B), resulted in intermediate specificity and likely higher accuracy. Using modeled, continuous $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ to describe longitudinal variation (scenario C) resulted in low specificity and likely similar accuracy to that of scenario B. Panel I shows the water $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ samples used to develop each scenario, panel II shows the spatial distribution of the water samples, and panel III shows the

613 number of tributaries hypothetical otoliths, along the strontium gradient, overlapped
614 with, for each scenario.

615

Draft

I.

II.

III.