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Abstract

Bare, uncoated magnetite nanoparticles, synthesized using an electrochemical surfactant-free synthesis, have highly oxidized surfaces that prevent aggregation. These particles have demonstrated highly intriguing biological activity showing extremely potent antibiotic activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria with little toxicity to rats. This difference in activity could be ascribed to the nature of the protein corona. In this study the kinetics and thermodynamics of the binding of bovine serum albumin, used as a model serum protein, to these magnetite nanoparticles was analyzed. There is no significant change in particle diameter by dynamic light scattering following adsorption indicating corona formation does not induce aggregation. The maximum adsorption capacity of the particles was determined to be 300 mg of BSA/g of magnetite. The particles are able to adsorb 90% of the BSA at protein concentrations as high as 500 mg/L. The adsorption is best described using a pseudo-second-order model and a Langmuir Type III isotherm model. Thermodynamic analysis showed that the process is entropically driven and is spontaneous at all tested temperatures and conditions. However, it appears to be a weak to moderate physical adsorption. This moderate binding affinity could indicate the differential biological activity of these particles towards bacteria and mammalian cells and further support the contention that these are potentially useful new tools for targeting antibiotic-resistant bacteria.
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1. Introduction

Albumin is the most abundant protein in circulating mammalian blood and is the primary component responsible for serum-protein adsorption of drugs, fatty acids, anions, metal ions, antioxidants and other circulating small molecules and nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{1,2} The model animal albumin is bovine serum albumin (BSA) due to its ubiquitous availability, low cost, and common use which allows for the easy standardization of results between different research teams.\textsuperscript{3} BSA is a globular protein with a prelate spheroid-like shape (dimensions of $4 \text{ nm} \times 4 \text{ nm} \times 14 \text{ nm}$) with an overall negative surface charge of $\text{-18}$ at physiological pH.\textsuperscript{4} The adsorption of small molecules onto BSA can be responsible for the bioavailability of the drug, but similarly, understanding the adsorption of BSA onto nanoparticles is essential for the biological activity of these nanoparticles as they rapidly form a protein corona.\textsuperscript{5-8}

Underlying the general rule that nanoparticles rapidly develop a protein coating are the specifics involved in the binding of any given protein to any given nanoparticle surface including the electrostatic compatibility of the surfaces, the steric demands of the protein, the overall charge and charge distribution on the protein, and environmental factors including pH, ionic strength and initial protein concentration.\textsuperscript{9-11}

The literature contains many reports that have explored protein adsorption, generally BSA, onto various nanoparticle surfaces such as gold nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{12} hydroxyapatite,\textsuperscript{11} organic polymers,\textsuperscript{13} silica, graphene,\textsuperscript{14} titanium oxide,\textsuperscript{15} bio-ceramic nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{8} and magnetite.\textsuperscript{16-18} Many of these latter systems are better described as forming a protein shell around a magnetite core, as magnetic nanoparticles continue to promise to emerge as useful tools in biotechnology, separation sciences, and biomedicine due to their supermagnetism, good magnetic saturation and coercivity, and their relative biocompatibility and environmental benign nature compared to other inorganic nanoparticle systems.\textsuperscript{19-21} Consequently, many different methods have been applied to the study of BSA-magnetite dynamics and thermodynamics to both better delineate the effect of conditions on protein adsorption on magnetite surfaces, and act as a validation of the new techniques. These have included Van’t Hoof analysis,\textsuperscript{16} response surface methodology using vibrating-sampling magnetometry and differential scanning calorimetry,\textsuperscript{13} the application of a linear driving force mass transfer model using the mass balance of the samples,\textsuperscript{18} Raman spectroscopy and circular dichroism,\textsuperscript{22} and the use of commercial protein quantification kits.\textsuperscript{23}

