Environmental Reviews

Moving beyond colonial conservation models: Indigenous Protected and Conserved Areas offer hope for biodiversity and advancing reconciliation in the Canadian boreal forest

Journal:	<i>Environmental Reviews</i>
Manuscript ID	er-2018-0091.R1
Manuscript Type:	Commentary
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Sep-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Moola, Faisal; University of Guelph Roth, Robin; University of Guelph
Is this manuscript invited for consideration in a Special Issue?:	Boreal 2050 Special Project
Keyword:	Boreal Forest, conservation, Indigenous Peoples, Parks and Protected Areas

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1 Moving beyond colonial conservation models: Indigenous Protected and Conserved Areas
2 offer hope for biodiversity and advancing reconciliation in the Canadian boreal forest

3 Faisal Moola¹ and Robin Roth¹

4 ¹Department of Geography, Geomatics and Environment, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd E,
5 Guelph, Ontario, N1G2W1

6 Correspondence to: Faisal Moola, Department of Geography, Geomatics and Environment,
7 University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd E, Guelph, Ontario, N1G2W1, t: 519-824-4120 ext. 54367, e:
8 fmoola@uoguelph.ca

9 Word count: 1,077

10

Draft

11 Canada's boreal forests contain significant habitat for imperiled wildlife, such as woodland
12 caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) and provide globally significant ecosystem services, such as
13 carbon storage and the provision of freshwater (Andrew et al. 2014; Anielski and Wilson 2005).
14 While historically ignored as a conservation priority owing to their relatively low species
15 richness compared to tropical and sub-tropical regions of the planet, the boreal forest is currently
16 in the conservation spotlight. Over 1,500 scientists have signed an open letter to policy-makers
17 calling for the protection of half of the Canadian boreal forest in a system of formally designated
18 parks and protected areas (PPAs)¹ and governments have enacted legislation and initiated land
19 use planning processes to conserve large swaths of boreal wilderness, such as in Ontario's Far
20 North (Wilkinson and Schulz 2012). Indeed, the Canadian boreal zone is among the few places
21 on the planet where proactive land use planning to protect biodiversity can still occur in advance
22 of large-scale development decisions, given that much of the region remains intact and relatively
23 undisturbed by industrial activity. For example, while more than 75% of the Earth's ice-free
24 surface shows evidence of alteration as a result of human residence and land use (Ellis and
25 Ramankutty 2008), a recent inventory of remaining Intact Forest Landscapes (containing large
26 contiguous patches of forested and/or naturally occurring treeless ecosystems) has found that
27 approximately a quarter of remaining global Intact Forest Landscapes (IFLs) are found within
28 the northern boreal zone of North America; and mostly in Canada (Potapov et al. 2017). While
29 the boreal's relatively harsh climate and relative inaccessibility have largely spared it from
30 human development and fragmentation to date, its vulnerability to climate change and expanding

¹ Scientists' Letter: <https://www.borealbirds.org/sites/default/files/publications/Sci%20ltr%20LETTER%20-%20EN.pdf>

industrial activity at its southern edge have heightened calls for its protection (Andrew et al. 2014; Carlson et al. 2015).

While recognizing the significant and timely opportunity for large-scale conservation in the Canadian boreal, we should not lose sight of the fact that conventional conservation policy, such as the establishment of state-run parks and protected areas, have long played a role in the displacement of Indigenous Peoples. Throughout the world, conservation policy has disconnected Indigenous Peoples from sources of traditional foods and important cultural sites while criminalizing livelihood activities (Stevens 1997; West et al. 2006). Canada particularly struggles with a legacy of colonial conservation policy. Many of the country's national, provincial and territorial protected areas were established without regard to Indigenous rights or Treaty and resulted in the displacement of Indigenous peoples, their loss of livelihood and access to spiritual sites (Binnema and Niemi 2006). One of the most egregious examples of this was the establishment of Ontario's Quetico Provincial Park in 1913. Until the establishment of the Park, the boreal lands and waters in around Quetico were used extensively by local First Nations to hunt, trap, gather berries and practice other traditional activities. However, in 1915 provincial authorities forcibly relocated the Lac La Croix First Nation reservation from lands within the park². Despite an official apology in 1991, Quetico Provincial Park continues to be managed as a wilderness class protected area, which strictly limits use of traditional lands in the park by impacted First Nations (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry 2018).

Thankfully, the international conservation community has begun to recognize the importance of supporting governance arrangements that better incorporate Indigenous peoples, their world views and knowledge systems in the designation and management of protected areas.

² Statement by Norman Jordan; Chief of Lac La Croix First Nation, Quetico Provincial Park Management Plan (2018): <https://www.ontario.ca/page/quetico-provincial-park-management-plan-published-2018#section-2>

For example, the Pathway to Canada Target 1 process — a federal initiative meant to help Canada achieve its international and domestic obligations to protect a minimum of 17 per cent of terrestrial and inland waters and 10 per cent of coastal and marine areas under the Convention on Biological Diversity – has adopted the establishment of Indigenous Protected and Conserved Areas (IPCAs) as a cornerstone of its strategy. Significantly, this process involves First Nations, Inuit and Metis, and commits to engaging both western and traditional ecological knowledge in the manner in which protected areas are established and managed.