These results are united in their conclusions that pH, temperature, and initial protein concentration dominate the binding effects, and these can also influence the degree of protein denaturation at the air-water interface,\textsuperscript{24} although this hasn’t been studied on a magnetite surface. There is a single report studying thermal denaturation of BSA-magnetite at very high temperatures but no comments were made as to the denaturation of the BSA prior to pyrolysis.\textsuperscript{25} In the extant magnetite examples, the absorption of the BSA has either been focused on creating a $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@$BSA core-shell system for further applications,\textsuperscript{13,17,26} or studying the absorption of BSA onto an already coated magnetite particle.\textsuperscript{9,14,16,27} This is mainly because uncoated magnetite nanoparticles readily aggregate and have little application and so are hard to isolate, process and study. However, we have recently reported on a green organic solvent and surfactant-free electrochemical synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles that results in a surface containing highly-oxidized species.\textsuperscript{28,29} These are highly resistant to aggregation and show potent antimicrobial activity.\textsuperscript{30} These systems lack potentially toxic surfactants and have potential for biological applications.\textsuperscript{31-33} For example, our recent study showed that these iron oxide nanoparticles showed potent antimicrobial activity, effective at the same mass concentrations as ampicillin and chloramphenicol against both $S.\ aerues$
and E. coli. The mechanism of action was attributed to be oxidative stress caused by the highly reactive Fe-O-O-H groups on the surface that resulted from our synthesis. This was slightly surprising as, in any biological experiment, we would expect these nanoparticles to be rapidly fouled by a protein corona. In order to better understand this process, we have carried out the current study looking at BSA adsorption onto our nanoparticles as a function of initial protein concentration, temperature, and the rate of dosing. This data is then interpreted using Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms to better understand the process, and the binding data as a function of temperature was then used determine the activation energy ($E_a$), enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$), entropy ($\Delta S^\circ$), and free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$) values of this process.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Materials
Bovine serum albumin (BSA; MW 67 kDa) was purchased from Sigma Millipore. All other chemicals used in this study besides study were purchased from Merck Co. (Germany).

2.2. Synthesis method of nanoparticles
The 23 nm magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized using our previously published method.30

2.3. Nanoparticle characterization
X-ray diffraction (XRD) of nanoparticles was carried out using a D8 Advance X’Pert X-Ray diffractometer (Bruker, the diffractometer from Philips and a CuKα radiation source, Figure S1). The magnetic behaviour of the samples was studied using vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM, Kavir Precise Magnetic, Iran, Figure S3). Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, Mira 3-XMU instrument capable of 700,000x magnification) was used to study the morphology of the nanoparticles (Figure S2). Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy was done using a JASCO 640 plus machine (4000-400 cm$^{-1}$) at room temperature using KBr pellets. The size distribution of the nanoparticles was obtained using dynamic light scattering (DLS) on a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK) equipped with a He–Ne laser source (633 nm) with vertically polarized light.

2.4. Bovine serum albumin Solution and analysis
The BSA stock solution was prepared by dissolving bovine serum albumin in deionized water (corrected to pH = 7.4). The required concentrations of BSA for the studies were then obtained by serial dilutions of this stock solution. The amount of BSA adsorbed was calculated by subtracting the amount present in the supernatant solution after treatment from the amount of protein present before the addition of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle using the intensity of the UV absorption at 280 nm employing a standard curve as per the methodology of Peng and co-workers (Figure S4).18

2.5. Batch Adsorption Experiments
The experiments were performed discontinuously in 100 ml flasks containing 50 ml of solution on a shaker oscillating at 150 rpm. The experiments were performed considering four variables: absorbent (nanoparticle) dosage (0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.08 and 0.1 g/l), contact time (60, 120, 240, 300 min), initial concentration of BSA (100, 200, 400, 500 mg/l), and temperature (25, 35, 45°C).
The amount of absorbed BSA per unit weight of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle at time t, $q_e$ (mg /g), was calculated using the following equation:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{M} \quad Eq(1)$$

Where, $C_0$ and $C_t$ are the BSA concentrations at time zero and t (mg /l) respectively, $V$ is the volume of the solution (L), and $M$ is the mass of nanoparticles (g).

To express the percent of BSA removal, the following equation was used:

$$\% = \frac{(C_0 - C_f)}{C_0} \times 100\% \quad Eq(2)$$

where $C_0$ and $C_f$ represent the initial and final BSA concentrations. All tests were performed in duplicate to insure the reproducibility of the results; the mean of the two measurements is reported as per Ayranci and Duman.$^{34}$ In cases where the values differed by more than 0.5%, additional runs were carried out.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the nanoparticles

The Iron Oxide nanoparticles were previously characterized using XRD, SEM, and VSM techniques by our group.$^{29, 30}$ For the current study, the average crystallite size of the magnetite nanoparticles was 23 nm according to the (3 1 1) plane reflection from the XRD pattern that was extracted using the Scherer formula. A nearly spherical morphology of the Iron Oxide nanoparticles was confirmed by FE-SEM.

3.2. FT-IR studies

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy of iron oxide nanoparticles was conducted both before and after adsorption of BSA, and the spectra are provided as Figure 1.
The FT-IR spectrum does not change markedly and is dominated by the absorption bands associated with Fe$_3$O$_4$, 3400 and 1618 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to OH stretching vibration and O-H bending vibration, and 576 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the Fe-O stretching vibration. The presence of the BSA cannot be confirmed by FT-IR analysis: although transmittance decreases, there is no clear shift in the spectral maxima. The spectrum of BSA is dominated by carbonyl stretching between 1515 cm$^{-1}$ and 1660 cm$^{-1}$ and O-H and N-H stretches above 3000 cm$^{-1}$. These signals overlap with those of the magnetite. A slight shoulder on the high-energy side of the carbonyl peak is consistent with BSA, but this is not clear enough to be definitive for characterization purposes.

### 3.3 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements

DLS is an effective tool to characterize dynamic parameters of NPs including the diffusion coefficient and particle size within a colloidal system. The time-dependent scattered light intensity from a nano-colloidal solution is a fluctuating quantity that depends on the size, Brownian motion and diffusive behaviour of NPs in solution and the viscosity of the continuous phase, water in this case. The DLS intensity spectrographs of the nanoparticles, both before and after treatment, are provided as Figure 2 for adsorption in the presence of 200 mg/L of BSA. The data indicates a moderate, but meaningful increase in size with the addition of the protein from about 20 nm (in the absence of BSA) to 29 nm (in the presence of BSA) which is consistent with the expected monolayer (based on the ratio of surface area to adsorbed BSA, and the hydrodynamic dimensions of BSA).
3.4. *Protein adsorption study*. Three parameters are essential for determining the binding isotherms: temperature, pH, and concentration of adsorbent. We determined that physiological pH (7.4), and ambient temperature (298 K) were reasonable selections for the first two parameters. We then determined what concentration of magnetite would absorb a substantial percentage of a BSA solution.

3.4.1. *Effect of adsorbent dose*: The effect of the adsorbent dosage level on the removal of BSA was studied by varying the nanoparticle content from 0.02 to 0.1 g/L while holding the pH constant at 7.4, the initial BSA concentration at 200 mg/L, the temperature at 298 K, and the contact time constant at 120 min (Figure 3).
When the adsorbent concentration increased from 20 to 100 mg/L at 200 mg/L of BSA, the protein-binding efficiency increased from 59.9% to 90.5%. However, as expected, the adsorption capacity ($q_e$) of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs decreased from 5990 to 1816 mg/g. The maximum BSA adsorption is largely reached at a concentration of 0.8 g/L of magnetite nanoparticles, further increasing the amount of magnetite has little effect. BSA-adsorption efficiency falls as the dose increases. At very low concentrations, the surface of the particle appears supersaturated (0.02 g/L), but at 0.03 g/L and above, $q_e$ forms a linear relationship with dosage which is consistent with a not fully saturated system, although the reason for the maximum adsorption being 90% requires further exploration although it might be due to segregation of some of the protein as nanoaggregates. A similar result was previously reported by Kopac and Bozgeyik.\textsuperscript{38} This high $q_e$ is much greater than that reported for other materials, i.e. 44.4 mg/g for TiO$_2$,\textsuperscript{38} and 30 mg/g for hydroxyapatite particles,\textsuperscript{11} and 200 mg/g for gold.\textsuperscript{39} This exceptional value suggests that a very significant protein corona is formed around the nanoparticle that must involve multilayers of BSA forming around the particle core.

**3.4.2. Effect of contact time and initial BSA concentration:** The contact time and adsorbate concentration are recognized as important parameters in for determining the kinetics and thermodynamics of the absorption process. The effect of contact time on the degree of adsorption of BSA onto magnetite NPs is shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4. A) Percent sequestration of BSA onto Fe₃O₄ NPs at an as a function of time and concentration of BSA; B) Binding efficiency of the NPs as a function of time and concentration of BSA. All measurements acquired using a nanoparticle concentration of 0.08 gL⁻¹, T = 298 K, and pH = 7.4.
BSA absorption is not an instantaneous process, although the protein does rapidly adsorb to the surface over the first two hours in the first 120 min (63-83% after two hours, Figure 4A, Table S2). The rate is dependent on the initial concentration of BSA; counterintuitively, the higher the concentration, the higher the percentage of BSA that is absorbed. This would be consistent with an adsorption model that suggests weak BSA binding to the nanoparticle, but strong BSA-BSA binding indicating the formation of layers of protein on the particle. Regardless of the final percent removed, the BSA reaches equilibrium after about 120 min with only slight increases observed over the following 3 hours. Clearly over time the absorption efficiency diminishes due to a saturation of absorption sites. Curiously these magnetic nanoparticles absorb a greater amount of BSA than previously reported non-magnetic samples of similar diameter.