A number of IPCAs, including Tribal Parks, already exist in the Canadian boreal and others are in the process of being established with the support of the Canada Target 1 process. For example, four Anishinaabeg First Nations along the border of Manitoba and Ontario have recently protected one of the largest areas of untouched boreal wilderness left in the world with the support of the governments of Manitoba and Ontario. Known as Pimachiowin Aki (*“the land that gives life”*) in the local Ojibwe language, the 29,040 km² boreal region was designated as a UNESCO World Heritage Site in July 2018 for both its cultural and ecological richness.

Tribal Parks and other IPCAs are particularly important in regions of the boreal which have been highly impacted and altered by industrial development because they protect remnant wildlife habitat as well as secure traditional lands that are critical for the exercise of Treaty and Aboriginal Rights, such as hunting, fishing and the gathering of medicinal plants. One of the best examples of this is the 90,000 ha K’ih tsaa’dze Tribal Park, which has been established by Doig River First Nation in the heart of one of the biggest natural gas plays on the continent – the Montney shale formation, which contains reserves of 449 trillion cubic feet of natural gas and more than one billion barrels of oil in the Peace Region of northeastern British Columbia and neighboring Alberta. Over 67 % of the Peace Region has already been developed as a result of

the cumulative impacts of forestry, agriculture mining, hydro, and oil and gas development (Lee and Hanneman 2013) and thus K'ih tsaa'dze and other proposed Tribal Parks, nearby, are among the few remaining places where local Dane_zaa and Cree First Nations can still participate in traditional activities as their ancestors did for thousands of years before European colonization — activities crucial to maintaining their cultural and spiritual identity and connection to the land, while ensuring the stewardship of sensitive boreal ecosystems and resilience to climate change.

Indigenous-led conservation, such as Tribal Parks and other IPCAs, represent a turning point in Canadian conservation that we applaud. Conserving lands and waters in partnership with Indigenous peoples is the best way to protect biodiversity in the boreal that is consistent with our domestic and international obligations to respect and uphold the rights of Indigenous Peoples who call this globally recognized region home.

References

- Andrew, M.E., Wulder, M.A., and Cardille, J.A. 2014. Protected areas in boreal Canada: a baseline and considerations for the continued development of a representative and effective reserve network 1. *Environmental Reviews* **22**(2): 135-160. doi: 10.1139/er-2013-0056.
- Anielski, M., and Wilson, S. 2005. Counting Canada's natural capital: assessing the real value of Canada's boreal ecosystems. Canadian Boreal Initiative and Pembina Institute.
- Binnema, T., and Niemi, M. 2006. 'Let the Line be Drawn Now': Wilderness, Conservation, and the Exclusion of Aboriginal People from Banff National Park in Canada. *Environmental History* **11**(4): 724-750. doi: 10.1093/envhis/11.4.724.
- Carlson, M., Wells, J., and Jacobson, A. 2015. Balancing the Relationship Between Protection and Sustainable Management in Canada's Boreal Forest.(Special section: Protected areas and sustainable forest management in Canada). *Conservation and Society* **13**(1): 13. doi: 10.4103/0972-4923.161209.
- Ellis, and Ramankutty. 2008. Putting people in the map: anthropogenic biomes of the world (vol 6, pg 439, 2008). *Frontiers In Ecology And The Environment* **6**(10): 523-523.
- Lee, P.G., and Hanneman, M. 2013. Atlas of land cover, industrial land uses and industrial-caused land changes in the Peace Region of British Columbia. Edmonton, Alberta : Global Forest Watch Canada, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2018. Quetico (Gwetaming) Provincial Park Management Plan.
- Potapov, P., Hansen, M.C., Laestadius, L., Turubanova, S., Yaroshenko, A., Thies, C., Smith, W., Zhuravleva, I., Komarova, A., Minnemeyer, S., and Esipova, E. 2017. The last frontiers of

- 109 wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes from 2000 to 2013. *Science advances* **3**(1):
110 e1600821. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1600821.
- 111 Stevens, S. 1997. Conservation through cultural survival : indigenous peoples and protected
112 areas. Washington, DC : Island Press, Washington, DC.
- 113 West, P., Igoe, J., and Brockington, D. 2006. Parks and Peoples: The Social Impact of Protected
114 Areas. *Annual Review of Anthropology* **35**: 251.
- 115 Wilkinson, C.J.A., and Schulz, T. 2012. Planning the Far North in Ontario, Canada: an
116 Examination of the “Far North Act, 2010”. *Natural Areas Journal* **32**(3): 310-315.
- 117

Draft