The maximum BSA adsorption was achieved after 120 min. Therefore, the 120 min period was chosen as the optimum contact time for all further measurements. Counterintuitively, the percent removal of the BSA increases as a function of the initial concentration of BSA—the more BSA that is present, the higher percentage is removed. This might be due to the favourable surface interactions and the entropic and enthalpic favourability of the adsorption processes (see below). This is dramatically illustrated by Figure 4B which shows a dramatic increase in adsorption efficiency as the BSA concentration increases.

3.4.3 **Analysis of Adsorption Isotherm:** The relationship between the amount of BSA concentration adsorbed onto the Fe₃O₄ NPs surface and the remaining BSA concentration in the aqueous phase at equilibrium can be examined using an adsorption equilibrium isotherm analysis. Adsorption isotherm plots indicate whether the adsorption phenomenon can be modelled using Langmuir isotherms. This model implies that adsorption occurs in a monolayer until all surface active sites are saturated. Then additional layers can form. The adsorption isotherms were investigated using both the Langmuir and Freundlich models.

**Table 1.** The isotherm equations used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm model</th>
<th>Linear expression</th>
<th>Plot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freundlich</strong></td>
<td>( \frac{1}{n} \log q_e = \log C_e + \log k_f )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{n} \log q_e = \frac{1}{n} \log C_e + \log k_f )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(I):</td>
<td>( q_e = \frac{1}{q_m} \left( \frac{1}{K_l} \right) C_e )</td>
<td>( (C_e/q_e) ) vs ( C_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(II):</td>
<td>( q_e = q_m \left( \frac{1}{q_m} \right) C_e )</td>
<td>( 1/q_e ) vs ( 1/C_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(III):</td>
<td>( q_e = q_m - \left( \frac{1}{K_l} \right) C_e )</td>
<td>( q_e ) vs ( q_e/C_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(IV):</td>
<td>( q_e = \frac{1}{c_e} = K_m q_m - K_q q_e )</td>
<td>( q_e/C_e ) vs ( q_e )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The experimental data is most consistent with the Langmuir Type (II) isotherm (Figure 5). This conclusion was reached based on a comparison of the correlation coefficients, $R^2$ (Table 2). The main characteristic of any Langmuir isotherm is the dimensionless equilibrium parameter ($R_L$) constant, which is defined by the following relation\textsuperscript{18}:

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + bC_0} \quad \text{Eq}(3)$$

$C_0$ represents the BSA concentration, 500 mg/L. The value of $R_L$ defines the type of isotherm. For a desirable absorption $0 < R_L < 1$; for undesirable absorption $R_L > 1$, for linear absorption $R_L = 1$, and for reversible absorption $R_L = 0$. The $R_L$ values in this study were calculated to be 0.166, and suggest that BSA adsorption is desirable. The Langmuir Type (II) isotherm model means that adsorption increases exponentially as pressure increases. This is naturally consistent with a model where strong adsorbent-adsorbent interactions are present. As BSA binds to the nanoparticle, additional BSA molecules can more readily bind. This observation is completely consistent with our earlier observation that percentage removal of BSA increases as initial BSA solution concentration increases and that the $q_e$ values are exceptionally high for this system.

**Table 2.** Isotherms parameters obtained by linear regression method for the sorption of BSA by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. BSA concentration 100-500 mg/L, adsorbent dosage 0.08 g/L, temperature 298K, pH 7.4, experiments run for 120 min.

![Graphical representation of the Langmuir Type (II) model of the binding of BSA onto Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs at adsorbent dosage 0.08 g/L, T = 298 K, pH = 7.4, and time = 120 min.](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherms</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>$K_F=14.42 \text{ mg/g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$l/n=1.587 \text{ L/mg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(I)</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>$q_m=15.79 \text{ mg/g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L=134.14 \text{ L/mg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(II)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>$q_m=1842.18 \text{ mg/g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L=0.01 \text{ L/mg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(III)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>$q_m=0.0001 \text{ mg/g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L=14.36 \text{ L/mg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type(IV)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>$q_m=0.07 \text{ mg/g}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L=1856.19 \text{ L/mg}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.4.4. Adsorption kinetics:** The adsorption kinetics of BSA on the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were examined at pH 7.4 for the different initial concentrations of BSA using both pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models (Figure 6).

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{K_1 t}{2.303} \quad Eq(4)
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \quad Eq(5)
\]

where $k_2$ is the pseudo-second-order rate constant ($\text{g/mg/min}$), $k_1$ is the pseudo first order rate constant ($\text{g/mg/min}$) $q_e$ the quantity of BSA adsorbed at equilibrium ($\text{mg g}^{-1}$); $q_t$ the quantity of BSA adsorbed at time $t$ ($\text{mg g}^{-1}$) and $t$ is the time (min).

![Graph showing adsorption kinetics data for different initial concentrations of BSA.](https://mc06.manuscriptcentral.com/cjc-pubs)
Figure 6. Pseudo-second order kinetic plots for BSA adsorption Fe₃O₄ NPs.

Table 3: Kinetic parameters for adsorption of BSA onto Fe₃O₄ NPs for different initial BSA concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration BSA, mgL⁻¹</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
<th>pseudo-first-order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₂, qₑ, mg.g⁻¹, R²</td>
<td>K₁, qₑ, mg.g⁻¹, R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0524, 0.0011, 0.953</td>
<td>0.0184, 4.617, 0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0215, 0.0005, 0.972</td>
<td>0.007, 3.096, 0.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0019, 0.0002, 0.993</td>
<td>0.0009, 3.934, 0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.0007, 0.0002, 0.998</td>
<td>0.0004, 1.701, 0.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are shown in Figure 6 and Table 3. The R² values of the pseudo-second-order models ranged between 0.953 and 0.998, and as the concentration of BSA increases, the fit improves. This is considerably higher than the R² values of the pseudo-first order model that range from 0.727 to 0.941, where again, as the concentration rises, the fit improves. This concentration dependence suggests that slowed diffusion rates, aggregation, or other factors might be partially segregating the BSA at lower concentrations diverting the process from a pseudo-second order ideal. These effects become less important as the excess amount of BSA increases. This pseudo-second order kinetic profile is consistent with the BSA absorption studies of others who have used other classes of particles, including graphene materials and κ-carrageenan/carboxymethyl chitosan-coated materials.²⁷, ⁴⁴ These results suggest that BSA-BSA interactions on the nanoparticle surface are important for the adsorption interactions. This multilayer formation is typical for protein adsorption onto a surface: at lower concentrations of protein a monolayer forms, but as concentration increases, a multilayer forms and entropically-driven adsorption can be facilitated by the presence of the previous layer.⁴⁵, ⁴⁶

3.4.5. Adsorption thermodynamic studies: Temperature dependence provides insight into the thermodynamics of the process, allowing for the determination of parameters such as ΔG⁰, ΔH⁰ and ΔS⁰. Thermodynamic parameters provide additional information regarding the energetic changes involved during process adsorption. In the present case, the effect of temperature on the BSA-magnetite adsorption process was studied over physiologically-relevant temperatures (298-318 K). Plotting the observed value of ln(Kₑq) against 1/T allows for the extraction of both ΔH⁰ and ΔS⁰ from the slope and intercept respectively (Figure 7).
Figure 7. The natural logarithms of the adsorption equilibrium constant of BSA by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs surfaces as a function of inverse temperature ($K^{-1}$) for the calculation of thermodynamic parameters. Error represents the standard error of the mean of three measurements.

Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of BSA on the surface of synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs determined using an initial concentration of 500 mg/L of BSA and 0.8 mg/L of magnetite nanoparticle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>K (L/g)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^0$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^0$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^0$ (J•mol$^{-1}$•K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>36.25</td>
<td>-8.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>-9.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>58.36</td>
<td>-10.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The moderate negative values of $\Delta G^0$ (-8.9 to -10.8 kJ/mol) indicate that the reaction is spontaneous at all temperatures and is due to a physisorption process rather than any chemisorption (where we would expect values in excess of 80 kJ/mol). The moderate positive enthalpies support this contention, and the positive entropy suggests that the system is becoming increasingly disordered, potentially due to the multiple different conformations and distortions to the preferred solvated structure that can occur on the surface of the nanoparticle. This is in contrast to other systems where the adsorption process is strongly entropically disfavoured although enthalpically favoured, allowing for a spontaneous process. However, another report showed that a differentially-coated magnetite, using carboxymethyl chitosan, was enthalpically disfavoured.
while being entropically favoured like this current example, and this has been observed for other
surfaces as well, including CoCrMo, and silica. Entropically driven protein adsorption is
largely driven by the desolvation of the protein and nanoparticle. The more hydrophobic the
surface, the better the benefit of desolvation and the more unlikely an enthalpically favourable
interaction drives protein adsorption. Adsorption can also increase the conformational flexibility
of the protein further increasing the entropy of the system, and BSA denaturation on particle
surfaces is an ongoing field of study.

Together, these studies lead to interesting results. Protein-corona formation is generally considered
an extremely rapid process, on the order of seconds. However, in this case, the particles continue
to adsorb additional BSA well into the second hour of exposure, this is perhaps explained by the
multilayer that forms around the nanoparticle.

A corona almost certainly forms immediately, but does not assume an equilibrium form for up to
two hours. The DLS studies indicate that this is not due to a nanoparticle aggregation effect, as
there is no indication of aggregate formation. Potentially the formation of the corona keeps the
reactive nanoparticle surface away from mammalian cells, slowing oxidative-related toxicity,
while the internal chemistry of bacteria might change this corona sufficiently that the surface can
be cytotoxic. This study demonstrates that a normal corona is formed around these particles, and
that their remarkable antibiotic activity may be due to the differential response of mammalian and
bacterial systems to this corona.

Conclusion

Our previously prepared and characterized nanoparticles showed remarkable antibiotic activity.
This was attributed to the highly oxidized impurities on their surface which also prevented
aggregation. Curiously, the particles showed no toxicity against mammalian tissue. This work
investigated the formation of a protein corona around these nanoparticles using BSA as the model
protein. These nanoparticles show exceptional affinity for BSA with very high loading efficiencies
up to 5g protein/g of nanoparticle. Formation of the protein corona results in a moderate increase
in size of the nanoparticles and does not induce aggregation as measured by DLS. The adsorption
of the BSA onto these uncoated magnetite nanoparticles is best modelled using a Langmuir Type
(II) isotherm (R² = 0.991) under a pseudo-second order kinetic model (R² = 0.998). These suggest
that BSA binds in a multilayered fashion to the surface of the particles, and that BSA-magnetite
interactions are stronger than BSA-magnetite interactions. Calculations of the thermodynamic
parameters indicate that adsorption at ambient temperature and pressure is a spontaneous
entropically-driven process, and the moderate Gibbs free energies unsurprisingly indicate a
physical adsorption process. These results show that protein corona formation around these
particles, although favourable, is not strongly so, and consequently this might provide some
explanation for the remarkable observed biological activity. Further preclinical investigations of
the antibiotic potential of these materials are currently underway in our labs and results will be
released in due course.
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**Figure 1.** FT-IR spectrum of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles both before and after the adsorption experiment.

**Figure 2.** The size distribution of the iron oxide nanoparticles (adsorbent dosage 0.08 g/L) as determined by DLS intensity measurements, both before (A) and after (B) adsorption of BSA (200 mg/mL, 120 min incubation).

**Figure 3.** Percent removal and adsorption capacity of BSA (using a 200 mg/L solution) as a function of nanoparticle concentration (absorbent dose) after 120 minutes of co-incubation.

**Figure 4.** A) Percent sequestration of BSA onto Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs at an as a function of time and concentration of BSA; B) Binding efficiency of the NPs as a function of time and concentration of BSA. All measurements acquired using a nanoparticle concentration of 0.08 gL$^{-1}$, T = 298 K, and pH = 7.4.

**Figure 5.** Graphical representation of the Langmuir Type (II) model of the binding of BSA onto Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs at adsorbent dosage 0.08 g/L, T = 298 K, pH = 7.4, and time = 120 min.

**Figure 6.** Pseudo-second order kinetic plots for BSA adsorption Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs.

**Figure 7.** The natural logarithms of the adsorption equilibrium constant of BSA by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs surfaces as a function of inverse temperature (K$^{-1}$) for the calculation of thermodynamic parameters. Error represents the standard error of the mean of three measurements.

**Table 1.** The isotherm equations used in the study.

**Table 2.** Isotherms parameters obtained by linear regression method for the sorption of BSA by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. BSA concentration 100-500 mg/L, adsorbent dosage 0.08 g/L, temperature 298K, pH 7.4, experiments run for 120 min.

**Table 3:** Kinetic parameters for adsorption of BSA onto Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs for different initial BSA concentrations.

**Table 4.** Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of BSA on the surface of synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs determined using an initial concentration of 500 mg/L of BSA and 0.8 mg/L of magnetite nanoparticle.
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